

Supplementary Figure 1. Schematic illustrations of SLBs on nanostructures.

(A) Schematic illustrations of the NanoCurvS assay. (B) Illustrations of solvent-assisted lipid bilayer (SALB) formation method.



Supplementary Figure 2. SLBs on nanostructures show similar fluidities as surrounding flat areas. (A) Representative fluorescence images of lipid bilayers on a nanoX array at different time points after photobleaching. (B) Representative fluorescence images of lipid bilayers on a gradient nanoU array at different time points after photobleaching. For both (A) and (B), the bilayer consists of 70% DOPC, 15% DOPS, 15% $PI(4,5)P_2$ and ~1% Texas Red-DHPE. White-dashed circles indicate the bleached region. (C) A plot of the time-lapsed fluorescence recovery signals. Scale bar: 20 µm.



Supplementary Figure 3. Purified protein and lysates of FBP17 BAR show similar curvature sensitivity on nanobar arrays.

(A) Illustration and a SEM image of a 200-nm nanobar array. All nanobars are 200 nm in width, 1 μ m in height and 5 μ m in spacing. Scale bar: 5 μ m. (B) Constructs of the GFP-FBP17 and GFP-FBP17(BAR)-6XHis variants used in this study. (C) Representative fluorescence images of GFP-FBP17(BAR)-6XHis purified protein and lysates on SLB-coated nanobar arrays. The lipid bilayer is made of 90% DOPC, 10% DGS-Ni-NTA and doped with ~1% Texas Red-DHPE for bilayer visualization. Scale bar: 5 μ m. (D) Averaged images of GFP-FBP17(BAR)-6XHis purified protein and lysates on SLB-coated nanobar arrays. (E) Quantification of fluorescence intensity of GFP-FBP17(BAR)-6XHis purified protein and lysates on SLB-coated nanobar arrays. (E) Quantification of fluorescence intensity of GFP-FBP17(BAR)-6XHis purified protein and lysates on SLB-coated nanobar arrays. Error bars represent standard deviation. Welch's t tests (unpaired, two-tailed, not assuming equal variance) are applied for all statistical analyses.



Supplementary Figure 4. Representative fluorescence images of U2OS cells expressing IRSp53(BAR)-GFP, IRSp53(FL)-GFP, and GFP-FBP17(FL). Scale bar: 20 µm.



Supplementary Figure 5. Western blots of protein lysates confirming the presence of transfected proteins.

(A) IRSp53-GFP variants. (B) Full-length GFP-FBP17. Both GFP-FBP17 and IRSp53-GFP variants were probed by rabbit anti-GFP antibodies. GAPDH was stained with mouse anti-GAPDH antibodies as a control.



Supplementary Figure 6. Quantification of TXR-lipid bilayer signals on gradient nanoU and nanoX arrays.

(A-B) 70% DOPC, 15% DOPS, 15% PIP_2 and ~1% Texas Red-DHPE on (A) gradient nanoX arrays or (B) gradient nanoU arrays. **(C)** 55% DOPC, 15% DOPS, 15% PIP_2 , 15% DGS-Ni-NTA, and ~1% Texas Red-DHPE on gradient nanoU arrays. Error bars represent standard error of mean.



Supplementary Figure 7. Representative whole-field fluorescence images of BAR protein lysates on SLB-coated nanoX arrays. (A) IRSp53(BAR)-GFP; (B) GFP-FBP17(FL). Scale bar: 20 μ m.



Supplementary Figure 8. Representative whole-field and zoom-in fluorescence images of BAR protein lysates on SLB-coated nanoU arrays. (A) IRSp53(BAR)-GFP; (B) GFP-FBP17(FL); (C) IRSp53(FL)-6XHis-GFP; (D) IRSp53(FL)-GFP; (E) Empty vector. Scale bar: 20 µm.



Supplementary Figure 9. Representative fluorescence images from the c-Abl kinase experiments on SLB-coated nanoU arrays. (A) c-Abl-catalyzed phosphorylation upon ATP addition significantly reduces the intensity of GFP-FBP17 on the lipid bilayer. (B) GFP-FBP17 fluorescence intensity does not change upon the addition of a control buffer. Scale bar: $20 \,\mu$ m.

	Designed	d values	Mea	Measured values (Diameter of curvature)					
Arm width (nm)	Crossing angle (<i>θ</i>)	Complementary crossing angle (θ)	Arm end (nm)	Crossing angle (nm)	Complementary crossing angle (nm)	nanostructures measured			
	15	165	447±43.2	354±15.8	5749±1449	10			
	30	150	397±26.3	436±51.8	3797±840	10			
400	45	135	438±36.2	491±45.4	2680±293	10			
400	60	120	480±46.1	648±45.2	2050±270	10			
	75	105	491±39.7	706±57.9	1416±125	10			
-	90	90	487±33.1	825±96.2	966±83.2	10			



Supplementary Table 1. Characterization of gradient nanoX arrays.

Error bars represent standard deviation.



Supplementary Table 2. Characterization of gradient nanoU arrays.

Error bars represent standard deviation.

Λ.									
A	Target	Desired	Ν	lormalized intensity	y (A.U.)		Number of	Number of	Corresponding
	angle (θ)	angle (θ)	NanoX region	Mean	SD	SEM (SD/ \sqrt{N})	considered (N)	measured (n)	figure
-			End	1.56	0.80	0.23			
		15	Inner face	5.50	3.49	1.01	12	168	
			Complementary inner face	0.83	0.56	0.16			
			End	0.88	0.15	0.04			
		30	Inner face	4.29	2.71	0.78	12	182	
			Complementary inner face	0.71	0.30	0.09			
			End	0.83	0.12	0.03			
	100 50	45	Inner face	3.91	2.16	0.62	12	196	
	(BAD)		Complementary inner face	0.92	0.25	0.07			Fig. 1E
	GFP		End	0.86	0.10	0.03			Fig. IE
		60	Inner face	3.36	1.62	0.47	12	186	
			Complementary inner face	1.31	0.19	0.05			
			End	0.73	0.13	0.04			
		75	Inner face	2.53	0.95	0.29	11	171	
			Complementary inner face	1.55	0.19	0.06			
			End	0.73	0.14	0.04			
		90	Inner face	1.98	0.55	0.17	11	167	
_			Complementary inner face	1.96	0.54	0.16			

_
D
—
_

	Tanat	Desired	N	Normalized intensity (A.U.)			Number of	Number of	Corresponding
	Target	angle (θ)	NanoX region	Mean	SD	SEM (SD/ \sqrt{N})	considered (N)	measured (n)	figure
-			End	2.22	0.32	0.16			
		15	Inner face	1.18	0.15	0.07	4	72	
			Complementary inner face	1.08	0.04	0.02			
			End	2.20	0.56	0.25			
		30	Inner face	0.99	0.24	0.11	5	90	
			Complementary inner face	0.97	0.12	0.05			
			End	2.21	0.47	0.21			
		45	Inner face	0.94	0.09	0.04	5	90	
	GFP-		Complementary inner face	0.85	0.13	0.06			E in (11)
	(FL)		End	2.64	0.38	0.17			Fig. TH
	(• =)	60	Inner face	0.93	0.10	0.04	5	90	
			Complementary inner face	0.92	0.09	0.04			
			End	2.44	0.22	0.10			
		75	Inner face	0.90	0.17	0.07	5	90	
			Complementary inner face	1.16	0.18	0.08			
			End	2.36	0.46	0.21			
		90	Inner face	0.99	0.13	0.06	5	90	
			Complementary inner face	0.98	0.14	0.06			

Supplementary Table 3. Statistical analysis for Figure 1.

Torget	Desired		Normalized in	tensity (A.U.)	Number of fields	Number of	Corresponding	
rarget	(nm)	NanoU region	Mean	SD	SEM (SD/ \sqrt{N})	considered (N)	measured (n)	figure
	400	End	3.03	1.12	0.35	10	105	
400	400	Inner groove	16.3	9.18	2.90	10	100	
450	450	End	2.27	0.98	0.28	40	109	
	450	Inner groove	14.6	12.2	3.53	12	190	
	500	End	2.11	0.90	0.26	40	196	
	500	Inner groove	13.5	10.6	3.07	12		
	550	End	1.67	0.47	0.14	12	187	
	550	Inner groove	12.4	7.98	2.30			
IRSp53	600	End	2.10	0.93	0.27	10	106	
(BAR)- GEP	600	Inner groove	13.5	8.43	2.43	12	196	Fig. 2D
011	650	End	1.78	0.67	0.19	10	100	
	650	Inner groove	11.1	6.39	1.85	12	100	
	700	End	1.60	0.65	0.20	44	170	
	700	Inner groove	10.0	7.07	2.13	11	172	
	750	End	2.00	1.34	0.39	10	170	
	/30	Inner groove	9.37	4.96	1.43	12	1/3	
	800	End	1.51	0.66	0.19	40	190	
	000	Inner groove	7.53	4.90	1.42	12	180	

в —

Torgot	Desired		Normalized in	tensity (A.U.)	Number of fields	Number of	Corresponding	
rarget	(nm)	NanoU region	Mean	SD	SEM (SD/ \sqrt{N})	 of view considered (N) 	measured (n)	figure
	400	End	2.01	1.14	0.33	40	450	
	400	Inner groove	1.05	0.56	0.16	12	152	
	450	End	1.70	0.59	0.17	40	455	
	450	Inner groove	1.10	0.69	0.20	12	155	
	500	End	1.49	0.30	0.09	10	160	
	500	Inner groove	0.92	0.17	0.05	10	102	
	550	End	1.36	0.32	0.09	12	177	
	550	Inner groove	0.93	0.26	0.08		177	
GFP-	600	End	1.35	0.24	0.07	12	100	
(FL)	600	Inner groove	0.98	0.22	0.06		103	Fig. 2F
(/	650	End	1.37	0.23	0.07	44		
	650	Inner groove	0.93	0.21	0.06	11	102	
	700	End	1.25	0.15	0.05	40	450	
	700	Inner groove	0.89	0.18	0.06	10	100	
	750	End	1.31	0.22	0.06	10	102	
	/50	Inner groove	0.98	0.20	0.06	12	193	
	800	End	1.13	0.18	0.05	10	100	
	800	Inner groove	0.90	0.28	0.08	12	100	

Supplementary Table 4. Statistical analysis for Figure 2.

	Λ.
•	٦

Torgot	Normalized in	tensity (A.U.)	Number of fields of	Corresponding figure	
rarget	Mean	SD	view considered (N)		
Empty vector	2.47	0.29	4		
IRSp53(FL)-GFP	2.26	0.08	4	Fig. 20	
IRSp53(FL)-6XHis-GFP	10.3	2.03	13	Fig. 3C	
IRSp53(BAR)-GFP	14.1	4.57	15		

Terret	Desired	Desired Normalized intensity (A.U.)				Number of fields	Number of	Corresponding
Target	Arm width (nm)	NanoU region	Mean	SD	SEM (SD/ \sqrt{N})	considered (N)	measured (n)	figure
	400	End	0.99	0.31	0.08	40	450	
	400	Inner groove	2.43	0.86	0.24	13	159	
	450	End	1.06	0.25	0.07	12	175	
	450	Inner groove	2.33	1.05	0.29	15	175	
	500	End	0.94	0.14	0.04	13	196	
		Inner groove	1.96	0.96	0.27			
	550	End	0.93	0.18	0.05	13	207	
IRSp53		Inner groove	1.78	0.71	0.20		201	
(FL)-	600	End	0.91	0.19	0.05	13	203	Fig. 3E
6XHis-	000	Inner groove	1.82	0.65	0.18	15 203	i ig. 5⊏	
GFP	650	End	0.97	0.16	0.04	13	209	
		Inner groove	1.71	0.68	0.19	15	205	
	700	End	0.96	0.18	0.05	13	213	
	,	Inner groove	1.76	0.75	0.21	15	215	
	750	End	0.98	0.18	0.05	13	200	
	, 30	Inner groove	1.50	0.44	0.12	15	200	
	800	End	0.98	0.17	0.05	13	214	
	0.00	Inner groove	1.58	0.57	0.16	13	214	

Supplementary Table 5. Statistical analysis for Figure 3.

Α-									
	Torret	Desired		Normalized in	tensity (A.U.)		Number of fields	Number of	Corresponding
	Target	Arm width (nm)	NanoU region	Mean	SD	SEM (SD/ \sqrt{N})	considered (N)	measured (n)	figure
-		400		1.38	0.21	0.07	9	162	
		450		1.30	0.22	0.07	9	162	
	GFP-	500		1.21	0.18	0.06	9	162	
	FBP17 (FL)	550		1.16	0.16	0.05	9	162	
	(, _)	600	End	1.23	0.13	0.04	9	162	Fig. 4E
	Before adding	650		1.17	0.20	0.07	9	162	
	ATP	700		1.12	0.13	0.04	9	162	
		750		1.13	0.14	0.05	9	162	
_		800		1.11	0.21	0.07	9	162	
B -									
Ы	Torget	Desired		Normalized in	Normalized intensity (A.U.)			Number of	Corresponding
	raiget	(nm)						nanostructures measured (n)	figure
_			NanoU region	Mean	SD	SEM (SD/ \sqrt{N})	considered (N)	measured (n)	figure
		400	NanoU region	Mean 1.34	SD 0.24	SEM (SD/√N) 0.09	considered (N)	measured (n)	figure
		400 450	NanoU region	Mean 1.34 1.27	SD 0.24 0.23	SEM (SD/√N) 0.09 0.09	considered (N) 7 7 7	124 124	figure
	GFP-	400 450 500	NanoU region	Mean 1.34 1.27 1.23	SD 0.24 0.23 0.27	SEM (SD/√N) 0.09 0.09 0.10	considered (N) 7 7 7 7 7	124 124 124	figure
	GFP- FBP17 (FL)	400 450 500 550	NanoU region	Mean 1.34 1.27 1.23 1.16	SD 0.24 0.23 0.27 0.18	SEM (SD/\/\) 0.09 0.09 0.10 0.07	considered (N) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	124 124 124 124 124	figure
	GFP- FBP17 (FL) 1-br	400 450 500 550 600	NanoU region	Mean 1.34 1.27 1.23 1.16 1.01	SD 0.24 0.23 0.27 0.18 0.18	SEM (SD/\/\) 0.09 0.10 0.07 0.07	considered (N) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	124 124 124 124 124 124 124	figure
	GFP- FBP17 (FL) 1-hr After	400 450 500 550 600 650	NanoU region	Mean 1.34 1.27 1.23 1.16 1.01 1.09	SD 0.24 0.23 0.27 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18	SEM (SD/\(\overline{N}\)) 0.09 0.09 0.10 0.07 0.07 0.07	Considered (N) 7	124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124	figure Fig. 4F
	GFP- FBP17 (FL) 1-hr After adding ATP	400 450 500 550 600 650 700	NanoU region	Mean 1.34 1.27 1.23 1.16 1.01 1.09 1.06	SD 0.24 0.23 0.27 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.16	SEM (SD/√N) 0.09 0.09 0.10 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07	considered (N) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124	figure Fig. 4F
	GFP- FBP17 (FL) 1-hr After adding ATP	400 450 500 550 600 650 700 750	End	Mean 1.34 1.27 1.23 1.16 1.01 1.09 1.06 1.02	SD 0.24 0.23 0.27 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.16 0.17	SEM (SD/√N) 0.09 0.09 0.10 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.06	considered (N)	124 124	figure Fig. 4F

Supplementary Table 6. Statistical analysis for Figure 4.