Supporting information

Multi-pathway inducing ferroptosis by MnO₂-based nanodrugs for targeted cancer therapy

Shuhua Fan,^{a‡} Qiangqiang Yang,^{a‡} Qianna Song,^a Min Hong,^{a*} Xiaoyan Liu,^a Haobin Chen,^a Juan Wang,^a Chuan Li,^a Shuang Cheng^{b*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, 252059, Shandong, China. E-mail: hongmin@lcu.edu.cn

^b Department of Agriculture, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, 252059, Shandong, China. E-mail: chengshuang@lcu.edu.cn

[‡]S. Fan and Q. Yang contributed equally.

1. Materials

MnCl₂·4H₂O, tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMA·OH), dihydroartemisinin (DHA), N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl), (NHS). sulfoxide N-hydroxysuc-cinimide dimethyl (DMSO), and 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China, http://www.aladdin-e.com). Distearoyl phosphatidyl ethanolamine polyethylene glycol carboxyl (DSPE-PEG-COOH, Mw = 2000) was purchased from Nanocs Inc. (USA). Tf (Mw = 80000, Purity: 95%) was purchased from Solarbio Co., Ltd. (China). 1640 RPMI cell culture medium and fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Gibco (Life Technologies, Australia). Penicillin and streptomycin, GSH and GSSG Assay Kit, Reactive Oxygen Species Assay Kit, Lipid Peroxidation MDA Assay Kit and Lyso-Tracker Red were purchased from Beyotime Co., Ltd. (China). 3-(4,5)-Dimethylthiahiazo(-2)-3,5-diphenytetrazoliumromide (MTT) was purchased from Energy Chemical Co., Ltd. (China). Other reagents were purchased from China National Pharmaceutical Group Corporation and used as received.

Antisense oligonucleotide:

Human-ASO (ASO): 5'-GATACGCTGAGTGTGGTTT-3' Human-FAM-ASO (FAM-ASO): 5'-GATACGCTGAGTGTGGTTT-FAM-3' Mouse-ASO (ASO'): 5'-GATA<u>T</u>GCTGAGTGTGGTTT-3'

2. Instruments

Fluorescence spectra were determined on an F-7000 spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Japan). Absorption spectra (UV-Vis) were carried out on a UV-750 ultraviolet spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer, USA). Fourier Transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were carried out on a Nicolet 6700 FT-IR spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Ltd, USA). Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were performed on a JEM 2100 electron microscope (JEOL Ltd., Japan). TEM worked with an acceleration voltage at 200 kV, and a micro grid was used for sample preparation. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were performed on a Thermo Fisher Scientific

FIB-SEM GX4. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were undertaken with a K-Alpha spectrometer (Thermo Scientific Ltd., USA). Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was carried out on a MultiMode 8 atomic force microscope with a Nanoscope V controller (Bruker, USA). The Raman spectrum was recorded with a Renishaw-inVia Raman microscope (UK). Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) was carried out on ZEISS LSM 880 (Germany). A Guava easyCyte 6-2L flow cytometer (Millipore, USA) was used to determine the fluorescence of the cell samples.

3. Experimental section

(1) Synthesis of manganese dioxide nanosheets

0.5937 g MnCl₂·4H₂O was dissolved in 10 mL ultrapure water to obtain 0.3 mM MnCl₂ (solution A). 2.1748 g TMA·OH was dissolved in 18 mL water, and then add 2 mL H₂O₂ (30 Wt%) into it to obtain the solution B. After that, pour solution B into solution A (this step is completed within 15 s), as the mixed aqueous solution is added, the solution immediately turns dark brown. Then, the suspension was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature to fully complete the oxidation reaction process. In order to purify MnO₂, the resulting solution was centrifuged for 10 min at 10000 rpm, and then washed it three times with ethanol and ultrapure water, and the sediment collected was dried with a vacuum freeze dryer at -80 °C to remove the remaining solvent. 30 mg of the as-prepared manganese dioxide was dissolved into 20 mL of ultrapure water. Finally, the solution was sonicated for at least 10 h and keep it in refrigerater (4 °C) for use in the experiment.

(2) Synthesis of DSPE-PEG-DHA

EDC (100 mM, 200 μ l) and DMAP (100 mM, 100 μ l) were added to DSPE-PEG-COOH (1 mM, 1 mL) solution to activate the carboxyl group, and the mixture was sonicated for 1 h to assure adequate mixing. Then add 50 μ l DHA (100 mM) in DMSO into the obtained solution above, and stir the mixture for 24 h and sonicate for 10 h at room temperature. Next, the solution was treated by dialysis with dialysis bag (MWCO = 1000) for 72 h. Finally, the solution collected was dried with a vacuum freeze dryer at -80 °C to obtain DSPE-PEG-DHA product.

(3) Synthesis of DSPE-PEG-Tf

EDC (100 mM, 100 μ l) and NHS (100 mM, 200 μ l) were added to the solution of DSPE-PEG-COOH (1 mM, 600 μ L) to activate the carboxyl group. Then Tf (2 mg/ml, 600 μ l) was added followed the pH of mixture was regulated to 7.0. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and dialyzed for 72 h using the dialysis bag (MWCO=10000). Finally, the product of DSPE-PEG-Tf was obtained by vacuum freeze-drying.

(4) Synthesis of Tf-DHA-MnO₂, Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ or FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂

DSPE-PEG-Tf (0.5 mg/ml) and DSPE-PEG-DHA (1 mg/ml) were sequentially added to the MnO_2 nanosheet (2 mg/ml) solution (same volume). After reacting for 24 h, the solution was centrifuged for 20 min (10000 rpm) in a centrifuge. Then the precipitate was washed three times. Then add GPX4 mRNA targeted human- or mouse-ASO at the ratio of 100 nM ASO per 40 µg/ml MnO₂-NS, and react for 2 h.

(5) UV-Vis characterization of MnO₂-NSs

The optical properties of MnO_2 -NSs were measured using an UV-vis spectrometer, with a 2 nm slit width at a scan speed of 200 nm/min. The solution of MnO_2 -NS was prepared to a concentration of 1 μ M and scanned at 300-650 nm. The characteristic peak of MnO_2 -NSs appeared at 360 nm (Fig. S1C).

(6) DLS of MnO₂-NSs and Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems

The size distribution and zeta potential of freshly prepared MnO₂-NSs (5 mg/ml) or Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems (5 mg/ml) were determined by the INan-ZS90 laser nanoparticle size analyzer.

(7) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy of MnO₂-NSs

The XPS of a small amount of solid MnO_2 -NSs was measured using a K-Alpha spectrometer. It can be seen from Fig. S1G that the binding energies of O1s, Mn (2p_{3/2}) and Mn (2p_{1/2}) in XPS pattern A are 528.7, 640.9 and 652.6 eV, respectively. Fig. S1H shows the valence state of manganese in the synthesized MnO₂-NSs is mainly +4.

(8) Ultraviolet characterization of DSPE-PEG-COOH, DSPE-PEG-DHA and DHA

The optical properties of DSPE-PEG-DHA was measured using the UV-vis spectrometer, with a scan speed of 200 nm/min. From Fig. S3A we can see that in order to confirm the combination of DHA and DSPE-PEG-COOH, a new absorbance peak at ~262 nm assigned to DSPE-PEG-DHA could be observed by dissolved it in ethanol and treated with NaOH (0.2%) for 30 min at 50 °C. Compared with DHA (~282 nm), there is a blue shift for the characteristic peak of newly-synthesized DSPE-PEG-DHA.

Also, in order to determine the loading rate of DSPE-PEG-DHA on MnO₂-NS, the absorption spectra of samples of different concentrations were obtained using a UV-Vis spectrometer. The absorbance intensity at ~262 nm was correlated with the concentration of DSPE-PEG-DHA. DHA-MnO₂ nanosystems were prepared as mentioned above. Then, the resulting solution was centrifuged for 20 min (10000 rpm) in a centrifuge. The UV-vis of suspended solution was determined. According to the standard curve obtained from the absorbance intensity of DSPE-PEG-DHA versus its concentration, the loading rate of DSPE-PEG-DHA on MnO₂-NS could be calculated (Fig. S3).

(9) Infrared characterization of MnO₂-NSs combined with Tf and DHA

After DSPE-PEG-Tf, DSPE-PEG-DHA and Tf-DHA-MnO₂ synthesized and freeze-dried, a small number of solid samples were taken, and the infrared spectrum was determined in the range of 4000 nm-1000 nm scanning wavelength. The FT-IR spectra of DSPE-PEG-COOH gives the signal of -COOH (\sim 1740 cm⁻¹), but it was absent in DSPE-PEG-DHA and replaced with \sim 1730 cm⁻¹ assigned to -COO ester

group. The absorption of methyl (-CH₃) (~3000 cm⁻¹), ethyl (-CH₂) (~2900 cm⁻¹), ester bond (-COO-) (~1635 cm⁻¹) can be observed in the FT-IR spectrum of Tf-DHA- MnO_2 nanosystems, which indicate the successful absorption of DHA and Tf onto MnO_2 -NSs (Fig. S2C).

(10) Fluorescence characterization of DSPE-PEG-COOH, DSPE-PEG-Tf and Tf

The fluorescence spectra of Tf (0.1 mg/ml), DSPE-PEG-COOH (0.1 mg/ml) and DSPE-PEG-Tf (0.1 mg/ml) were measured by using an F-7000 spectrophotometer with the parameter of PMT voltage at 700 v, slit set at 5, and scan speed at 240 nm/min. The 340 nm emission shows the existence of Tf. The results shown in Fig. S2D indicate that Tf had been successfully conjugated with DSPE-PEG-COOH. After absorbed onto MnO₂-NSs, the fluorescence of DSPE-PEG-Tf was quenched completely (Fig. S2E), thus indicating the complete absorption of DSPE-PEG-Tf on MnO₂-NSs.

(11) Raman spectroscopic characterization of DSPE-PEG-COOH combined with DHA

Firstly, drop the solution of DSPE-PEG-COOH, DHA, or DSPE-PEG-DHA onto a clean glass slide with a pipette. After dried naturally, the Raman spectra were measured using a Renishaw-in Via Raman microscope with the excitation wavelength at 532 nm and the wavelength range at 500 -3000 nm.

(12) Fluorescence recovery of FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems after treating with GSH

A series of GSH with different concentrations (0, 0.2, 0.75, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 mM) was mixed with the same amount of FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ (40 μ g/ml). After incubation at 37 °C for 6 h, the fluorescence intensity of each mixture was determined. As shown in Fig. 2A, a gradual increase of fluorescence intensity with an increasing concentration of GSH was observed, demonstrating that FAM-ASO was released from the FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems.

(13) ROS yielded by DHA-MnO₂ under the existence of GSH

2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) was used to evaluate ROS generated by the DHA-MnO₂ in solution. MnO₂ (1 mg/ml), DHA-MnO₂ (1 mg/ml) were mixed with 1mg/ml GSH, and then adjusted to pH = 5 - 5.5, and allowed to stand at room temperature for 3 h. Mixed liquor stained with DCFH-DA (50 mM) in room temperature for 2 h. The fluorescence spectrum of DHA-MnO₂ solution were detected using an F-7000 spectrophotometer. DCF was excited with 488 nm laser and the fluorescence intensity at 525 nm shows the amount of DCF, which was correlated with the level of ROS.

(14) Cell cultivation

HeLa, A549, HepG2, HBL-100, LO2 and 4T1 cells were purchased from the Chinese Academy of Sciences Cell Bank (Shanghai, China) and were cultured in DMEM/High glucose supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and $1 \times \text{antibiotics at } 37 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ in } 5\% \text{ CO}_2 \text{ atmosphere. Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline}$ (DPBS) without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (Invitrogen) was used to wash cells.

(15) Intracellular GSH levels

Intracellular GSH levels were analyzed using the commercial GSH and GSSG assay kits. The level of GSH was not altered significantly in HeLa cells and A549 or after incubation with MnO_2 (40 µg/ml) and Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO_2 (40 µg/ml) for 48 h. However, GSH levels were substantially reduced in HeLa and A549 cells after 72 h incubation. This finding might be explained by the ubiquitous expression of GSH in cells and its various other functions, such as its roles as an antioxidant and in detoxification. Additionally, the expenditure of GSH induced by the Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ treatment consumes much more GSH than the amount saved by GPX4 knockdown.

(16) Tf mediated tumor cell targeting

To investigate the functions of Tf on the nanoparticle delivery system, combination of Tf-MnO₂ and FAM modified GPX4 antisense oligonucleotide. A549 Cells were cultured on confocal dishes at a density of 1×10^5 per dish in RPMI DMEM with 10% FBS, penicillin (100 units/ml), and streptomycin (100 mg/ml) in 5% CO₂ and 95% air at 37 °C in a humidified incubator overnight and divided into three groups. FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂, FAM-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ and MnO₂ were added to A549 cells individually and incubated for 2 h. In addition, A549 Cells were treated with FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ suspension (40 µg/ml) for 2 h pre-blocked by Tf for 30 min in Tf block group and the blank group was as Control. Cells were rinsed with PBS for three times, and further observed by CLSM (ZEISS LSM880, Germany). FAM was excited with an argon-ion laser at 488 nm (reflected by a beam splitter HFT 488 nm), and emission wavelength is at 525 nm.

The results show that the fluorescence was significantly weakened when TfR was blocked in the Tf block group that was also treated with FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems for 2 h (Fig. S4B). While, there is no obvious fluorescence signal for the sample treated with MnO₂-NSs (Fig. S4A). As a control, FAM-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems without the loading of DSPE-PEG-Tf were also determined for its delivery of DHA and FAM-ASO. The weaker fluorescence signals can be observed when cells were treated the same time as FAM-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems (Fig. S4C). These results suggest that the FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems could actively target and enter into cancer cells high-efficiently with TfR-mediated endocytosis.

(17) Fluorescence response difference of FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ in normal cells and cancer cells

FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ (20 μ g/mL) was added to HepG2 and LO2 cells, respectively. After incubating in the incubator for 6 h, the culture medium was removed and the cells were washed three times with PBS buffer for confocal

microscopic measurement. The excitation wavelength was selected to be 488 nm, and the appropriate parameters were set for observing. The results show that FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ can enter HepG2 cells more easily, while the FAM fluorescence in LO2 cells is very weak (Fig. S5). This indicates that the high expression of TfR on the cell membrane surface of HepG2 promote the uptake of FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ in cancer cells.

The cell samples used for CLSM have also been collected for the flow cytometric fluorescence quantitative analysis.

(18) Subcellular distribution of FAM-ASO and lysosome in tumor cells

Firstly, A549 cells were inoculated in confocal dishes. After the cells were incubated overnight, FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ (40 μ g/ml) was added and reacted for 4 h. The control group and probe group were incubated with Lyso-TrackerRed staining solution at 37 °C for 40 min. Lyso-TrackerRed staining solution was removed, fresh cell culture medium was added, and then observed by laser confocal microscope. The fluorescence of Lysotracker Red was detected with the CLSM under the magnification of 63 using oil immersion lens (excitation: 543 nm; signal: 570-590 nm band pass-filter). Meanwhile, the fluorescence FAM was also recorded (excitation: 488 nm; signal: 500-530 nm band pass-filter).

(19) ROS burst induced by MnO₂-NS nanosystem in A549 cells

2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H₂DCFDA) was used to evaluate ROSgenerated by the endocytosed nanoparticles MnO₂-NS nanosystem. A549 cells $(5 \times 10^3 \text{ per well})$ were cultured overnight, incubate in 40 µg/ml MnO₂-NS nanosystem for 5 h, and stained with H₂DCFDA (50 mM) in DMEM medium for 30 min and then washed for three times with PBS solution, observation under Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

 Mn^{2+} could catalyze DHA to generate \cdot OH. It has been testified by the above fluorescence assay of DCFH-DA. Here an enhancement for the fluorescence intensity can be observed in the DHA-MnO₂ nanosystem treated cell samples. The enhancements are even more obvious for the Tf-DHA-MnO₂ and Tf-DHA-ASO-

 MnO_2 nanosystems treated group. It indicates that DHA can enhance the ROS generation caused by the reaction between DHA and Mn^{2+} . Obviously, here Mn^{2+} comes from the degradation of MnO_2 -NSs resulting from the reduction effect of intracellular over-expressed GSH. Comparatively, Tf and ASO alone show little effect on the generation of intracellular ROS caused by MnO_2 -NSs (Fig. S6).

The cell samples used for CLSM have also been collected for the flow cytometric fluorescence quantitative analysis.

(20) PCR determination intracellular GPX4 mRNA level

A549 cells were firstly inoculated in 6-well plates and cultured overnight, then Tf-DHA-MnO₂ and Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ were added respectively and incubated for different times. The blank group cells were not treated with any nanosystems. Then, total RNA from the tested cells was extracted using Trizol total RNA isolation reagent (TIANGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA was reverse-transcribed using a QuantiNova Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Duesseldorf, Germany). The reactions were incubated in a thermal cycler for 2 min at 45 °C, 3 min at 25 °C, 10 min at 45 °C, and 5 min at 85 °C and then held at 4 °C. Real-time PCR was performed using the QuantStudio[™] 5 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The primers were as follows: GPX4 mRNA forward primer 5'-AGAGATCAAAGAGTTCGCCGC-3', GPX4 mRNA reverse primer 5'-TCTTCATCCACTTCCACAGCG-3'; GAPDH forward primer 5'-CTCAGACACCATGGGGGAAGGTGA-3', GAPDH reverse primer 5'-ATGATCTTGAGGCTGTTGTCATA-3'. The reaction proceeded as follows: 1 cycle of 50 °C for 2 min and 1 cycle of 95 °C for 2 min were followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 15 s, and 72 °C for 1 min.

As shown in Fig. S7A, we can see that the level of GPX4 mRNA quantitatively measured using RT-PCR was significant decreased in A549 cells with the prolong of Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystem treatment time. After treatment with Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystem for 72 h, the relative level of GPX4 mRNA was decreased into 50% of untreated sample. But Tf-DHA-MnO₂ nanosystem without loading ASO has no

such effect.

(21) Immunofluorescence labeling

A549 cells were inoculated in laser confocal dishes. 24 h later, MnO_2 (40 µg/ml) and TF-ASO-MnO₂ (40 µg/ml) were added for 48 h. The control group and the probe group were fixed, sealed, incubated with the first antibody, incubated with the second antibody, and finally washed and observed under the laser confocal microscope. The expression of the GPX4 protein (pink fluorescence) was detected in A549 cells using immunofluorescence staining. As shown in Fig. S7B, after treatment with 40 µg/ml Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems for 48 h, the GPX4 expression was significantly decreased in A549 cells compared to control, PBS-treated cells.

(22) LPOs detection

A549 cells were inoculated in a 6-well plate and incubated overnight. Then, MnO_2 (40 µg/ml), DHA-MnO_2 (40 µg/ml), Tf-DHA-MnO_2 (40 µg/ml) or Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO_2 (40 µg/ml) were added respectively and treat for 48 h and 72 h. The control group and probe group were digested and lysed at the same time. After the sample was prepared, the protein concentration was determined by BCA protein concentration detection kit. According to the results, lipid oxidation (MDA) detection kit was used to determine lipid oxides in each probe group and control group. The MDA content of the sample solution was calculated, and it was found that the MDA content of the Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ group was the highest, and the longer the probe incubated the cells, the more MDA content.

(23) Cytotoxicity of MnO₂-NSs

MnO₂-NSs after being sonicated adequately were firstly prepared to a concentration of 5 mg/ml. Then, they were diluted with cell culture medium to various concentrations, and then added to a 96-well plate at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 concentration. After 48 h of reaction with HeLa, A549, HepG2, or HBL-100 cells in the incubator, the cells were treated with MTT and left for 4 h more. Then remove the

MTT solution in the 96-well plate and add DMSO, and the absorbance value was measured on the microplate reader. The cell viability of each well was calculated according to the absorbance value.

(24) Cytotoxicity Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ and Erastin

Firstly, A549, HeLa, HepG2 or 4T1 cells were inoculated into 96-well plates. After cultured for 24 h, the cell samples were fed with different concentration of Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ / Erastin (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 40 μ g/ml) and the plates were incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for a period of 48 h. Then the cell viability of each sample was measured with the same method as the above mentioned.

(25) Mn uptake

HeLa and A549 cells were seeded in 6-well plates, and Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ was incubated in both cells for different times (0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 6 h), and then the cells were digested and collected. Count the number of cells by cytometry to ensure that the cell density is basically the same. Then, all cell samples were ultrasonically disrupted in a cell disrupter for 20 min, and centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 30 min. In order to accurately determine the concentration of Mn^{2+} in the supernatant, a standard solution of $MnCl_2$ was prepared, and the corresponding Mn^{2+} concentrations of the standard samples and the collected supernatants were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (TAS-990, China). The final results are shown in Table S1.

(26) Xenograft tumor mice model

Balb/c female mice (4 weeks old) were purchased from SPF (Beijing) Biotechnology Co., Ltd. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the " Guiding Principles in the care and Use of Animals (China) and were approved by the Laboratory Animal Ethics Committee of Liaocheng Universitv (L20210111) 4T1 cells (5×10^6) in 200 µL solution were subcutaneously injected into the left flank region of the mice. Animals were used in the experiments when the tumors reached approximately about 100 mm³.

(27) Antitumor effect in vivo

30 Balb/c female mice bearing 4T1 tumor were divided into six groups, minimizing the differences of weights and tumor sizes in each group. The mice were administered with PBS, MnO₂-NS (20 mg/kg), Tf-MnO₂ (20 mg/kg), DHA-MnO₂ (20 mg/kg), Tf-DHA-MnO₂ (20 mg/kg) and Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ (20 mg/kg, in terms of MnO₂), respectively. All drugs were intravenously injected repeatedly through tail veins with a 48 h interval for 12 days. The tumor sizes were measured with a caliper every one days and calculated as Volume = (tumor length)×(tumor width)²/2. The body weight of the mice were also recorded for evaluating the therapeutic effect of all drugs.

(28) Statistical analysis

Experiments were repeated three times. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25, and presented as mean values \pm standard deviations from 3 independent measurements. Statistical comparisons between different treatments were assessed by using the one-way ANOVA method assuming significance at **P* < 0.05 and highly significant at ***P* < 0.01.



Fig. S1 (A) TEM of newly-synthesized MnO₂-NSs. (B) TEM of MnO₂-NSs after fully crushed by sonification. (C) UV-Vis spectra of MnO₂-NSs. (D) Zeta potential of MnO₂-NSs and Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems. Size distribution of MnO₂-NSs (E) and Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems (F) determined by DLS. (G, H) XPS of MnO₂-NSs.



Fig. S2 (A) UV-Vis spectra of DSPE-PEG-COOH, DHA, and the synthesized DSPE-PEG-DHA. (B) Raman spectra of DSPE-PEG-COOH, DHA, and the synthesized DSPE-PEG-DHA. (C) IR spectra of different samples. (D) Fluorescence spectra of DSPE-PEG-COOH, Tf, and the synthesized DSPE-PEG-Tf. (E) Fluorescence quenching of DSPE-PEG-Tf after absorbed on MnO₂-NSs.



Fig. S3 UV-Vis spectra of different concentration of DSPE-PEG-DHA and the supernatant after centrifuging the reaction mixture of DSPE-PEG-DHA and MnO₂-NS. Insert: the standard curve of UV-Vis spectra of different concentration of DSPE-PEG-DHA.



Fig. S4 (A) Confocal images of A549 cells after incubation with MnO_2 -NSs (40 µg/ml), Tf and FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems (40 µg/ml) , and FAM-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems (40 µg/ml) for 2 h. Merge means the merged image of FAM fluorescence and bright field of cells. Scale bars represent 50 µm. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of CLSM images of A549 cells treated with different MnO₂-NS based nanosystems shown in (A).



Fig. S5 Confocal images (A or D), mean fluorescence intensity of confocal images (B or E), and flow cytometric analyses (C or F) of HepG2 or LO2 cells after incubation with PBS and FAM-Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystems (20 μ g/ml) for 6 h. Merge means the merged image of FAM fluorescence and bright field of cells. Scale bars represent 50 μ m. Error bars show the standard deviations of three images.



Fig. S6 (A) Confocal images of A549 cells showing the ROS response when cells were treated with different MnO_2 -NS based nanosystems (40 µg/ml) for 5 h. Scale bars represent 20 µm. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of CLSM images of A549 cells treated with different MnO_2 -NS based nanosystems shown in (A). Error bars show the standard deviations of three images. (C) Flow cytometric analyses of cell samples after incubation with different MnO_2 -NS based nanosystems (40 µg/ml) for 5 h.



Fig. S7 (A) Level of GPX4 mRNA in A549 cells quantitatively measured using RT-PCR when treated with Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ nanosystem for different times. (B) Expression of the GPX4 protein (pink fluorescence) detected in A549 cells using immunofluorescence staining after treatment with 40 μ g/ml Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ and MnO₂-NS nanosystem for 48 h. (C) Content of LPO in A549 cells after treated with different MnO₂-NS based nanosystems. Scale bars represent 20 μ m. Error bars show the standard deviations of three experiments.



Fig. S8 Cytotoxicity of different concentrations (0, 30, 50, 80, 100, and 150 μ g/ml) of MnO₂-NSs to HeLa, A549, HepG2, and HBL-100 cells. Error bars show the standard deviations of three experiments.

Concentration Time	A549	HeLa	HepG2	4T1
0 h	0	0	0	0
0.5 h	0.75 µg/ml	0.61 µg/ml	0.26 µg/ml	0.58 µg/ml
1 h	1.5 µg/ml	2.66 µg/ml	0.87 µg/ml	1.33 µg/ml
2 h	3.94 µg/ml	3.73 µg/ml	1.93 µg/ml	2.84 µg/ml
4 h	20.18 µg/ml	23.79 µg/ml	14.62 µg/ml	20.13 µg/ml
6 h	31.54 µg/ml	30.58 µg/ml	21.74 µg/ml	28.23 µg/ml

Table S1 Intracellular uptake of manganese ions in A549, HeLa, HepG2 and 4T1 cells at different times



Fig. S9 Cytotoxicity of different concentrations (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 40 μ g/ml) of Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ and erastin (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 40 μ g/ml) to A549 (A), HeLa cells (B), HepG2 (C), and 4T1 (D). Error bars show the standard deviations of three experiments.

Table S2 IC_{50} values of Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO₂ and erastin against A549, HeLa, HepG2 and 4T1 cells.

IC ₅₀ Cell Drug	A549	HeLa	HepG2	4T1
Tf-DHA-ASO-MnO ₂	12.277 μg/ml	13.675 µg/ml	32.633 µg/ml	25.475 μg/ml
Erastin	83.805 μg/ml	52.552 μg/ml	49.112 μg/ml	44.725 μg/ml

REFERENCES

1 L. J. Liua, Y. C. Wei, S. D. Zhai, Q. Chen and D. Xing, Biomaterials, 2015, 62, 35.