Supporting Information

Gambogic acid suppresses the pentose phosphate pathway by

covalently inhibiting 6PGD protein in cancer cells

Yinhua Zhu ‡^a, Ying Zhang ‡^a, Qianyu Zhang^b, Ang Ma^a, Ying Zhang^a, Chen Wang^a, Peng Gao^a, Qiuyan Guo^a, Fei Xia^a, Huan Tang^{*a}, Chengchao Xu^{*a} and Jigang Wang^{*a}

* Correspondence to:

htang@icmm.ac.cn;

ccxu@icmm.ac.cn;

jgwang@icmm.ac.cn (lead contact)

Reagents and Materials

Gambogic acid (GA) (purity≥98%) was purchased from Beijing Bethealth People Biomedical Technology (Beijing, China). N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC), Liproxstatin-1 (Lip-1), Z-VAD (OMe)-FMK (Z-VAD-FMK), and Physcion were obtained from MedChem Express (USA). Click chemistry reaction and LC-MS/MS reagents: Biotinazide, TBTA, TCEP, Rhodmine-N3 and CuSO₄ were bought from Sigma (USA). TMT 10plexTM reagent, high capacity neutravidin agarose resin, and sequencing grade modified Trypsin were purchased from Thermo Fisher (USA). Specific primary antibodies against GPX4 and 6PGD were purchased from Abcam (Shanghai, China). GAPDH was obtained from Affinity Biosciences (USA).

Cell culture

A549 cell, HepG2 cell and HeLa cell were purchased from the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Beijing, China). All cells were cultured in high glucose DMEM (Corning, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Corning USA), and 100 IU penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

Anticancer activity detection in vitro

Cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates at 8×10^3 cells per well for 24 h. After the desired treatment of GA (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 μ M), cells were washed twice with PBS buffer to remove dead cells, and then the CCK-8 (Dojindo, Japan) assays were added to test the cell viability.

To further test the rescue effect of NAC, Lip-1 and Z-VAD-FMK on GA-induced cell death, 1 mM NAC, 100 nM Lip-1 or 40 μ M Z-VAD-FMK was added to the system with GA and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, and then the cell proliferation was detected as above described.

Measurement of intracellular ROS

Flow cytometry was used to measure intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS). The A549 Cells (3×10^{5} /well) were seeded into a 6-well culture plate and then incubated

with or without serial dilutions of GA for 6 h. 10 μ M DCFH-DA probe (Beyotime Biotechnology) was added and incubated for 30 min. Finally, the fluorescence intensity was then measured with a flow cytometer (Beckman, USA).

Apoptosis assay

The experiment referred to the instructions of the apoptosis detection kit (Beyotime Biotechnology). A549 cells were treated with 8 μ M GA for 4 h, DMSO used as the control, then harvested and stained with Annexin V-FITC/PI at room temperature for 10-20 min. The apoptotic cells were detected by flow cytometry.

Western blotting assay

Proteins were extracted from A549 cell lysis and separated by SDS-PAGE gel, following by electro-transferring to a PVDF membranes. Subsequently, samples were treated with primary antibodies and secondary antibody. Bands were visualized by enzyme-linked chemiluminescence assay using the Azure C400 system (USA), and analyzed by image J software.

6PGD enzyme assay

The enzymatic activity was measured by 6PGD activity detection kit (Solarbio, China). GA and phy were added to 0.5 mg/mL 6PGD (0.25 μ L) in PBS, and the absorbance at 340 nm was measured to react enzyme activity. We used a microplate reader to scan every three minutes until 21 minutes.

NADPH/NADP⁺ ratio assay

NADPH/NADP⁺ were measured using the NADP⁺/NADPH Assay (Beyotime Biotechnology). Briefly, A549 Cells (1×10⁶ cells/well) were put into a 6-well culture plate for 24 h, and collected after the GA treatment for 24 h. Then PBS was used to wash cells and follow the kit instructions. The total amount of NADPH and NADP⁺ in the samples was determined by a microplate reader (EnVision2105, PerkinElmer,

USA), and then the content of NADPH was determined. According to the following formula, calculate the ratio of NADP⁺/NADPH in the sample. [NADPH /NADP⁺] = [NADPH] / ([NADP total]-[NADPH])

Measurement of R-5-P

Intracellular R-5-P content was measured with R-5-P detection kit (Xian Biotechnology, China). A549 cells were seeded into 6-well culture plates and incubated with serial dilutions of GA for 4-6 hours and quantified referred to the kit instructions.

Cellular Imaging

Fluorescence imaging experiments were carried out to demonstrate the co-localization interactions of GA and potential cell target 6PGD. A549 cells were cultured in a 4-chamber glass bottom dish (Cellvis) and treated with 0.5 mL of DMEM containing 10% FBS in the absence or presence of GA (0 and 10 µM). After treatment for 4 h, the medium was replaced by 20 µM IAA-yne for 1 h. After that, cells were gently washed with 0.5 mL PBS. Subsequently, cells were fixed with cell fixative for 20 min and permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 for 15 min. Cells were then subjected to click reactions as previously described for 2 h at room temperature, followed by incubation with anti-6PGD (1:1000) at 4 °C overnight and goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1000) (Alexa Fluor 488) for 2 h. Finally, Hoechst (1:500 dilution) was used to treat cells for 30 min prior to image acquiring by a laser scanning confocal fluorescence microscopy (Leica TCS SP8 SR).

DCFH-DA probe was used to monitor the accumulation of ROS in A549 cells. Cells $(5 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$ were treated with 10 μ M GA in the absence or presence of NAC (1 mM) for 4 h, and then incubated with 10 mM DCFH-DA and hoechst for 30 min. After treatment, cells were examined by the confocal fluorescence microscope. DMSO-treated cell was used as a control.

The competitive in-gel fluorescence labeling of GA in A549

A549 cells (1×10^6 cells/well) were cultured in the 6-cm plates and grown to 90% confluence before they were incubated with different concentrations of GA (0, 20, 40, and 60 µM) for 2 h. Then PBS was used to wash cells for three times to discard the GA solution. Cells were harvested with cell Scraper, and sonicated on ice until clear after addition of cell lysates (200 µL RIPA containing 1% protease inhibitor). The protein lysis can be obtained by centrifugation at 20, 000 g for 10 min, and diluted to 2 mg/ml with PBS using a BCA kit. After treatment with different concentrations of GA, 200 µg cell lysis were chosen to label the cysteine-specific probe (IAA-yne) for 1 h in shaker (800 rpm, 37 °C). Next, each sample was added 13 µL click buffer, including 9 µL TBTA (10 mM in DMSO), 3 µL TCEP (50 mM in ddH₂O), 3µL CuSO₄ (50 mM in ddH₂O), and 1 µL TAMRA-azide (10 mM in DMSO), and incubated in a shaker at 37 °C for 2 h. 1 mL acetone was added into the mixtures to precipitate the labeled proteins at -80 °C for 30 minutes. The supernatant was discarded by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 20, 000g and evaporated the acetone. The samples were dissolved with 100 μ L 1× loading buffer, denatured by metal bath heating at 90 °C for 5 min and finally separated in 12% SDS-PAGE gel. Azure Sapphire RGB NIR scanner (USA) was used to capture fluorescence gel images, and coomassie brilliant blue was used to visualize the total proteins.

The streamlined cysteine activity-based protein profiling

To search for activity-based interacting cellular protein targets of GA, we performed competitive mass spectrometry experiments. A new desthiobiotin iodoacetamide (DBIA, ChomiX Biotech Co, Nanjing, China) probe with an optimization enrichment than alkyne-iodacetamide was selected for the following steps. Here are three groups: 500 μ M DBIA, 50 μ M GA +500 μ M DBIA and 100 μ M GA+500 μ M DBIA. GA was uesd for the competition experiment. The cell culture, GA treatment, and DBIA labeling procedures were similar to those previously described for in-gel fluorescent labeling of GA in A549. 100 μ M DBIA was added into 100 μ L GA-treatment cell lysis and the mixture was incubated in the shaker for 1 h at 37 °C. After the probe labeling was

completed, 5 mM DTT was added to the reaction in the dark at room temperature for 30 min to quench the excess DBIA and reduce disulfide bonds, followed by 20 mM IAA alkylating reduced cysteine residues for 20 min in the dark at room temperature. Subsequently, to remove excess DBIA, the protein was precipitated using a chloroform/methanol/water system (1:4:3), and centrifuged at 20, 000 g at room temperature for 3 min. Additionally, 500 µL of methanol was used to wash the precipitate twice. For digestion, 200 µl 200 mM EPPS at pH 8.52 M and 2 µl of trypsin and LysC (1 μ g / μ L, Promega) were added to re-dissolve the proteins and digest for the whole night at 37 °C using a ThermoMixer at 800 rpm. For peptide labeling, digested peptides containing DBIA-conjugated cysteines were labeled by a TMT10plex[™] Mass Tag reagent (Thermo scientific) according to the instructions. 0.3% hydroxylamine was used to quench the excess TMT reagent. Then, all TMT-labeled samples were combined and dried by a Speedvac to remove all acetonitrile. For peptide enrichment, 100 µL streptavidin magnetic beads (Thermo scientific) were added into TMT-labeled pooled sample dissolved by 1 mL PBS, rotating for 4 h at room temperature to enrich peptides. To remove nonspecific bindings, beads were washed following the procedure: three times of 1 ml PBS, 1 ml 0.1% SDS and 1 ml dd water. Next, 200 µL 0.1% FA in 50% acetonitrile was added for 30 min in the shaker at room temperature to elute peptides on the beads and transferred to a new tube after centrifugal. Then, repeat the elution steps and combined all cysteine-containing peptides together using a Speedvac to dry it. Finally, after desalting with 0.1% formic acid, DBIA-labeled peptides were eluted with 0.1% FA in 50% acetonitrile from C18 column, and samples were analyzed by orbitrap fusion lumos (Thermo scientific).

In situ pull-down experiment

To identify the interacting cellular target protein of GA, we performed pull-down experiments, followed by immunoblotting. Cell culture, GA treatment and IAA-yne labeling procedures were performed as previously described in the in-gel fluorescence labeling of GA in A549. Samples were divided into three groups: DMSO, IAA-yne, and GA+IAA-yne. 100 μ L cell lysis of each group was mixed with the 13 μ L click

chemistry:9 μ L TBTA (10 mM in DMSO), 3 μ L TCEP (50 mM in ddH₂O), 3 μ L CuSO₄ (50 mM in ddH₂O), and 1 μ L TAMRA-N3 (5 mM in DMSO), and incubated in a shaker at 37 °C for 2 h at room temperature, prior to precipitation by pre-chilled acetone (-20°C). Subsequently, labeled proteins were discarded by centrifugation and air-dried, before dissolving in PBS containing 1.2 % SDS (1 mL), and heating at 95 °C for 15 min. 1.2% SDS containing soluble proteins were diluted to 0.1% SDS with 4 mL 1 × PBS and 70 μ L streptavidin beads were added to pull down the interacting cellular target proteins. After rotating incubation for 4 h at RT, these beads were collected through centrifuging at 1400 g for 3 min, and washed with 5 mL 1% SDS three times, 5 mL 0.1% SDS three times, and 5 mL 6 M Urea three times successively. After eluted and denatured from beads with 1 × loading buffer for 10 min at 90 °C, the enriched proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE gel for immunoblotting.

The whole-proteome experiment

Firstly, A549 cells treated with DMSO or 5 μ M GA were harvested and the cell lysis was collected as previously described. The concentration of the protein was determined by a BCA kit and diluted to 1 mg/mL. Secondly, proteins were reduced using 10 mM DTT for 15 min at room temperature. Subsequently, reduced cysteine residues were alkylated using 10 mM IAA for 30 min, and precipitated with chloroform/methanol as previously described. Next, the protein was re-dissolved in EPPS buffer, and digested with trypsin (1:100 enzyme/protein ratio) at 37 °C overnight. Samples were desalting with a commercial C18 column (Waters) for further use in the end.

Binding site identification of GA on 6PGD

To find the direct binding site of GA on 6PGD, we performed the following experiment. First, 20 μ g of 6PGD was mixed with 2 mM GA and incubated for 2 h in 200 μ L PBS system. Then, 5 mM DTT was added for 30 min, and then 20 mM IAA was added to the mixed solution for alkylation. To remove excess drug and reagents, a methanol/chloroform system was chosen to precipitate proteins. As in the previous step,

trypsin was then used to cleave the protease into peptides. After desalting the C18 column, 0.1% FA was used to dissolve peptides and prepared for the MS detection.

GO and KEGG enrichment analysis

Differential protein analysis was performed based on changes in abundance in the DMSO (control) and GA (treated) groups in the whole proteome experiment. Differential proteins were selected based on absolute fold change ≥ 1.5 and P-value (FDR) < 0.05. According to previous literature ¹, heatmap and volcano map were produced, and biological process and kegg were selected to visualized functional profiles and pathway enrichment.

Metabolomics

A549 cells grown to 70~80% confluent were treated with DMSO or 5 µM GA for 12 h. About 1×10^7 cells were washed twice in PBS and harvested for each sample. Each treatment contains 5 biological replicates. The samples were resuspended in pre-chilled 80% methanol, then sonicated for 6 min and centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 1 min at 4°C. The supernatant was freeze-dried and dissolved in 10% methanol, and then the solution were used for LC-MS/MS system analysis. Then the samples were melted on ice and whirled for 30 s. After the sonification for 6 min, they were centrifuged at 5,000 rpm, 4 °C for 1 min. The supernatant was freeze-dried and dissolved with 10% methanol. Finally, the solution was injected into the LC-MS/MS system for analysis using an ExionLCTM AD system (SCIEX) coupled with a QTRAP[®] 6500+ mass spectrometer (SCIEX) as previous studies^{2, 3}. To identify and quantify the metabolites, MRM (Multiple Reaction Monitoring) was used to detect the samples based on novogene inhouse database. For metabolite quantification, the Q3 were used. For metabolite identification, the Q1, Q3, RT (retention time), DP (declustering potential) and CE (collision energy) were used. The SCIEX OS Version 1.4 was used to process the data files generated by HPLC-MS/MS to integrate and correct the peak. The main parameters were set as follows: minimum peak height, 500; signal/noise ratio, 5; gaussian smooth width, 1. Relative content of the corresponding substance was represented by the area of each peak.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by GraphPad Prism 8.0 software (GraphPad Prism, USA). Student's *t*-test, one-way ANOVA test and two-way ANOVA test were used for statistical analyses of the data. P values <0.05, <0.01, <0.001 or <0.0001 were considered statistically significant.

References

- 1. M. E. Ritchie, B. Phipson, D. Wu, Y. Hu, C. W. Law, W. Shi and G. K. Smyth, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2015, **43**, e47.
- C. A. Sellick, R. Hansen, G. M. Stephens, R. Goodacre and A. J. Dickson, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2011, 6, 1241-1249.
- M. Yuan, S. B. Breitkopf, X. Yang and J. M. Asara, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2012, 7, 872-881.



Figure S1. Detection of the killing effect of Gambogic acid on A549, HepG2 and HeLa cancer cell lines by CCK-8 assay.



Figure S2. Detection of Gambogic acid-induced apoptosis in A549 by flow cytometry. Left, representative flow cytometric plots measuring apoptosis in Gambogic acid or DMSO treated A549 cells by annexin V and propidium iodide (PI) staining. Right, quantitation of the percentage of apoptotic cells. Data are mean \pm s.e.m., *t*-test used. ****P<0.0001.



Figure S3. Gambogic acid treatment reduced the protein expression of GPX4 in A549 cells by western blotting.



Figure S4. Gambogic acid induced the ROS level in A549 cells by flow cytometry (A) and immunofluorescence (B). Data are mean \pm s.e.m., one-way ANOVA test used. ****P<0.0001. Bar = 50 μ m.

	unuryous for fig fb.	
Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Significance	Summary
0.25 μΜ		
GA vs. GA+Z-VAD-FMK	No	ns
GA vs. GA+Liproxstatin-1	No	ns
GA vs. GA+NAC	No	ns
0.5 μΜ		
GA vs. GA+Z-VAD-FMK	Yes	* * * *
GA vs. GA+Liproxstatin-1	Yes	* * * *
GA vs. GA+NAC	Yes	***
l uM		
GA vs. GA+Z-VAD-FMK	Yes	* * * *
GA vs. GA+Liproxstatin-1	Yes	* * * *
GA vs. GA+NAC	Yes	****
2 μΜ		
GA vs. GA+Z-VAD-FMK	Yes	* * * *
GA vs. GA+Liproxstatin-1	Yes	* * * *
GA vs. GA+NAC	Yes	****
3 μΜ		
GA vs. GA+Z-VAD-FMK	Yes	* * * *
GA vs. GA+Liproxstatin-1	Yes	*
GA vs. GA+NAC	Yes	****
4 μM	37	* * * *
GA vs. GA+Z-VAD-FMK	Yes	<u>ጥ ጥ ጥ</u>
GA vs. GA+Liproxstatin-1	No	ns
GA VS. GA+NAC	INO	ns
5 μΜ		
GA vs. GA+Z-VAD-FMK	Yes	****
GA vs. GA+Liproxstatin-1	No	ns
GA vs. GA+NAC	No	ns
6 μΜ		
GA vs. GA+Z-VAD-FMK	Yes	***
GA vs. GA+Liproxstatin-1	No	ns
GA vs. GA+NAC	No	ns

Table S1. Significance analysis for Fig 1B.



Figure S5. Flow chart of identifying the protein targets of gambogic acid in A549 cells by ABPP.

NO.	Protein Accessions	Master Protein Descriptions	Annotated Sequence	Cystines position	Ratio
1	P52209	6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase	SAVENCQDSWR	402	43.063
2	Q99733	Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 4	CAHIEAK	77	34.82
3	P30043	Flavin reductase	CLTTDEYDGHSTYPSHQYQ	188	26.011
4	Q5TFE4	5'-nucleotidase domain-containing protein 1	YLHSCPESVK	197	24.944
5	P52209	6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase	VGTGEPCCDWVGDEGAGHFVK	170, 171	21.813
6	P00338	L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain	YSPNCK	131	21.378
7	P07737	Profilin-1	CYEMASHLR	128	20.167
8	P49354	type-1 subunit alpha	ALELCEILAK	341	16.096
9	P39023	60S ribosomal protein L3	YCQVIR	157	15.979
10	P26641	Elongation factor 1-gamma	WFLTCINQPQFR	194	15.328
11	P83731	60S ribosomal protein L24	VELCSFSGYK	6	14.367
12	P50395	Rab GDP dissociation inhibitor beta	TYDATTHFETTCDDIK	414	13.38
13	P63104	14-3-3 protein zeta/delta	DICNDVLSLLEK	94	12.79
14		Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3			
14	Q99613	subunit C-like protein	CLEEFELLGK	79	12.561
15	P14618	Pyruvate kinase PKM	CCSGAIIVLTK	423, 424	12.392
16	P07864	L-lactate dehydrogenase	VIGSGCNLDSAR	164	12.355
17	Q9Y3U8	60S ribosomal protein L36	EVCGFAPYER	48	12.17
18	Q9Y536	Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A	GSCFHR	52	12.092
19	P15121	Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B1	LIQYCQSK	200	12.026
20	O60218	Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B10	LIQYCHSK	200	11.81
21	P27695	DNA-(apurinic or apyrimidinic site) lyase	ICSWNVDGLR	65	11.538
22	Q92598	Heat shock protein 105 kDa	LVEHFCAEFK	245	11.268
23	P04083	Annexin A1	CLTAIVK	263	11.213
24	P23396	40S ribosomal protein S3	GCEVVVSGK	134	10.927
25	P37837	Transaldolase	ALAGCDFLTISPK	250	10.912
26	P52895	Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member C1	KLLDFCK	206	10.78
27	Q01518	Adenylyl cyclase-associated protein 1	TDGCHAYLSK	416	10.727
28	P14618	Pyruvate kinase PKM OS=Homo sapiens	AGKPVICATQMLESMIK	326	10.351
29	P62888	60S ribosomal protein L30	VCTLAIIDPGDSDIIR	92	10.216
30	O95757	Heat shock 70 kDa protein 4	GCALQCAILSPAFK	376	9.944

Table S2. The list of targets of GA identified by LC MS/MS (top 30)



Figure S6. GA inhibits the enzymatic activity of 6PGD protein *in vitro*.



Figure S7. Volcano plot of up-regulated (red dots) or down-regulated peptides with oxidized methionine (green dots) in GA treatment vs control groups.