SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 'In Situ Excitation of BODIPY fluorophores by ⁸⁹Zrgenerated Cerenkov luminescence'

Katie Gristwood, Saimir Luli, Kenneth S. Rankin, & James C. Knight

Supplementary Fig. 1 - Spectro-fluorophotometer BODIPY emission spectra



Fig. S1 Relative BOD-H, BOD-Me and BOD-Ph absorption and emission spectra in DMSO. Absorbance (blue) data collected on Nanodrop One C for each BODIPY at the following concentrations: 5 µM (BOD-H), 10 µM (BOD-Me), 2.5 µM (BOD-Ph). Emission data (red dots) collected on RF-6000 spectro-fluorophotometer (Shimadzu) at the same concentrations. A) BOD-H *({3-Ethyl-5-[(4-ethyl-3,5-dimethyl-2H-pyrrol-2-ylidene)methyl]-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrolato-N1,N5}difluoroboron)*; excitation wavelength = 528 nm, emission maximum = 540 nm. B) BOD-Me *(Difluoro{3-ethyl-5-[1-(4-ethyl-3,5-dimethyl-2H-pyrrol-2-ylidene-N)ethyl]-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrolato-N}*; excitation wavelength = 518 nm, emission maximum = 538 nm. C) BOD-Ph *(2,8-Diethyl-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-9-phenylbipyrromethene difluoroborate)*; excitation wavelength = 526 nm, emission maximum = 540 nm. Analysed on GraphPad Prism (version 9.2.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software).



Supplementary Fig. 2 – ⁸⁹Zr half-life analysis

Fig. S2. ⁸⁹Zr decay analysis. One-phase decay analysis performed on 0.25 MBq ⁸⁹Zr in DMSO (2.5 kBq/µl). Cerenkov luminescence total photon flux (photons/second; p/s) measured on IVIS Spectrum over 168 hours (blue points) and one-phase decay model *(blue dashed line)* fit using GraphPad Prism (version 9.2.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software). R² = 0.98, experimental half-life = 78.3 h ± 6.9 h (SD) *(black dashed line)* compared to known ⁸⁹Zr half-life of 78.41h.

Supplementary Table 1 – Full range of photon flux decay data for BOD-H

Patio 897r (kPa)·[PODIDV] (uM)	Time (b)	Average Photon Elux $(n/c) + SD(n/c)$
0.25:1		Average Photon (ux (p/s) + 5D (p/s)
	2/	2 4 00 ± 0 3 ± 3 07 ± 0 3
	72	3.00E+U3 = 3.27E+U3 2.44+0E + 2.12E+0E
	120	2.40+05 = 2.13E+05
	120	1.0/E+U3 ± 1.33E+U3
	168	1.03E+03 ± 8.73E+04
	U	2.U/E+U5 ± 1.38E+U4
	24	1.61E+05 ±1.84E+04
0.38:1	72	$1.02E+05 \pm 9.83E+03$
	120	7.84E+04 ± 1.25E+04
	168	5.04E+04 ± 5.06E+03
	0	1.81E+05 ± 6.26E+04
	24	1.41E+05 ± 3.45E+04
0.58:1	72	9.42E+04 ± 2.47E+04
	120	5.51E+04 ± 1.63E+04
	168	3.84E+04 ± 8.62E+03
	0	1.46E+0.05 ± 5.22E+04
	24	1.13E+0.05 ± 5.32E+04
0.88:1	72	8.23E+04 ± 2.56E+04
	120	5.51E+04 ± 1.63E+04
	168	3.25E+04 ± 9.82E+03
	0	1.18E+05 ± 3.99E+04
	24	9.10E+04 ± 3.26E+04
1.34 : 1	72	6.76E+04 ± 2.08E+04
	120	3.99E+04 ± 8.48E+03
	168	2.62E+04 ± 6.00E+03
	0	1.04E+05 ± 4.69E+04
	24	7.90E+04 ± 4.74E+04
2.03:1	72	4.50E+04 ±2.62E+04
	120	3.48E+04 ± 9.20E+03
	168	1 90F+04 ± 4 91F+03
	0	8 88E+04 ± 3 65E+04
	24	5.82E+04 + 3.28E+04
3.00.1	72	6 51E+04 + 1 98E+04
5.67.1	120	2.245+04 +1.755+04
	120	1 995+0(+7 225+02
	188	5725+0/ + 2/25+0/
	0	5.72E+04 = 2.02E+04 6.74E+06 + 2.90E+06
/ 70.1	24	4./00+04 + 2.000+04
4.72.1	120	2.80E+04 ± 1.30E+04
	120	2.202+04 = 7.372+03
	100	9.23E+U3 ± 3.03E+U3
	0	3.34E+04 = 2.99E+04
71/.1	24	2.30E+04 ± 2.00E+04
7.14.1	120	1.40E+04 ± 9.52E+03
	120	1.48E+04 ± 9.48E+03
	168	8.5/E+U3 ± /.43E+U3
	U	4.59E+U4 ± 3.41E+U4
	24	2.30E+04 ± 1.40E+04
10.87 : 1	72	1.58E+04 ±1.13E+04
	120	1.35E+04 ± 6.31E+03
	168	7.01E+03 ± 1.59E+03
	0	3.31E+04 ± 2.80E+04
	24	1.70E+04 ± 1.58E+04
16.67 : 1	72	1.73E+04 ± 8.02E+03
	120	2.43E+03 ± 6.35E+03
	168	3.32E+03 ± 6.63E+03
	0	3.34E+04 ± 1.95E+04
	24	9.82E+03 ± 1.48E+04
25.00:1	72	5.91E+03 ± 1.36E+04
	120	1.12E+03 ± 5.02E+03
	168	3.55E+03 ± 1.00E+04

Supplementary Table 2 – Full range of photon flux decay data for BOD-Me

Patio 897r (kBa):[BODIPV] (uM)	Time (b)	Average Photon Flux $(n/c) + SD (n/c)$	
	nine (ii)		
	0	3.23ETU3 = 1.00E+U4 2.57E+05 + 2.17E+07	
	24	2.34E+U3 ± 2.17E+U4	
0.25:1	12	1.74E+U5 ± 1.76E+U4	
	120	9.75E+U4 ± 2.46E+U4	
	168	3.35E+04 ± 1.54E+04	
	0	2.92E+05 ± 4.07E+04	
	24	2.29E+05 ± 1.42E+04	
0.38:1	72	1.60E+05 ± 1.11E+04	
	120	1.01E+05 ± 1.10E+04	
	168	6.60E+04 ± 6.53E+03	
	0	2.38E+05 ± 3.03E+04	
	24	1.76E+05 ± 1.15E+04	
0.58:1	72	1.24E+05 ± 6.68E+03	
	120	7.76E+0.04 ± 1.28E+04	
	168	4.56E+04 ± 3.15E+03	
	0	2.03E+05 ± 3.88E+04	
	24	1.55E+05 ± 2.73E+04	
0.88:1	72	9.57E+04 ± 1.88E+04	
0.0011	120	6 19E+04 ± 1 52E+04	
	168	4 07E+04 + 8 56E+03	
	0	1.57E+05 + 9 10E+03	
	2/	1.03E+05 + 2.08E+0/	
124.1	72	7.095+03 = 2.005+04	
1.54.1	120	/.00E+04 ± 1.07E+04	
	1/0	4.10E+04 ± 1.04E+04	
	100	$2.012 \pm 0.04 \pm 7.022 \pm 0.05$	
	0	1.202+03 = 3.732+04	
2.02.1	24	8.8/E+U4 ± 1.9/E+U4	
2.03:1	12	5.80E+04 ± 1.44E+03	
	120	3./9E+U4 ± 4.3UE+U3	
	168	2.31E+U4 ± 6.U3E+U3	
	U	9.83E+U4 ± 4.44E+U.4	
	24	6.82E+U4 ± 1.77E+U.4	
3.09:1	72	4.18E+04 ± 1.73E+04	
	120	2.33E+04 ± 1.08E+04	
	168	1.26E+04 ± 5.03E+03	
	0	7.66E+04 ± 2.40E+04	
	24	4.15E+04 ± 1.68E+04	
4.72:1	72	4.44E+04 ± 6.83E+03	
	120	2.44E+04 ± 1.46E+03	
	168	1.35E+04 ± 3.70E+03	
	0	6.92E+04 ± 2.85E+04	
	24	3.91E+04 ± 1.42E+04	
7.14 : 1	72	3.02E+04 ± 2.04E+03	
	120	1.85E+04 ± 7.33E+03	
	168	1.18E+04 ± 4.26E+03	
	0	5.60E+04 ± 1.62E+04	
	24	2.38E+04 ± 1.92E+04	
10.87:1	72	1.78E+04 ± 1.37E+04	
	120	7.28E+03 ± 5.27E+03	
	168	5.86E+03 ±6.37E+03	
	0	3.44E+04 ± 2.99E+04	
	24	1.20E+04 ± 1.88E+04	
16.67:1	72	1.22E+04 ± 1.48E+04	
	120	7.54F+02 ±1.39F+04	
	168	-1,53E+03 ± 6,86E+03	
	0	1 87F+0.4 ± 1 35F+0.4	
	2/	_2 01E+03 + 1 50E+04	
25.00.1	24	2.012+03 = 1.302+04 2 KNE+N2 + 1 KRE+N/	
23.00.1	120	_1 20E+03 + 1.00E+04	
	120	-1.202+04 + 2.002+04	
	100	-J./ZE+UJ = 0.0ZE+UJ	

Supplementary Table 3 – Full range of photon flux decay data for BOD-Ph

Ratio 897r (kRa)·[RODIDV] (M)	Time (b)	Average Photon Flux $(n/c) + SD (n/c)$
0.25:1	0	2 73E+05 + 4 45E+04
	2/	2.752+05 + 2.245+04
	72	
	120	$1.27E \pm 0.03 \pm 1.23E \pm 0.4$
	120	8.0/E+04 ± 8.16E+04
	168	$5.03E+04 \pm 6.58E+03$
	U	2.47E+05 ± 6.68E+04
	24	$1.94E+05 \pm 5.04E+04$
0.38:1	72	1.19E+U5 ± 3.52E+U4
	120	7.59E+04 ± 2.63E+04
	168	4.67E+04 ± 1.29E+04
	0	2.07E+05 ± 4.51E+04
	24	1.59E+05 ± 4.78E+04
0.58:1	72	9.85E+04 ± 2.14E+04
	120	6.71E+04 ± 1.48E+04
	168	4.10E+04 ± 1.08E+04
	0	1.61E+05 ± 4.75E+04
	24	1.30E+05 ± 4.41E+04
0.88:1	72	8.43E+04 ± 2.00E+04
	120	5.58E+04 ± 8.82E+03
	168	3.57E+04 ± 1.45E+04
	0	1.43E+05 ± 4.44E+04
	24	1.10E+05 ± 3.72E+04
1.34 : 1	72	6.87E+04 ± 1.98E+04
	120	4.92E+04 ± 1.09E+04
	168	2.80E+04 ± 8.53E+03
	0	8.16E+04 ±8.49E+03
	24	6.40E+04 ± 2.03E+04
2.03:1	72	4.61E+04 ± 9.94E+03
	120	2.82E+04 ± 1.27E+04
	168	1.73E+04 ± 4.83E+03
	0	7.53E+04 ± 3.36E+04
	24	6.21E+04 ± 2.03E+04
3.09:1	72	4.31E+04 ± 9.96E+03
	120	2.60E+04 ± 7.61E+03
	168	1.49E+04 ± 1.09E+04
	0	4.07E+04 ± 3.53E+04
	24	4 18F+04 ± 4 17F+04
4 72 · 1	72	3 03E+04 ± 1 64E+04
	120	1 61F+D4 ± 9 86F+D3
	168	1 08E+04 ± 1 07E+04
	0	4 76F+04 ± 2 70F+04
	24	3 92E+04 ± 3 16E+04
714.1	72	3 20E+04 ± 1 49E+04
,	120	175E+04 ± 9 07E+03
	168	9 89E+03 ± 7 52E+03
	0	1 62E+04 ± 6 15E+03
	2/	2 65E+04 + 2 56E+06
10.87.1	72	2.56E+04 + 7.89E+03
10.07.1	120	1 13F+0/ + 1 19F+0/
	120	7 625+03 + 5 155+03
	0	2 73 = +0/ + 2 22 = +0/
	2%	2.75C+04 + 2.22C+04 2.48E+0/. + 2.18E+0/.
16 47 • 1	72	2.30E+04 + 2.10E+04 2.16E+0/. + 1.02E+0/.
10.07.1	12	2.10ETU4 = 1.02ETU4 1 1EE±0.4 ± 4.52E+0.2
	120	1.13E+U4 ± 4.32E+U3 4.0EE+02 + 4.17E+02
	801	0.73E+U3 ± 4.16E+U3
	0	
05.00.4	24	2.U8E+U4 ± 1.77E+U4
25.00:1	72	1.24E+U4 ± 1.92E+U4
	120	6.42E+U3 ± 9.99E+U3
	168	2.03E+03 ± 7.45E+03

Supplementary Fig. 3 - Light-excitation of BODIPY serial dilutions



Fig. S3. Fluorescence intensity against BODIPY concentration following light excitation; A BOD-H, B BOD-Me, C BOD-Ph. Serial dilutions (1 uM to 10 nM; DF = 1.9307) of each BODIPY dye (in DMSO) were performed in triplicate. Concentration range is lower than the range used to investigate SCIFI intensity against BODIPY concentration (Fig. 4) to avoid saturation of the light detector in the imaging system, as conventional light excitation produces significantly greater fluorescence intensity compared to Cerenkov luminescence, reflected in the y-axis of the figures. Total photon flux (p/s) [dots] measurements were taken on an *in vitro* imaging system using a 540 nm emission filter following excitation with a 500 nm light source with an exposure time of 1 second. Linear regression analysis of the data was performed [dashed line & R² value] and revealed no quenching of the dyes at higher concentrations. IVIS images of the wells are shown in inset panels and are normalised to the maximum photon flux (p/s) value. Error bars = SD.



Fig. S4. Monitoring SCIFI intensity over 168 h with varying ⁸⁹Zr activities at constant dye concentrations for A BOD-H, B BOD-Me, C BOD-Ph. 0.5, 1.0 or 1.5 MBq of ⁸⁹Zr was added to 150 µM of each BODIPY in triplicate, 3.33, 6.67 & 10 kBq/µM, respectively. Net photon flux (p/s) values (red lines) were measured at 0, 24, 72, 120 and 168 h using open filter. See supplementary table 4 for full tabulated data. Theoretical net photon flux (p/s) values (blue lines) were calculated based on the net photon flux (p/s) values for each BODIPY at 0 h and the decay half-life of ⁸⁹Zr. Error bars = SD. Inset images show representative open filter IVIS images of sample wells for each ⁸⁹Zr activity at 0, 24, 72, 120 and 168 h.

Supplementary Fig. 4 – Decay analysis of BODIPY solutions with increasing ⁸⁹Zr activity

BODIPY	⁸⁹ Zr Activity	Time (h)	Average Photon Flux (p/s) ± SD (p/s)
BOBILI	2. Adding	0	1.91E+05 ± 3.97E+03
		24	1.44E+05 ± 6.31E+03
	0.5 MBa	72	8.28E+04 ± 2.18E+03
		120	4.82E+04 ± 3.25E+04
		168	3.70E+04 ± 2.29E+03
		0	3.93E+05 ± 2.10E+04
BOD-H	1.0 MBq	24	3.52E+05 ± 1.17E+04
		72	1.81E+05 ± 5.60E+03
		120	1.04E+05 ± 5.85E+04
		168	7.34E+04 ± 1.40E+04
		0	5.91E+05 ± 6.87E+04
		24	4.66E+05 ± 4.21E+04
	1.5 MBg	72	2.98E+05 ± 3.87E+04
	·	120	2.49E+05 ± 3.61E+03
		168	1.13E+05 ± 2.42E+03
		0	2.48E+05 ± 6.82E+03
		24	1.92E+05 ± 7.55E+03
	0.5 MBg	72	1.15E+04 ± 8.67E+03
	·	120	7.13E+04 ± 4.08E+04
		168	5.37E+04 ± 5.51E+02
		0	4.76E+05 ± 8.49E+04
		24	4.39E+05 ± 5.03E+04
BOD-Me	1.0 MBq	72	2.47E+05 ± 4.11E+04
		120	1.50E+05 ± 9.18E+04
		168	1.02E+05 ± 1.16E+04
		0	7.22E+05 ± 5.91E+04
		24	5.70E+05 ± 2.36E+04
	1.5 MBg	72	3.66E+05 ± 5.80E+04
		120	2.89E+05 ± 2.40E+04
		168	1.47E+05 ± 1.45E+04
		0	2.38E+05 ± 9.28E+03
	0.5 MBq	24	2.01E+05 ± 1.96E+04
		72	1.15E+05 ± 1.40E+04
		120	6.90E+04 ± 4.04E+04
		168	5.22E+04 ± 1.13E+03
		0	4.43E+05 ± 1.78E+04
	1.0 MBq	24	4.00E+05 ± 1.53E+04
B0D-Ph		72	2.04E+05 ± 1.84E+04
		120	1.21E+05 ± 7.82E+04
		168	8.31E+04 ± 2.99E+03
	1.5 MBq	0	7.62E+05 ± 4.45E+04
		24	6.09E+05 ± 5.13E+04
		72	3.79E+05 ± 5.62E+04
		120	3.13E+05 ± 9.97E+03
		168	1.51E+05 ± 2.19E+04

Supplementary Table 4 – Full range of photon flux decay data for increasing ⁸⁹Zr activity



Supplementary Fig. 5 – Photostability analysis of BODIPY dyes through light-excitation

Fig. S5. Photostability of BODIPY dyes following light excitation; A BOD-H, B BOD-Me, C BOD-Ph. Triplicate wells of 1 µM of each BODIPY were repeatedly exposed to 500 nm light for intervals of 5 minutes and total photon flux (p/s) was measured using a 540 nm emission filter on an *in vivo* imaging system. The concentration of 1 µM was chosen to avoid saturation of the light detector in the imaging system, as conventional light excitation yields significantly greater fluorescence intensity compared to Cereknov luminescence, reflected in the y-axis of the figures. Error bars = SD.