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## 1. Chemicals and Materials

All manipulations were performed under an atmosphere of dry and deoxygenated $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ under standard glovebox or Schlenk techniques unless otherwise stated. All glassware was dried in an oven at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by dynamic vacuum over several hours prior to use. After suitable drying procedures, all solvents were stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves for a minimum of 24 hours prior to use. $4 \AA$ molecular sieves were activated by heating in a sand bath $\left(>200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ under dynamic vacuum over 48 hours. Toluene, n-hexane, and n-pentane were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were dried using a Grubbs-type Innovative Technologies solvent purification system. Dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and was dried using a Grubbs-type Innovative Technologies solvent purification system followed by distillation from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Acetonitrile $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and acetonitrile-d $3\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were purified by distillation from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. 2,2'-Bipyridine, 4,4'-ditertbutyl-2,2'-bipyridine, N,N'dimethylaminopyridine, and 2,2';6', $2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were purified by sublimation under vacuum (ca. $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) prior to use. $4^{\prime}$-Chloro-2, $2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine (4-Chloro-Terpyridine) was purchased from HetCat and was used without any further purification. $4^{\prime}$-Phenyl-2, $2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine (4-Phenyl-Terpyridine) was synthesized according to literature procedures. ${ }^{1}$ Trimethylsilyl triflate was purchased from Apollo Scientific and thionyl chloride was purchased from Fischer Scientific and both were distilled prior to use. Other reagents were used as purchased unless otherwise mentioned. Hamilton micro-syringes were used to transfer small amounts of liquids $(<50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Plastic syringes and disposable needles were evacuated in the antechamber of the glovebox overnight prior to use.

## 2. Physical Methods

All NMR spectra were collected at 298K on Bruker Avance III 400, Agilent DD2 400, or Agilent DD2 500 spectrometers in 3- or $5-\mathrm{mm}$ diameter NMR tubes or in a J-Young tube. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm and absolute values of coupling constants are listed in Hz . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are referenced related to residual deuterated-solvent or protio-solvent signals. Departmental facilities were used for mass spectrometry and elemental analysis.

X-Ray data were collected using a graphite monochromator with $\operatorname{MoK}(\alpha)$ radiation $(\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) and the Bruker APEX3 software package. ${ }^{2}$ Single crystals were coated in paratone-N oil and mounted on a MiTeGen cryoloop, and were placed in a cold stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ prior to collection. Data reduction was performed using the SAINT software package and an absorption correction was applied using SADABS. ${ }^{3-4}$ The structures were solved by direct methods using XS and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F2 using XL as implemented in the SHELXTL suite of programs. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions using an appropriate riding model and coupled isotropic temperature factors. The crystal structure of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ had 4 reflections affected by the beam stop omitted during the refinement. The crystal structure of $\mathbf{3}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ had one of its triflate anions refined in two alternative orientations due to disorder of the anion fragment, and 2 reflections affected by the beam stop were omitted during the refinement. The crystal structure of $5[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ had one of its solvated acetonitrile molecules refined in two alternative orientations due to disorder of the solvent molecule, and 3 reflections affected by the beam stop were omitted during the refinement. The crystal structures of $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}, \mathbf{8}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{\mathbf{2}}$, and $\mathbf{9}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{\mathbf{2}}$ had 1,2 , and 3 reflections affected by the beam stop omitted during the refinement, respectively. The crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{ClTerpy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ had 1 reflection affected by the beam stop omitted during the refinement.

## 3. Synthesis and Characterization


[2,2'-bipyridineSO][Trifluoromethanesulfonate $]_{2}$ (1[OTf $]_{2}$ ): To a stirring solution of thionyl chloride ( $0.145 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4 mL dichloromethane was added 2,2'-bipyridine ( $291 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL dichloromethane over a period of 5 minutes at room temperature. After 1 hour precipitate formation was observed, and the solution was stirred for an additional hour. After cooling at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over night, the solution was filtered through a frit and was washed with cold dichloromethane (2 x 5 mL ) and n-pentate ( $1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Upon drying under vacuum the product was isolated as a white powder ( $583 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ yield). Single colourless crystals suitable for X-Ray diffraction studies were grown from a layered solution of acetonitrile and diethyl ether at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.3 \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.80\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $9.10\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 9.09\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.54\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 153.9(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-C(\mathrm{H})), 144.7(\mathrm{~N}-C(\mathrm{H}))$, $143.5(\mathrm{~N}-C(\mathrm{C})), 133.3(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-C(\mathrm{H})), 127.1(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-C(\mathrm{H})), 119.0\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OTf}-C \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-79.2(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{OTf})$.

FTIR data ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1279 (S-O stretch).
Elemental Analysis (calc'd./expt.): C (28.69/29.75), H (1.61/1.46), N (5.58/6.08).


Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.32 ppm .


Figure S3. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S4. FTIR-ATR spectrum for $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$.

[(4,4'-di-tert-butyl)-2,2'-bipyridineSO][Trifluoromethanesulfonate $]_{2}$ (2[OTf $]_{2}$ ): To a stirring solution of thionyl chloride $(0.145 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL dichloromethane was added 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine ( 500 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL dichloromethane over a period of 5 minutes at room temperature. After 1 hour, precipitate formation was observed, and the solution was stirred for an additional hour. After cooling at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over night, the dichloromethane was decanted off and the resulting white powder was washed with cold dichloromethane ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Upon drying under vacuum 2 was isolated as a white powder ( $866 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ yield $)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.62\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 9.06\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.48\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 180.8,143.4,143.0,129.9,124.9,121.7$ (q, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OTf}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 39.3, 29.9.
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-79.2(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{OTf})$.

FTIR data $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1278 (S-O stretch).
Elemental Analysis (calc'd./expt.): C (39.09/39.80), H (3.94/4.43), N (4.56/4.53) .


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{2}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.32 ppm .

## 

Figure S7. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{2}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S8. FTIR-ATR spectrum for $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$.

[(2,2':6',2'-terpyridine)SO][Trifluoromethanesulfonate $]_{2}\left(3[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\right)$ : To a stirring solution of thionyl chloride ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.427 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.27 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.884 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4 mL dichloromethane was added $2,2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.429 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL dichloromethane over a period of 5 minutes at room temperature. Immediate precipitate formation was observed, and the resulting solution was stirred for an additional hour. After cooling at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour, the dichloromethane was decanted off and the resulting white powder was washed with cold dichloromethane ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Upon drying under vacuum, $\mathbf{3}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ was isolated as a white powder ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.362 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ yield $)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.3 \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.41\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 9.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, p-\mathrm{C}-H$ central ring $), 9.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, p-\mathrm{C}-H$ outside rings $), 8.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, m$-C- H outside rings), $8.73\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{C}-H\right.$ outside rings $(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}-H)), 8.30\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{C}-H\right.$ outside rings (N-C(H)-C-H)).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 153.6,147.4,147.1,143.4,139.5,132.6$, 127.7, 126.2, $121.9\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OTf}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-79.3(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{OTf})$.

Elemental Analysis (calc'd./expt.): C (35.24/36.22), H (1.91/1.69), N (7.25/7.29).


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{3}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S10. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{3}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.32 ppm .


Figure S11. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{3}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.

[(4'-Chloro-2,2': $6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine)SO][Trifluoromethanesulfonate $]_{2}$ (4[OTf $]_{2}$ ): To a stirring solution of thionyl chloride ( $54.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL dichloromethane was added $4^{\prime}$-Chloro-2, $2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine ( 0.200 $\mathrm{g}, 0.747 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL dichloromethane over a period of 5 minutes at room temperature. After 1 hour precipitate formation was observed, and the solution was stirred for an additional hour. After cooling at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over night, the dichloromethane was decanted off and the resulting white powder was washed with cold dichloromethane $(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and n -pentane $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Upon drying under vacuum, $4[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ was isolated as a white powder ( $315 \mathrm{mg}, 0.512 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.42\left(\mathrm{ddd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.87\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.73\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}\right) 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.31$ (ddd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 161.7,148.0,147.3,143.7,138.9,133.2$, $127.9,126.5,121.9\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, OTf- $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) .3$
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-79.3(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{OTf})$.

FTIR data $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1260$ (S-O stretch).


Figure S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{4}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S13. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{4}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.32 ppm .


Figure S14. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{4}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S15. FTIR-ATR spectrum for $\mathbf{4}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$.

[(4'-Phenyl-2,2':6',2'-terpyridine)SO][Trifluoromethanesulfonate $]_{2}$ (5[OTf $]_{2}$ ): To a stirring solution of thionyl chloride ( $0.221 \mu \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{xmmol}$ ) and trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (83 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL dichloromethane was added $4^{\prime}$-Phenyl-2, $2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine ( 67.2 mg , 0.221 mmol ) in 4 mL dichloromethane over a period of 5 minutes at room temperature. After 1 hour precipitate formation was observed, and the solution was stirred for an additional hour. After cooling at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over night, the dichloromethane was decanted off and the resulting white powder was washed with cold dichloromethane ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and n -pentane ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Upon drying under vacuum, $\mathbf{5}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ was isolated as an off-white powder ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ yield). Suitable crystals for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were grown from a layered solution of acetonitrile and diethyl ether at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): 9.12\left(\mathrm{ddd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.93\left(\mathrm{ddd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.83$ (s, $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.80\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.21$ (ddd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.06-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, o-\mathrm{PhCH}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.70-7.63 (m, $m$ - PhCH and $p$ - PhCH overlapping signals, 3 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 154.7,149.1,148.3,147.5,143.6,136.7$, $132.0,130.6,129.6,128.8,126.4,124.6,121.9\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OTf}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-79.2(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{OTf})$.

FTIR data $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1262$ (S-O stretch).


Figure S16. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{5}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S17. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{5}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.32 ppm .


Figure S18. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{5}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S19. FTIR-ATR spectrum for $\mathbf{5}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$.

[Bis(4-Dimethylaminopyridine)SO][Trifluoromethanesulfonate $]_{2}\left(6[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\right):$
To a stirring solution of thionyl chloride ( $0.265 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $1.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL dichloromethane was added 4dimethylaminopyridine $(0.900 \mathrm{~g}, 7.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4 mL dichloromethane over a period of 5 minutes at room temperature. After 1 hour precipitate formation was observed, and the solution was stirred for an additional hour. After cooling at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over night, the dichloromethane was decanted off and the resulting white powder was washed with cold dichloromethane ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and n-pentane (2 x 5 mL ). Upon drying under vacuum, $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ was isolated as an off-white powder $(1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 3.32$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield). Suitable crystals for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were grown from a layered solution of acetonitrile and diethyl ether at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): 8.38\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)-\mathrm{CH}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 12 \mathrm{H}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 159.3,134.7,121.9\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, OTf$C_{5}$ ), 109.8, 41.8.
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-78.4(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{OTf})$.

FTIR data $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 1269 (S-O stretch).
Elemental Analysis (calc'd./expt.): C (32.54/32.57), H (3.41/3.37), N (9.49/9.63).


Figure S20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{6}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S21. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal at 1.32 ppm .


Figure S22. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.

## 4. Other Experimental Procedures



## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} \mathbf{u}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ ina acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right](42.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The clear and colourless reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectroscopic data indicate the quantitative formation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}(-170 \mathrm{ppm}$ by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $8.64 \mathrm{ppm}, 8.40 \mathrm{ppm}, 7.89 \mathrm{ppm}$, and 7.38 ppm by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data), and $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ (72 ppm by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data).


Figure S23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Squares: Bipy, triangles: $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$.


Figure S24. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{BN}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S25. Stacked ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ before and after applying vacuum to the completed reaction mixture.


## Reaction of $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\left[{ }^{n B} \mathbf{u}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{2 [ O T f}]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right](17.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The clear and colourless reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectroscopic data indicate the quantitative formation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}(-169.4 \mathrm{ppm}$
from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]\left(3.05 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.59 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.34 \mathrm{ppm}, 0.95 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data and -78.4 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), and $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ (72.7 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data). Removal of the solvent in vacuo and then redissolving of the residue led to a disappearance of the ${ }^{19}$ F NMR signal for $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ (72.7 ppm). One set of broadened 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-dipyridine signals ( $9.07 \mathrm{ppm}, 8.72 \mathrm{ppm}, 7.97 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.44 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data) shifted downfield from free $t$ BuBipy suggests an equilibrium process between the formed free $t \mathrm{BuBipy}$ and the remaining $2[\mathrm{OTf}] 2$.


Figure S26. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n B u_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Squares: $t \mathrm{BuBipy}$ fragment, triangles: [ $\left.n \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$.



Figure S27. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} \mathbf{4}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $4[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\left[n B u_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $4[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right](17.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The clear and colourless reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectroscopic data indicate the quantitative formation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}(-169.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), 4-Cl-Terpyridine (see ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum), $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$ ( 3.05 ppm ,
$1.59 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.34 \mathrm{ppm}, 0.95 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data and -78.4 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ ( 72.9 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), and a remaining 0.5 equivalent of $\left.\mathbf{4 [ O T f}\right]_{2}$.


Figure S28. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $4[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{M}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Squares: $\mathbf{4}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$, Triangles: Free 4-Cl-Terpy, circles: $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$


Figure S29. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{4}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


## Reaction of $6[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 1 eq. $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right](13.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The clear and colourless reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectroscopic data indicate the quantitative formation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}(-169.2 \mathrm{ppm}$
from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]\left(3.05 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.59 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.34 \mathrm{ppm}, 0.95 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data and -78.4 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), and $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ ( 72.7 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data) . Only one set of broadened DMAP signals is observed ( $8.21 \mathrm{ppm}, 6.74 \mathrm{ppm}, 3.09 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data), shifted slightly downfield from free DMAP, indicating an equilibrium process between free DMAP and $\mathbf{6}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$. A minor byproduct is observed ( 45.7 ppm in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum), suggesting the potential intermediate formed from the addition of one fluoride to 6[OTf] $]_{2}$


Figure S30. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $6[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Squares: DMAP fragment, triangles: [ $\left.n \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$


Figure S31. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} u_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $6[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 2 eq. $\left[n B u_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right](27.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The clear and colourless reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectroscopic data indicate the quantitative formation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}(-169 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-dimethylaminopyridine ( $8.09 \mathrm{ppm}, 6.54 \mathrm{ppm}, 2.94 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data), $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$ ( $3.05 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.59 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.34 \mathrm{ppm}, 0.95 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data and -78.4 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), and $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ ( 72.6 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data).


Figure S32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $6[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Squares: DMAP, triangles: [ $\left.n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$.


Figure S33. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\left[\mathrm{nBu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 1 eq. $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](6.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. Consumption of the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ fragment was observed and the following were observed by the NMR data: $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ ( 72 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), ligand-stabilized $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ fragments ( -154.5 ppm from
the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data, 5.1 ppm and -0.8 ppm from ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR data), $[\mathrm{nBu} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{N}][\mathrm{OTf}]$ ( $3.05 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.59$ ppm, $1.34 \mathrm{ppm}, 0.95 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data and -78.4 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data). New ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonances are downfield of free $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine, suggesting coordination to the $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ generated in the reaction


Figure S34. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 1 eq. $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S35. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and 1 eq. $\left[n B \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 128 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.



Figure S36. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 1 eq. $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 2 eq. $\left[\mathrm{nBu} \mathrm{N}^{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{\mathbf{2}}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](13.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. Consumption of the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ fragment was observed and the following were observed by the NMR
data: $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ (72.6 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data), ligand-stabilized $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ fragments ( -150 ppm and 154.3 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data, 5.5 ppm and -0.7 ppm from the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR data), [ $\left.\mathrm{nBu} \mathrm{u}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]$ (3.05 ppm, $1.59 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.34 \mathrm{ppm}, 0.95 \mathrm{ppm}$ from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data and -78.4 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data). New ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonances are downfield of free $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine, suggesting coordination to the $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ generated in the reaction.


Figure S37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and two equivalents of $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.



Figure S38. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and two equivalents of $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 128 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.



Figure S39. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and two equivalents of $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 128 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 1 eq. $\left[n B u_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](7.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. $<5 \%$ conversion was observed from the NMR Spectroscopic data, and the following compounds were observed: $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ ( -72.4 ppm from ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data, -144.3 ppm from the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data), and
$\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ (72.9 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data). The expected $\mathrm{PF}_{5}$ that would be formed from the generation of $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ is not observed; however, its reported chemical shift overlaps with the observed residual $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$signal in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum. ${ }^{5}$ Free $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ is observed as the major remaining product in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.


Figure S40. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 1 equivalent of $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S41. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and 1 equivalent of $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S42. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 1 equivalent $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} 4_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 2 eq. $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](15.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{5} \%$ conversion was observed from the NMR Spectroscopic data, and the following compounds were
observed: $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (-72.2 ppm from ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data, -144.1 ppm from the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data), $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ ( 72.7 ppm from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data). The expected $\mathrm{PF}_{5}$ that would be formed from the generation of $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ is not observed; however, its reported chemical shift overlaps with the observed residual $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$signal in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum. ${ }^{5}$ Free $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ is observed as the major remaining product in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.


Figure S43. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure $\mathbf{S 4 4} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{u}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


## Reaction of $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 2 eq. $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]:$

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{2 [ O T f}]_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](12.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. <1\% conversion was observed from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data, and only $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]\left(-72.8 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data) was observed in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data. No $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ was spectroscopically observed (72.7 ppm).


Figure S45. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{2}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, 377 MHz , 298K).


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{\mathbf{2}}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy. The spectroscopic data shows no conversion of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$.


Figure S46. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400\right.$ MHz, 298K).


Figure S47. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$.


Figure S48. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $162 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$.


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $4-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $4-\mathrm{Ph}-$ $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}(5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 8 hours to which the solution darkened and a precipitate formed. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy which indicated the complete formation of $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}(72.9 \mathrm{ppm})$ in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra) and [ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$, alongside the consumption of 4-phenylbenzyl fluoride (205.8 ppm in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra). The formed precipitate is suggested to be a polymeric alkane formed through Friedel-Crafts alkylation as has been previously observed with benzyl fluorides with strong Lewis acids. ${ }^{6-7}$


Figure S49. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the completed reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and 4-Phenylbenzylfluoride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S50. Stacked ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and 4-phenylbenzylfluoride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $4-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}_{[\mathrm{OTf}}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{2}_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $4-\mathrm{Ph}-$ $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}(4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 16 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to which the solution darkened and a precipitate formed. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy which indicated the formation of $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ ( 72.9 ppm in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$

NMR spectrum) and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} t \mathrm{BuBipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$. Other byproducts observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum are expected to be short-chained oligomers formed from the Lewis acid mediated polymerization. The formed precipitate is suggested to be a polymeric alkane formed through Friedel-Crafts alkylation as has been previously observed with benzyl fluorides with strong Lewis acids. ${ }^{6-7}$


Figure S51. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $2[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and 4-phenyl-benzylfluoride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S52. Stacked ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra for the reaction between 2[OTf$]_{2}$ and 4-phenylbenzylfluoride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with fluorocyclohexane:

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added flourocyclohexane $(6.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 24 hours at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ providing a colourless product. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{19}$ F NMR spectroscopy indicating the consumption of fluorocyclohexane. Spectroscopically, the formation of cyclohexene ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-H$ signal at 5.64 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; $52 \%$ yield) presumably through an overall dehydrofluorination reaction where the sulfur centre abstracts fluoride, overall forming $\mathrm{SOF}_{2}$ ( 72.9 ppm in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum), and releasing bipyridine that deprotonates at the $\beta$-carbon ( $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ was observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum). Some other alkane products were observed as minor products, likely as a result of isomeration of formed carbocation intermediates.


Figure S53. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and fluorocyclohexane after 1 hour at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectra are referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm.


Figure S54. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and fluorocyclohexane after 24 hours at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectra are referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm. Square: $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$, Triangle: Cyclohexene.


Figure S55. Stacked ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and fluorocyclohexane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectra are referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S56. Stacked ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and fluorocyclohexane ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}:$

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ ( $3.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was monitored by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy which indicated no new product formation and no consumption of $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$.


Figure S57. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


## Reaction of $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ $(2.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was monitored by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy which indicated no new product formation and no consumption of $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$.


Figure S58. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{2}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).

## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{F}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added fluorobenzene $(2.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy which indicated no new product formation and no consumption of $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{F}$.


Figure S59. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PhF}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377\right.$ MHz, 298K).

## Reaction of $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{F}$ :

To a stirring solution of $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added fluorobenzene $(2.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 16 hours at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy which indicated no new product formation and no consumption of $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{F}$.


Figure S60. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{2}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PhF}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377\right.$ MHz, 298K).


## Slow Hydrolysis

of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}:$
A solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a sealed NMR tube opened to air and had compressed air bubbled in to it. The tube was let sit over 8 days whereupon the solution was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 5 days significant decomposition of $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ was observed. Complete hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ was observed after 8 days. It is noteworthy that the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ resonance shifts upfield and significantly broadens as $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ reaches complete
decomposition, with the NMR data indicating the formation of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$. The broadening of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signal would be consistent with exchange between the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ protons with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ protons from sulfur oxyacids formed from the reaction between $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and any excess water.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}-H, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.00\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, o-\mathrm{C}-\right.$ $H, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.78\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}-H(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}-H), 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.48\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}-H(\mathrm{~N}-\right.$ $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{C}-H), 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.24\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, p-\mathrm{C}-H\right)$.
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 78.5(\mathrm{~s})$.


Figure S61. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum from the decomposition of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ after being exposed to air over 5 days $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at $1.94 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{*}=$ residual 1[OTf]2.


Figure S62. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum from the decomposition of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ after being exposed to air over 8 days $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


## Hydrolysis of 1[OTf $]_{2}$ :

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a sealed NMR tube was added 1 drop of deionized water, and the tube was shaken over 1 minute. The tube was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to reveal $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ as the only spectroscopically observable
product. The broadening of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signal would be consistent with exchange between the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ protons with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ protons from sulfur oxyacids formed from the reaction between $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and any excess water.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.81(\mathrm{~m}, o-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.47\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}-\right.$ $H(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}-H), 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.39\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}-H(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{C}-H), 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.86\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $p-\mathrm{C}-H), \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signals are not observed due to extensive broadening.


Figure S63. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum from the decomposition of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ after being exposed to 1 drop of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHZ}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


## Hydrolysis of 2[OTf $]_{2}$ :

To a solution of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a sealed NMR tube was added compressed air. The tube was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to reveal decomposition to $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} t \mathrm{BuBipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ as the only spectroscopically observable product after 6 days. The observed broadening of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signal upon complete decomposition would be consistent with exchange between the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ protons with the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ protons from sulfur oxyacids formed from the reaction between $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and any excess water.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 14.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.89\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, o-\mathrm{C}-\right.$ $H, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.43\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}-H(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}-H), 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.24\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}-H(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{C}-H), 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, 18 \mathrm{H}\right), \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signals are not observed after full decomposition due to extensive broadening.


Figure S64. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the decomposition of $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ in air after 24 hours $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, 400 MHZ ). The spectra are referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . $*=$ residual $\mathbf{2}[\mathrm{OTf}] 2$.



Figure S65. Stacked ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the decomposition of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ in air $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, 400\right.$ MHZ). The spectra are referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


## Hydrolysis of 6[OTf $]_{2}$ :

To a solution of $\mathbf{6}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a sealed NMR tube was added 2 drops of deionized water, and the tube was shaken over 1 minute. The tube was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to reveal [HDMAP][OTf] as the only spectroscopically observable product. The broadening of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signal would be consistent with exchange between the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ protons with

O-H protons from sulfur oxyacids formed from the reaction between $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and any excess water. Crystals of [HDMAP][OTf[ were grown from mixtures of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 12.16(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, o-\mathrm{C}-\right.$ $H, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}-H, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.


Figure S66. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum from the decomposition of $\mathbf{6}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ after being exposed to 2 drops of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, 400 \mathrm{MHZ}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 1 equivalent of PhOH :

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added phenol ( 3.7 mg , $0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting clear and colourless solution was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 1 hour, $50 \%$ formation of $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ (quantitative) was observed, along with (50\%) remaining $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$.


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 2 equivalents of PhOH :

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added phenol $(15 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting clear and colourless solution was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 1 hour, complete consumption of phenol and $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ were observed. Removal of the volatiles in vacuo, left a white residue that was extracted with diethyl ether ( 3 mL ). The extracts were then dried in vacuo to isolate $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{SO}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield $)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.41\left(\mathrm{tm},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}-H, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.29\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}^{2}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, p-\mathrm{C}-H, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{dm},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, o-\mathrm{C}-H, 4 \mathrm{H}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 148.7$ (ipso-C), 130.0 (meta-C), 126.6 (paraC), 122.4 (ortho-C).

HRMS (DART,$\left.+ \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : m/z found $252.06914\left(\left[(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{SO}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)\right.$calc'd 252.06889).


Figure S67. Stacked ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and phenol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, 400 MHZ ). The spectra are referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Circle: [ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$, Square: $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{SO}$, Triangle: Residual $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$, Star: Residual PhOH .


Figure S68. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum the isolated $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ formed from the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and phenol $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ for. The spectrum is referenced the residual chloroform signal at 7.26 ppm .



Figure S69. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the isolated $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ formed from the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and phenol $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced the residual chloroform signal at 77.16 ppm .


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with HNTf 2 :

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\operatorname{HNTf}_{2}(16.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.060 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting clear and colourless solution was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 12 hours, $<5 \%$ conversion of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ was observed from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic data. A new unidentified product was observed after 48 hours.


Figure S70. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HNTf}_{2}$ after 12 hours $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Triangle: $\mathrm{HNTf}_{2}$, Square: $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$.


Figure S71. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HNTf}_{2}$ after 48 hours $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $[\mathrm{HMes}]\left[\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) 4\right]$ :

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $[\mathrm{HMes}]\left[\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{4}\right](15.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting clear and light
yellow solution was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 24 hours, $<5 \%$ conversion of $1\left[\mathbf{O T f}_{2}\right.$ was observed from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data.


Figure S72. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $[\mathrm{HMes}]\left[\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{4}\right]$ after 12 hours $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Square: 1[OTf] $\mathbf{D}_{\text {. }}$.

## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ ( $5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The orange-red reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy reveals one new product at 127 ppm in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows the formation of new bipyridine products.


Figure S73. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ after 1 hour $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .



Figure S74. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162\right.$ MHz, 298K).

## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 2 equivalent of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ $(10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy reveals one new product at 104 ppm in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows the formation of 2,2'-bipyridine as the only bipyridine product formed in appreciable amounts. By analogy to the $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ reaction, the phosphorus product is proposed to be $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{POPEt}_{3}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$.


Figure S75. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ after 1 hour $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S76. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.

## Reaction of $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(7.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ $(1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The orange-red reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy reveals one new product at 127 ppm in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows the formation of a new 4,4'-ditertbutyl-2,2'-bipyridine product.


Figure S77. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ after 1 hour $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S78. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $2[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).

## Reaction of $4[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ :

To a stirring solution of $4[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(7.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ $(1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The orange-red reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy reveals one new product at 127 ppm in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows the formation of a new 4-Cl-Terpyridine product.


Figure S79. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $4[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ after 1 hour $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S80. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{4}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et} 3 \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ $(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The yellow-orange reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. Slow evaporation of the reaction mixture at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the formation of yellow crystal of $7[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}(11 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum), whereas a layered solution of the reaction mixture in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ led to the
formation of colourless crystals of $\mathbf{8}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ ( 75 ppm in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data confirm the formation of $\mathbf{9}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathbf{8}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$. Multiple new sets of bipyridine signals are identified in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, including a broadened set of signals attributed to an equilibrium interaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and free $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine. The addition of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ to $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or using highly dilute solutions led to no changes in the observed product distributions. ${ }^{8-9}$


Figure S81. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, 298K).


Figure S82. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162\right.$ MHz, 298K).


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colourless reaction was stirred for 1 hour and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. The spectroscopic data confirms quantitative formation of $\mathbf{8}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ ( 72 ppm in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum) and bipyridine. Balancing the equation suggests this reaction is driven by the release of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$. Removal of the
volatiles in vacuo, washing the white residues, and further drying leads to the isolation of $\mathbf{8}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ as a white powder $(76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield $) .{ }^{8-9}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.93\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, p-\mathrm{C}-H, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.75-7.65(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapping signals of $m-\mathrm{C}-H$, and $o-\mathrm{C}-H, 24 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 137.8(\mathrm{~s}, p-C), 134.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\right.$ $C), 131.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=114.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, o-C\right), 121.7\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=322.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, OTf $\left.C-\mathrm{F}\right)$.
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-79.2(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OTf})$.
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 71.7$ (s).


Figure S83. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The NMR spectrum is referenced to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ signal at 1.94 ppm . Triangle: $\mathbf{8}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$, Square: 2,2 '-bipyridine.


Figure S84. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S85. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the isolated $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{POPPh}_{3}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ isolated from the reaction between $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The NMR spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile signal at 1.94 ppm .


Figure S86. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the isolated $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{POPPh}_{3}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ isolated from the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The NMR spectrum is referenced to the residual acetonitrile signal at 1.32 ppm .

| -30 | -40 | -50 | -60 | -70 | -80 | -90 | -100 | -110 | -120 | -130 | -140 | -150 | -160 | -170 | -180 | -190 | -200 | -210 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure S87. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum for the isolated $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{POPPh}_{3}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ isolated from the reaction between $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 377 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S88. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum for the isolated $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{POPPh}_{3}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ isolated from the reaction between $\mathbf{1}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ and 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


## Reaction of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\mathbf{C u O}$ :

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{O T f}]_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added copper(II) oxide ( $2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 1 hour, the solution turned bright blue and the solution was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The solution was dried in vacuo, washed with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried further in vacuo to
afford a blue powder. $9[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ was isolated as blue crystals from a layered solution of acetronitrile and diethyl ether ( $16.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ silent due to paramagnetism.
MS (DART,$+ \quad \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ found 368.0 ([M -OTf -2 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right]+\quad[(\mathrm{Bipy}) \mathrm{CuOTf}]^{+}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}{ }^{+}\right)$calc'd. 367.95), $157.1\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cu}-2 \mathrm{OTf}-2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right]+[\mathrm{HBipy}]^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)\right.$ calc'd 157.08).

Elemental Analysis (calc'd./expt.): C (32.03/30.60), H (2.35/1.91), N (9.34/8.07).

## 5. Computational Methods

## Computational Overview:

All calculations were computed using the Gaussian 09 program. ${ }^{10}$ Geometry optimizations were performed with the PBE1PBE or B3LYP functional with the crystallographic coordinates used as starting geometries when available. ${ }^{11-15}$ The cc-PVTZ or def2-TZVP basis sets were used for all calculations as described below. ${ }^{16-17}$ The stationary nature of the converged geometry was confirmed by carrying out a frequency calculation and ensuring the absence of imaginary frequencies. Single-point energy calculations were carried out at either the PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ or the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory as described below. Where applicable, the Grimme-D3 dispersion correction and the acetonitrile self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) were applied to get more comparable Lewis acidity values for our polycationic systems. ${ }^{18}$ Optimized structures were visualized using the Avogadro software. ${ }^{19}$ Enthalpy values for the fluoride ion affinity (FIA) calculations were obtained as the thermally corrected values from the frequency calculations for structures that were optimized at the PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ level of theory with the Grimme-D3 dispersion correction. Separate frequency calculations were undertaken from these optimized structures with an added acetonitrile SCRF to minimize the effects of cationic systems having overestimated Lewis acidities in the gas-phase. ${ }^{20}$ FIA values were calculated using Hess's Law relative to the known FIA value of $208.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{CO} .{ }^{21} \mathrm{NBO}$ calculations were also performed at the PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ level of theory with the Grimme-D3 dispersion correction with an acetonitrile SCRF.

## Summary of Computational Results:

Table S1. Calculated fluoride ion affinities (FIA) for the listed Lewis acids. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ level of theory with the Grimme-D3 dispersion correction. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ level of theory with the Grimme-D3 dispersion correction and acetonitrile SCRF.

| Lewis Acid | FIA (kJ/mol) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | FIA (kJ/mol) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | S-O Distance (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\right.$ BipySO] ${ }^{2+}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{2 +}}\right)$ | 1068 | 325 | 1.432 |
| $[t \mathrm{BuBipySO}]^{2+}\left(\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2 +}}\right)$ | 996 | 315 | 1.435 |
| $\left[\right.$ TerpySO] ${ }^{2+}\left(\mathbf{3}^{\mathbf{2 +}}\right)$ | 942 | 265 | 1.442 |
| [4-PhTerpySO] ${ }^{2+}\left(\mathbf{5}^{\mathbf{2}+}\right)$ | 899 | 256 | 1.444 |
| [4-ClTerpySO] ${ }^{2+}\left(\mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{2 +}}\right)$ | 943 | 271 | 1.442 |
| $\left[(\mathrm{DMAP})_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{2+}\left(\mathbf{6}^{\mathbf{2 +}}\right)$ | 901 | 255 | 1.446 |
| $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{2+}$ | 1144 | 409 | 1.436 |
| $\mathrm{PF}_{5}$ | 377 | 354 | N/A |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ | 337 | 335 | N/A |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PF}^{+}$ | 761 | 361 | N/A |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Si}^{+}$ | 855 | 482 | N/A |
| 4-PhPhCH2 ${ }^{+}$ | 747 | 353 | N/A |
| $\mathrm{PhCF}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | 844 | 413 | N/A |
| $\mathrm{SO}^{2+}$ | 2003 | 897 | 1.377 |
| $\mathrm{SOF}^{+}$ | 1030 | 508 | 1.404 |

Table S2. Summary of results from the Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) calculations at the PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ level of theory with the Grimme-D3 dispersion correction and an acetonitrile SCF. WBI $=$ Wiberg Bond Index.

| Lewis Acid | S-O WBI | N-S WBI | NBO <br> Charge S <br> (e) | NBO <br> Charge 0 <br> (e) | NBO <br> Charge <br> Terminal <br> N (e) | NBO <br> Charge <br> Central N <br> (e) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\text { BipySO }^{2+}\right.} \\ & \left(\mathbf{1}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1.5951 | 0.6583 | 1.627 | -0.726 | -0.455 | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[t \mathrm{BuBipySO}]^{2+}} \\ & \left(\mathbf{2}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1.5641 | 0.678 | 1.620 | -0.750 | -0.470 | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[\text { TerpySO }]^{2+}} \\ & \left(\mathbf{3}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1.473 | 0.596 <br> (Central) <br> 0.423 <br> (Terminal) | 1.615 | -0.808 | -0.436 | -0.446 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline[4- \\ \text { ClTerpySO }^{2+} \\ \left(\mathbf{4}^{2+}\right) \end{array}$ | 1.4709 | 0.605 <br> (Central) <br> 0.420 <br> (Terminal) | 1.617 | -0.810 | -0.436 | -0.463 |
| [4PhTerpySO ${ }^{2+}$ $\left(5^{2+}\right)$ | 1.452 | $0.634$ <br> (Central) | 1.614 | -0.825 | -0.436 | -0.480 |


|  |  | 0.417 <br> (Terminal) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[(\mathrm{DMAP})_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{2+}} \\ & \left(\mathbf{6}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1.4492 | 0.727 | 1.628 | -0.836 | -0.533 | N/A |
| $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{2+}$ | 1.5852 | $0.444 \text { (S-C }$ <br> WBI) | 1.367 | -0.660 | -0.02 (C) | N/A |
| $\mathrm{SO}^{2+}$ | 2.5332 | N/A | 2.191 | -0.191 | N/A | N/A |


| Lewis Acid | Lone Pair S character | Bonding Pair S-O (S) character | Bonding <br> Pair S-O <br> (O) <br> character | Bonding <br> Pair S-N (S) <br> character | Bonding <br> Pair S-N (N) <br> character |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{BipySO}^{2+}\right.} \\ & \left(\mathbf{1}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.3 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 34.7 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 79.3 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.2 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 75.7 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $8.1 \% \mathrm{~s}$, <br> $90.1 \% \mathrm{p}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.1 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 78.8 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[t \mathrm{BuBipySO}]^{2+}} \\ & \left(\mathbf{2}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.8 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 36.1 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.9 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 79.0 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.3 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 75.6 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.8 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 89.4 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.6 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 78.3 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[\text { TerpySO }]^{2+}} \\ & \left(\mathbf{3}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.9 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 31.1 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.8 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 77.2 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.1 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 74.8 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.8 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 88.6 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 78.3 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[4-} \\ & \text { ClTerpySO }^{2+} \\ & \left(\mathbf{4}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.7 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 31.3 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 77.3 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.1 \% \mathrm{~s} \\ & 74.8 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $10.1 \% \mathrm{~s}$, <br> $88.4 \%$ p | $\begin{aligned} & 22.2 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 77.7 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { [4- } \\ & \text { PhTerpySO] }{ }^{2+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.8 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 32.2 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 77.3 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.1 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 74.8 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $10.9 \% \mathrm{~s}$ <br> 87.5\% p | $\begin{aligned} & 22.8 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 77.2 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ |


| $\left(5^{2+}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[(\mathrm{DMAP})_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{2+}} \\ & \left(\mathbf{6}^{2+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.9 \% \mathrm{~s} \\ & 40.1 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.7 \% \mathrm{~s} \\ & 78.1 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.2 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 75.8 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 88.2 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $23.0 \%$ s, <br> $76.9 \%$ p |
| $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{2+}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \% \mathrm{~s}, \\ & 82.8 \% \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $26.6 \%$ s, <br> $72.4 \% \mathrm{p}$ | N/A | N/A |
| $\mathrm{SO}^{2+}$ | $86.5 \%$ s, <br> $13.4 \% \mathrm{p}$ |  | $23.5 \%$ s, <br> $74.9 \%$ p | N/A | N/A |

## Computational Details of $\left[2,2^{-}-\text {BipyridineSO }\right]^{2+}\left(1^{2+}\right)$ :



LUMO: -11.419 eV


HOMO: -16.113 eV


HOMO-1: -17.290 eV

LUMO (left), HOMO (middle), and HOMO -1 (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion) for $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$ in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$ : -967.373168 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[1-\mathrm{F}]^{+}:-1067.561015$ Hartree
FIA value: 1068 kJ/mol


LUMO: -4.464 eV


HOMO: -9.154 eV


HOMO-1: -10.396 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZwith Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$ : -967.634842 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[\mathbf{1 - F}]^{+}:-1067.631493$ Hartree
FIA value: $325 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S3. Coordinates of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| S | -0.000034 | 1.777606 | -0.31415 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.000178 | 2.510042 | 0.916172 |
| N | -1.219546 | 0.429585 | -0.15736 |
| N | 1.219561 | 0.42965 | -0.15718 |


| C | -0.727366 | -0.82332 | -0.01971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.72743 | -0.82328 | -0.0197 |
| C | -1.599115 | -1.88125 | 0.105755 |
| H | -1.225655 | -2.89133 | 0.213091 |
| C | -3.437568 | -0.31912 | -0.03732 |
| H | -4.496993 | -0.09645 | -0.04132 |
| C | 1.599205 | -1.88123 | 0.105541 |
| H | 1.225748 | -2.89133 | 0.212677 |
| C | 2.530884 | 0.712426 | -0.16885 |
| H | 2.822814 | 1.751745 | -0.27538 |
| C | -2.53087 | 0.71232 | -0.16908 |
| H | -2.822846 | 1.7516 | -0.27586 |
| C | 3.437601 | -0.31901 | -0.03725 |
| H | 4.497021 | -0.09632 | -0.04118 |
| C | 2.968318 | -1.62064 | 0.096301 |
| H | 3.669871 | -2.44083 | 0.196587 |
| C | -2.968243 | -1.6207 | 0.096506 |
| H | -3.669756 | -2.44091 | 0.196956 |

Table S4. Coordinates of [1-F] ${ }^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| O | -0.71862 | 2.307652 | 1.113415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | -1.16652 | -0.09676 | -0.05249 |
| N | 1.360276 | 0.621904 | 0.134579 |
| C | -0.23988 | -1.08001 | -0.04125 |
| C | 1.172363 | -0.68014 | -0.06284 |
| C | -0.66289 | -2.39713 | 0.051988 |
| H | 0.069828 | -3.19089 | 0.067149 |
| C | -2.93099 | -1.65216 | 0.189595 |
| H | -3.9919 | -1.83119 | 0.295018 |
| C | 2.234234 | -1.55625 | -0.23912 |
| H | 2.075714 | -2.60965 | -0.42344 |
| C | 2.585393 | 1.120259 | 0.183719 |
| H | 2.670999 | 2.188182 | 0.35056 |
| C | -2.47188 | -0.35933 | 0.072222 |
| H | -3.14102 | 0.489196 | 0.068065 |
| C | 3.705071 | 0.313658 | 0.037229 |
| H | 4.695575 | 0.744837 | 0.092938 |
| C | 3.520493 | -1.04097 | -0.1859 |
| H | 4.371913 | -1.69617 | -0.31932 |
| C | -2.01046 | -2.68799 | 0.16318 |


| H | -2.33793 | -3.71684 | 0.244196 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | -0.64543 | 1.787089 | -0.21591 |
| F | -2.12248 | 2.112461 | -0.82393 |

## Computational Details of $\left[\left(4,4{ }^{\prime}-\text { ditertbutyl }\right)-2,2^{\prime} \text { - } \text { BipyridineSO }\right]^{\mathbf{2 +}}\left(2^{\mathbf{2 +}}\right)$ :



LUMO: - 10.414 eV


HOMO: - 15.146 eV


HOMO-8: -15.999 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion) for $\mathbf{2}^{2+}$ in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{2}^{2+}$ : - 1281.425763 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[2-\mathrm{F}]^{+}:-1381.586065$ Hartree
FIA value: 996 kJ/mol


LUMO: -4.273 eV


HOMO: -9.026 eV


HOMO-8: -10.411 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\mathbf{2}^{2+}$ at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{2}^{2+}$ : - 1281.657275 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[\mathbf{2 - F}]^{+}:-1381.649919$ Hartree
FIA value: $315 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S5. Coordinates of $\mathbf{2}^{2+}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| N | -1.21825 | 1.647309 | -0.19194 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 1.19755 | 1.632465 | -0.17653 |


| C | -3.0109 | -0.43893 | -0.04204 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.964094 | -0.47584 | -0.03149 |
| C | -0.74439 | 0.379007 | -0.10988 |
| C | 3.958393 | -1.6041 | 0.042541 |
| C | -1.62039 | -0.66949 | -0.04019 |
| H | $-1.23463$ | -1.67773 | 0.022107 |
| C | 0.711114 | 0.372678 | -0.1046 |
| C | -2.5318 | 1.923143 | -0.20047 |
| H | -2.82466 | 2.965562 | -0.25923 |
| C | -3.96724 | -1.59861 | 0.049155 |
| C | 3.406971 | 0.857541 | -0.09631 |
| H | 4.462672 | 1.09035 | -0.08917 |
| C | 2.518468 | 1.895956 | -0.17143 |
| H | 2.821458 | 2.935646 | -0.22017 |
| C | 1.575954 | -0.69057 | -0.037 |
| H | 1.178175 | -1.69394 | 0.014497 |
| C | -3.43778 | 0.893623 | -0.12481 |
| H | -4.48988 | 1.139948 | -0.129 |
| C | -3.70686 | -2.53275 | -1.14735 |
| H | -3.88329 | -2.02624 | -2.09764 |
| H | -2.69417 | -2.94023 | -1.151 |
| H | -4.3949 | -3.37644 | -1.08353 |
| C | 4.806814 | -1.40835 | 1.313839 |


| H | 5.363286 | -0.47026 | 1.305384 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 4.193196 | -1.44173 | 2.215685 |
| H | 5.534393 | -2.21874 | 1.37122 |
| C | 3.283211 | -2.97037 | 0.091912 |
| H | 2.657392 | -3.09105 | 0.979782 |
| H | 2.686804 | -3.16934 | -0.80215 |
| H | 4.049482 | -3.74368 | 0.138824 |
| C | 4.863519 | -1.52843 | -1.20161 |
| H | 4.290916 | -1.64891 | -2.12285 |
| H | 5.422101 | -0.5933 | -1.25743 |
| H | 5.590888 | -2.33923 | -1.14906 |
| C | -3.68239 | -2.34763 | 1.36479 |
| H | -2.66758 | -2.74717 | 1.409982 |
| H | -3.84523 | -1.70809 | 2.23377 |
| H | -4.36739 | -3.19324 | 1.436278 |
| C | -5.42431 | -1.14908 | 0.030889 |
| H | -5.66817 | -0.50417 | 0.878141 |
| H | -5.68748 | -0.63662 | -0.89722 |
| H | -6.06719 | -2.0259 | 0.104023 |
| O | -0.00549 | 3.634634 | 1.026035 |
| S | -0.00077 | 2.977015 | -0.24975 |

Table S6. Coordinates of $[2-\mathrm{F}]^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| N | -1.39725 | 1.416735 | -0.1145 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 1.186914 | 1.677045 | 0.179691 |
| C | -2.7108 | -1.02673 | 0.012989 |
| C | 3.057407 | -0.3784 | 0.004916 |
| C | -0.66683 | 0.27751 | -0.06523 |
| C | 4.105172 | -1.4736 | -0.08539 |
| C | -1.31886 | -0.93721 | -0.01589 |
| H | -0.72212 | -1.83643 | 0.028769 |
| C | 0.792848 | 0.42393 | -0.00754 |
| C | -2.73196 | 1.371954 | -0.06923 |
| H | -3.25042 | 2.319289 | -0.09721 |
| C | -3.38853 | -2.38005 | 0.070979 |
| C | 3.43729 | 0.949539 | 0.212936 |
| H | 4.4795 | 1.219179 | 0.31756 |
| C | 2.481326 | 1.943842 | 0.285323 |
| H | 2.753404 | 2.981908 | 0.440313 |
| C | 1.692443 | -0.62774 | -0.10809 |
| H | 1.338589 | -1.63281 | -0.28051 |
| C | -3.41058 | 0.178019 | -0.00032 |
| H | -4.48918 | 0.207765 | 0.038049 |
| C | -2.97677 | -3.18383 | -1.17097 |


| H | -3.29061 | -2.68381 | -2.08915 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -1.8984 | -3.3444 | -1.22016 |
| H | -3.45437 | -4.16428 | -1.14017 |
| C | 4.898445 | -1.50166 | 1.227923 |
| H | 5.410289 | -0.55729 | 1.41803 |
| H | 4.248506 | -1.7137 | 2.079119 |
| H | 5.657623 | -2.28397 | 1.178387 |
| C | 3.483747 | -2.84808 | -0.31384 |
| H | 2.819785 | -3.13813 | 0.504256 |
| H | 2.929206 | -2.89643 | -1.25418 |
| H | 4.274857 | -3.59665 | -0.36718 |
| C | 5.048963 | -1.15749 | -1.25342 |
| H | 4.507944 | -1.12201 | -2.20108 |
| H | 5.562658 | -0.20449 | -1.11918 |
| H | 5.811375 | -1.93475 | -1.32807 |
| C | -2.92126 | -3.10879 | 1.33939 |
| H | -1.84248 | -3.27323 | 1.349121 |
| H | -3.19067 | -2.5522 | 2.23885 |
| H | -3.40292 | -4.08639 | 1.389969 |
| C | -4.90856 | -2.25762 | 0.101863 |
| H | -5.25733 | -1.71485 | 0.983053 |
| H | -5.29769 | -1.76517 | -0.79192 |
| H | -5.34916 | -3.25419 | 0.140526 |


| O | -0.56898 | 3.659714 | 1.138212 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | -0.54641 | 3.160336 | -0.20347 |
| F | -1.92919 | 3.771764 | -0.8358 |

Computational Details of [TerpyridineSO] ${ }^{2+}(3)^{2+}$ :


LUMO: - 10.251 eV


HOMO: -14.541 eV


HOMO-1: -15.164 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion)
for $3^{2+}$ in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.
Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{3}^{2+}$ : - 1214.25357 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for [3-F] ${ }^{+}$: -1314.393 Hartree
FIA value: $942 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$


LUMO: -2.620 eV


HOMO: -8.441 eV
HOMO-1: -9.090 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\mathbf{3}^{2+}$ at a contour surface value of +/- 0.10 a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{3}^{2+}$ :- 1214.483864 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $\left[\right.$ 3-F] ${ }^{+}$: -1314.457618 Hartree
FIA value: $265 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S7. Coordinates of $\mathbf{3}^{2+}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| S | 0.000777 | -1.2362 | -0.10886 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 0.000511 | -1.82732 | 1.20627 |
| N | 0.0001 | 0.638336 | -0.02038 |
| N | -1.99435 | -0.87918 | -0.12767 |


| N | 1.994086 | -0.87855 | -0.12748 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -1.18485 | 1.286141 | -0.00027 |
| C | 1.184779 | 1.28661 | -0.00022 |
| C | 2.346198 | 0.407428 | -0.05945 |
| C | 1.202186 | 2.667087 | 0.062581 |
| H | 2.144726 | 3.196232 | 0.082035 |
| C | -4.2444 | -1.55507 | -0.16645 |
| H | -4.9709 | -2.35611 | -0.20221 |
| C | -1.20252 | 2.666662 | 0.062433 |
| H | -2.14518 | 3.195604 | 0.081698 |
| C | -0.00031 | 3.357367 | 0.100319 |
| C | -2.3462 | 0.406826 | -0.05949 |
| C | -4.63642 | -0.22643 | -0.10337 |
| H | -5.68826 | 0.031385 | -0.09349 |
| C | -2.89106 | -1.85583 | -0.17667 |
| H | -2.51982 | -2.87343 | -0.21287 |
| C | -3.67749 | 0.778526 | -0.04737 |
| H | -3.97034 | 1.818269 | 0.005634 |
| C | 2.890413 | -1.85563 | -0.17645 |
| H | 2.518787 | -2.87311 | -0.21226 |
| C | 3.677579 | 0.778653 | -0.04759 |
| H | 3.970749 | 1.818316 | 0.005273 |
| C | 4.636167 | -0.22666 | -0.10361 |


| H | 5.68808 | 0.030862 | -0.09395 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 4.243795 | -1.55523 | -0.16644 |
| H | 4.970075 | -2.35646 | -0.20229 |
| H | -0.00049 | 4.439264 | 0.154921 |

Table S8. Coordinates of $[3-\mathrm{F}]^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| O | 0.086233 | -1.83223 | 1.183478 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | -0.12521 | 0.759757 | -0.00399 |
| N | -2.25946 | -0.85864 | -0.26983 |
| N | 2.138526 | -0.62535 | -0.0673 |
| C | -1.33005 | 1.327265 | -0.05412 |
| C | 0.981932 | 1.491068 | -0.06839 |
| C | 2.23265 | 0.715707 | -0.00229 |
| C | 0.944327 | 2.873278 | -0.18817 |
| H | 1.846227 | 3.46369 | -0.25947 |
| C | -4.57317 | -1.34321 | 0.037918 |
| H | -5.36274 | -2.08276 | 0.044594 |
| C | -1.44333 | 2.713243 | -0.17053 |
| H | -2.41572 | 3.180426 | -0.23418 |
| C | -0.29854 | 3.483467 | -0.23708 |
| C | -2.50199 | 0.42717 | -0.00567 |


| C | -4.82644 | -0.01383 | 0.323328 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -5.82745 | 0.319123 | 0.566832 |
| C | -3.2672 | -1.71683 | -0.24983 |
| H | -3.02423 | -2.75131 | -0.46828 |
| C | -3.77642 | 0.889833 | 0.301487 |
| H | -3.95239 | 1.929508 | 0.541625 |
| C | 3.212636 | -1.40836 | 0.029079 |
| H | 3.038268 | -2.47408 | -0.02479 |
| C | 3.483265 | 1.297517 | 0.148055 |
| H | 3.571993 | 2.372142 | 0.211628 |
| C | 4.610212 | 0.500424 | 0.240314 |
| H | 5.585701 | 0.953884 | 0.362968 |
| C | 4.475717 | -0.87687 | 0.185795 |
| H | 5.327268 | -1.53834 | 0.263636 |
| H | -0.37165 | 4.55865 | -0.34005 |
| S | 0.331177 | -1.5217 | -0.18962 |
| F | 1.086388 | -2.8906 | -0.71667 |

## Computational Details of [4-PhTerpyridineSO] ${ }^{2+}(5)^{2+}$ :



LUMO: -9.670 eV


HOMO: -13.003 eV


HOMO-3: -14.599 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion) for $\mathbf{5}^{2+}$ in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{5}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ : - 1445.051171 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for [5-F]+: -1545.174441 Hartree
FIA value: $899 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$


LUMO: -4.116 eV


HOMO: -7.931 eV


HOMO-3: -8.984 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\mathbf{5}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ at a contour surface value of +/- 0.10 a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{5}^{2+}$ : -1445.26621 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[5-F]^{+}$: -1545.236387 Hartree
FIA value: $256 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S9. Coordinates of $\mathbf{5}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| S | -2.59268 | 0.002824 | -0.07667 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -3.1386 | -0.02667 | 1.25992 |
| N | -0.7543 | 0.001969 | -0.05513 |
| N | -2.24439 | 2.001198 | -0.07193 |
| N | -2.24577 | -1.99355 | -0.15848 |
| C | -0.0828 | 1.182429 | -0.04557 |
| C | -0.08415 | -1.17926 | -0.06674 |
| C | -0.95965 | -2.34476 | -0.127 |
| C | 1.285001 | -1.19662 | -0.0467 |


| H | 1.79419 | -2.14828 | -0.08682 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -2.92421 | 4.248767 | -0.03574 |
| H | -3.72532 | 4.975664 | -0.02498 |
| C | 3.473871 | -0.00162 | 0.009372 |
| C | 1.286581 | 1.198123 | -0.03374 |
| H | 1.7956 | 2.149899 | 0.005845 |
| C | 2.027778 | 0.000264 | -0.02212 |
| C | -0.95764 | 2.3501 | -0.04531 |
| C | -1.59295 | 4.639668 | -0.01448 |
| H | -1.3356 | 5.691268 | 0.009449 |
| C | -3.22357 | 2.897204 | -0.06396 |
| H | -4.24052 | 2.522848 | -0.06848 |
| C | 4.201876 | 1.09343 | -0.48446 |
| H | 3.691954 | 1.935097 | -0.93686 |
| C | -0.58717 | 3.682089 | -0.01808 |
| H | 0.453704 | 3.974 | 0.00341 |
| C | -3.22544 | -2.8882 | -0.1992 |
| H | -4.24207 | -2.513 | -0.20329 |
| C | 5.580684 | 1.083141 | -0.4615 |
| H | 6.134307 | 1.920225 | -0.86659 |
| C | 4.173977 | -1.09902 | 0.537228 |
| H | 3.639876 | -1.93821 | 0.96576 |
| C | -0.59043 | -3.67721 | -0.14795 |


| H | 0.449937 | -3.97085 | -0.12554 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 5.552365 | -1.09349 | 0.579852 |
| H | 6.083215 | -1.93215 | 1.011311 |
| C | 6.258345 | -0.00635 | 0.075628 |
| H | 7.341032 | -0.00801 | 0.101553 |
| C | -1.59663 | -4.63304 | -0.19744 |
| H | -1.34017 | -5.685 | -0.21341 |
| C | -2.92725 | -4.24012 | -0.22109 |
| H | -3.72883 | -4.96595 | -0.25125 |

Table S10. Coordinates of [5-F] ${ }^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| O | 3.182904 | 0.323116 | 1.137226 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | 0.574549 | 0.108384 | 0.052397 |
| N | 2.267461 | -1.92036 | -0.03747 |
| N | 1.824231 | 2.45178 | -0.33508 |
| C | 0.007271 | -1.09339 | 0.052457 |
| C | -0.17968 | 1.208276 | 0.018934 |
| C | 0.529406 | 2.506141 | -0.01644 |
| C | -1.56632 | 1.108456 | -0.01164 |
| H | -2.17038 | 2.001172 | -0.08831 |


| C | 2.883015 | -4.18794 | 0.219991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 3.668774 | -4.92869 | 0.270397 |
| C | -3.65084 | -0.26335 | -0.04622 |
| C | -1.36537 | -1.26474 | 0.023761 |
| H | -1.8095 | -2.24937 | 0.036617 |
| C | -2.18814 | -0.13741 | -0.00722 |
| C | 0.961295 | -2.21472 | 0.095168 |
| C | 1.5461 | -4.52798 | 0.346111 |
| H | 1.252731 | -5.55881 | 0.499626 |
| C | 3.208373 | -2.86184 | 0.026679 |
| H | 4.23082 | -2.52793 | -0.08309 |
| C | -4.25509 | -1.29691 | -0.76364 |
| H | -3.64585 | -1.99435 | -1.32689 |
| C | 0.583607 | -3.53655 | 0.285266 |
| H | -0.46086 | -3.78498 | 0.403298 |
| C | 2.519181 | 3.577207 | -0.392 |
| H | 3.568342 | 3.483541 | -0.65171 |
| C | -5.63379 | -1.41042 | -0.80361 |
| H | -6.09181 | -2.20618 | -1.37749 |
| C | -4.45762 | 0.649362 | 0.63472 |
| H | -4.0044 | 1.438881 | 1.222672 |
| C | -0.10787 | 3.708475 | 0.26921 |
| H | -1.15083 | 3.73531 | 0.553551 |


| C | -5.8358 | 0.52654 | 0.60348 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -6.45101 | 1.231223 | 1.148689 |
| C | -6.42676 | -0.50134 | -0.11766 |
| H | -7.50528 | -0.59363 | -0.14543 |
| C | 0.624348 | 4.882908 | 0.208602 |
| H | 0.152757 | 5.831511 | 0.432252 |
| C | 1.963526 | 4.822398 | -0.13259 |
| H | 2.572948 | 5.71442 | -0.19009 |
| S | 2.865068 | 0.004516 | -0.21974 |
| F | 4.324713 | -0.543 | -0.77836 |

Computational Details of [(DMAP) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{2+}\left(\mathbf{6}^{\mathbf{2 +}}\right)$ :


LUMO: -8.377 eV


HOMO: - 13.221 eV


HOMO-4: - 15.436 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion) for $\mathbf{6}^{2+}$ in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{6}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ : - 1236.220119 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[6-\mathrm{F}]^{+}:-1336.344207$ Hartree
FIA value: $901 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$


LUMO: -2.620 eV


HOMO: -7.569 eV


HOMO-4: -9.744 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion with an acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\mathbf{6}^{2+}$ at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{6}^{2+}$ : -1236.436162 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[6-\mathrm{F}]^{+}:-1336.406059$ Hartree
FIA value: $255 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S11. Coordinates of $\mathbf{6}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| S | 0.000006 | 2.141988 | -0.52755 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 0.00001 | 2.971933 | 0.657165 |
| C | -1.91273 | 0.411808 | -1.35534 |
| C | -1.91415 | 0.892302 | 0.95826 |
| C | -3.00869 | -0.36995 | -1.23045 |
| H | -1.44704 | 0.596944 | -2.31591 |
| C | -3.01045 | 0.122726 | 1.146298 |
| H | -1.45336 | 1.46036 | 1.756527 |
| C | -3.62274 | -0.55919 | 0.047958 |
| H | -3.42235 | 0.064382 | 2.142254 |
| C | 1.912728 | 0.411801 | -1.35535 |
| C | 1.914158 | 0.892295 | 0.958256 |
| C | 3.008689 | -0.36997 | -1.23046 |
| H | 1.447042 | 0.59694 | -2.31592 |
| C | 3.010462 | 0.122715 | 1.14629 |
| H | 1.453377 | 1.460356 | 1.756523 |
| C | 3.622742 | -0.5592 | 0.04795 |
| H | 3.422365 | 0.064367 | 2.142246 |
| N | $-1.35521$ | 1.026901 | -0.27555 |
| N | 1.355216 | 1.026896 | -0.27555 |


| H | 3.414008 | -0.824 | -2.12174 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -3.41401 | -0.82399 | -2.12173 |
| N | 4.702842 | -1.30122 | 0.200632 |
| N | -4.70286 | -1.30121 | 0.200633 |
| C | 5.333935 | -1.94633 | -0.94747 |
| H | 5.654223 | -1.205 | -1.68197 |
| H | 6.210569 | -2.48872 | -0.60901 |
| H | 4.652175 | -2.65774 | -1.41731 |
| C | 5.315258 | -1.46708 | 1.516092 |
| H | 6.13975 | -2.16773 | 1.434861 |
| H | 5.706052 | -0.51697 | 1.886372 |
| H | 4.595691 | -1.87054 | 2.230127 |
| C | -5.33395 | -1.9463 | -0.94748 |
| H | -6.21058 | -2.4887 | -0.60903 |
| H | -5.65424 | -1.20496 | -1.68196 |
| H | -4.65219 | -2.6577 | -1.41734 |
| C | -5.31528 | -1.4671 | 1.516088 |
| H | -5.70608 | -0.51701 | 1.886389 |
| H | -6.13977 | -2.16776 | 1.434833 |
| H | -4.59571 | -1.87059 | 2.230115 |

Table S12. Coordinates of [6-F] ${ }^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| O | 0.151969 | 2.913996 | 1.147303 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -1.56206 | 0.071069 | -1.0931 |
| C | -2.14164 | 0.955526 | 0.92534 |
| C | -2.693 | -0.70445 | -1.14714 |
| H | -0.849 | 0.039924 | -1.91244 |
| C | -3.30293 | 0.224679 | 0.974559 |
| H | -1.8886 | 1.644202 | 1.724754 |
| C | -3.62606 | -0.64875 | -0.08699 |
| H | -3.95664 | 0.344739 | 1.82542 |
| C | 2.296226 | 0.768879 | -1.15835 |
| C | 1.580777 | 0.427937 | 1.039644 |
| C | 3.136764 | -0.29907 | -1.09366 |
| H | 2.219719 | 1.389077 | -2.0421 |
| C | 2.402573 | -0.64757 | 1.180065 |
| H | 0.941851 | 0.791074 | 1.83445 |
| C | 3.230214 | -1.06081 | 0.102253 |
| H | 2.409195 | -1.16467 | 2.126756 |
| N | -1.26751 | 0.889329 | -0.08126 |
| N | 1.534639 | 1.121263 | -0.10816 |
| H | 3.733821 | -0.53302 | -1.96114 |


| H | -2.85369 | -1.33623 | -2.00788 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 4.055603 | -2.10273 | 0.207615 |
| N | -4.75698 | -1.37945 | -0.09263 |
| C | 4.897547 | -2.49032 | -0.91097 |
| H | 5.585051 | -1.68663 | -1.18576 |
| H | 5.487317 | -3.3567 | -0.62748 |
| H | 4.297132 | -2.75972 | -1.78316 |
| C | 4.141922 | -2.84905 | 1.451095 |
| H | 4.867683 | -3.64823 | 1.335294 |
| H | 4.469046 | -2.20911 | 2.274191 |
| H | 3.180182 | -3.29831 | 1.710137 |
| C | -5.06483 | -2.23618 | -1.21691 |
| H | -6.00795 | -2.74282 | -1.03187 |
| H | -5.16455 | -1.66428 | -2.14445 |
| H | -4.29569 | -3.00062 | -1.36027 |
| C | -5.69819 | -1.27034 | 1.001366 |
| H | -6.09182 | -0.25375 | 1.09452 |
| H | -6.53459 | -1.9398 | 0.820511 |
| H | -5.23948 | -1.55414 | 1.952681 |
| S | 0.408705 | 2.563147 | -0.22646 |
| F | 1.710206 | 3.496496 | -0.60881 |

## Computational Details of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{2+}$



LUMO: -12.446 eV


HOMO: - 16.561 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion) for $\left[\mathbf{C}_{6}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathbf{S O}\right]^{2+}$ in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\left[\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{S O}\right]^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ : -939.970385 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $\left[\mathrm{F}^{\left.-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{S O}\right]^{+}:-1040.187328 \text { Hartree }}\right.$
FIA value: $1144 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$


LUMO: -9.724 eV


HOMO: -5.587 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\left[\mathbf{C}_{6}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathbf{S O}\right]^{2+}$ at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\left[\mathbf{C}_{6}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{S O}\right]^{2+}$ : -940.224694 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for [F-C $\left.{ }_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathrm{SO}\right]^{+}$: -1040.253222 Hartree
FIA value: $409 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S13. Coordinates of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathbf{S O}\right]^{2+}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| O | -2.27189 | -0.005111 | 1.79123 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.93273 | 0.568713 | -0.701588 |
| C | -0.764221 | -0.872465 | -0.599703 |
| C | 0.546434 | -1.414735 | -0.336505 |
| C | 1.632924 | -0.541513 | -0.05129 |
| C | 1.476275 | 0.850378 | -0.157317 |
| C | 0.182377 | 1.421937 | -0.383381 |
| S | -0.914277 | -0.003211 | 1.322097 |
| C | -1.927729 | -1.761097 | -0.887946 |
| H | -2.874701 | -1.291254 | -0.627218 |


| H | -1.952953 | -1.9728 | -1.962928 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -1.864122 | -2.709049 | -0.359143 |
| C | -2.23986 | 1.118877 | -1.159862 |
| H | -2.600722 | 0.542634 | -2.012497 |
| H | -3.007704 | 1.050973 | -0.378757 |
| H | -2.157668 | 2.159769 | -1.458575 |
| C | 0.768783 | -2.875319 | -0.378835 |
| H | 0.838499 | -3.293584 | 0.634208 |
| H | -0.009514 | -3.410587 | -0.913389 |
| H | 1.730168 | -3.09041 | -0.851908 |
| C | 2.951575 | -1.115511 | 0.330706 |
| H | 2.853832 | -2.081438 | 0.821005 |
| H | 3.569756 | -1.266526 | -0.563664 |
| H | 3.503706 | -0.451652 | 0.993193 |
| C | 2.649684 | 1.748533 | -0.017434 |
| H | 2.807773 | 2.017358 | 1.035692 |
| H | 3.562071 | 1.264565 | -0.361247 |
| H | 2.523515 | 2.676402 | -0.57162 |
| C | -0.006393 | 2.88912 | -0.313651 |
| H | 0.676246 | 3.355554 | 0.394071 |
| H | 0.20873 | 3.321397 | -1.301674 |
| H | -1.026077 | 3.169408 | -0.058101 |

Table S14. Coordinates of $\left[\mathrm{F}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{6} \mathbf{S O}\right]^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| O | -2.146298 | -1.185633 | 1.391111 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.028677 | -1.246882 | -0.742346 |
| C | 1.249877 | -1.239814 | -0.204334 |
| C | 1.886172 | -0.0003 | 0.052469 |
| C | 1.244524 | 1.240612 | -0.176164 |
| C | -0.030349 | 1.258287 | -0.72191 |
| C | -0.752412 | 0.010408 | -0.853216 |
| S | -1.189798 | -0.121528 | 1.254523 |
| F | -2.057985 | 1.226239 | 1.316368 |
| C | 1.977434 | -2.516308 | 0.099193 |
| H | 1.296878 | -3.353641 | 0.22388 |
| H | 2.682163 | -2.773867 | -0.696523 |
| H | 2.547821 | -2.429636 | 1.023057 |
| C | -0.737036 | -2.490773 | -1.137358 |
| H | -0.075222 | -3.34936 | -1.183001 |
| H | -1.541633 | -2.718365 | -0.426928 |
| H | -1.208086 | -2.370346 | -2.114074 |
|  | -0.003232 | 0.537657 |  |
| H |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | 3.320993 | -0.020376 | 1.633804 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 3.841079 | -0.878051 | 0.191888 |
| H | 3.83565 | 0.885223 | 0.219399 |
| C | 1.962736 | 2.514549 | 0.159599 |
| H | 2.537703 | 2.407172 | 1.078358 |
| H | 2.661458 | 2.803071 | -0.630912 |
| C | 1.27294 | 3.340208 | 0.311381 |
| H | -0.700927 | 2.518765 | -1.144519 |
| H | 0.002542 | 3.340558 | -0.433478 |
| H | -1.189897 | 2.386173 | -2.110338 |
| C | -2.104421 | 0.005469 | -1.508094 |
| H | -2.665344 | 0.910071 | -1.286971 |
| H | -1.987241 | -0.063272 | -2.592819 |
| H | -2.701412 | -0.845897 | -1.184756 |

## Computational Details of [4-CITerpyridineSO] ${ }^{2+}(4)^{2+}$ :



LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion) for $\mathbf{4}^{2+}$ in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{4}^{2+}$ : -1673.731998 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[4-\mathrm{F}]^{+}:-1773.872304$ Hartree
FIA value: $943 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$


LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\mathbf{4}^{2+}$ at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{4}^{2+}$ : - 1673.960895 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $[4-\mathrm{F}]^{+}:-1773.936976$ Hartree
FIA value: $271 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S15. Coordinates of $\mathbf{4}^{2+}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| O | 0.001279 | -2.30285 | 1.232317 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | 0.00012 | 0.125479 | -0.04269 |
| N | -1.99678 | -1.38222 | -0.12332 |
| N | 1.997095 | -1.38089 | -0.12368 |
| C | -1.18375 | 0.781756 | -0.0338 |


| C | 1.183464 | 0.782623 | -0.0337 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.348503 | -0.09409 | -0.07648 |
| C | 1.20698 | 2.157671 | 0.003134 |
| H | 2.145395 | 2.693852 | 0.012561 |
| C | -4.24707 | -2.05764 | -0.14706 |
| H | -4.97408 | -2.85873 | -0.16833 |
| C | -1.20801 | 2.156818 | 0.003023 |
| H | -2.1467 | 2.692498 | 0.012393 |
| C | -0.00077 | 2.856653 | 0.028879 |
| C | -2.34839 | -0.09551 | -0.0765 |
| C | -4.63853 | -0.72793 | -0.10583 |
| H | -5.69024 | -0.46957 | -0.09857 |
| C | -2.89391 | -2.35913 | -0.15421 |
| H | -2.52292 | -3.37726 | -0.17368 |
| C | -3.6792 | 0.277409 | -0.06843 |
| H | -3.97188 | 1.317969 | -0.03229 |
| C | 2.894161 | -2.35791 | -0.15468 |
| H | 2.523158 | -3.37604 | -0.17428 |
| C | 3.679275 | 0.278793 | -0.06816 |
| H | 3.971913 | 1.319355 | -0.03168 |
| C | 4.638608 | -0.72657 | -0.1057 |
| H | 5.690303 | -0.46815 | -0.09823 |
| C | 4.247272 | -2.05632 | -0.14732 |


| H | 4.974337 | -2.85734 | -0.16883 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cl | -0.00133 | 4.540422 | 0.083745 |
| S | 0.001145 | -1.73858 | -0.09453 |

Table S16. Coordinates of [4-F] ${ }^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| O | -0.38302 | -2.34066 | -1.13759 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | 0.114849 | 0.25311 | -0.04344 |
| N | 2.067538 | -1.56802 | 0.277505 |
| N | -2.28683 | -0.8678 | 0.072133 |
| C | 1.373405 | 0.692955 | -0.01419 |
| C | -0.90316 | 1.104626 | -0.00276 |
| C | -2.23392 | 0.472172 | -0.04049 |
| C | -0.72262 | 2.476671 | 0.068442 |
| H | -1.54664 | 3.172093 | 0.120719 |
| C | 4.315752 | -2.30534 | -0.0145 |
| H | 5.022629 | -3.12409 | 0.005425 |
| C | 1.642687 | 2.057864 | 0.053932 |
| H | 2.655727 | 2.428945 | 0.101797 |
| C | 0.583006 | 2.946739 | 0.093591 |
| C | 2.44486 | -0.32606 | -0.03319 |


| C | 4.707307 | -1.02175 | -0.3489 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 5.736686 | -0.80576 | -0.60554 |
| C | 2.978829 | -2.52816 | 0.287854 |
| H | 2.629084 | -3.52259 | 0.543911 |
| C | 3.758962 | -0.0116 | -0.35902 |
| H | 4.04311 | 0.993986 | -0.63785 |
| C | -3.44113 | -1.52974 | 0.004861 |
| H | -3.38531 | -2.60567 | 0.096305 |
| C | -3.4122 | 1.18379 | -0.21104 |
| H | -3.38225 | 2.258699 | -0.31362 |
| C | -4.62108 | 0.513247 | -0.2735 |
| H | -5.54115 | 1.066975 | -0.41145 |
| C | -4.63876 | -0.86748 | -0.16955 |
| H | -5.55848 | -1.43327 | -0.22273 |
| Cl | 0.877473 | 4.628341 | 0.192644 |
| S | -0.58715 | -1.95824 | 0.223573 |
| F | -1.48807 | -3.21364 | 0.797666 |

## Computational Details of $[\mathrm{SO}]^{2+}$ :



LUMO: -23.089 eV


HOMO: -31.198 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion) for $\mathbf{S O}^{2+}$ in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of +/- 0.10 a.u.

Enthalpy Value for SO $^{2+}$ : -472.07105 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for [F-SO] ${ }^{+}$: -572.615171 Hartree
FIA value: 2003 kJ/mol


LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\mathbf{S O}^{2+}$ at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{S O}^{2+}$ : -472.511964 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for [F-SO] ${ }^{+}$: -572.726156 Hartree
FIA value: $897 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S17. Coordinates of $\mathbf{S O}^{2+}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| S | 0 | 0 | 0.458897 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | 0 | 0 | -0.9178 |

Table S18. Coordinates of [F-SO] ${ }^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| S | 0 | 0.431403 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| F | -1.11941 | -0.59891 | 0 |
| O | 1.259333 | -0.18903 | 0 |

## Computational Details of $[\mathrm{SOF}]^{+}$:



LUMO: - 12.673 eV


HOMO: - 19.155 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion) for $\mathbf{S O F}^{+}$in the gas-phase at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{S O F}^{+}$: -572.615171 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ SO: -672.788411 Hartree
FIA value: $1030 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$


LUMO: -6.926 eV
HOMO: - 13.519 eV

LUMO (left) and HOMO (right) (PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction) for $\mathbf{S O F}^{+}$at a contour surface value of $+/-0.10$ a.u.

Enthalpy Value for SOF $^{+}$: -572.726156 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ SO: -672.792292 Hartree
FIA value: $508 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S19. Coordinates of $\mathbf{S O F}^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| S | 0 | 0.431403 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| F | -1.11941 | -0.59891 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 1.259333 | -0.18903 | 0 |

Table S20. Coordinates of $\mathbf{F}_{2} \mathbf{S O}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| S | -0.16153 | 0 | 0.406292 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| F | 0.753746 | 1.160354 | -0.20572 |
| F | 0.753747 | -1.16035 | -0.20572 |
| O | -1.37288 | 0 | -0.34973 |

## Computational Details of [PF5]:

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion for PF5 in the gas-phase:
Enthalpy Value for PF5: -840.313619 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for PF6: -940.238088 Hartree
FIA value: $377 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction:

Enthalpy Value for PF5: -840.316138 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for PF6 $_{6}$ : -940.32351 Hartree
FIA value: $354 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S21. Coordinates of $\mathbf{P F}_{5}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| P | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F | 0 | 0 | 1.585448 |
| F | 0 | 1.549691 | 0 |
| F | -1.34207 | -0.77485 | 0 |
| F | 0 | 0 | -1.58545 |
| F | 1.342072 | -0.77485 | 0 |

Table S22. Coordinates of PF6 $_{6}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| P | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F | 0 | 0 | 1.624382 |
| F | 0 | 1.624382 | 0 |
| F | 1.624382 | 0 | 0 |
| F | 0 | 0 | -1.62438 |
| F | 0 | -1.62438 | 0 |
| F | -1.62438 | 0 | 0 |

## Computational Details of [BF3]:

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion for $\mathbf{B F}_{3}$ in the gas-phase:
Enthalpy Value for BF3: -324.354561 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for BF4: - 424.264092 Hartree
FIA value: $337 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction:

Enthalpy Value for BF3: -324.356865 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for BF4: - 424.357305 Hartree
FIA value: $335 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S23. Coordinates of $\mathbf{B F}_{3}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| B | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F | 0 | 1.310398 | 0 |
| F | 1.134838 | -0.6552 | 0 |
| F | -1.13484 | -0.6552 | 0 |

Table S24. Coordinates of $\mathbf{B F}_{4}^{-}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| B | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F | 0.81041 | 0.81041 | 0.81041 |
| F | -0.81041 | -0.81041 | 0.81041 |
| F | -0.81041 | 0.81041 | -0.81041 |
| F | 0.81041 | -0.81041 | -0.81041 |

## Computational Details of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PF}\right]^{+}$:

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion for $\left[\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}\right)_{3} \mathbf{P F}\right]^{+}$in the gas-phase:
Enthalpy Value for $\left[\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F} 5\right)_{3} \mathbf{P F}\right]^{+}$: - 2622.653644 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ : -2722.724519 Hartree

FIA value: $761 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction:

Enthalpy Value for $\left[\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F} 5\right)_{3} \mathbf{P F}\right]^{+}$: $\mathbf{- 2 6 2 2 . 7 2 1 6 7 5}$ Hartree
Enthalpy Value for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ : -2722.731728 Hartree
FIA value: $361 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S25. Coordinates of $\left[\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathbf{P F}\right]^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| P | -0.00123 | -0.00157 | 0.703336 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F | -0.10589 | -2.73872 | 1.640935 |
| F | -0.00346 | -0.00418 | 2.257034 |
| F | 2.386268 | 0.014118 | -1.25941 |
| F | 1.557592 | -4.78941 | 1.100084 |
| F | 3.605415 | -4.42295 | -0.61529 |
| F | 2.41534 | 1.279729 | 1.65495 |
| F | -1.19925 | 2.056317 | -1.26651 |
| F | 4.010824 | -2.03335 | -1.78764 |
| F | -0.23234 | 4.483108 | -1.79876 |
| F | 2.035513 | 5.327936 | -0.61852 |
| F | 3.366217 | 3.741579 | 1.108838 |
| C | 1.109996 | -1.29843 | 0.241085 |


| C | -1.68013 | -0.31197 | 0.239738 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.255289 | 3.323344 | 0.551355 |
| C | 2.807622 | -3.42817 | -0.3421 |
| C | 0.567885 | 1.609301 | 0.243823 |
| C | 0.915252 | -2.55664 | 0.821933 |
| C | 1.7524 | 2.070485 | 0.829191 |
| C | -2.07566 | -1.306 | -0.65931 |
| C | -0.09329 | 2.445708 | -0.65977 |
| C | -3.4118 | -1.5102 | -0.94785 |
| C | -2.67037 | 0.486592 | 0.822856 |
| C | 2.169272 | -1.14024 | -0.65674 |
| C | -4.37493 | -0.71149 | -0.34103 |
| C | 1.752022 | -3.61664 | 0.546406 |
| C | 3.016194 | -2.1933 | -0.94643 |
| C | 1.569851 | 4.141307 | -0.34329 |
| C | 0.398293 | 3.704008 | -0.9515 |
| C | -4.00736 | 0.294965 | 0.548365 |
| F | -2.31533 | 1.459861 | 1.643401 |
| F | -4.92362 | 1.050815 | 1.104157 |
| F | -5.63607 | -0.90227 | -0.6129 |
| F | -3.77307 | -2.4498 | -1.78997 |
| F | -1.18645 | -2.07191 | -1.26379 |

Table S26. Coordinates of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right){ }_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| C | 1.806298 | -0.2305 | 0.002413 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.393355 | -1.28561 | -0.68212 |
| C | 3.76408 | -1.47506 | -0.68234 |
| C | 4.575719 | -0.58129 | -0.00211 |
| C | -1.09983 | -1.44637 | -0.0013 |
| C | -0.76303 | -2.60518 | 0.684467 |
| C | -1.59072 | -3.71418 | 0.68214 |
| C | -2.79472 | -3.66428 | -0.0021 |
| C | -3.15857 | -2.51523 | -0.68595 |
| C | -2.30608 | -1.42517 | -0.68765 |
| F | 1.647394 | -2.17041 | -1.32736 |
| F | 4.30102 | -2.50114 | -1.32036 |
| F | 5.883818 | -0.7468 | -0.00434 |
| F | 0.001986 | -0.00242 | 1.655155 |
| F | 0.388725 | -2.69554 | 1.33332 |
| F | -1.23923 | -4.81711 | 1.320972 |
| F | -3.59537 | -4.71186 | -0.00256 |
| F | -4.31504 | -2.46548 | -1.32497 |
| F | -2.69611 | -0.33724 | -1.33585 |
| P | 0.002808 | -0.00088 | 0.001725 |


| C | 2.63977 | 0.644252 | 0.685261 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 4.014552 | 0.486127 | 0.680658 |
| C | -0.70107 | 1.675178 | 0.003086 |
| F | 0.00558 | 0.001539 | -1.65195 |
| F | 2.139762 | 1.686289 | 1.333228 |
| F | 4.792488 | 1.345871 | 1.316201 |
| C | -0.08278 | 2.712421 | -0.68123 |
| C | -1.87556 | 1.957703 | 0.686652 |
| C | -0.60651 | 3.993256 | -0.68109 |
| F | 1.056869 | 2.511276 | -1.3266 |
| C | -2.42841 | 3.226266 | 0.682386 |
| F | -2.52609 | 1.002832 | 1.335254 |
| C | -1.78686 | 4.247051 | -0.00077 |
| F | 0.011712 | 4.972638 | -1.31889 |
| F | -3.56197 | 3.467744 | 1.318739 |
| F | -2.29997 | 5.461666 | -0.00313 |

## Computational Details of $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Si}^{+}\right]^{+}$

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion for [ $\mathbf{P h} 3 \mathbf{S i}]^{+}$in the gas-phase:
Enthalpy Value for [Ph3Si] ${ }^{+}$: -983.217907 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for Ph3SiF: -1083.324715 Hartree
FIA value: $855 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction:

Enthalpy Value for $\left[\mathbf{P h}_{3} \mathbf{S i}\right]^{+}$: - 983.277706 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for Ph3SiF: -1083.333853 Hartree
FIA value: $482 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S27. Coordinates of $\left[\mathbf{P h}_{3} \mathbf{S i}\right]^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| Si | -0.00059 | 0.001114 | 0.005238 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.354795 | -1.77946 | 0.003672 |
| C | 1.555135 | -2.26564 | 0.548953 |
| C | -0.56744 | -2.686 | -0.54617 |
| C | 1.818064 | -3.62243 | 0.54935 |
| H | 2.273181 | -1.58202 | 0.986849 |
| C | -0.28902 | -4.03972 | -0.55523 |
| H | -1.4933 | -2.32821 | -0.98148 |
| C | 0.899197 | -4.50561 | -0.00513 |
| H | 2.73799 | -3.99571 | 0.981033 |
| H | -0.99544 | -4.73506 | -0.99045 |
| H | 1.111467 | -5.56785 | -0.0087 |
| C | 1.364634 | 1.198211 | 0.002822 |
| C | 2.612257 | 0.849511 | -0.54168 |
| C | 1.185644 | 2.48273 | 0.543899 |


| C | 3.646758 | 1.765935 | -0.54997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.765526 | -0.13296 | -0.97295 |
| C | 2.230458 | 3.387401 | 0.544827 |
| H | 0.233902 | 2.765782 | 0.978404 |
| C | 3.456112 | 3.029868 | -0.00436 |
| H | 4.603264 | 1.499047 | -0.98101 |
| H | 2.09359 | 4.372256 | 0.972947 |
| H | 4.270997 | 3.743564 | -0.00707 |
| C | -1.72036 | 0.583021 | 0.003661 |
| C | -2.04535 | 1.835104 | -0.54501 |
| C | -2.74095 | -0.21414 | 0.549018 |
| C | -3.35713 | 2.270282 | -0.55305 |
| H | -1.27328 | 2.458586 | -0.98069 |
| C | -4.04777 | 0.235877 | 0.550216 |
| H | -2.50729 | -1.17774 | 0.986715 |
| C | -4.35418 | 1.47333 | -0.00334 |
| H | -3.60667 | 3.229953 | -0.98743 |
| H | -4.83042 | -0.37492 | 0.98186 |
| H | -5.38043 | 1.820073 | -0.00629 |

Table S28. Coordinates of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiF}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| Si | -0.00023 | -0.00265 | 0.757016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | 0.437578 | 1.724133 | 0.200226 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.561349 | 1.977971 | -0.58736 |
| C | -0.37746 | 2.801882 | 0.559695 |
| C | 1.865606 | 3.267536 | -1.0008 |
| H | 2.207668 | 1.157804 | -0.88109 |
| C | -0.07506 | 4.09104 | 0.152004 |
| H | -1.26155 | 2.632015 | 1.165786 |
| C | 1.048262 | 4.32457 | -0.63036 |
| H | 2.742237 | 3.447016 | -1.61215 |
| H | -0.71556 | 4.915653 | 0.442173 |
| H | 1.284865 | 5.332179 | -0.95176 |
| C | 1.272165 | -1.24396 | 0.189999 |
| C | 2.52088 | -1.3211 | 0.812808 |
| C | 1.015176 | -2.09164 | -0.88856 |
| C | 3.481946 | -2.21684 | 0.370315 |
| H | 2.743157 | -0.67852 | 1.658369 |
| C | 1.976106 | -2.98664 | -1.33661 |
| H | 0.048614 | -2.05712 | -1.38037 |
| C | 3.210458 | -3.04907 | -0.70732 |
| H | 4.444027 | -2.26811 | 0.866553 |
| H | 1.759827 | -3.63912 | -2.1744 |
| H | 3.96148 | -3.74942 | -1.05372 |
| C | -1.71645 | -0.47326 | 0.196886 |
| C | -2.43902 | -1.4515 | 0.884691 |
| C | -2.2895 | 0.098932 | -0.94003 |
| C | -3.69483 | -1.8452 | 0.449893 |
| H | -2.01754 | -1.90589 | 1.775044 |
| C | -3.54418 | -0.29673 | -1.38143 |
| H | -1.75212 | 0.869057 | -1.48361 |
| C | -4.24731 | -1.26938 | -0.68622 |
| H | -4.24501 | -2.60183 | 0.996931 |
| H | -3.97549 | 0.157919 | -2.26558 |
| H | -5.22881 | -1.57724 | -1.02742 |
| F | -0.00298 | -0.02686 | 2.375552 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Computational Details of 4-Ph-Ph-CH2 ${ }^{+}$:

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion for $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{- P h} \mathbf{- P h}-\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{+}$in the gasphase:

Enthalpy Value for 4-Ph-Ph-CH2+: -501.086536 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for 4-Ph-Ph-CH2F:-601.151909 Hartree

FIA value: $747 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction:

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{- P h}-\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{C H}_{2}{ }^{+}$: -501.152064 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for 4-Ph-Ph-CH2F: -601.1594 Hartree
FIA value: $353 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S29. Coordinates of 4-Ph-Ph-CH2 ${ }_{2}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| $C$ | 0.318317 | $-1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.046675 | -1.19855 | 0.242284 |
| $C$ | 2.40267 | -1.2036 | 0.251673 |
| C | 3.142146 | 0.000001 | 0.000003 |
| C | 2.402669 | 1.203602 | -0.25167 |
| C | 1.046675 | 1.198553 | -0.24228 |
| C | -1.12353 | $-1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.000001 |
| C | -1.84427 | -1.1838 | -0.25131 |
| C | -3.22182 | -1.17735 | -0.26517 |
| C | -3.91408 | 0.000001 | $-1 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| C | -3.22182 | 1.177356 | 0.265171 |
| C | -1.84427 | 1.183796 | 0.251311 |
| H | 0.51065 | -2.11038 | 0.464249 |


| H | 2.947551 | -2.11695 | 0.459754 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $H$ | 2.947549 | 2.116951 | -0.45975 |
| H | 0.510648 | 2.110379 | -0.46424 |
| H | -1.32208 | -2.10183 | -0.48573 |
| H | -3.76381 | -2.08805 | -0.48469 |
| H | -4.99718 | 0.000002 | $-2 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| H | -3.76381 | 2.088048 | 0.484692 |
| H | -1.32208 | 2.10183 | 0.485732 |
| C | 4.498617 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| H | 5.062337 | -0.90488 | 0.19711 |
| H | 5.06233 | 0.904882 | -0.19714 |

Table S30. Coordinates of 4-Ph-Ph-CH2F (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| C | 0.148074 | 0.056831 | -0.09068 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.55277 | 1.186571 | 0.330827 |
| C | -1.93446 | 1.23239 | 0.258557 |
| C | -2.65692 | 0.155484 | -0.24065 |
| C | -1.96209 | -0.97263 | -0.66489 |
| C | -0.58237 | -1.02336 | -0.58983 |
| C | 1.620126 | 0.004923 | -0.01442 |
| C | 2.392578 | 1.129613 | -0.30654 |


| C | 3.775158 | 1.079989 | -0.23516 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 4.413887 | -0.09568 | 0.131597 |
| C | 3.657758 | -1.22108 | 0.425678 |
| C | 2.275346 | -1.17114 | 0.352457 |
| H | -0.00876 | 2.027641 | 0.743899 |
| H | -2.46184 | 2.114928 | 0.603966 |
| H | -2.51116 | -1.81831 | -1.06429 |
| H | -0.05715 | -1.90022 | -0.94931 |
| H | 1.903239 | 2.045067 | -0.61781 |
| H | 4.35701 | 1.962068 | -0.47498 |
| H | 5.494989 | -0.13454 | 0.188085 |
| H | 4.146598 | -2.14161 | 0.721846 |
| H | 1.691886 | -2.04779 | 0.608283 |
| C | -4.14956 | 0.1869 | -0.28713 |
| H | -4.52695 | -0.31053 | -1.18497 |
| H | -4.52269 | 1.214071 | -0.26526 |
| F | -4.68597 | -0.47819 | 0.807955 |

## Computational Details of $\left[\mathrm{PhCF}_{2}\right]^{+}$:

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion for $\mathbf{P h C F}_{2}{ }^{+}$in the gas-phase:
Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{P h C F}_{2}{ }^{+}$: -468.689208 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for PhCF3: -568.791603 Hartree
FIA value: $844 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

PBE1PBE/cc-PVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion and an acetonitrile solvent correction:

Enthalpy Value for $\mathbf{P h C F}_{2}{ }^{+}$: -468.766081 Hartree
Enthalpy Value for PhCF3: -568.79618 Hartree
FIA value: $413 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table S31. Coordinates of $\mathbf{P h C F}_{2}{ }^{+}$(in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| C | -1.8644 | -1.22147 | $-7.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.4935 | -1.23543 | -0.00022 |
| C | 0.201304 | 0 | $-2.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| C | -0.4935 | 1.235425 | 0.000198 |
| C | -1.8644 | 1.221467 | 0.000088 |
| H | -2.41913 | -2.15032 | -0.00189 |
| H | 0.055941 | -2.16835 | -0.00134 |
| H | 0.055941 | 2.168346 | 0.001306 |
| H | -2.41913 | 2.150323 | 0.001912 |
| C | 1.586639 | 0 | $-6.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| C | -2.54311 | 0 | 0.000015 |
| H | -3.62712 | 0 | 0.00003 |
| F | 2.28774 | 1.056275 | -0.00093 |
| F | 2.28774 | -1.05628 | 0.000984 |

Table S32. Coordinates of $\mathbf{P h C F}_{3}$ (in Angstroms) after geometry optimization at PBE1PBE/ccPVTZ with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.

| C | -2.12622 | 1.201951 | 0.001562 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.74068 | 1.204705 | -0.01911 |
| C | -0.05205 | 0.000022 | -0.03077 |
| C | -0.74065 | -1.20468 | -0.01912 |
| C | -2.12619 | -1.20196 | 0.001557 |
| C | -2.81909 | $-1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.012393 |
| H | -2.6659 | 2.140884 | 0.006187 |
| H | -0.19256 | 2.137933 | -0.03421 |
| H | -0.19251 | -2.1379 | -0.03422 |
| H | -2.66585 | -2.14091 | 0.006177 |
| H | -3.90231 | $-2.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.026333 |
| C | 1.448001 | 0.000012 | -0.00317 |
| F | 1.961562 | -1.07897 | -0.60757 |
| F | 1.916894 | -0.00033 | 1.255676 |
| F | 1.961594 | 1.079278 | -0.60703 |

## 6. X-Ray Crystallography Data

## Crystal Structure of $1[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)



Crystal Structure of $3[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)


Poorly Resolved Crystal Structure of $4[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms and $2 \mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{C N}$ molecules eliminated for clarity)


Crystal Structure of $\mathbf{5}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)



Crystal Structure of 6[OTf $]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)



Poorly Resolved Crystal Structure of 7[OTf $]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)


Crystal Structure of 8[OTf $]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)


Crystal Structure of 9[OTf $]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)


Crystal Structure of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}($ Bipy occupancy $=0.5)$


Packing of [ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ (8 triflate anions, 2 full $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Bipy}$ cations, $4 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ Bipy cations of occupancy $=0.5$ ).


Crystal Structure of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CITerpy}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)



Crystal Structure of [HDMAP][OTf] (Hydrogen atoms eliminated for clarity)


Crystal Structure of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SiOPh}_{3}$ (Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity)


Table of Crystallographic Data


| No. of parameters | 327 | 437 | 427 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R_{1}, w R_{2}$ | 0.0612, 0.1567 | 0.0381, 0.1119 | 0.0540, 0.1285 |
| GOF on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.126 | 1.033 | 1.014 |
|  | $6[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ | $8[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ | ${ }^{9}[\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ |
| empirical formula | C20 H26 F6 N6 O7 S3 | C19 H15 F3 O3.50 P S | $\begin{gathered} \text { C16 H14 Cu F6 N4 O6 } \\ \text { S2 } \end{gathered}$ |
| formula weight | 672.65 | 419.34 | 599.97 |
| crystal <br> system | triclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| space group | P-1 | P-1 | P 1 21/n 1 |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 9.7593(10) | 8.6157(9) | 7.9129(3) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 12.1850(14) | 11.1675(11) | 7.9129(3) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 13.8693(15) | 19.509(2) | $11.8839(5)$ |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 108.767(5) | 94.582(4) | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ | 107.547(4) | 93.015(4) | 93.087(3) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ | 94.641(3) | 98.970(4) | 90 |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1459.8(3) | 1844.2(3) | 2295.01(16) |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D}_{\text {cale }}\left(\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-}\right. \\ \left.{ }^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 1.530 | 1.510 | 1.736 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.342 | 0.312 | 1.223 |


| reflectio | 33043 | 32039 | 34875 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| measured |  |  |  |
| unique |  |  |  |
| reflections, | 7238, 0.0667 | 32039, 0.0572 | 7038, 0.0776 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ |  |  |  |
| No. of 385 |  |  |  |
| parameters |  |  |  |
| $R_{1}, w R_{2}$ | 0.0704, 0.2453 | 0.0469, 0.1178 | 0.0423, 0.0974 |
|  |  |  | 1.008 |
| GOF on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.078 | 0.1178 |  |


|  | $\left.{ }_{\left[H_{2}\right.} \mathbf{C l T e r p y}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P h}_{3} \mathrm{SiOSiPh}_{3}$ | [ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Bipy $][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical |  |  | C24 H20 F12 N4 O12 |
| formula | C17 H12 Cl F6 N3 O6 S2 | C36 H30 O Si2 | S4 |
|  | 567.87 | 534.78 | 912.68 |
| weight |  |  |  |
|  | triclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| system |  |  |  |
| space group | P-1 | P-1 | C $12 / \mathrm{c} 1$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 7.7029(17) | 8.6037(19) | 14.1458(9) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 10.457(3) | $9.488(2)$ | 9.5520(9) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 15.096(5) | 10.993(3) | 13.0726(10) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 108.987(8) | 95.936(7) | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 92.250(7) | 111.775(5) | 111.259(7) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 110.503(7) | 113.342(5) | 90 |


| $\mathrm{V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1060.7(6) | 731.4(3) | 1646.2(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $\begin{gathered} D_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-}\right. \\ \left.{ }^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 1.778 | 1.214 | 1.841 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.473 | 0.148 | 0.426 |
| reflections <br> measured | 7879 | 11935 | 25462 |
| unique |  |  |  |
| reflections, | 5706, 0.0664 | 4089, 0.0964 | 2876, 0.0732 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ |  |  |  |
| No. of parameters | 324 | 178 | 127 |
| $R_{1}, w R_{2}$ | 0.0489, 0.1186 | 0.0641, 0.1711 | 0.0369, 0.0895 |
| GOF on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.004 | 1.000 | 1.058 |


|  | $[$ HDMAP $][\mathrm{OTf}]$ | $\mathbf{8 [ O T f}]_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical | C 16 H 22 F 6 N 4 O6 S2 | C 32 H 26 F 6 N 3 O 8 P S 3 |
| formula |  |  |
| formula | 544.49 | 821.71 |
| weight |  |  |
| crystal | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| system | $\mathrm{P} 121 / \mathrm{c} 1$ | $9.1128(9)$ |
| space group | $8.4711(14)$ | $19.7390(18)$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | $9.3527(12)$ |  |


| $c(\AA)$ | 14.835(2) | 20.468(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 106.410(4) | 94.868(3) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1127.4(3) | 3668.5(6) |
| Z | 2 | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-}\right. & 1.604 & 1.488 \\ \left.{ }^{3}\right) & \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.327 | 0.329 |
| reflections 12379 |  |  |
| unique |  |  |
| reflections, | 2893, 0.0752 | 8400, 0.1498 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ |  |  |
| No. of parameters | 156 | 4821 |
| $R_{1}, w R_{2}$ | 0.0480, 0.1378 | 0.1374, 0.4301 |
| GOF on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.037 | 1.491 |
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