Supporting Information

Nitrile formation via dichlorocarbene insertion into the Si-N bond of

Ln(III) bis(trimethylsilyl)amide complexes

Yangjuan Li, Yu Gong *

Department of Radiochemistry, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 201800, China.

^{*}E-mail: gongyu@sinap.ac.cn

Contents

I. Experimental Procedures

II. Characterization

Fig. S1. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6) spectrum of the reaction products of Eu[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ with styrene and CDCl₃ (1:1:1) at room temperature in n-hexane.

Fig. S2. (a) ¹H NMR spectrum of Eu[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ in n-hexane- d_{14} . (b) ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction of Eu[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ with CHCl₃ (1:1) at room temperature in n-hexane- d_{14} .

Fig. S3. (a) ¹³C NMR spectrum of Eu[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ in n-hexane- d_{14} . (b) ¹³C NMR spectrum of the reaction of Eu[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ with CHCl₃ (1:1) at room temperature in n-hexane- d_{14} .

Fig. S4. Experimental and calculated PXRD patterns for $[Eu{N(SiMe_3)_2}_2(\mu-Cl)(NCSiMe_3)]_2$.

Fig. S5. Experimental and calculated PXRD patterns for $[Sm{N(SiMe_3)_2}_2(\mu-Cl)(NCSiMe_3)]_2$.

Fig. S6. Experimental and calculated PXRD patterns for Yb[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (NCSiMe₃)₂.

Fig. S7. FTIR spectra of complex 1 (black), complex 2 (red) and complex 3 (blue)

Fig. S8. UV-visible absorption spectra of complex 1 in n-hexane

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinements for complexes 1, 2 and 3.

III. References

I. Experimental Procedures

General information

All reactions were carried out using modified Schlenk-line and Ar-atmosphere glove box (<1 ppm O₂/H₂O) techniques. n-Hexane and THF dried and degassed through a Vigor solvent purification system as well as CDCl₃ and CHCl₃ were stored over 4 Å sieves for 24 h under argon atmosphere before use. C₆D₆ were dried over Na and stored under argon atmosphere prior to use. n-Hexane- d_{14} and styrene (carbene trapping reagent) are used without purification. Ln[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (Ln=Eu, Sm, Yb) was synthesized according to the literature methods.¹ Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed on a Vario EL III elemental analyser. The powder X-ray diffraction patterns were collected on a Rigaku Synergy R (Cu Ka) diffractometer at 180 K. The powder wrapped in inert oil was cooled under a cold stream of nitrogen, and CrysAlisPro software was used for data collection and integration. All NMR spectra were recorded on a Zhongke-Niujin Quantum-I Plus 400 spectrometer (Zhongke-Niujin, Wuhan, China). ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm and were calibrated to residual solvent peaks (C_6D_6) or TMS (n-hexane- d_{14}). Due to the paramagnetic nature of trivalent europium, samarium and ytterbium, conclusive ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of compounds 1-3 could not be obtained. The solution magnetic susceptibilities of 1-3 were determined by the Evans method in C₆D₆.² The FTIR samples were prepared as KBr pellets, and the spectra were obtained on a Nolay-50 spectrometer (Nuoleixinda, Tianjin, China). The UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Cary 6000i Agilent spectrophotometer.

X-ray Crystallography

The intensity data were collected on a Rigaku Synergy R (Mo K α) diffractometer at 180 K. Absorption corrections were applied by using the program CrysAlisPro (multiscan). The crystal structures were solved by SHELXT structure solution program using Intrinsic Phasing, and nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by least-squares techniques on F^2 by SHELXL with the graphical user interfaces of Olex2.³ For all structures, H-atom parameters were constrained.

Synthesis of [Eu{N(SiMe₃)₂}₂(µ-Cl)(NCSiMe₃)]₂ (1)

CDCl₃ (20 ul, 0.25 mmol) was added to a solution of Eu[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (158.3 mg, 0.25 mmol) in n-hexane (4 mL) at room temperature. The color of the mixture changed into red, and a purple precipitate was observed within 20 min. After filtration, solvent volatilized slowly at room temperature. The resulting dark red solid was washed three times by n-hexane and dried in vacuum. Yield: 36 mg, 47.4% based on Eu[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₃₂H₉₀Cl₂N₆Si₁₀Eu₂: C, 31.64; H,7.47; N, 6.92. Found: C, 30.12; H, 7.24; N, 6.47. μ_{eff} = 4.99 μ_{B} (Evans method). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v(C=N), 2197 and 2129. Powder X-ray diffraction was used to confirm the purity of the bulk samples.

Synthesis of [Sm{N(SiMe₃)₂}₂(µ-Cl)(NCSiMe₃)]₂ (2)

CDCl₃ (20 ul, 0.25 mmol) was added to a solution of Sm[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (158.1 mg, 0.25 mmol) in n-hexane (4 mL) at room temperature. A few white precipitate was observed within 20 min. After filtration, solvent volatilized slowly at room temperature. The resulting ivory solid was washed three times by n-hexane and dried in vacuum. Yield:

43 mg, 56.8% based on Sm[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃. Anal. Calcd (%) for $C_{32}H_{90}Cl_2N_6Si_{10}Sm_2$: C, 31.72; H,7.49; N, 6.94. Found: C, 31.83; H, 7.58; N, 6.90. $\mu_{eff} = 1.71 \ \mu_B$ (Evans method). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v(C=N), 2197 and 2128. Powder X-ray diffraction was used to confirm the purity of the bulk samples.

Synthesis of Yb[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃(NCSiMe₃)₂ (3)

CDCl₃ (20 ul, 0.25 mmol) was added to a solution of Yb[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (163.8 mg, 0.25 mmol) in n-hexane (5 mL) at room temperature. The color of the mixture faded, and an earth-yellow precipitate was observed within 20 min. Filtrating the mixture, the filtrate was stored at -25 °C to afford colorless crystals. Yield: 36 mg, 33.8% based on Yb[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₆H₇₂N₅Si₈Yb: C, 36.63; H, 8.51; N, 8.21. Found: C, 36.70; H, 8.53; N,7.38. $\mu_{eff} = 4.47 \ \mu_{B}$ (Evans method). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v(C=N), 2136. Powder X-ray diffraction was used to confirm the purity of the bulk samples.

II. Characterization



Fig. S1. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6) spectrum of the reaction products of Eu[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3 with styrene and CDCl₃ (1:1:1) at room temperature in n-hexane. The reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed before the reaction proudcts were dissolved in C_6D_6 .



Fig. S2. (a) ¹H NMR spectrum of $Eu[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ in n-hexane- d_{14} . (b) ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction of $Eu[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ with CHCl₃ (1:1) at room temperature in n-hexane- d_{14} .



Fig. S3. (a) ¹³C NMR spectrum of $Eu[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ in n-hexane- d_{14} . (b) ¹³C NMR spectrum of the reaction of $Eu[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ with CHCl₃ (1:1) at room temperature in n-hexane- d_{14} .



Fig. S4. Experimental and calculated PXRD patterns for $[Eu{N(SiMe_3)_2}_2(\mu-Cl)(NCSiMe_3)]_2$.



Fig. S5. Experimental and calculated PXRD patterns for $[Sm{N(SiMe_3)_2}_2(\mu-Cl)(NCSiMe_3)]_2$.



Fig. S6. Experimental and calculated PXRD patterns for Yb[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃(NCSiMe₃)₂.



Fig. S7. FTIR spectra of complex 1 (black), 2 (red) and 3 (blue).



Fig. S8. UV-visible absorption spectra of complex 1 in n-hexane.

Complex	1	2	3
CCDC	2144893	2189910	2144894
Empirical formula	$C_{32}H_{90}Cl_2N_6Si_{10}Eu_2$	$C_{32}H_{90}Cl_2N_6Si_{10}Sm_2$	$\mathrm{C}_{26}\mathrm{H}_{72}\mathrm{N}_{5}\mathrm{Si}_{8}\mathrm{Yb}$
Formula weight	1214.81	1211.59	852.64
Temperature/K	180(2)	179.99(10)	180 (2)
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	tetragonal
Space group	C2/c	C2/c	I4 ₁ cd
a /Å	20.7513(4)	20.8019(3)	20.0586(3)
b/Å	11.9059(2)	11.9155(2)	20.0586(3)
c/Å	25.0124(4)	25.0378(4)	23.4376(5)
α/°	90	90	90
β/°	93.4704(16)	93.368(2)	90
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	90	90	90
Volume/Å ³	6168.30(19)	6195.28(17)	9430.1(3)
Z	4	4	8
$ ho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.308	1.299	1.201
µ/mm ⁻¹	2.321	2.182	2.208
F(000)	2496.0	2488.0	3560.0
2θ range/deg	3.932 to 56.564	3.922 to 62.204	4.062 to 52.738
Index ranges	$-26 \le h \le 27$	$-27 \le h \le 26$	$-23 \le h \le 24$
	$-15 \le k \le 15$	$-16 \le k \le 13$	$-24 \le k \le 24$

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinements for complexes 1, 2 and 3

	$-31 \le l \le 33$	$-35 \le l \le 31$	$-29 \le l \le 29$
Reflections collected	35817	37264	24214
	7328	8020	4540
Independent reflections	$R_{int} = 0.0250$	$R_{int} = 0.0237$	$R_{int} = 0.0309$
	$R_{sigma} = 0.0187$	$R_{sigma} = 0.0185$	$R_{sigma} = 0.0236$
Completeness	99.6%	100%	99.9%
Data/restraints/parameters	7328/0/250	8020/0/250	4540/1/194
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.035	1.054	1.051
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0187$	$R_1 = 0.0201$	$R_1 = 0.0225$
	$wR_2 = 0.0417$	$wR_2 = 0.0435$	$wR_2 = 0.0556$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0229$	$R_1 = 0.0238$	$R_1 = 0.0308$
	$wR_2 = 0.0429$	$wR_2 = 0.0445$	$wR_2 = 0.0611$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	0.58/-0.30	0.37/-0.45	0.58/-0.53

III. References

- 1. D. C. Bradley, Ghotra, J. S., Hart, F. A., J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1973, 1021.
- 2. (a) D. F. Evans, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 2003; (b) E. M. Schubert, J. Chem. Educ., 1992, **69**, 62; (c)

S. K. Sur, J. Magn. Reson., 1989, 82, 169-173.

3. (a) O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, 42, 339; (b) G. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Section A*, 2015, 71, 3; (c) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Section C*, 2015, 71, 3.