Coupling homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis for enhancement of HCOOH electrooxidation via the dehydrogenation pathway

Yan Li, Rui Li, Bin Hong Liu and Zhou Peng Li

IR-compensation of cyclic voltammetry performance.

Through electrochemical impedance spectrum measurement as shown in Fig. S1A, we find that the electrolyte resistance (R_u) slightly increases with increasing the VOSO₄ content in the electrolyte, as tabulated in Tab. S1. Regarding the measured electrolyte resistance, the compensation voltage (V_{comp}) is determined by following formula:

 $V_{comp} = V_{measured} - I_{cell} \times R_u$

IR-compensation of the cyclic voltammetry performance is conducted on the basis of above formula and CV data (Fig. S1B). Here, $V_{measured}$ and I_{cell} refer the measured values of voltage and current during CV measurement, respectively.



Fig. S1 (A) Bode plots of Pd/C electrode in HClO₄-based electrolytes (1.0 mol L^{-1} of HClO₄) containing HCOOH (1.0 mol L^{-1}) or/and VOSO₄ with different concentrations. (B) Cyclic voltammetry performance of Pd/C electrode without IR-compensation at a stirring rate of 400 rpm, scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹, and temperature of 30 °C.

 Tab. S1 Electrolyte resistance of HClO₄-based electrolytes (1 mol L⁻¹ of HClO₄) containing HCOOH (1 mol L⁻¹ of HCOOH) and VOSO₄ with different concentrations

Electrolyte	HCOOH (1 mol L ⁻¹)	VO ²⁺ -added electrolyte (mol L ⁻¹ of VOSO ₄)			
		0.1	0.25	0.5	1.0
Solution resistance $(R_u) / \Omega$	1.60	1.78	1.81	1.84	1.97

Composition of produced gas through identification of gas chromatography.

A gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies 7820A) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a TDX-01 column is operated as follows: injector 250 °C, detector 300 °C, oven initial temperature 60 °C for 2 min, then increasing at 25 °C min⁻¹ to 260 °C. Ar is the carrier gas flowing at 20 mL min⁻¹. The CO₂ reference gas is prepared by reaction of CaCO₃ with H₂SO₄ solution, showing a single component at retention time of 6.78 min. The created gas from HCOOH decomposition in HCOOH anolyte for 1.8 h under catalysis of Pd/C via non-Faradaic dehydrogenation, shows two components at retention times of 0.40 and 6.78 min (Fig. S2), assigned to H₂ and CO₂ peaks with a molar ratio of H₂ to CO₂≈1:1 (Tab. S2). The CO₂ content is significantly increased in the produced gas after HCOOH electrooxidation at a current density of 60 mA cm⁻² for 1.9 h under the VO²⁺/Pd combination, reaching the mole ratio of H₂ to CO₂=1:18.72.



Fig. S2 Chromatogram (TCD) of gases from: (1) reaction of CaCO₃ with H_2SO_4 , (2) Non-Faradaic HCOOH dehydrogenation in HClO₄-based HCOOH anolytes containing 1.0 mol L⁻¹ of HClO₄ and HCOOH, (3) HCOOH electrooxidation at Pd/C anode in VOSO₄-added anolytes on the basis of HCOOH anolyte (containing1.0 mol L⁻¹ of HClO₄ and HCOOH, and 0.5 mol L⁻¹ of VOSO₄.

Tab. S2 Composition of the produced gas after HCOOH electrooxidation and non-Faradaic dehydrogenation identified by gas chromatography

racinitica of gus chromatography						
Sample	CO2 content / ppm	H ₂ content / ppm	CO ₂ proportion /	H ₂ proportion / %		
Non-Faradaic HCOOH dehydrogenation	3.38x10 ⁵	2.97x10 ⁵	53.25	46.75		
HCOOH electrooxidation	5.79x10 ⁵	3.09x10 ⁴	94.93	5.07		
CO ₂ reference	4.12x10 ⁵	-	100	-		

Colour variation of anolytes during operation.

The colour variation of anolytes during cell operation is illustrated in Fig. S3, using VOSO₄ and VOSO₄added HCOOH anolytes. The blue VOSO₄ anolyte (100 mL, 1 mol L⁻¹ of HClO₄, 0.5 mol L⁻¹ of VOSO₄) turns to yellow, forming VO₂⁺-contained anolyte when discharge to cut-off voltage of 1.0 V. The colour of the as-prepared VOSO₄-added anolyte (100 mL, 1 mol L⁻¹ of HClO₄ and HCOOH, 0.5 mol L⁻¹ of VOSO₄) turns from blue at operation stage (i) to green at operation stage (ii), indicating the formation of hydrated-V³⁺. Then, the anolyte colour changes to blue again at operation stage (v), and finally to yellow at operation stage (vii).



Fig. S3 Colour variation of the VOSO₄-added anolyte during electrooxidation.

FAOR under catalysis of Pd		FAOR under coupling catalysis of VO ²⁺ /Pd			
$Pd + HCOOH \rightarrow Pd-HCOOH$					
Dehydrogenation pathway ^{S1-S4}	Dehydration pathway ^{S2,S5,S6}	Stepwise reaction pathway			
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Pd-HCOOH} \rightarrow \mbox{Pd-HCOO} + \mbox{H}^+ + \mbox{e}^- \\ \mbox{Pd-HCOO} \rightarrow \mbox{Pd-CO}_2 + \mbox{H}^+ + \mbox{e}^- \\ \mbox{Pd-CO}_2 \rightarrow \mbox{Pd} + \mbox{CO}_2 \end{array}$	$\begin{split} & Pd\text{-}HCOOH \rightarrow Pd\text{-}COOH + H^+ + e^- \\ & Pd\text{-}COOH + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow Pd\text{-}CO + H_2O \\ & Pd + H_2O \rightarrow Pd\text{-}OH + H^+ + e^- \\ & Pd\text{-}CO + Pd\text{-}OH \rightarrow 2Pd + CO_2 + H^+ + e^- \end{split}$	$\begin{split} & \text{VO}^{2+} + \text{Pd-HCOOH} \rightarrow \text{VOH}^{2+} + \text{Pd-HCOO} \\ & \text{VO}^{2+} + \text{Pd-HCOO} \rightarrow \text{VOH}^{2+} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{Pd} \\ & 2\text{VOH}^{2+} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{V[H}_2\text{O]}^{3+} \\ & 2\text{V[H}_2\text{O]}^{3+} \rightarrow 2\text{VO}^{2+} + 4\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \end{split}$			
totally, HCOOH \rightarrow CO ₂ + 2H ⁺ + 2e ⁻	totally, HCOOH \rightarrow CO ₂ + 2H ⁺ + 2e ⁻	totally, HCOOH \rightarrow CO ₂ + 2H ⁺ + 2e ⁻			

Tab. S3 Comparison of HCOOH oxidation under catalysis of Pd with and without VO²⁺

V[H₂O] ³⁺: hydrated V³⁺

Estimation of HCOOH consumption.

Tab. S4 illustrates the HCOOH consumption result according to ion chromatography.100 mL of HCOOH analyte (1 mol L⁻¹) contains 100 mmol of HCOOH, theoretically producing an operation capacity of 5360 mAh. Ion chromatography result shows that 12.5 mmol of HCOOH is consumed but 87.5 mmol of HCOOH remains after operation of 1.9 h at a current of 240 mA. Regarding the operation capacity of 456 mAh, only 8.5 mmol of HCOOH participates the electrooxidation reaction with a coulombic efficiency of 68%, producing 8.5 mmol of CO₂. The molar ratio of CO₂ to H₂ reaches 3.125:1. However, according to the gas chromatography analysis (Tab. S2), the molar ratio of CO₂ to H₂ is measured to be 18.72:1. Based on this ratio, the coulombic efficiency is estimated to be 94.66% which is much larger than that estimated from ion chromatography result. Therefore, more detailed investigations are needed to estimate the coulombic efficiency accurately.

Tab. S4 Composition of the anolyte before and after operation of 1.9 h at a current of 240 mA.

Sample	Retention time / min	Peak height / μS	Peak area / μS min	Content / ppm	HCOOH consumption
Anolyte before operation	6.78	3.909	0.754	460.1073	-
Anolyte after operation	6.78	3.434	0.660	402.7256	12.5%

References

- S1 A. Cuesta, G. Cabello, M. Osawa and C. Gutierrez, ACS Catalysis, 2012, 2, 728–738.
- S2 R. Zhang, H. Liu, B. Wang and L. Ling, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 22266-22280.
- S3 Y. Wang, Y. Qi and D. Zhang, Comput. Theor. Chem., 2014, 1049, 51-54.
- S4 Y. Liu, L. Wang, G. Wang, C. Deng, B. Wu, and Y. Gao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 21417–21422.
- S5 L. Sui, W. An, Y. Feng, Z. Wang, J. Zhou and S. H. Hur, J. Power Sources, 2020, 451, 227830.
- S6 A. O. Elnabawy, E. A. Murray and M. Mavrikakis, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2022, 126, 4374–4390.