# **Supporting Information**

# Electrodepositing Amorphous Molybdenum Oxides for Aqueous NH4<sup>+</sup> Storage

Yulai Lin, Lintuoya Ta, Jianming Meng, Yu Song\*, Xiao-Xia Liu\*

Department of Chemistry, Northeastern University, Shenyang, China, 110819

Email: songyu@mail.neu.edu.cn; xxliu@mail.neu.edu.cn.

#### **1. Experimental section**

### 1.1 Materials

All the chemical reagents were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., ltd. Graphite foil was purchased from SGL Carbon, Germany.

### **1.2 Electrochemical exfoliation of the graphite foil (EG)**

EG (working area:  $0.8 \times 0.8 \text{ cm}^2$ ) was exfoliated in a three-electrode cell, using a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode and a piece of graphite foil as the counter electrode, respectively. The graphite foil was first treated in a 0.5 M KOH solution using voltammetry (CV) at 0.5 to 1.75 V at the scan rate of 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for 6 cycles. The graphite/graphene sheets were partially exfoliated from the graphite base, forming a three-dimensional morphology. To conduct secondary exfoliation and functional modification, the exfoliated graphite foil was further exfoliated in 0.5 M KNO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte using a constant potential of 1.85 V vs. SCE for 30 min. Third, the exfoliated graphite foil was treated in 0.5 M KNO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte to recover the conductivity via CV from -1.2 V to 0 V vs. SCE for 20 cycles at the scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 1.3 Electrochemical deposition of MoO<sub>x</sub> in different concentrated electrolytes

The material deposition was conducted in a three-electrode system, using EG as the working electrode, SCE and a piece of graphite foil as the reference and counter electrodes. Different concentrated ammonium molybdate [(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub> $\mathbf{\Phi}$ 4H<sub>2</sub>O] solutions of 0.025, 0.2 and 0.3 m (mol kg<sup>-1</sup>), corresponding to 0.025, 0.167, and 0.237 M (mol L<sup>-1</sup>), were used as the deposition electrolytes. During the deposition, a constant current density of ~ -7.8 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> was applied on the working electrode, to obtain the electrodes, denoted as MoO<sub>x</sub>-0.025, MoO<sub>x</sub>-0.2 and MoO<sub>x</sub>-0.3, respectively. All the active mass loading of the electrodes was controlled at about 7.0~8.0 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> via adjusting the deposition time (from 10 to 16 mins). The weight ratio of MoO<sub>x</sub>: EG is about 30%.

### 1.4 Characterizations

The morphology and structure features of the samples were studied on transmission electron

microscope (TEM, JEM-ARM200F, JEOL, Japan) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, HITACHI, SU8010, Japan). The crystal structures of the materials were studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical B.V., XPert Pro, Netherlands) using a Cu K $\alpha$  radiation source (40.0 kV, 40.0 mA). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy was performed on a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR, Bruker, VERTEX70, Germany). The specific surface area and the pore size distribution of the electrodes were tested by a physisorption analyzer (ASAP 2460, micromeritics, America). The chemical composition of the materials was studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Scientific, ESCALAB 250Xi, USA) with Al K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  = 8.34 Å) as the excitation source. The electrochemical measurements of the materials were studied by a multichannel working station (VMP3, Bio-Logic, France).

# 2. Supplementary Figures



Fig. S1. SEM images of EG.



Fig. S2. SEM images of  $MoO_x$ -0.025  $\cdot$   $MoO_x$ -0.2 and  $MoO_x$ -0.3.



Fig. S3. XRD patterns of  $MoO_x$ -0.025  $\cdot$   $MoO_x$ -0.2 and  $MoO_x$ -0.3.



Fig. S4 TEM images of  $MoO_x$ -0.2. Insert shows the SAED pattern. HRTEM and SAED pattern suggest that the deposited oxide is amorphous structure, in which a random arrangement of distorted Mo-O polyhedra with short range ordering is presented.



**Fig. S5.** Raman spectra of  $MoO_x$ -0.025  $\cdot$   $MoO_x$ -0.2 and  $MoO_x$ -0.3.



**Fig. S6.** The pore size distribution of  $MoO_x$ -0.025  $\cdot$   $MoO_x$ -0.2 and  $MoO_x$ -0.3 derived from the adsorption curves using BJH theory.



Fig. S7. The deposition curves of the samples in different concentrated plating electrolytes.



Fig. S8 The CV curves of  $MoO_x$ -0.025  $\cdot$   $MoO_x$ -0.2 and  $MoO_x$ -0.3.



Fig. S9 Areal capacity of the EG substrate and  $MoO_x$ -0.2 at the current density of 1.56 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S10. The galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of  $MoO_x$ -0.2 from 0.22 to 2.22 A g<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S11.** The EDX spectra of the electrodes collected at different states of charge, (a) -1 V, (b) 0 V, (c) -1 V.