## **Supporting Information**

## **Modulated Oxygen Vacancy in Europia Clusters/Black Phosphorus Induced Signal Amplification for Efficient Chemiluminescence Sensing**

Hui Gong,<sup>a</sup> Dayang Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Yu Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Yuxian Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Jing Gou,<sup>a</sup> Houjing Liu,<sup>a\*</sup>

*a. College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025,*

*China.*

*\*Corresponding Author. Email: hjliu3@gzu.edu.cn*

**Chemicals and Reagents.** Red phosphorus, iodine (I2), and tin (Sn) were all obtained from Kelong Chemical Factory (Chengdu, China). Europium (Ⅲ) trifluoromesulfonate and NaClO were bought from Sigma-Aldrich Company (Shanghai, China). N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) and N, Ndimethylformamide (DMF) from KeYi regent company (Guiyang, China). Other reagents were purchased from TaiTan Chemical Reagent Company (Shanghai, China). All experimental aqueous solutions were prepared using ultrapure water, which was treated by a specialized instrument (a Milli-QULUPURE, Chengdu, China).

**Experimental apparatus.** All the CL experiments were measured on the Ultra-weak chemiluminescence instrument (BPCL-2-TGC, Guangzhou, China). UV-vis absorption spectrum was recorded on the Shimadzu UV-2700 ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, China). VERTEX70 infrared spectrometer (Brooks, Germany) was used to record Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectra of Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs. The size and morphology of Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs were recorded by Tecnai G2 F20 S-TWIN (200KV) field emission transmission electron microscope (TEM). All fluorescence spectra were obtained from a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varian, USA). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of material were collected with Thermo Fisher (ESCA Lab 250Xi, USA). Raman spectrum was measured on a Lab Ram HR800 Raman spectroscopy (Horiba Jobin Yvon Inc. France), we used a Bruker E-500 instrument (microwave power 12.72 mW, microwave frequency 9.77 GHz, modulation frequency 100 kHz, and sweep width 3480 G) to carry out the EPR measurements.

**Synthesis of**  $Eu_2O_3/BPODs$ **. Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPODs** were fabricated via solvothermal treatment of bulk BP with saturated NaOH in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solution. The synthetic process of bulk BP is shown in the ESI†. Usually, the synthesis methods of BP-based QDs include ultrasonic stripping, electrochemical stripping, solvent-thermal synthesis and so on. In this paper,  $Eu_2O_3/BPODs$  were synthesized using bath oiling. The specific operations are as follows: 10 mg BP was ground with NMP for a period of time to form a uniform solution. The obtained solution was transferred to a 250 mL three-neck flask with round bottom, and 100 mg NaOH, 100 mL NMP and 5 mg Europium (Ⅲ) trifluoromesulfonate were added. Then, the solution was refluxed in 140 ℃ oil bath for 6 hours under nitrogen condition, which was used to remove dissolved oxygen. After the reaction was cooled, the supernatant was collected by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for further treatment. To remove NMP solvent, the above solution was disposed by rotary evaporation and centrifugation at high speed (15000 rpm for 20 min). Finally, the acquired precipitates were dried and kept in vacuum for further use. And when being used, the resulted product was dissolved into DMF solvent to form a solution containing Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs for later experiments.

**Note:** The mass of the dried precipitates is  $1.02$  mg, the synthesis yield of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$   $[(1.02/15)$ %] was 6.8%.

**CL experiment.** All CL signals were obtained by static injection. The reaction quartz cell containing the sample was set close to photomultiplier tube. For  $Eu_2O_3/BPODs-ClO^-$  system, the specific CL experiment operation is as follows: a mixture of 800  $\mu$ L Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs solution was placed in a quartz cell, and 200 μL ClO-solution was rapidly injected into the quartz cell by a peristaltic pump to react with the above solution, while the CL signals were recorded by BPCL. The CL emission was detected by the voltage of the PMT (0.8 kV) with an interval of 0.01 s for data acquisition and the temperature was 25℃. Additionally, to ensure the reliability of dates, more than three replicate CL measurements were performed for each point and all date points were collected in the same day. Conditions: The volumes of BP nanosheet, BPODs,  $Eu_2O_3/BPODs$  were all 800 µL, and the volumes of anions, cations, scavengers were all 200 μL. The concentrations of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$  and ClO- were 0.04 mg/mL, 0.02 mol/L.

**CL quantum yields of Eu2O3/BPQDs-ClO-system.** The chemiluminescence quantum yield (QY) values of the  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs-ClO^-$  system was determined by using the luminol- $H_2O_2$  system as the standard with a known CL QY of  $1.14 \times 10^{-2}$  E/mol at pH=11.6 (0.1 M K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) according to the literature. <sup>[1]</sup> Briefly, a solution of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$  (0.04 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> 800 µL) was placed in a tube, and then Sodium hypochlorite (0.002 M, 200 μL) was added to the solution. CL dynamic curves of the mixture was measured until the CL intensity reduced to 1% of the highest value, by using a CL analyzer. The voltage of PMT was 0.8 kV for the CL detection and signal acquisition time of the CL analyzer was set at 0.1 s at intensity mode. The same procedure was carried out with a mixture of luminol (1 μM, 100 μL, in 0.1 M K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and hydrogen peroxide (100 μL, 1 mM). The CL QY value of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs-ClO^-$  was relatively calculated from the integrated areas under curves according to the following equation:

$$
\phi = \phi_{\text{lum}} \times \frac{I}{I_{lum}} \times \frac{n^2}{n_{lum}^2} \times \frac{[Luminol]}{[Eu_2O_3/BPQDs]}
$$

I is the total number of photons obtained by integration of CL dynamic curves under time until the CL intensity reduced to 1% of the highest value, where  $\phi$  was the quantum yield, *n* was the refractive index of solvent, and [Luminol] and  $[Eu_2O_3/BPODs]$  were concentrations.

**Note:** The CL quantum yield of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs-ClO$  was  $3.01 \times 10^{-8}$  einsteins/mol.

**Atmospheric particulate samples collection and preparation.** Guiyang, as an important industrial base in Guizhou Province, is located in a plateau mountain basin with typical karst landform features. Surrounded by mountains, it is characterized by calm winds, small winds and stable layer structure, which is not conductive to the diffusion and dilution of pollutants in the air. Therefore, the analysis of the distribution characteristics and sources of pollutants (such as:  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ ) in Guiyang show significantly practical application. We acquired atmospheric particulates samples from a Zhenfeng Electric Power Investment Co., Ltd of Guiyang city, which is a typical fossil-fuel power station that produces atmosphere particulate pollution. Sampling points were arranged above the chimney mouth and samples were collected from four wind direction sites with east, west, north and south, in December 31, 2021. The resulting filters with atmospheric particulates were saved in a refrigerator at -18°C. The filter with atmospheric particulates was processed into small pieces. The obtained pieces were treated with an ultrasonic cleaner for 30 min at 500 W after mixing with proper amount of deionized water. Then, the extracted mixture was filtered to remove the filter from the suspension and dried. Finally, a 0.22 μm hydrophilic membrane was used to suspension filter, and the obtained solution could be directly detected. We can calculate the concentration of atmospheric particulates based on the weight differences of the original and dried filter.



**Figure 1s.** The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum of  $Eu_2O_3/BPODs$ .



**Figure 2s.** (A) Survey XPS spectrum of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$ . (B) and high-resolution XPS spectrum P 2p. (C) Eu 3d. (D) and O 1s from  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$ , respectively.



**Figure 3s.** High-resolution XPS spectrum of N 1s, and C 1s spectrum from  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$ , respectively.



**Figure 4s.** The FT-IR analysis from Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs.



Figure 5s. UV-vis absorption and FL spectra of Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs at different excitation wavelengths (legend: The concentration of BPQDs solution is 0.04 mg/mL).



Figure 6s. (A) CL emission of ClO solution in the presence of Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs (black), BPQDs (red),  $E_2O_3$  (green) and CL emission of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$  (blue), (B) Reproducible properties experiments of the  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs - ClO$  system (Conditions: the concentrations of ClO, BPQDs,  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$  and  $Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  were 0.02 M, 0.04 mg/mL, 0.04 mg/mL, 0.04 mg/mL, respectively.).



**Figure 7s.** The CL curves of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs-ClO^-$  system: the CL solution was bubbled with  $O_2$ (green),  $N_2$  (red) and air (black) for 30 min before mixing (Condition: ClO 0.01 M, Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs 0.04 mg/mL).



Figure 8s (A) The inhibition percentage of different scavengers on the CL intensity, (B-C) EPR spectra of 'OH and  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  generating (D) The CL spectrum of Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs-ClO system. Conditions:  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$  0.04 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, DMPO 0.05 mol L<sup>-1</sup>, TEMP 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>, and ClO<sup>-</sup> 0.01 M.



Figure 9s. (A) CL emission of ClO<sup>-</sup> solution in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (red) and Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs (black), (B) The effects of catalase (CAT) on CL intensity, (C) Fluorescence kinetic study on •OH generation in the  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs-ClO$  CL system (The excitation wavelength was 330 nm), (D) Chemical trapping measurements of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ : UV absorption spectra of DPBF, DPBF in ClO-, and DPBF in the  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs-ClO$  CL system, (E) Time-dependent absorption spectra of the DPBF in Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs-ClO<sup>-</sup> system, (F) The CL spectrum of BPQDs-ClO<sup>-</sup> system (Conditions: the concentrations of ClO<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, CAT, TPA, DPBF, Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs and BPQDs were 0.16 M, 1 M, 2 mg  $mL^{-1}$ , 0.02 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 0.02 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 0.04 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 0.1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.).



**Figure 10s.** UV-vis absorption and FL spectra of Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs before and after reaction with ClO-(Condition: ClO<sup>-</sup> 0.01 M, Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs 0.04 mg/mL).



Figure 11s. (A) The full XPS spectrum of Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs-ClO<sup>-</sup> system. (B) High-resolution XPS spectrum Cl 2p (C-F) High-resolution XPS spectra P 2p peaks, Eu 3d peaks, O 1s peaks and FT-IR

analysis of  $Eu_2O_3/BPQDs$  before and after reaction with ClO.



Figure 12s. Schematic illustration of the CL mechanism in the Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs-ClO<sup>-</sup> system.



**Figure 13s.** High-resolution XPS spectrum of Ti 2p, and O 1s spectrum from Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs-Ti4+-ClO-system, respectively.



**Figure 14s.** Effects of (A) the concentration of  $Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/BPQDs$  on the CL intensity. (B) CL intensities of Eu2O3/BPQDs toward different anions. (C-D) The effects of anions and mental ions on CL intensity. (E-F) Linear relationship between CL intensities with different concentration of hypochlorite and titanium ions (Condition: The concentrations of anions and cations about interference experiment and selectivity experiment were all 0.0001 M).

**Table S1.** Comparisons of proposed method with recently reported strategies for ClO- detection.



Table S2. Comparisons of proposed method with recently reported strategies for Ti<sup>4+</sup> detection.



## **References**

- [1] B. Zhu, W. Tang, Y. Ren and X. Duan, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 13714-13722.
- [2] T. Dutta, F. Chandra and A. L. Koner, *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.*, 2018, **191**, 217-220.
- [3] Y. Dai, Z. Zhan, L. Chai, L. Zhang, Q. Guo, K. Zhang and Y. Lv, *Anal. Chem.*, 2021, **93**, 4628- 4634
- [4] T. Y. M. H. Nakagama, *Anal. Chim. Acta.*, 1990, **231**, 7-12.
- [5] K. Wu, C. Yao, D. Yang and D. Liu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2022, **209**, 114273
- [6] K. I. M. Shanmugaraj, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, **41**, 14130-14136.
- [7] L. Liu, G. Zhu, W. Zeng, B. Lv and Y. Yi, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2019, **411**, 1561-1568.
- [8] X. Xiang, X. He, W. Xia, J. Yin, X. Yuan and X. Zhou, *Anal. Methods-UK*, 2020, **12**, 988-995.
- [9] J. J. U. R. Mohamed Najar P A, *Chin. J. Chromatogr.,* 2005, **23**, 555-561.
- [10] M. D. M. H. Daniel S. Jorgenson, *Plast. Reconstr Surg.*, 1997, **99**, 976-979.