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## A. Synthesis

Compounds S1 - S6 were synthesized according to literature procedures. ${ }^{1,2}$
Compound 1. Compound $\mathbf{S 3}(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 207.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in acetic anhydride ( 10 mL ) and stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was removed under high vacuum to yield an off-white solid ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 7.78$ (t, 3H), 4.29 (d, J = $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.28 (s, 9H), 1.81 (s, 9H) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 100 MHz , DMSO-d6): $\delta$ 169.3, 136.6, 133.4, 38.5, 22.8, 16.2 ppm. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 334.2125$, found 334.2121.

Compound 2. Prepared analogously to compound 1 starting from compound S6. Off-white solid, quantitative. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6): $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 169.2$, $143.5,132.7,37.4,22.9,22.8,16.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 376.2595 , found 376.2598 .



Scheme S1. Summary of literature procedure followed to obtain S1-S6.
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Scheme S2. Synthesis of tectons 1 and 2.

## B. Characterization Data



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{S 1}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 2 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{2}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 3 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) of $\mathbf{S 3}$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S5. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S6. HRMS(ESI) of $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{S 4}$.


Figure S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{S 5}$.


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S 6}$.


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of 2.


Figure S11. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-d6) of $\mathbf{2}$.


Figure S12. HRMS (ESI) of 2.

## C. Crystal Structure Data

## 1• $\mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}$

The complex crystallizes as yellow block-like crystals from dichloromethane / methanol / diethyl ether solution. An arbitrary sphere of data was collected on a yellow block-like crystal, having approximate dimensions of $0.094 \times 0.035 \times 0.033 \mathrm{~mm}$, on a Bruker APEX-II diffractometer using a combination of $\omega$ - and $\varphi$-scans of $0.5^{\circ}$ [3]. Data were corrected for absorption and polarization effects and analyzed for space group determination [4]. The structure was solved by dual-space methods and expanded routinely [5]. The model was refined by full-matrix least-squares analysis of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ against all reflections [6]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters. Unless otherwise noted, hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions. Atomic displacement parameters for the hydrogens were tied to the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of the atom to which they are bonded $\left(U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ for methyl, $1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for all others).

There are two molecules of the cation and anion in the unit cell of the primitive, centrosymmetric, triclinic space group $\mathrm{P}-1$. The structure of the complex is as expected (see Figures). The tetrachloroaurate anion is located over the benzene ring and forms hydrogen bonds to two of the amide nitrogen atoms. The remaining amide nitrogen forms a hydrogen bond to a chlorine on a neighboring, symmetry-related tetrachloroaurate anion. The charge is balanced by the presence of a proton bonded to amide carbonyl oxygen O2, similar to previous examples. This forms a hydrogen bond to carbonyl oxygen O 3 of a neighboring molecule.

Bond distances and angles within the molecules are unexceptional.


Figure S13. Atomic displacement plot and labeling scheme for $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}$. Displacement parameters depicted at $50 \%$ probability and hydrogen atoms shown at an arbitrary radius.

Crystal data and structure refinement for $1 \cdot \mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}$.

| Identification code | nd1852 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{AuCl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 673.20 |
| Temperature | 120(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} a=7.0697(5) \AA & \alpha=87.564(2)^{\circ} \\ b=12.8591(8) \AA & \beta=80.1017(19)^{\circ} \AA \\ c=13.3357(9) \AA & \gamma=88.5666(19)^{\circ} \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 1193.03(14) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.874 \mathrm{g.cm}^{-3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mu$ ) | $6.637 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 656 |
| Crystal color, habit | yellow, block |
| Crystal size | $0.094 \times 0.035 \times 0.033 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.551 to $30.560^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 10,-18 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 18,-19 \leq 1 \leq 19$ |
| Reflections collected | 34220 |
| Independent reflections | $7286\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0532\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | 100.0\% |
| Absorption correction | Numerical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.8721 and 0.6873 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7286 / 0 / 284 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.020 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0320, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0525$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0488, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0561$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.714 and -1.493 $\mathrm{e}^{-} . \AA^{-3}$ |

## 1• $\mathrm{HAuBr}_{4}$

The complex crystallizes as red blade-like crystals from dichloromethane / methanol / diethyl ether solution. An arbitrary sphere of data was collected on a red blade-like crystal, having approximate dimensions of $0.137 \times 0.093 \times 0.016 \mathrm{~mm}$, on a Bruker PHOTON-II diffractometer using a combination of $\omega$ - and $\varphi$-scans of $0.5^{\circ}$ [3]. Data were corrected for absorption and polarization effects and analyzed for space group determination [4]. The structure was solved by dual-space methods and expanded routinely [5]. The model was refined by full-matrix least-squares analysis of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ against all reflections [6]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters. Unless otherwise noted, hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions. Atomic displacement parameters for the hydrogens were tied to the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of the atom to which they are bonded $\left(U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ for methyl, $1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for all others).

Data resolution on this complex was lower than typical, due to solvent and anion disorder within the structure. However, the connectivity is unequivocal and shows the expected compounds. Coupled with other structures this is a publishable structure, as long as derived metrics (bond distances and angles) are not the focus of the discussion.

The asymmetric unit consists of two cation/anion pairs and a half diethyl ether of crystallization (see Figures). The SQUEEZE routine from PLATON [7] was applied to diffuse residual electron density in the lattice. Initially a model emulating additional diethyl ether was attempted, but this yielded a very poor model. A total of 67 electrons of intensity in a void volume of $208 \AA^{3}$ was recovered.

There are four molecules of the benzene cation and tetrabromoaurate anion and one modeled diethyl ether in the unit cell of the primitive, centrosymmetric, triclinic space group P-1.
The charge balancing hydrogen atoms were located on two amide oxygen atoms that had close approaches to amide oxygen atoms on neighboring molecules (see Table of Hydrogen Bonds). These hydrogen atoms could not be observed in a Fourier difference map and were modeled solely on location.

The packing of the molecules is such that the two independent benzene moieties $\pi$-stack with each other and one tetrabromoaurate intercalates with these pairs. The second tetrabromoaurate is oriented almost perpendicular to the planes of the other three main molecules.

One amide nitrogen, N6 is not involved in hydrogen bonding within the structure. This atom is oriented towards the void space, where, presumably, it would form a hydrogen bond with a solvent molecule. All other amide nitrogen atoms are involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions with anions and neighboring benzene moieties.


Figure S14. Labeling scheme for $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{HAuBr}_{4}$. Atomic displacement ellipsoids depicted at $50 \%$ probability and hydrogen atoms shown as spheres of an arbitrary radius.

Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{HAuBr}_{4}$.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient ( $\mu$ )
F(000)
Crystal color, habit
Crystal size
$\theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to $\theta=23.256^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
nd1854_sq
$\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{122} \mathrm{Au}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{13}$
3478.27

120(2) K
0.71073 Å

Triclinic
P-1
$a=11.433(3) \AA \quad \alpha=71.990(5)^{\circ}$
$b=14.321(4) \AA \quad \beta=82.849(5)^{\circ}$
$c=19.606(6) \AA \quad \gamma=74.244(4)^{\circ}$
$2935.2(15) \AA^{3}$
1
1.968 g.cm ${ }^{-3}$
$10.480 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
1642
red, blade
$0.137 \times 0.093 \times 0.016 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
1.093 to $23.256^{\circ}$
$-12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-15 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 15,-21 \leq 1 \leq 21$
29675
$8423\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0973\right]$
100.0 \%

Numerical
08718 and 0.4190
Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
8423 / 292 / 555
0.977
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0837, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2106$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1279, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2383$
n/a
5.955 and $-3.123 \mathrm{e}^{-} . \AA^{-3}$

## 2• $\mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}$

The complex crystallizes as yellow block-like crystals from dichloromethane / methanol / diethyl ether solution. An arbitrary sphere of data was collected on a yellow block-like crystal, having approximate dimensions of $0.236 \times 0.212 \times 0.123 \mathrm{~mm}$, on a Bruker Kappa X8-APEX-II diffractometer using a combination of $\omega$ - and $\varphi$-scans of $0.5^{\circ}$ [3]. Data were corrected for absorption and polarization effects and analyzed for space group determination [4]. The structure was solved by dual methods and expanded routinely [5]. The model was refined by full-matrix least-squares analysis of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ against all reflections [6]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters. Unless otherwise noted, hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions. Atomic displacement parameters for the hydrogens were tied to the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of the atom to which they are bonded $\left(U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=\right.$ $1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl, $1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for all others).

There are four molecules of the complex in the unit cell of the primitive, centrosymmetric, monoclinic space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / n$.

There are two independent, half gold tetrachloride anions in the asymmetric unit. Each is located on an inversion center ( $0.5,0.5,0$ and $0.5,0.5,0.5$ ). There is one complete $1,3,5$-triacetamido-2,4,6-triethylbenzene within the asymmetric unit (see Figures). Au2 is located between pairs of benzene rings with C 14 hydrogen bonded by N 2 and N 3 of the two neighboring amides. In contrast, Au1 gold tetrachloride is oriented almost perpendicular to the plane of the benzene ring. The chlorine atoms on this anion are not involved in hydrogen bonding. The amide hydrogen atoms and charge balancing hydrogen located between O1 and O3 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ (symmetry code (i): $-x+1,-y+1,-z$ ) were all located from a difference Fourier map. The remaining amide nitrogen, N1, forms a hydrogen bond to the carbonyl oxygen, O 2 , of an adjacent amide.

No unusual bond distances or angles were observed within the molecules.


Figure S15. Labeling scheme and atomic displacement plot for $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}$. Atomic displacement ellipsoids depicted at $50 \%$ probability and hydrogen atoms shown as spheres of an arbitrary radius.

Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}$.

| Identification code | nd1903 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{AuCl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 715.28 |
| Temperature | 120(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P21/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} a=12.3290(9) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ b=16.7583(13) \AA & \beta=102.9930(10)^{\circ} \\ c=13.2907(10) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 2675.7(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.776 \mathrm{g.cm}^{-3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mu$ ) | $5.925 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1408 |
| Crystal color, habit | yellow, block |
| Crystal size | $0.236 \times 0.212 \times 0.123 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.987 to $30.465^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-17 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17,-23 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 23,-18 \leq 1 \leq 18$ |
| Reflections collected | 55484 |
| Independent reflections | $8132\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0193\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Numerical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.4968 and 0.1901 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 8132 / 0 / 314 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.075 |
| Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0167, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0346$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0269, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0380$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.475 and -0.773 $\mathrm{e}^{-} . \AA^{-3}$ |

## 2•HAuBr4

The complex crystallizes as orange block-like crystals from dichloromethane / methanol / diethyl ether solution. An arbitrary sphere of data was collected on an orange block-like crystal, having approximate dimensions of $0.189 \times 0.149 \times 0.088 \mathrm{~mm}$, on a Bruker APEX-II diffractometer using a combination of $\omega$ - and $\varphi$-scans of $0.5^{\circ}$ [3]. Data were corrected for absorption and polarization effects and analyzed for space group determination [4]. The structure was solved by dual-space methods and expanded routinely [5]. The model was refined by full-matrix least-squares analysis of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ against all reflections [6]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters. Unless otherwise noted, hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions. Atomic displacement parameters for the hydrogens were tied to the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of the atom to which they are bonded $\left(U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ for methyl, $1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for all others).

There are four molecules of the complex in the unit cell of the primitive, centrosymmetric monoclinic space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / n$.

The structure is isomorphous with the tetrachloroaurate homologue $\left(2 \cdot \mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}\right)$. The naming convention adopted in that structure is used here. The asymmetric unit consists of two half tetrabromoaurate anions, each is located on independent inversion centers ( $0.5,0.5,0$ and $0.5,0.5$, 0.5).

The amide and charge-balancing hydrogen atoms were all located from a difference Fourier map. The amide nitrogen, N 1 , forms a hydrogen bond to the carbonyl oxygen O 2 of a neighboring molecule. N2 and N3 for hydrogen bonds to Br 4 of the intercalated tetrabromoaurate anion, Au2. The charge balance hydrogen atom, bonded to O 1 forms a hydrogen bond to O 3 of a neighboring molecule (see Table of Hydrogen Bonds for details).

No unusual bond distances or angles are observed within the molecules.


Figure S16. Atomic displacement plot and labeling scheme for $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{HAuBr}_{4}$. Displacement ellipsoids depicted at $50 \%$ probability level and hydrogen atoms as spheres of an arbitrary radius.

Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{HAuBr}_{4}$.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient ( $\mu$ )
F(000)
Crystal color, habit
Crystal size
$\theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to $\theta=25.242^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
nd1904
$\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{AuBr}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
893.12

120(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic
$\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{n}$
$a=12.5789(11) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$b=17.0609(15) \AA \quad \beta=103.800(2)^{\circ}$
$c=13.3931(12) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$
2791.3(4) $\AA^{3}$

4
$2.125{\mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}}^{-1}$
$11.023 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
1696
orange, block
$0.189 \times 0.149 \times 0.088 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
1.969 to $30.649^{\circ}$
$-18 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17,-24 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,-19 \leq 1 \leq 19$
99093
$8616\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0379\right]$
100.0 \%

Numerical
0.6116 and 0.2843

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
8616 / 0 / 310
1.022
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0211, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0438$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0373, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0485$
n/a
1.536 and $-1.161 \mathrm{e}^{-} . \AA^{-3}$

## D. Hydrogen Bond Information

Table S1. Summary of individual hydrogen bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ observed for complexes of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ with $\mathrm{HAuX}_{4}$.

|  | N-H... X distance | N-H <br> distance | H $\cdots$ X <br> distance | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{X}$ angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}}$ | 3.332(3) | 0.75(4) | 2.66(4) | 151(4) |
|  | 3.640(3) |  | 3.04(4) | 139(3) |
|  | 3.530 (3) | 0.83(3) | 3.08(4) | 116(3) |
|  | $3.306(3)$ |  | 2.47(3) | 174(3) |
|  | 3.548(3) | 0.85(4) | 3.04(4) | 121(3) |
|  | 3.534(3) |  | 2.70(4) | 168(4) |
| $\overline{\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{HAuBr}_{4}}$ | 3.56(2) | 0.88 | 2.693 | 167 |
|  | 3.79 (2) |  | 3.245 | 122 |
|  | 3.89(2) | 0.88 | 3.339 | 123 |
|  | 3.46(2) |  | 2.601 | 164 |
|  | $3.75(2)$ | 0.88 | 3.375 | 109 |
|  | 3.60 (2) |  | 2.727 | 171 |
| $\mathbf{2 \cdot} \mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}$ | 3.581(2) | 0.88(3) | 3.05(3) | 120(2) |
|  | 3.371(2) |  | 2.50(3) | 169(2) |
|  | 3.572(2) | 0.82(2) | 3.19(2) | 111(2) |
|  | 3.364(2) |  | 2.55(2) | 173(2) |
| $\overline{\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{HAuBr}_{4}}$ | 3.657(2) | 0.80(3) | 3.30(3) | 110(2) |
|  | 3.492(2) |  | 2.70(3) | 174(3) |
|  | 3.678(2) | 0.82(3) | 3.15(4) | 124(3) |
|  | $3.530(2)$ |  | 2.73(3) | 165(3) |

## E. Conformations



$\Delta \mathrm{G} \sim 4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$



Figure S17. $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ for conversion of the lowest energy conformation of $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ into the high energy conformation observed in the solid state. Each substituent on the aryl ring is either $d=d o w n$ or $u$ $=u p$.
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