Computational NMR of the iron pyrazolylborate complexes $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ and Tp_2Fe including solvation and spin-crossover effects

Ari Pyykkönen and Juha Vaara*

NMR Research Unit, University of Oulu, P.O. Box 3000, Oulu FIN-90014, Finland

Electronic Supplementary Information

Contents

Optimised geometries	2
Reference shieldings	13
Zero-field splitting parameters	13
${\bf Full\ numerical\ pNMR\ results\ for\ [Tp_2Fe]^+}$	14
${\bf Temperature \ series \ of} \ [{\bf Tp}_2{\bf Fe}]^+$	15
$\mathbf{Excited \ state \ of} \ [\mathbf{Tp}_2\mathbf{Fe}]^+$	16
Physical contributions to the isotropic nuclear shieldings	18
Full numerical pNMR results for Tp_2Fe	23
NMR shifts with alternative experimental ΔH and ΔS (Ref. ¹) for Tp ₂ Fe	25
Energetics of the spin-crossover system Tp_2Fe	26

Optimised geometries

All coordinates given in xyz format in Å.

Table ESI1: Structure of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ from an unconstrained ground-state optimisation.

53			
Fe	-0.0015735	0.0031380	-0.0012445
в	-1.7434811	-1.6442560	-1.9152690
В	1.7430294	1.6416123	1.9179125
Ē	0.5267063	-2.9036487	0.5847459
č	2.8629863	-0.7385992	-0.5602747
č	4.1242633	-0.4109089	-0.0820164
C	3.8911324	0.5208269	0.9161973
č	-0.8085374	-0.7381248	2.8122022
č	-0.4617822	-0.3953618	4.1154096
Ċ	0.5388020	0.5482459	3.9762209
č	-0.5162766	2.9143250	-0.5867584
C	-0.0828161	4.1545252	-0.1305472
Ċ	0.8239432	3.8632266	0.8720797
č	0.1034414	-4.1468594	0.1272323
C	-0.8073552	-3.8620111	-0.8736507
Ċ	-2.8679681	0.7414717	0.5561985
C	-4.1293066	0.3985514	0.0888722
C	-3.8942076	-0.5389658	-0.9034069
Ċ	0.7963241	0.7458178	-2.8181104
C	0.4493061	0.3979446	-4.1199061
Ċ	-0.5457338	-0.5508819	-3.9767030
Н	-2.4306578	-2.2838169	-2.6543236
н	0.8629971	0.7828965	-5.0362847
Н	-1.1153965	-1.0976049	-4.7107089
н	2.5732260	-1.4253815	-1.3393573
н	5.0743954	-0.7947625	-0.4122319
Н	4.5725452	1.0518054	1.5613628
Н	-1.5459629	-1.4385586	2.4534663
Н	-0.8789265	-0.7804182	5.0301722
Н	1.1103604	1.0898132	4.7125680
Н	-1.2243420	2.6705517	-1.3624907
н	-0.3845227	5.1268362	-0.4808394
Н	2.4308299	2.2788950	2.6583678
н	1.4139594	4.5075434	1.5037703
н	1.2338949	-2.6547289	1.3595921
н	0.4140576	-5.1170472	0.4755919
Η	-1.3927517	-4.5105906	-1.5052704
н	-2.5795020	1.4365001	1.3284273
Η	-5.0807482	0.7762834	0.4223898
Η	-4.5748987	-1.0810295	-1.5400629
Η	1.5306739	1.4510336	-2.4623941
Ν	-0.9008734	-2.5339792	-0.9911122
Ν	-0.0660507	-0.0390765	1.9562143
Ν	0.9055916	2.5346636	0.9919593
Ν	0.0911205	1.9500039	0.1024802
Ν	-0.0900436	-1.9437894	-0.1023269
Ν	-2.5771310	-0.7264337	-1.0037122
Ν	-1.9423000	0.0535382	-0.1140487
Ν	-0.7608468	-0.7401471	-2.6707451
Ν	0.0602371	0.0436995	-1.9590566
Ν	2.5753379	0.7216005	1.0073879
Ν	1.9390885	-0.0492917	0.1110019
N	0.7557427	0.7409248	2.6710298

Table ESI2: Optimised (unconstrained, ground-state) structure of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ with the counterion $[PF_6]^-$.

60			
Fo	0 4085235	0 1713451	0 5516028
D	1.0045025	2 2550627	-0.0010020
B	1 0020005	1 8702647	1 1594530
C	0.2872507	2 8062576	0.2700919
ä	0.3872307	-2.8903370	1.0070000
ä	2.4095827	-0.6324731	-1.0276002
ä	3.0140347	-0.12/282/	-0.3094718
č	3.2674688	0.8945753	0.3069414
C	-1.2195063	-0.7177568	2.3063609
C	-0.8876026	-0.2296710	3.5626639
C	-0.0172625	0.8225406	3.3214579
С	-1.3873606	2.5655478	-1.4705460
С	-1.1204394	3.8937070	-1.1530917
\mathbf{C}	-0.1960186	3.8289512	-0.1241727
\mathbf{C}	0.1309523	-4.2334352	0.0822185
\mathbf{C}	-0.7929483	-4.1957741	-0.9439812
\mathbf{C}	-3.4457361	0.2401510	-0.0736465
\mathbf{C}	-4.6499754	-0.3159451	-0.4894870
\mathbf{C}	-4.2868932	-1.3278568	-1.3620286
\mathbf{C}	0.2262107	0.3170036	-3.4412004
\mathbf{C}	-0.0659582	-0.2207690	-4.6918312
\mathbf{C}	-0.9372112	-1.2622077	-4.4308783
н	-2.5858903	-3.0622700	-2.9008804
н	0.3052812	0.1014349	-5.6496363
н	-1.4282746	-1.9589920	-5.0906975
н	2 2081221	-1 4384842	-1 7147007
H	4 6066971	-0.4636064	-0.8149154
н	3 8647876	1 5308427	0.9430570
н	-1.8610012	-1 5381615	2 0261545
н	1 211/365	0.6003523	4 5100356
н	0 5475274	1.4585640	3.0863660
н	2 0483659	2 1487503	2 2138745
л Ц	1 5295022	4 7750160	1 6068286
и П	1 5807675	9.6975797	1 2062286
11	0.2020220	2.0810181	0.4276917
п	0.3029329	4.0019439	0.4370817
H	1.0454370	-2.401/132	1.1105427
н	0.5592715	-5.1028907	0.5507469
H	-1.2812425	-4.9868810	-1.4895970
H	-3.2513303	1.0501681	0.6106414
Н	-5.6447645	-0.0267515	-0.1965389
Н	-4.8846249	-2.0266005	-1.9247282
Н	0.8673170	1.1404848	-3.1700825
Ν	-1.0545570	-2.9135333	-1.2262829
Ν	-0.5970365	0.0038065	1.3724402
Ν	0.0517119	2.5440796	0.1367904
Ν	-0.6708071	1.7699206	-0.6789761
Ν	-0.3357065	-2.1202782	-0.4204132
Ν	-2.9545650	-1.3543910	-1.4450936
Ν	-2.4359200	-0.3977717	-0.6602425
Ν	-1.1337510	-1.3241219	-3.1097268
Ν	-0.4256630	-0.3620185	-2.5026778
Ν	1.9409551	0.9806240	0.3369404
Ν	1.4024653	0.0470874	-0.4683992
Ν	0.1261145	0.9438035	2.0021904
F	3.4246460	0.5806428	5.4105965
F	2.4452805	2.3854796	4,4073412
F	4.6350382	2.4927499	5.0630262
P	3 7879892	1 4973174	4 1472560
F	2 9055596	0.5069004	3 1902066
F	5 0800180	0.6145517	3 8336301
F	4 1050380	9 4919597	2 8306429
τ.	4.10000000	2.4212001	2.0000420



Figure ESI1: Optimised (unconstrained, ground-state) structure of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ in vacuo (opaque) and with the counterion $[PF_6]^-$ (translucent). Fe atom in grey, N in yellow, B in green, C in black, H in white, P in tan and F in ochre.

Table ESI3: COSMO-optimised (unconstrained, ground-state) structure of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ in acetone ($\epsilon = 20.7$).

53			
Fe	-0.0000312	0.0001125	-0.0000586
в	-1.7537360	-1.6479291	-1.9017883
B	1.7540515	1.6469986	1.9023140
Ē	0.5366220	-2 9069798	0.5777300
č	2 8642136	-0.7531636	-0.5455665
č	4 1320863	-0.4211562	-0.0763849
C	3 9077489	0.5275629	0.9056105
č	-0.8146675	-0 7356487	2 7943081
C	-0.4623522	-0.3958094	4 0936413
č	0.5468867	0.5444300	3 9520107
č	-0.5354677	2 9075195	-0 5774231
č	0.1125576	4 1510750	0.1184856
č	0.8000121	3 8678060	0.8728130
č	0.1140552	4 1517920	0.1194179
č	0.1149555	-4.1017209	0.1184178
č	-0.8073333	-3.8081770	-0.8730172
č	-2.8043140	0.1009400	0.0442772
č	-4.1322070	0.4203338	0.0702857
č	-3.9077031	-0.5298170	-0.9042807
Ğ	0.8129159	0.7307337	-2.7947620
G	0.4596542	0.3973412	-4.0939560
U U	-0.5486266	-0.5438627	-3.9519030
H	-2.4312374	-2.2839118	-2.6523759
H	0.8761543	0.7801649	-5.0105188
H	-1.1213100	-1.0837099	-4.6893286
H	2.5724656	-1.4524277	-1.3131453
H	5.0785705	-0.8169531	-0.4046184
H	4.5921260	1.0638944	1.5435751
H	-1.5574904	-1.4317838	2.4380338
H	-0.8800482	-0.7777541	5.0100257
H	1.1193826	1.0841607	4.6896673
H	-1.2495584	2.6609789	-1.3470546
H	-0.4341869	5.1218891	-0.4591478
н	2.4316395	2.2826731	2.6530842
н	1.3916480	4.5167021	1.5080454
Н	1.2502856	-2.6599797	1.3476056
н	0.4374419	-5.1214332	0.4588640
н	-1.3884702	-4.5174517	-1.5086149
н	-2.5726286	1.4546521	1.3105718
н	-5.0787757	0.8159996	0.4044457
н	-4.5921252	-1.0676722	-1.5409822
н	1.5555995	1.4331713	-2.4387857
Ν	-0.9148477	-2.5396440	-0.9766498
Ν	-0.0648669	-0.0434014	1.9355093
Ν	0.9160442	2.5391968	0.9767250
Ν	0.0976909	1.9493396	0.0943949
Ν	-0.0972595	-1.9492269	-0.0940227
Ν	-2.5899626	-0.7352544	-0.9939500
Ν	-1.9493550	0.0448006	-0.1121342
Ν	-0.7644118	-0.7361404	-2.6497918
Ν	0.0645075	0.0433709	-1.9356451
Ν	2.5900439	0.7338172	0.9948138
Ν	1.9493620	-0.0446167	0.1116354
Ν	0.7638043	0.7360349	2.6499925



Figure ESI2: Optimised (unconstrained, ground-state) structure of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ in vacuo (opaque) and in acetone ($\epsilon = 20.7$) using COSMO (translucent). The differences are very minor.

Table ESI4: Optimised (unconstrained, ground-state) structure of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ with 12 explicit acetone molecules. Optimised using the PBE functional.

170			
173			
Fo	0 4727024	0 3100762	0.3666288
B	-2 6713957	-1 6929536	-1 3131827
B	1.7403693	1.0359065	2.0360824
Ē	-0.1359784	-3.2912263	0.7608219
C	2.1913472	-1.2097370	-0.7786306
\mathbf{C}	3.5522276	-0.9623780	-0.5689122
\mathbf{C}	3.5994453	-0.0927419	0.5188385
\mathbf{C}	-0.8757719	-1.1797863	3.2390394
\mathbf{C}	-0.2456815	-0.9897746	4.4772371
\mathbf{C}	0.8277052	-0.1404612	4.2235469
\mathbf{C}	-0.8218776	2.6654497	0.0284646
\mathbf{C}	-0.2064544	3.8349688	0.4770615
С	0.8379587	3.4014931	1.2957186
C	-0.7368205	-4.4673655	0.3061663
C	-1.7667794	-4.0538669	-0.5418374
C	-3.1339064	0.6678775	1.4145023
C	-4.4907676	0.5033473	1.1080815
C	-4.5280667	-0.4033570	0.0516451
C	-0.0096793	0.4476780	-2.5499191
Č	-0.5791539	0.1623303	-3.8007085
ц Ц	2 5262506	-0.0037223	-3.3323370
п	-3.3202300	-2.2397300	-1.9570555
н	2 3032571	1 1470004	4.1847805
н	1 7080643	1 8234043	1 5280020
н	4 3753245	-1 3757615	-1 1394248
н	4 4319835	0 4040939	1 0093197
н	-1.7570034	-1.7622212	2.9836520
н	-0.5409684	-1.4095593	5.4318728
н	1.5678436	0.3048023	4.8845358
Н	-1.6828567	2.5333692	-0.6166990
н	-0.4877587	4.8496661	0.2196983
н	2.5976541	1.5791152	2.6798382
Н	1.5902132	3.9498983	1.8542977
Н	0.7153092	-3.1606664	1.4211625
Н	-0.4563991	-5.4845488	0.5536518
Н	-2.4563822	-4.6206357	-1.1594971
Н	-2.6521288	1.2764745	2.1769684
Н	-5.3347425	0.9724264	1.6003127
Н	-5.3580071	-0.8566245	-0.4842334
н	0.8586771	1.0518566	-2.3022429
N	-1.7681971	-2.7077802	-0.5760594
N	-0.2079373	-0.4906453	2.2976380
IN N	0.8286751	2.0562377	1.3203231
IN N	-0.1800319	1.5938015	0.0433210
IN N	2 2560558	-2.2321937	0.2143001
N	2 4005068	-0.7455295	-0.2499232
N	-1.7332237	-0.8427778	-2 1957259
N	-0.7227660	-0.1674753	-1 5891039
N	2.3310285	0.1385735	0.9276425
Ν	1.4651085	-0.5390736	0.1323078
Ν	0.8307406	0.1432396	2.9008371
0	3.0426160	5.4751684	2.8334898
Н	1.8669169	6.5287195	4.8229352
Н	0.7301863	7.3207955	3.6840776
\mathbf{C}	1.7909757	7.1823326	3.9474646
\mathbf{C}	2.5278314	6.5875717	2.7727917
Н	2.1829728	8.1826434	4.1861893
С	2.5925319	7.4176685	1.5142946
H	1.5834611	7.7298019	1.2041457
H	3.0758603	6.8561467	0.7076281
н	3.1303913	8.343/1//	1.7083544
ц Ц	5 1507667	2.3322349	-5.1292940
н	-3 7347221	-3 5100497	-8 6272829
Ĉ	-4 1708914	-2 6893143	-8.0367392
$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$	-3.2600707	-2.3207650	-6.8892966
Ĥ	-4.2721203	-1.8358521	-8.7255408
\mathbf{C}	-1.8413496	-1.9413722	-7.2449715
н	-1.3636334	-2.7534719	-7.8142911
Н	-1.2558280	-1.7312749	-6.3435573
Н	-1.8406983	-1.0597019	-7.9045882
\mathbf{C}	-1.8969787	1.9324946	5.8402947
Н	-1.1522603	1.5365959	6.5459532
С	-1.2233912	2.7003686	4.7270387
0	-1.5193259	2.5286296	3.5521897
Н	0.1366730	4.3032505	4.2774219
C	-0.1634712	3.6943399	5.1374096
H TT	0.7139183	3.1307705 4.22660F4	5.0550610
H TT	-0.0248590 0 5420907	4.3300854	0.9000010
л Ц	-2.3432021	2.01/90/1 1 1167710	5 49119002
Ĉ	3.5714344	2.8699434	-1.6708511
õ	2.4845251	2.4723719	-2.0720517
й	4,4759351	4.7639930	-1.1534170
Ĉ	3.6896461	4.0868677	-0.7862958
Ĥ	2.7283456	4.6080155	-0.7248354
н	3.9996740	3.7598929	0.2197102
Η	5.4625200	2.8204228	-2.6751820
\mathbf{C}	4.8566614	2.1650597	-2.0287972
Η	4.6456293	1.2268734	-2.5522697
H	5.4503662	1.9736713	-1.1223030
H	4.6863866	-2.8040914	4.0212240
C	3.9410665	-2.3993789	3.3184941
H	2.9629907	-2.3271934	3.8072042
п	4.2003389	-1.3944905	J.0J29908

С	3.8581026	-3.2725827	2.0876210
0	2.8007154	-3.7766897	1.7333167
Н	5.7160835	-4.2978635	1.8614473
C	5.1425747	-3.5187762	1.3321816
H	4.9297378	-3.8647972	0.3140924
H	5.7724069	-2.6189894	1.3053373
Н	2.4743052	-5.1729444	-0.2457137
C	2.6404702	-5.5885420	-1.2550168
H	3.6390520	-6.0433312	-1.2635172
Н	1.8699792	-6.3455601	-1.4497849
0	3.5648725	-3.7206942	-2.4219151
C	2.5902253	-4.4372616	-2.2324726
Н	1.3208214	-3.2922384	-3.5538349
C	1.2701605	-4.1893134	-2.9254197
H	0.9981862	-5.0604944	-3.5418455
н	0.4620275	-4.0959502	-2.1830056
0	-3.7739151	-2.6516326	2.7045408
C	-4.8375234	-3.0260563	2.2302206
	-4.9087208	-4.1482620	1.2207179
H	-3.9354253	-4.6421314	1.1315551
H	-5.2093572	-3.7287140	0.2469094
H	-5.6854088	-4.8759331	1.4995084
н	-0.7471875	-2.1018301	1.7197002
	-0.1538917	-2.3930355	2.0172005
H	-3.9829144	-1.4914512	3.2139222
н	-0.7412130	-3.1130393	3.2076379
G	-1.6499198	5.4499883	-1.8977525
U U	-1.2005240	4.7050613	-2.7880831
п	0.1101903	3.9130334 4 7964129	-2.1010340
U U	0.1390330	4.7204138	-3.2849302
п	0.0341774	0.00000000 4 E46E600	-3.0208000
п	0.2220433	4.5405022	-4.3073913
С	-3.1339108	2.6992926	-2.9043079
U U	-2.1694999	3.0662620	-3.4243929
п 11	-2.3310333	3.9490223	-4.4820400
11 U	5 0920922	4 0294019	5.0480567
C 11	4 0020604	2 0204500	5.9480307
u u	4.0238094	3.0304399	5.7501770
11 U	2 2882020	4.2860012	6 5021562
и Н	3 7880007	4.2800913	4 7653072
C	4 7934706	1 5001214	4.1055572
č	3 7943359	2 3650065	5 6001078
н	5 0775574	1 9037168	3 9929572
0	2 6484170	1 8002501	5 95/0181
й	4 4371920	0.4703784	4 8635064
н	6 8599384	4 7211702	3 2653172
Ĉ	6 4016276	4 4787042	2 2040513
н	8 1786384	2 4031951	3 2692897
н	5 3321265	4 7188302	2 3037841
н	6 9080945	5 1129584	1 5483573
Ĉ	8.0086461	2.4714460	2.1824523
č	6.6295371	3.0310962	1.9321502
Ĥ	8.7850114	3.1450975	1.7902740
0	5.7337865	2.3409905	1.4572521
H	8.1086080	1.4752696	1.7380321
Н	-4.7138231	-4.3690413	-4.7810659
Н	-2.8934586	-5.2650629	-6.5367087
\mathbf{C}	-4.4563358	-5.3420325	-4.3307100
Н	-4.8180305	-5.3719502	-3.2967541
\mathbf{C}	-2.3358385	-5.7546455	-5.7266118
\mathbf{C}	-2.9546220	-5.4837367	-4.3716947
Н	-4.9473332	-6.1204835	-4.9335695
Η	-2.3788014	-6.8395349	-5.9174127
Η	-1.2844202	-5.4450029	-5.7337811
0	-2.2645895	-5.3883670	-3.3671100
Н	-9.6545203	-2.3872047	-2.6859721
Η	-7.4043773	-1.7526032	-3.9699664
\mathbf{C}	-9.0721594	-2.9665791	-1.9541297
Н	-9.4754725	-2.8184899	-0.9466470
\mathbf{C}	-6.9569587	-2.5549711	-3.3618434
\mathbf{C}	-7.6132647	-2.5802281	-2.0012136
Η	-9.1808899	-4.0257370	-2.2377486
Η	-7.1483740	-3.4947586	-3.9015519
Н	-5.8785580	-2.3835538	-3.2744739
0	-6.9926799	-2.3010738	-0.9825648



Figure ESI3: Optimised (unconstrained, ground-state) structure of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ with 12 acetone solvent molecules.

Table ESI5: Optimised structure of Tp₂Fe (S = 2).

53			
Fo	0.0012171	0.0012287	0.0000880
P	1 0125605	1 7022712	1.0051014
D	-1.0100000	-1.7033713	-1.9601614
D	1.8122007	1.7040380	1.9858595
Ğ	0.4001434	-3.1893783	0.4487060
č	3.1548519	-0.6408543	-0.4137649
C	4.3779665	-0.2366638	0.1243970
C	4.0339206	0.6892143	1.0903187
C	-0.7066562	-0.6270299	3.1086745
C	-0.2789539	-0.2246520	4.3755757
С	0.7153919	0.6995427	4.1198472
С	-0.3903369	3.1922111	-0.4568441
С	0.1041621	4.3880666	0.0675638
\mathbf{C}	0.9894498	3.9872434	1.0495057
\mathbf{C}	-0.0888630	-4.3858309	-0.0794798
\mathbf{C}	-0.9786044	-3.9859733	-1.0577930
\mathbf{C}	-3.1583873	0.6333442	0.4211664
\mathbf{C}	-4.3814506	0.2242783	-0.1133922
\mathbf{C}	-4.0364509	-0.6987316	-1.0817028
\mathbf{C}	0.6990022	0.6341763	-3.1088301
\mathbf{C}	0.2704520	0.2322735	-4.3755920
\mathbf{C}	-0.7221013	-0.6937557	-4.1195335
Η	-2.5012341	-2.3458566	-2.7338781
н	0.6276179	0.5645563	-5.3358445
Н	-1.3425721	-1.2716071	-4.7855962
Η	2.9439852	-1.3530714	-1.1971015
Η	5.3664509	-0.5672534	-0.1470127
Н	4.6419762	1.2694977	1.7656122
Η	-1.4688870	-1.3384839	2.8286762
Н	-0.6377029	-0.5555695	5.3357122
Н	1.3360140	1.2769750	4.7861321
Н	-1.1082074	3.0264220	-1.2458029
Н	-0.1437437	5.3952978	-0.2224830
Н	2.5002734	2.3459011	2.7347711
Н	1.6099822	4.5579745	1.7215645
н	1.1190176	-3.0227907	1.2365832
н	0.1652192	-5.3928327	0.2059890
н	-1.5973769	-4.5574102	-1.7308707
н	-2.9481816	1.3453447	1.2048774
н	-5.3704948	0.5501157	0.1616922
н	-4 6441380	-1 2809449	-1 7556655
н	1.4603881	1.3466386	-2.8291087
N	-0.9953853	-2 6465911	-1.0872328
N	-0.0211512	0.0091447	2 1671357
N	0.9986243	2.6479148	1.0845171
N	0.1565480	2 1552724	0 1646539
N	-0.1540284	-2.1530130	-0.1672571
N	-2 7015427	-0.8103137	-1 0994227
N	-2 1585800	0.0025681	-0.1816475
N	-0.8509674	-0.8144733	-2 7914337
N	0.0156406	-0.0039588	-2 1670499
N	2 6995012	0.8069944	1 1032868
N	2 1559258	-0.0046818	0 1848205
N	0.8460179	0.8188482	2.7917862

Table ESI6: Optimised structure of Tp₂Fe (S = 0).

53			
Fe	-0.0001357	0 0001799	-0.0000244
D	1 7495490	1 6208187	1 0008848
B	1 7487464	1 630/187	1 0100577
C	0.5062275	2.0476602	0.5660280
č	2 0060256	-2.9470093	0.5342230
č	4.1709255	-0.7294071	-0.0342239
č	2.0241255	-0.3814197	-0.0331403
č	0.7000005	0.3336631	0.9290180
ä	-0.7900000	-0.7175705	2.6496197
č	-0.4332723	-0.3685421	4.1527805
C	0.5715316	0.5639058	3.9909620
C	-0.5056940	2.9482184	-0.5659317
C	-0.0697273	4.1890310	-0.0935058
C	0.8368513	3.8806316	0.9006758
C	0.0708701	-4.1886837	0.0937784
C	-0.8357879	-3.8806966	-0.9004620
С	-2.9073324	0.7295374	0.5336342
C	-4.1731491	0.3808097	0.0548731
С	-3.9241917	-0.5548308	-0.9289042
С	0.7977406	0.7185161	-2.8499946
С	0.4324191	0.3689626	-4.1529000
\mathbf{C}	-0.5715979	-0.5643055	-3.9909309
Н	-2.4338460	-2.2825682	-2.6581797
Н	0.8405983	0.7421092	-5.0769657
Η	-1.1560562	-1.1133387	-4.7112815
Η	2.6257154	-1.4267437	-1.3073549
Η	5.1288007	-0.7568967	-0.3789830
Η	4.5906973	1.1028579	1.5742235
Η	-1.5456974	-1.4139227	2.5023400
Η	-0.8416898	-0.7415706	5.0767883
Η	1.1563894	1.1123954	4.7114020
Η	-1.2157005	2.7125212	-1.3426286
Н	-0.3716409	5.1672530	-0.4277017
Η	2.4341863	2.2819214	2.6584321
Η	1.4277221	4.5105044	1.5457085
Η	1.2160884	-2.7116635	1.3429714
Η	0.3732014	-5.1667673	0.4280008
Н	-1.4263081	-4.5108328	-1.5455612
Η	-2.6263004	1.4274193	1.3063409
Н	-5.1292421	0.7559905	0.3787082
Н	-4.5906168	-1.1042743	-1.5738579
Н	1.5439313	1.4155818	-2.5026287
Ν	-0.9151115	-2.5456833	-0.9921074
Ν	-0.0640942	-0.0416269	1.9754194
Ν	0.9155467	2.5455786	0.9923656
Ν	0.0978680	1.9715554	0.0993735
Ν	-0.0977719	-1.9712823	-0.0990801
Ν	-2.5978065	-0.7314504	-1.0091533
N	-1.9723175	0.0499769	-0.1182042
N	-0.7696492	-0.7388321	-2.6766978
N	0.0636878	0.0419068	-1 9754832
N	2.5978035	0.7309773	1.0091914
N	1 9720972	-0.0498798	0 1179181
N	0.7695776	0.7386379	2.6767562



Figure ESI4: Optimised structure of Tp_2Fe in the S = 2 state (opaque) and the S = 0 state (translucent).

Reference shieldings

Nucleus [reference]	PBE	PBE-25	PBE-40	PBE-100
H [TMS]	31.7	31.8	31.8	31.2
C [TMS]	184.6	188.4	190.4	182.7
$B [BF_3(O(C_2H_5)_2)]$	96.5	101.9	105.0	91.1
$N [CH_3NO_2]$	-114.0	-139.3	-154.7	-63.1

Table ESI7: Computed NMR reference shieldings (ppm).

Zero-field splitting parameters

The zero-field splitting D-parameter is defined as

$$D = D_{33} - \frac{1}{2}(D_{11} + D_{22}), \tag{1}$$

where D_{11} , D_{22} and D_{33} are the eigenvalues of the traceless zero-field splitting tensor. The *E*-parameter is

$$E = \frac{1}{2}(D_{11} - D_{22}). \tag{2}$$

The eigenvalues D_{11} , D_{22} and D_{33} are conventionally assigned so that the condition E/D > 0 is satisfied.

Full numerical pNMR results for $[Tp_2Fe]^+$

Table ESI8: Experimental and computational NMR chemical shifts (ppm) for $[Tp_2Fe]^+$. Functional PBE-25 was used unless indicated otherwise. The *g*-tensor was calculated at the NEVPT2 level based on the indicated CASSCF wavefunction. Geometry optimised *in vacuo* was used in *g*-tensor calculations. In counterion and explicit solvent HFC calculations, the corresponding geometry was used; otherwise *in vacuo* unless otherwise indicated. Temperature for ¹H: 305 K; ¹³C: 300 K; ¹¹B and ¹⁴N: 298 K.

Wavefunction, HFC level	H3	H4	H5	BH	C3	C4	C5	В	N1	N2
$exptl^{2,3}$	-52.9	-13.2	-8.2	39.4	2.5	175.8	19.6	95.2	-	-
cas(5,5), mDKS	-51.3	-10.0	-2.0	41.5	34.7	-3.6	93.7	91.2	-419.5	-2940.1
cas(9,12), mDKS (PBE)	-44.1	-19.2	-0.5	37.6	-21.8	-3.3	58.9	71.1	-370.0	-1918.5
cas(9,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	-50.9	-9.4	0.3	42.0	34.6	2.5	91.6	92.5	-396.8	-2758.0
cas(9,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	-55.2	-5.5	-0.6	44.1	76.6	-0.1	108.9	104.3	-423.9	-3165.0
cas(9,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	-63.3	17.2	-15.6	38.3	312.9	-64.1	235.5	115.2	-474.8	-3079.4
cas(9,12), DKH	-43.9	-9.1	-2.6	36.6	61.2	2.9	88.5	78.5	-412.9	-2875.8
$cas(9,12), DKH + [PF_6]^-$	-41.9	-11.5	-3.7	34.4	58.2	8.5	96.4	74.1	-414.5	-2739.0
cas(9,12), DKH + C-PCM	-44.3^{a}	-8.9^{a}	-2.5^{a}	36.6^{a}	61.7^{b}	4.3^{b}	89.9^{b}	78.9^{c}	-	-
cas(9,12), DKH + C-PCM ^a					60.3	2.5	89.0	78.9	-411.7	-2877.8
cas(9,12), DKH + C-PCM ^{a,d}	-43.8	-9.3	-2.3	36.4	70.3	3.8	102.3	78.5	-415.7	-2857.1
cas(9,12), DKH + 12 acetones	-41.8	-7.9	-4.7	34.6	68.1	-2.0	106.0	75.1	-429.8	-2907.7
cas(9,12), DLPNO-CCSD	-44.5	-2.4	6.5	37.6	73.9	16.3	136.2	76.1	-260.5	-3039.6

^{*a*} Acetone-d₆ ($\epsilon = 20.7$).

^b Chloroform ($\epsilon = 4.81$).

^c Dichloromethane ($\epsilon = 8.93$).

 d COSMO-optimised geometry used in the HFC calculation.

Temperature series of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$



Figure ESI5: Computational ¹H temperature series of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ calculated at the mDKS/PBE0 level of theory for HFCs and cas(9,12)/NEVPT2 level for the *g*-tensor. Contribution from the ground-state doublet only.

Excited state of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$

The thermal Boltzmann equilibrium of the ground state and a doublet excited state of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ was fitted to experimental signal shifts similarly to the case of the spin-crossover complex Tp_2Fe , with ΔE and ΔS between the ground and excited states acting as fitting parameters. The *g*-tensor and the HFCs of the excited state were estimated using the ORCA programme in order to determine the nuclear shieldings in the excited doublet. The orbital shieldings were approximated to be the same as for the ground state. This is a severe approximation, dictated by our present lack of means to directly compute the orbital shielding tensor for the excited state.

Due to the need to adjust orbital occupations to create a configuration corresponding to the electronically excited multiplet, the excited-state calculations were performed with a symmetrisy-optimised geometry in the D_{3d} point group. The TURBOMOLE programme was used at the standard level of theory for the constrained geometry optimisation. Due to the orbital degeneracy of the D_{3d} electronic structure in the ground configuration, $\dots 2a_{2g}^2 3a_{1u}^2 3a_{2g}^2 19e_g^3$, an initial Fermi smearing step was performed before the geometry optimisation. The resulting D_{3d} structure is provided in Table ESI9.

Table ESI9: Optimised structure of $[Tp_2Fe]^+$ in D_{3d} symmetry.

53

Fe	0.0000000	-0.0000000	0.0000000
в	0.0000000	0.0000000	-3.0601708
в	0.0000000	-0.0000000	3.0601708
Ē	-2.4879087	1.4363947	-0.8778219
č	-2.4879087	-1.4363947	0.8778219
č	-3.1091790	-1.7950853	2.0677482
č	-2.2733922	-1.3125436	3.0612238
č	-0.0000000	2.8727895	0.8778219
č	0.0000000	3.5901707	2.0677482
č	-0.0000000	2.6250872	3.0612238
č	2.4879087	-1.4363947	0.8778219
Ċ	3.1091790	-1.7950853	2.0677482
č	2.2733922	-1.3125436	3.0612238
č	-3.1091790	1.7950853	-2.0677482
Ċ	-2.2733922	1.3125436	-3.0612238
č	2.4879087	1.4363947	-0.8778219
Ċ	3.1091790	1.7950853	-2.0677482
Ċ	2.2733922	1.3125436	-3.0612238
Ċ	-0.0000000	-2.8727895	-0.8778219
\mathbf{C}	0.0000000	-3.5901707	-2.0677482
\mathbf{C}	0.0000000	-2.6250872	-3.0612238
н	0.0000000	0.0000000	-4.2553543
н	-0.0000000	-4.6596441	-2.1923746
н	0.0000000	-2.7155395	-4.1357668
н	-2.7907466	-1.6112383	-0.1420277
Н	-4.0353701	-2.3298220	2.1923746
Н	-2.3517262	-1.3577697	4.1357668
Н	0.0000000	3.2224766	-0.1420277
Н	0.0000000	4.6596441	2.1923746
Н	0.0000000	2.7155395	4.1357668
н	2.7907466	-1.6112383	-0.1420277
Н	4.0353701	-2.3298220	2.1923746
Н	0.0000000	-0.0000000	4.2553543
Н	2.3517262	-1.3577697	4.1357668
н	-2.7907466	1.6112383	0.1420277
Н	-4.0353701	2.3298220	-2.1923746
Н	-2.3517262	1.3577697	-4.1357668
H	2.7907466	1.6112383	0.1420277
H	4.0353701	2.3298220	-2.1923746
H	2.3517262	1.3577697	-4.1357668
H	0.0000000	-3.2224766	0.1420277
IN	-1.2318313	0.7111981	-2.4/8/22/
IN	0.0000000	1.3038372	1.1430949
IN NT	1.2316313	-0.7111961	2.4/0/22/
IN N	1.3300348	-0.7829180	1.1430949
N	1 2218212	0.7829180	-1.1430949
N	1.2510515	0.7111981	-2.4/0/22/
N	0.0000000	-1 4223963	-2 4787227
N	0.0000000	-1 5658372	-1 1436949
N	-1.2318313	-0.7111981	2.4787227
N	-1.3560548	-0.7829186	1.1436949
N	0.0000000	1.4223963	2.4787227

The g-tensor of the excited doublet was calculated using the pseudospin formalism discussed, e.g., by Chibotaru et al.⁴ at the NEVPT2 level based on a cas(5,5) wavefunction, using the SINGLE_ANISO module of ORCA and requesting the g-tensors of the two lowest doublet multiplets. The resulting g-tensor eigenvalues for the excited doublet were $|g_i| = 0.63, 0.24$ and 0.24, with a negative sign indicated for the product $g_1g_2g_3$. Due to the D_{3d} symmetry of the complex, it is reasonable to assume a cylindri-

cally symmetric g-tensor, meaning that $g_1 = -0.63$ along the 3-fold molecular axis and $g_2 = g_3 = 0.24$ in the two perpendicular directions. The approximate g-tensor for the excited doublet is thereby fixed.

As for the HFCs, an initial DFT calculation was performed at the DKH2/PBE0 level, using the optimised D_{3d} geometry, for the ground electron configuration. Low-lying excited, orbitally non-degenerate configurations can be obtained from the ground configuration by exchanging occupied and unoccupied β -spin orbitals to $\dots 2a_{2g}^2 3a_{1u}^2 3a_{2g}^1 19e_g^4, \dots 2a_{2g}^2 3a_{1u}^1 3a_{2g}^2 19e_g^4$ and $\dots 2a_{2g}^1 3a_{1u}^2 3a_{2g}^2 19e_g^4$, in an order based on differences in the exchanged orbital energies. The first of these configurations is expected to correspond to the lowest excited doublet and, hence, the expectation-value (the contact and dipolar) contributions to the HFCs were then computed for it. The orbital contributions had to be omitted from the HFC, due to the fact that they would have required wave function response, which was not available for the present excited-state case. Due to the many approximations, it is clear that the result is only an estimate for the true excited-state HFCs. In the Boltzmann fitting process, all possible assignments of the three carbon signals were considered separately. In addition, the thermal average was calculated with ΔE fixed to 2ero. Because the part of the entropy coming form the degeneracy 2S + 1 = 2 of the electronic states is the same for both lowest doublets. A further underlying approximation in the present Boltzmann averaging is that the magnetic coupling terms⁵ between the ground- and excited-doublet states are not accounted for. The results are shown in Table ESI10.

Table ESI10: Ground-state and approximate excited-state nuclear shieldings for $[Tp_2Fe]^+$, along with the chemical shifts of fitted Boltzmann averages (ppm) with different assignments of the carbon signals. The *g*-tensor for the ground state has been calculated at the NEVPT2 level based on a cas(9,12) wavefunction, and for the excited state at the cas(5,5)/NEVPT2 level using the pseudospin formalism. The HFCs were computed at the DKH2/PBE0 level. The Boltzmann averages have also been calculated with $\Delta E = 6.4$ kJ/mol computed at the cas(9,12)/NEVPT2 level with ΔS set to zero, and the experimental and pure ground-state chemical shifts have been included for comparison. The fitting parameters ΔE (kJ/mol) and ΔS (J/Kmol) are reported for the fitted shifts.

	H3	H4	H5	BH	C3	C4	C5	В	N1	N2	ΔE	ΔS
Nuclear shieldings												
ground state	75.7	40.9	34.4	-4.8	127.2	185.5	99.9	23.4	273.6	2736.5		
excited state	25.6	29.9	19.4	22.5	70.4	114.1	43.9	105.3	74.1	-69.7		
Boltzmann-average shifts												
exptl	-52.9	-13.2	-8.2	39.4	2.5	175.8	19.6	95.2	-	-		
pure ground state	-43.9	-9.1	-2.6	36.6	61.2	2.9	88.5	78.5	-412.9	-2875.8		
assignment 345	-37.4	-7.7	-0.7	33.1	69.5	13.2	96.6	66.0	-382.6	-2448.7	-20.4	-82.7
assignment 534	-42.9	-8.9	-2.3	36.1	62.5	4.4	89.7	76.6	-408.3	-2810.8	-17.3	-89.2
assignment 354	-42.4	-8.7	-2.2	35.8	63.1	5.3	90.4	75.6	-405.9	-2776.8	-17.5	-86.3
assignment 435	-42.6	-8.8	-2.3	35.9	62.8	4.8	90.0	76.1	-407.1	-2794.1	-17.4	-87.5
assignment 453	-42.1	-8.7	-2.1	35.6	63.5	5.7	90.7	75.0	-404.6	-2758.3	-17.6	-85.2
assignment 543	-37.4	-7.7	-0.7	33.0	69.5	13.3	96.7	65.9	-382.4	-2446.8	-20.4	-82.7
ΔE comptl, ΔS zero	-40.2	-8.3	-1.5	34.6	65.2	7.9	92.4	72.8	-399.2	-2682.0	6.4	0

Including the doublet excited state in a thermal equilibrium does not improve the agreement with experiment regardless of which carbon signal assignment is used in the fit, nor when a computational value for ΔE is used. Furthermore, the fit in each case incorrectly places the excited doublet below the ground doublet in energy. A probable cause is a failure of the employed approximations to reasonably estimate the excited-state EPR parameters. Considering the sensitivity of the nuclear shieldings to the HFCs, the present method of approximating the excited-state electronic structure used in their calculation is likely to be inadequate. More work in this direction will be needed in the future.

Physical contributions to the isotropic nuclear shieldings

The HFC tensor can decomposed as

$$\boldsymbol{A} = A_{\rm con} \boldsymbol{1} + \boldsymbol{A}_{\rm dip} + A_{\rm pc} \boldsymbol{1} + \boldsymbol{A}_{\rm dip,2} + \boldsymbol{A}_{\rm as},\tag{3}$$

where $A_{\rm con}$ and $A_{\rm dip}$ are the nonrelativistic isotropic contact coupling and anisotropic dipolar coupling tensors, respectively. The rest of the tensors are relativistic in their origin: $A_{\rm pc}$ is the isotropic pseudocontact coupling, $A_{\rm dip,2}$ is the anisotropic and symmetric second dipolar term, $A_{\rm as}$ is the antisymmetric term and 1 is a unit tensor. The g-tensor can similarly be decomposed as

$$\boldsymbol{g} = g_{\rm e} \mathbf{1} + \Delta g_{\rm iso} \mathbf{1} + \Delta \widetilde{\boldsymbol{g}},\tag{4}$$

where g_e is the free-electron g-factor, and Δg_{iso} and $\Delta \tilde{g}$ are the isotropic and anisotropic parts of the gshift tensor. The isotropic nuclear shielding constant σ can be broken down to its physical contributions by the decompositions of the HFC tensor and the g-tensor. These contributions are, up to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$ in the fine structure constant α ,

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\rm orb} + \sigma_{\rm con} + \sigma_{\rm con,2} + \sigma_{\rm con,3} + \sigma_{\rm dip} + \sigma_{\rm dip,2} + \sigma_{\rm dip,3} + \sigma_{\rm c,aniso} + \sigma_{\rm pc},\tag{5}$$

where $\sigma_{\rm orb}$ is the orbital shielding term. See Table ESI11 for a detailed breakdown of the other contributions.

Table ESI11: Classification of nuclear shielding terms in doublet and higher-multiplicity spin states. Terms 3 and 4 are not extracted separately from terms 1 and 2, respectively, when the HFC tensors are obtained from a fully relativistic mDKS calculation. Term 5 does not contribute to the isotropic shielding.

				Tensoria	al rank ^{a}
Term in $\sigma_{\epsilon\tau}$	Number	Symbol	Order	S = 1/2	S > 1/2
$g_{\rm e}A_{\rm con}\left\langle S_{\epsilon}S_{\tau}\right\rangle$	1	$\sigma_{ m con}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$	0	0, 2
$g_{\rm e} \sum_{b} A_{b\tau}^{\rm dip} \left\langle S_{\epsilon} S_{b} \right\rangle$	2	$\sigma_{ m dip}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$	2	0, 2, 1
$g_{\rm e}A_{ m pc}\left\langle S_{\epsilon}S_{ au} ight angle$	3	$\sigma_{\mathrm{con},2}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$	0	0, 2
$g_{\rm e} \sum_{b} A_{b\tau}^{{ m dip},2} \left\langle S_{\epsilon} S_{b} \right\rangle$	4	$\sigma_{ m dip,2}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$	2	0, 2, 1
$g_{\rm e} \sum_{b} A_{b\tau}^{\rm as} \left\langle S_{\epsilon} S_{b} \right\rangle$	5	$\sigma_{\rm as}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$	1	2, 1
$\Delta g_{\rm iso} A_{\rm con} \left\langle S_{\epsilon} S_{\tau} \right\rangle$	6	$\sigma_{{ m con},3}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$	0	0, 2
$\Delta g_{\rm iso} \sum_{b} A_{b\tau}^{\rm dip} \left\langle S_{\epsilon} S_{b} \right\rangle$	7	$\sigma_{ m dip,3}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$	2	0, 2, 1
$A_{\rm con} \sum_a \Delta \tilde{g}_{\epsilon a} \left\langle S_a S_\tau \right\rangle$	8	$\sigma_{ m c,aniso}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$	2, 1	0, 2, 1
$\sum_{ab} \Delta \tilde{g}_{\epsilon a} A_{b\tau}^{\mathrm{dip}} \left\langle S_a S_b \right\rangle$	9	$\sigma_{ m pc}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$	0, 2, 1	0, 2, 1

 \overline{a} Contributions with rank 0, 2 and 1 correspond to the isotropic shielding constant, anisotropic symmetric terms and anisotropic antisymmetric terms, respectively.

Level of theory/Term no.	H3	H4	H5	BH	C3	C4	C5	В	N1	N2
cas(5,5), mDKS										
$\sigma_{\rm orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	20.1	11.2	29.8	-3.6	33.6	103.2	-3.5	-10.2	336.3	2866.2
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-0.4	-0.2	-0.6	0.1	-0.7	-2.1	0.1	0.2	-6.9	-59.1
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	36.5	5.7	-18.1	-30.9	77.4	17.2	55.3	-86.3	-58.3	-5.7
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	83.1	41.8	33.8	-9.7	153.7	192.0	94.7	10.7	280.2	2800.8
cas(9,12), mDKS (PBE)										
$\sigma_{\rm orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	16.6	18.6	33.5	-2.0	94.5	73.1	-14.2	-3.3	320.8	1874.4
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-1.4	-1.6	-2.8	0.2	-8.0	-6.2	1.2	0.3	-27.0	-157.8
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	33.6	8.8	-21.2	-28.8	76.6	47.3	95.8	-78.6	-47.0	88.5
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	75.8	50.9	32.2	-5.9	206.4	187.9	125.7	25.4	256.0	1804.5
cas(9,12), mDKS (PBE-25)										
$\sigma_{\rm orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	20.1	11.2	29.8	-3.6	33.6	103.2	-3.5	-10.2	336.3	2866.2
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-1.7	-0.9	-2.5	0.3	-2.8	-8.7	0.3	0.9	-28.3	-241.4
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	37.3	5.8	-18.5	-31.6	79.7	17.7	57.2	-88.3	-59.7	-5.6
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	82.7	41.2	31.5	-10.2	153.8	185.9	96.8	9.4	257.5	2618.7
cas(9,12), mDKS (PBE-40)										
$\sigma_{\rm orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	23.2	7.8	30.9	-4.4	-14.7	118.9	-12.2	-15.1	354.6	3328.2
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-2.0	-0.7	-2.6	0.4	1.2	-10.0	1.0	1.3	-29.9	-280.3
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	38.7	5.0	-18.6	-32.9	83.9	7.9	49.8	-92.5	-64.8	-37.1
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	87.0	37.3	32.4	-12.3	113.8	190.5	81.5	0.7	269.2	3010.3
cas(9,12), mDKS (PBE-100)										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	42.2	-16.1	58.8	1.9	-361.4	271.1	-223.3	-45.4	638.8	3807.1
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-3.6	1.4	-5.0	-0.2	30.4	-22.8	18.8	3.8	-53.8	-320.6
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	28.9	3.5	-29.7	-33.5	157.5	-75.1	108.8	-89.6	-182.5	-469.7
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	94.5	14.0	46.8	-7.1	-130.2	246.8	-52.8	-24.1	411.7	3016.3
cas(9,12), DKH										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	17.3	11.2	32.1	-1.1	19.8	104.6	-3.1	-3.9	351.3	3003.0
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-1.5	-0.9	-2.7	0.1	-1.7	-8.8	0.3	0.3	-29.6	-252.9
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table ESI12: Physical contributions to the isotropic nuclear shieldings for $[Tp_2Fe]^+$. Computational details as in Table ESI8.

8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	32.8	5.5	-17.6	-28.4	65.7 197.9	16.1	59.9	-80.0	-57.4	-13.1
$\frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{222}$ DKH + [DF] ⁻	15.1	40.9	34.4	-4.8	121.2	185.5	99.9	23.4	273.0	2730.5
$Cas(9,12), DKII + [FF_6]$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	12.2	72.7	42.0	107.0	0.2	0.6
$v_{\rm orb}$ 1 \pm 3	16.5	20.2 13.0	22.1	-1 0	40.0	13.1 03.0	42.9 _0.2	-4.8	9.3 350 0	-0.0 2845 7
2 + 4	10.0	10.0	0.0	-1.0	0.0	0.0	-3.2	-4.0	0.0	2040.1
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-14	-1 1	-2.8	0.0	-2.7	-79	0.0	0.0	-29.5	-239.6
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	31.6	6.3	-17.5	-26.4	57.8	20.2	57.5	-74.8	-54.6	-5.8
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	73.7	43.3	35.5	-2.6	130.2	179.9	92.0	27.8	275.2	2599.7
cas(9,12), DKH + C-PCM										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	-	-
1 + 3	17.8	11.1	31.8	-1.2	19.1	105.4	-2.4	-4.3	-	-
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
6	-1.5	-0.9	-2.7	0.1	-1.6	-8.9	0.2	0.4	-	-
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
9	32.8	5.4	-17.5	-28.4	67.1	15.7	58.9	-80.1	-	-
$\sigma_{\rm tot}$	76.1	40.7	34.3	-4.8	127.9	185.9	99.6	23.0	-	-
$cas(9,12), DKH + C-PCM^a$	~ ~			<u> </u>	40.0		- 12.0	1050		
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	17.9	11.1	32.1	-1.1	18.3	105.5	-5.0	-4.3	352.8	2984.2
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0	-1.5	-0.9	-2.1	0.1	-1.5	-0.9	0.4	0.4	-29.7	-201.0
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	32.2	0.0 5.8	-18.0	-28 2	58 0	14.3	0.0 47 8	-79 7	-55.9	-14 5
At at	75.6	41 1	34.1	-4.6	118 1	184.6	86.1	23.4	276.4	2717.8
cas(9.12), DKH + 12 acetones	10.0	11.1	01.1	1.0	110.1	101.0	00.1	20.1	210.1	2111.0
$\sigma_{\rm orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	18.0	10.4	33.5	-1.2	14.9	114.9	-18.2	-6.3	364.6	3043.0
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-1.5	-0.9	-2.8	0.1	-1.3	-9.7	1.5	0.5	-30.7	-256.3
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	30.1	5.0	-16.9	-26.4	63.3	11.6	56.3	-74.5	-52.7	-17.8
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	73.6	39.7	36.5	-2.8	120.3	190.4	82.4	26.8	290.5	2768.4
cas(9,12), DLPNO-CCSD										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	27.0	25.2	22.7	24.7	43.3	73.7	42.9	107.0	9.3	-0.6
1 + 3	16.8	5.3	19.3	-0.9	1.6	101.8	-19.3	0.8	194.0	3198.0
2 + 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0 7	-1.4	-0.4	-1.6	0.1	-0.1	-8.6	1.6	-0.1	-16.3	-269.3
(0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0	U.U 99 A	0.0	U.U 15 1	0.0	0.0	0.0 5 0	0.0	0.0	0.0 65 0	0.0
9	აა.9 76 ვ	4.2 34-9	-10.1 95.2	-29.0 5.9	09.8 114 5	0.⊿ 179-1	21.0 52.2	-01.9 25.8	-00.8 191-9	-21.8 2000 2
Utot	10.0	94.4	⊿ე.ე	-0.0	114.0	114.1	04.4	40.0	141.4	4300.0

 a COSMO-optimised geometry and Acetone-d_6 solvent ($\epsilon=20.7)$ used in HFC calculation.

Level of theory /Term no	ЦЗ	Нл	нь	рц	C3	C4	C5	P	N1	N9
$\frac{1}{1000}$ Level of theory/Term no.	115	114	115	DII	03	04	00	D	IN I	112
cas(0,5), IIIDKS	<u></u>	95.2	94.5	99.1	40.6	70.8	52.6	115.9	16 1	41.0
$v_{\rm orb}$	22.0 19.9	20.0	24.0	20.1 10 5	40.0	19.0	02.0 442.0	110.0	10.1	-41.9
1 + 3	-12.8	-21.4	-0.4 0.1	10.5	-421.4 5.6	-279.2	-445.9 1 2	42.2	-20 10.7	-13/24.4
2 + 4	-4.8	-0.4	2.1	4.8	-5.0	0.1	1.3	11.9	10.7	5.5
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-0.4	-0.9	-0.3	0.4	-14.6	-9.7	-15.4	1.5	-0.9	-475.8
7	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.2
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-10.2
9	-4.8	-0.4	2.1	4.8	-5.5	0.1	1.3	11.9	10.6	5.5
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	-0.1	-3.8	20.1	48.7	-407.0	-209.0	-404.4	183.1	10.9	-14241.1
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE)										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	22.8	25.3	24.5	28.1	40.6	79.8	52.6	115.3	16.1	-41.9
1 + 3	-21.5	-38.1	-18.4	12.0	-544.4	-419.8	-627.9	58.1	-182.2	-16292.6
2 + 4	-4.4	-0.4	2.0	4.5	-5.8	0.0	0.6	10.8	10.4	3.7
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-0.7	-1.3	-0.6	0.4	-18.5	-14.3	-21.3	2.0	-6.2	-553.8
7	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.1
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	0.0	-0.1	-10.8
9	-4.4	-0.4	2.0	4.5	-5.8	0.0	0.6	10.8	10.4	3.7
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	-8.3	-14.9	9.6	49.6	-534.5	-354.6	-595.9	197.4	-151.2	-16891.7
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)										
$\sigma_{\rm orb}$	22.8	25.3	24.5	28.1	40.6	79.8	52.6	115.3	16.1	-41.9
1 + 3	-12.8	-27.4	-8.4	10.5	-421.4	-279.2	-443.9	42.2	-26.0	-13724.6
2 + 4	-4.5	-0.3	2.0	4.5	-5.3	0.1	1.2	11.3	10.1	5.2
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-0.4	-0.9	-0.3	0.4	-14.3	-9.5	-15.1	14	-0.9	-466 5
7	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.2
8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	0.4	0.0	_0.2
0	4.5	0.0	2.0	4.5	-0.0 5 3	0.1	-0.5	11 3	10.1	5.2
5	-4.0	-0.5	2.0	4.0	-0.0 406 1	208.8	1.2	181.0	0.8	14231.6
$\frac{o_{\text{tot}}}{\cos(10.12)}$ mDKS (PBE-40)	0.4	-0.0	19.9	40.2	-400.1	-200.0	-404.2	101.9	9.0	-14231.0
Cas(10,12), IIIDR5 (1 DL-40)	22.8	25.3	24.5	28.1	40.6	70.8	52.6	115.3	16.1	41.0
1 + 2	0.0	20.0 94.1	24.0	10.2	374.0	226.8	281.0	24.5	21.7	19589 5
1 + 3 2 + 4	-9.9	-24.1	-4.4	10.5	5 1	-220.8	-301.2	04.0 11 4	10.0	-12002.0
2 + 4 r	-4.0	-0.5	2.0	4.0	-0.1	0.1	1.4	11.4	10.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-0.3	-0.8	-0.2	0.4	-12.7	-1.1	-13.0	1.2	1.1	-42(.(
7	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.2
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-8.4
9	-4.6	-0.3	2.0	4.6	-5.1	0.1	1.4	11.4	10.0	5.2
$\sigma_{\rm tot}$	3.3	-0.4	23.9	48.1	-356.6	-154.6	-339.1	174.2	69.3	-13049.9
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	22.8	25.3	24.5	28.1	40.6	79.8	52.6	115.3	16.1	-41.9
1 + 3	-3.7	-21.2	6.8	11.9	-280.5	-101.4	-283.4	7.0	213.2	-10055.8
2 + 4	-4.7	-0.3	2.0	4.6	-4.8	0.3	1.5	11.8	10.2	4.9
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-0.1	-0.7	0.2	0.4	-9.5	-3.4	-9.6	0.2	7.2	-341.8
7	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	0.1	-6.7
9	-4.7	-0.3	2.0	4.6	-4.8	0.3	1.5	11.8	10.2	4.9
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	9.4	2.7	35.6	49.8	-259.3	-24.5	-237.5	146.5	257.4	-10436.2
cas(10,12), DKH										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	22.8	25.3	24.5	28.1	40.6	79.8	52.6	115.3	16.1	-41.9
1 + 3	-10.2	-27.1	-9.4	7.8	-417.3	-278.5	-447.6	34.7	-39.7	-13753.7
2 + 4	-4.5	-0.3	2.0	4.5	-5.2	0.1	1.2	11.2	9.9	5.9
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-0.3	-0.9	-0.3	0.3	-14.2	-9.5	-15.2	1.2	-1.4	-467.5
7	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.2

Table ESI13: Physical contributions to the isotropic nuclear shieldings for the high-spin (S = 2) state of Tp₂Fe at 455 K. Computational details otherwise as in Table ESI14.

8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-9.1
9	-4.5	-0.3	2.0	4.5	-5.2	0.1	1.2	11.2	9.9	5.9
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	3.1	-3.5	18.8	45.4	-401.6	-208.1	-408.2	174.0	-4.8	-14260.1
cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	22.8	25.3	24.5	28.1	40.6	79.8	52.6	115.3	16.1	-
1 + 3	-10.0	-27.1	-9.5	7.8	-419.6	-274.6	-437.8	34.6	-41.2	-
2 + 4	-4.5	-0.3	2.0	4.5	-5.2	0.1	1.2	11.2	9.9	-
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
6	-0.3	-0.9	-0.3	0.3	-14.3	-9.3	-14.9	1.2	-1.4	-
7	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	-
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-
9	-4.5	-0.3	2.0	4.5	-5.2	0.1	1.2	11.2	9.9	-
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	3.4	-3.5	18.7	45.4	-404.0	-204.1	-397.9	173.9	-6.3	-
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD										
$\sigma_{ m orb}$	22.8	25.3	24.5	28.1	40.6	79.8	52.6	115.3	16.1	-41.9
1 + 3	-5.8	-13.8	-5.2	7.7	-343.5	-180.8	-259.0	26.5	-52.0	-11465.1
2 + 4	-4.6	-0.3	1.9	4.6	-4.3	0.0	2.1	11.6	9.5	4.9
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	-0.2	-0.5	-0.2	0.3	-11.7	-6.1	-8.8	0.9	-1.8	-389.7
7	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-7.6
9	-4.6	-0.3	1.9	4.6	-4.3	0.0	2.1	11.6	9.6	4.9
$\sigma_{ m tot}$	7.3	10.3	23.0	45.4	-323.6	-107.2	-211.2	166.2	-18.2	-11894.4

Full numerical pNMR results for Tp₂Fe

Table ESI14: Experimental and computational ¹H NMR chemical shifts (ppm) for Tp₂Fe as well as fitted enthalpy gaps ΔH (kJ/mol) and entropy gaps ΔS [J/(Kmol)]. For the individual ¹H temperature series fits, ranges of ΔH and ΔS as well as their average values ΔH_{avg} and ΔS_{avg} are given. Where no unique ΔH and ΔS were obtained, those values were excluded from the averages. The Gibbs free energy gap $\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$ (kJ/mol) is calculated at room temperature (298 K). High-spin (S = 2) and low-spin (S = 0) computational nuclear shieldings (ppm) prior to fitting are included for completeness. Functional PBE-25 unless indicated otherwise. ZFS and *g*-tensor calculated at the NEVPT2 level based on the indicated CASSCF wavefunction. Geometry optimised *in vacuo* was used in all calculations. Temperature for ¹H: 290 K, except in the temperature series.

Wavefunction, HFC level	H3	H4	H5	BH	ΔH	ΔS	$\Delta H_{\rm avg}$	$\Delta S_{\rm avg}$	ΔG
exptl	$13.0^{2,3}$	$12.9^{2,3}$	$8.1^{2,3}$	$-2.7^{2,3}$	$16.1^{1}/24^{2}$	$47.7^{1}/70^{2}$			
Global ¹ H temperature series fit									
cas(6,5), mDKS	15.3	15.5	9.1	-2.0	23.5	69.2			2.9
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE)	16.6	17.6	11.8	-1.6	15.3	40.0			3.4
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	15.1	15.5	9.2	-1.8	24.0	71.1			2.8
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	14.0	14.3	7.9	-1.6	29.5	89.8			2.7
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	11.4	12.5	4.0	-2.4	29.5	89.4			2.9
cas(10,12), DKH	14.1	15.3	9.5	-0.8	27.1	81.6			2.8
cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM ^a	14.0	15.2	9.5	-0.8	27.3	82.1			2.8
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	13.4	11.5	8.2	-1.5	48.1	154.8			2.0
Individual ¹ H temperature series fits									
cas(6.5), mDKS	15.1	14.9	8.4	-2.1	19.837.2	42.6116.6	24.7	68.9	4.2
cas(10.12), mDKS (PBE)	16.3	16.4	8.4	-2.4	14.134.5	19.3107.2	20.0	49.8	5.2
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	14.9	14.9	8.4	-1.9	20.438.8	41.8122.2	25.3	70.7	4.2
cas(10.12), mDKS (PBE-40)	13.9	13.9	8.3^b	-1.9	25.839.2	76.9123.5	30.4	92.7	2.8
cas(10.12), mDKS (PBE-100)	12.3	12.5	7.7^{b}	-2.7	33.048.3	101.7155.9	38.6	121.5	2.4
cas(10.12), DKH	13.9	14.8	8.4	-1.5	20.747.7	38.8153.6	28.8	82.4	4.2
$cas(10.12), DKH + C-PCM^{a}$	13.8	14.8	8.4	-1.5	20.747.6	38.4153.2	28.9	82.7	4.3
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD ^c	10.0	11.0	0.1	1.0		00111110012	-0.0	0	110
Global all data fit									
cas(6.5) mDKS	10.3	10.1	8.6	2.5	34.8	99.6			5.1
cas(10.12) mDKS (PBE)	10.6	10.1	9.6	$\frac{2.0}{2.7}$	24.9	64 0			5.8
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	10.0 10.2	10.1	87	2.6	35.7	102.5			5.0
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	9.6	94	8.2	2.9	46.4	138.8			5.0
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	99	10.5	5.1	-0.2	39.2	119.0			3.7
cas(10,12), INDIAS (1 DE 100)	9.6	9.8	87	3.1	40.3	117.8			5.2
cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM ^a	9.6	9.8	8.8	3.1	40.3	117.0			5.2
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	9.1	8.2	8.3	3.2	63.2	196.5			4.6
Experimental ΔH and ΔS (Bef ¹)	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	00.2	100.0			1.0
$\frac{1}{cas(6.5)}$ mDKS	18.0	10.4	0.5	53					
cas(0,5), mDKS (PBF)	10.9 99 3	19.4 94 9	9.5 14 0	-5.5 5.7					
$cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE_{25})$	18.6	10/	9.6	-5.0					
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	17.3	17.9	$\frac{5.0}{7.8}$	-0.0					
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE 100)	14.0	15.0	1.0 9.1	63					
cas(10,12), IIDAS (1 DE-100)	14.0 17.3	10.3	2.1 10.0	-0.5					
$cas(10,12), DKH + C_PCM^a$	17.0 17.2	10.3	10.0	-3.8					
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	15.5	13.0 13.2	8.2	-3.8					
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	10.0	10.2	0.2	0.0					
$\frac{1}{cas(6.5)}$ mDKS	14.5	14.7	0.0	1 3					
cas(0,3), mDKS (PBE)	14.0	14.7 177	3.0 11 8	-1.5					
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	10.0	14.6	9.1	-1.0					
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE 40)	19.5	14.0 127	9.1 8 0	-1.1					
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE 100)	11.0	10.7	0.0 4 1	-1.1 0.0					
cas(10,12), IIIDRS (1 DE-100)	12.5	14.6	4.1 0.4	-2.2					
$cas(10,12)$, DKH $\pm C PCM^a$	13.5	14.0	9.4 0.4	-0.5					
$cas(10,12)$, DIRIT \pm 0-1 OM cas(10,12), DI PNO CCSD	10.0	14.0 10.7	9.4 8 9	-0.5					
High spin $(S - 2)$ shieldings	12.4	10.7	0.0	-0.4					
$\frac{11 \text{gm-spin} (D-2) \text{sineralings}}{20 \text{gm} (6.5) \text{ mDKS}}$	17 4	20.7	10.4	647					
cas(0,0), mDKS (DBF)	-17.4 20.0	-20.1 38 9	19.4 9.0	65.8					
$\cos(10, 12)$, mDKS (PDE) $\cos(10, 12)$ mDKS (DDE 25)	-49.9 16 4	-00.4 20.6	⊿.9 10 0	63.6 63.6					
(10,12), IIIDAS(FDE-23)	-10.4	-20.0	19.0	05.0					

cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	-11.9	-15.3	25.4	63.5
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	-2.3	-10.4	43.6	66.3
cas(10,12), DKH	-12.0	-20.2	17.3	59.2
cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM ^a	-11.7	-20.2	17.1	59.3
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	-5.6	1.4	23.8	59.3
Low-spin $S = 0$ shieldings	24.8	25.3	23.5	26.3

^{*a*} Chloroform-d ($\epsilon = 4.81$). ^{*b*} Fitted ΔH and ΔS dependent on initial values, but unambiguous shieldings were still obtained. ^{*c*} Fitted ΔH and ΔS dependent on initial values, no unambiguous shieldings; omitted from results.

Table ESI15: As Table ESI14 but for ¹³C, ¹¹B and ¹⁴N. Fitted ΔH and ΔS in Table ESI14. Temperature for ¹³C: 305 K; ¹¹B and ¹⁴N: 298 K.

Wavefunction, HFC level	C3	C4	C5	В	N1	N2
exptl ^{2,3}	266	168	229	-26.4	-126	-
Global ¹ H temperature series fit						
cas(6,5), mDKS	341.3	231.9	332.5	-37.5	-143.9	5127.8
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE)	343.1	253.2	355.4	-42.6	-67.7	5142.8
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	342.4	232.8	333.9	-37.0	-143.4	5148.9
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	333.2	217.6	317.8	-31.7	-181.3	4804.4
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	276.6	149.5	259.5	-34.6	-157.1	3751.0
cas(10,12), DKH	345.5	235.8	340.8	-34.3	-137.9	5191.5
cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM ^a	346.6	234.1	336.4	-34.2	-137.4	-
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	378.0	225.9	302.2	-37.8	-130.8	5573.7
Individual ¹ H temperature series fits						
cas(6,5), mDKS	279.0	191.9	269.4	-27.6	-142.5	3303.2
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE)	259.9	190.6	262.2	-29.8	-89.2	2760.1
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	278.0	191.4	268.6	-27.1	-142.2	3266.6
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	333.8	217.9	318.4	-31.6	-181.3	4792.8
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	303.3	158.7	285.1	-36.8	-171.3	4329.6
cas(10,12), DKH	276.6	191.1	269.6	-24.7	-138.7	3177.5
$cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM^a$	276.3	189.3	265.7	-24.5	-138.4	-
$cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD^{b}$						
Global all data fit						
cas(6,5), mDKS	251.7	174.4	241.8	-22.4	-141.8	2337.4
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE)	241.6	176.8	241.7	-26.8	-94.3	2190.9
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	251.6	174.4	241.9	-22.0	-141.6	2320.9
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	252.1	169.9	238.7	-17.9	-167.3	2147.9
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	256.1	142.4	240.0	-31.3	-136.0	2899.6
cas(10,12), DKH	250.7	174.3	242.8	-20.3	-139.1	2235.3
$cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM^{a}$	252.3	174.1	241.6	-20.4	-138.8	-
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	269.7	170.5	224.9	-21.4	-136.1	2311.4
Experimental ΔH and ΔS (Ref. ¹)						
cas(6,5), mDKS	387.0	261.3	378.7	-46.6	-145.1	6803.1
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE)	450.2	334.0	475.5	-58.6	-40.9	8117.7
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	386.5	261.2	378.7	-45.8	-144.4	6798.5
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	362.5	234.8	346.4	-39.0	-188.7	6208.5
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	303.6	158.8	285.4	-39.5	-188.6	5029.2
cas(10,12), DKH	384.1	260.9	380.7	-42.0	-137.3	6812.2
cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM ^a	385.4	258.7	375.3	-41.9	-136.6	-
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	343.0	208.0	277.2	-38.3	-130.6	5662.1
Experimental ΔH and ΔS (Ref. ²)						
cas(6,5), mDKS	326.0	222.1	317.0	-35.0	-143.5	4662.7
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE)	371.8	274.9	387.6	-45.0	-63.8	5577.6
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	325.6	222.0	316.9	-34.4	-143.1	4659.5
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	308.4	203.0	293.6	-28.8	-178.4	4247.6
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	262.8	144.7	246.4	-33.5	-149.9	3462.3
cas(10,12), DKH	323.9	221.8	318.4	-31.8	-138.1	4668.9
$cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM^{a}$	324.8	220.2	314.4	-31.7	-137.6	-
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	293.4	182.6	241.8	-29.3	-133.5	3875.4
High-spin $(S = 2)$ shieldings						
cas(6,5), mDKS	-631.2	-351.0	-628.3	228.4	16.7	-21720.4
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE)	-821.7	-568.4	-914.4	249.4	-231.1	-25769.1
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-25)	-629.7	-350.7	-628.1	226.0	14.6	-21705.9
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-40)	-555.8	-269.8	-530.8	214.4	105.4	-19901.5
cas(10,12), mDKS (PBE-100)	-410.3	-75.6	-379.1	172.4	392.7	-15910.5
cas(10,12), DKH	-623.0	-349.7	-634.0	213.9	-7.9	-21748.9
$cas(10,12), DKH + C-PCM^{u}$	-626.6	-343.7	-618.7	213.7	-10.1	-
cas(10,12), DLPNO-CCSD	-505.9	-199.2	-339.5	202.4	-28.7	-18137.2
Low-spin $S = 0$ shieldings	36.0	77.9	47.2	111.1	0.7	-37.9

^{*a*} Toluene ($\epsilon = 2.38$).

^b Fitted ΔH and ΔS dependent on initial values, no unambiguous shieldings; omitted from results.





Figure ESI6: Experimental^{2,3} and computational NMR chemical shifts for Tp₂Fe with experimental parameters $\Delta H = 16.1$ kJ/mol and $\Delta S = 47.7$ J/(Kmol) (Ref.¹). Bars indicate the range of *in vacuo* mDKS results for hyperfine coupling tensors obtained with hybrid, PBE0-based exchange-correlation functionals with different exact exchange admixtures. Temperature for ¹H: 290 K; ¹³C: 305 K; ¹¹B and ¹⁴N: 298 K.

Energetics of the spin-crossover system Tp_2Fe

Table ESI16: Energy gap (kJ/mol) between the high-spin (S = 2) and low-spin (S = 0) states of Tp₂Fe at the state-specific CASSCF/NEVPT2 and DLPNO-CCSD(T0) levels. The CASSCF wavefunctions have been calculated at cas(6,5) and cas(10,12) levels. The notation T0 refers to the "semi-canonical" perturbative triples correction approximation. Locally dense basis sets with def2-SVP on ligand atoms and def2-TZVP or def2-QZVPP on the iron atom and its immediate vicinity have been used. The calculations utilised geometries optimised for each state. The energy gaps of the triplet (S = 1) state are included in the last three rows, calculated only with the larger basis set.

exptl	$16.1^{1}/24^{2}$	
Level of theory	SVP/TZVP	SVP/QZVPP
cas(6,5); CASSCF	-270.3	-264.3
cas(6,5); NEVPT2	32.2	61.2
cas(10,12); CASSCF	-163.1	-159.3
cas(10,12); NEVPT2	-22.4	13.1
DLPNO-CCSD(T0)	-7.3	14.6
$cas(10,12); CASSCF^a$	-	22.2
$cas(10,12); NEVPT2^{a}$	-	93.6
$DLPNO-CCSD(T0)^a$	-	93.0

 \overline{a} Energy gap of the triplet relative to the low-spin ground state.

References

- [1] J. P. Jesson, S. Trofimenko and D. R. Eaton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 3158–3164.
- [2] A. Pyykkönen, R. Feher, F. H. Köhler and J. Vaara, Inorg. Chem., 2020, 59, 9294–9307.
- [3] R. Feher, dissertation, Technische Universität München, 1996.
- [4] L. F. Chibotaru and L. Ungur, J. Chem. Phys., 2012, 137, 064112.

[5] J. Vaara, S. A. Rouf and J. Mareš, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2015, **11**, 4840–4849.