## **Supporting Information**

## **CO<sub>2</sub> Electroreduction Performance of PtS<sub>2</sub> Supported Single Transition Metal Atoms: A Theoretical Study**

Yu-wang Sun, Jing-yao Liu\*

Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun

130023, People's Republic of China

Submitted to: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Jing-yao Liu;

E-mail

address: ljy121@jlu.edu.cn. (J.Y. Liu)



Fig. S1 Optimized structure of single TM atom anchored to the S-vacancy in 1T-PtS<sub>2</sub>.





**Table S1** Binding energies ( $E_b$ , eV) of the metal atom anchored on the S vacancy [ $E_b$ (TM-PtS<sub>2</sub>)] and surface of PtS<sub>2</sub> [ $E_b$  (TM@PtS<sub>2</sub>-Sv)], and the formation energies ( $E_f$ , eV) of the single TM atom and two TM atoms.

TM	$E_b(\text{TM-PtS}_2)$	$E_b(TM@PtS_2-Sv)$	$E_f(\text{TM-PtS}_2)$	$E_f(\mathrm{TM}_2-\mathrm{PtS}_2)$
Sc	-6.22	-5.32	-1.60	-
Ti	-6.69	-5.05	-0.53	0.66
V	-5.06	-3.69	0.87	1.19
Cr	-6.56	-2.53	0.87	1.26
Mn	-4.17	-1.17	-0.69	0.25
Fe	-4.46	-3.42	1.10	1.47
Co	-4.5	-3.34	1.08	1.48
Ni	-5.32	-3.96	0.31	0.98
Cu	-3.48	-2.46	0.38	1.78
Zn	-1.47	-0.43	-0.10	0.04

Table S2 Formation energy  $E_f$  (in eV) and dissolution potential  $U_{diss}$  of TM-PtS<sub>2</sub> (in

V).								
Catalysts	Ef	$U^0_{diss}$ (metal)	n	U <sub>diss</sub>				
Sc-PtS <sub>2</sub>	-1.6	-2.08	3	-1.55				
Ti-PtS <sub>2</sub>	-0.53	-1.63	2	-1.37				
V-PtS <sub>2</sub>	0.87	-1.18	2	-1.62				
Cr-PtS <sub>2</sub>	0.87	-0.91	2	-1.34				
Mn-PtS <sub>2</sub>	-0.69	-1.19	2	-0.85				
Fe-PtS <sub>2</sub>	1.10	-0.45	2	-1.00				
Co-PtS <sub>2</sub>	1.08	-0.28	2	-0.82				
Ni-PtS <sub>2</sub>	0.31	-0.26	2	-0.42				
Cu-PtS <sub>2</sub>	0.38	0.34	2	0.15				
Zn-PtS <sub>2</sub>	-0.1	-0.76	2	-0.71				
$Fe@1T'-MoS_2^*$	2.72	-0.45	2	-1.81				
$Co@1T'-MoS_2^*$	1.80	-0.28	2	-1.18				
$Ni@1T'-MoS_2^*$	1.62	-0.26	2	-1.07				



\* These are electrocatalysts that have been synthesized experimentally in Ref. 30.

Fig. S4 Variations of energy as a function of the time for AIMD simulations with the solvation model on V- and Fe-PtS<sub>2</sub>, and the insets show the corresponding geometry configurations for AIMD simulations at 0 ps and 10 ps





Fig. S5 Optimized structures of the CO<sub>2</sub>RR involved intermediate species on Sc-PtS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S6 Optimized structures of the CO<sub>2</sub>RR involved intermediate species on Ti-

PtS<sub>2</sub>.





Fig. S7 Optimized structures of the CO<sub>2</sub>RR involved intermediate species on V-

PtS<sub>2</sub>.





Fig. S8 Optimized structures of the  $CO_2RR$  involved intermediate species on  $Cr-PtS_2$ .



Fig. S9 Optimized structures of the CO<sub>2</sub>RR involved intermediate species on Mn-PtS<sub>2</sub>.





Fig. S10 Optimized structures of the CO<sub>2</sub>RR involved intermediate species on Fe-







Fig. S11 Optimized structures of the  $CO_2RR$  involved intermediate species on Co-PtS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S12 Optimized structures of the CO<sub>2</sub>RR involved intermediate species on Ni-

PtS<sub>2</sub>.





Fig. S13 Optimized structures of the CO2RR involved intermediate species on Cu-

PtS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S14 Optimized structures of the CO<sub>2</sub>RR involved intermediate species on Zn-PtS<sub>2</sub>.

 $U_L$ (HCOOH) catalyst PDS  $U_L(CO_2RR)$   $U_L(HER)$ Product  $-U_L(H_2)$ Sc  $*+CO_2 \rightarrow *HCOO$ -0.24 -2.26 2.02 НСООН  $*+CO_2 \rightarrow *COOH$ -1.39 CO \*HCOOH $\rightarrow$ \*H<sub>2</sub>COOH CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH -0.86 Ti  $*+CO_2 \rightarrow *HCOO$ -0.41 -1.86 1.45 НСООН  $*+CO_2 \rightarrow *COOH$ -1.42 CO \*НСООН→\*СНО -1.46 CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH \*+ $CO_2$   $\rightarrow$  \*COOH V -0.06 -0.63 0.57 НСООН \*COOH→\*CO -0.26 CO/CH<sub>3</sub>OH  $*OH \rightarrow *H_2O$ -0.46  $CH_4$ Cr \*+ $CO_2 \rightarrow HCOO$ -0.39 -1.29 0.90 НСООН  $*+CO_2 \rightarrow *COOH$ -0.79 CO \*НСООН→\*СНО -1.15  $CH_4$ \*+ $CO_2 \rightarrow HCOO$ 0.61 Mn -0.74 -1.35 НСООН  $*+CO_2 \rightarrow *COOH$ -2.10 CO \*НСООН→\*СНО -0.88 CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 0 Fe \*HCOO→\*HCOO -0.70 0.70 HCOOH  $*+CO_2 \rightarrow *COOH$ -0.64 CO \*HCOOH $\rightarrow$ \*H<sub>2</sub>COOH \*HCOOH→\*H<sub>2</sub>COOH -0.32

**Table S3** Potential determining steps (PDS) of  $C_1$  products and limiting potentials ( $U_L$ , V) of  $CO_2RR$ and HER on various TM-PtS<sub>2</sub> monolayers

Co	*+ $CO_2 \rightarrow$ *HCOO	-0.23	-0.55	0.32	HCOOH/CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> OH
Ni	*+ $CO_2$ →*HCOO	-0.72	-0.95	0.23	HCOOH/CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> OH
Cu	*+ $CO_2 \rightarrow$ *HCOO	-0.25	-0.78	0.53	НСООН
	*+CO <sub>2</sub> →*COOH	-0.98			СО
	*HCOOH→*H <sub>2</sub> COOH	-0.76			CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> OH
Zn	*НСОО→*НСООН	-0.49	-0.53	0.04	HCOOH/CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> OH
	*+ $CO_2$ →* $COOH$	-1.02			