Stabilize Li metal anode through constructing LiZn alloy/polymer hybrid protective layer towards uniform Li deposition

Zihao Wang<sup>a,b</sup>, Zhicui Song<sup>a,b</sup>, Yuchi Liu<sup>a,b</sup>, Jianxiong Xing<sup>a,b</sup>, Chaohui Wei<sup>a</sup>, Wei Zou<sup>c</sup>, Jingze Li<sup>a,b\*</sup>,

a Yangtze Delta Region Institute (Huzhou), University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Huzhou 313001, P. R. China

b School of Materials and Energy, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, P. R. China

c Research and Development Center, Tianqi Lithium Co., Ltd., Chengdu 610093, P. R. China

Corresponding author E-mail: lijingze@uestc.edu.cn (J. Z. Li)



Fig. S1. The corresponding (a) C and (b) O signals of EDS mapping on the Li@LZP surface.



Fig. S2. (a, b) The top-view and (c, d) side-view SEM image of the Li@LiZn anode showing LiZn alloy particles.



Fig. S3. The XRD pattern of Li@LiZn and Li@LZP electrode.



Fig. S4. The (a) top-view and (b) side-view SEM image of Li@LZP after striping 3 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup> Li.



Fig. S5 (a) The cross-sectional SEM images of Li deposition on Li@LZP with a plating capacity of 3 mA h cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> (Fig. 2f). The (b) Zn and Cl signals in the cross-sectional EDS mapping images of Fig. 2f.



Fig. S6 The side-view SEM images of hybrid layer with different thickness of (a) 10  $\mu m$  and (b) 1  $\mu m.$ 



Fig. S7 The voltage profiles of symmetric cells with different thickness for hybrid layer at 3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>

and 1 mA h cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S8 Cycling performance of the symmetric cells with Li, Li@PEO, Li@LiZn and Li@LZP anode under a condition of 3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 3 mA h cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S9. The XRD pattern of Li@LZP anode after 50 cycles under a condition of 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 1 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S10. The (a) top-view and (b-c) side-view morphology of Li@LiZn anode after 50 cycles under a condition of 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 1 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup>.

|                 | Current density &                                | Cycling time | Reference |
|-----------------|--|--------------|-----------|
|                 | capacity   |              |           |
| Li/Zn           | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 500 h        | 1         |
|                 | 3 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 200 h        |           |
| Li double-layer | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 500 h        | 2         |
| Li@(EBC-LiTFSI) | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 500 h        | 3         |
|                 | 2 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 220 h        |           |
| Li-LiI          | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 700 h        | 4         |
| ZPO-Li          | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 600 h        | 5         |
|                 | 3 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 210 h        |           |
| PAA-Li          | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 250 h        | 6         |
| LiF/PEO         | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 1000 h       | 7         |
| w/U-CD          | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 250 h        | 8         |
| LMCA            | 0.5 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> | 650 h        | 9         |
| Li@LZP          | 1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 1050 h       | This work |
|                 | 3 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup>   | 240 h        |           |

Table S1. The comparison between Li@LZP and other treated Li anodes reported in the previous literatures.

## References:

- J. Deng, Y. Wang, S. Qu, Y. Liu, W. Zou, F. Zhou, A. Zhou and J. Li, *Batteries & Supercaps*, 2020, 4, 140-145.
- Y. Zhang, G. Wang, L. Tang, J. Wu, B. Guo, M. Zhu, C. Wu, S. X. Dou and M. Wu, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2019, 7, 25369-25376.
- 3. K. Li, Y. Wang, W. Jia, S. Qu, Z. Yao, R. Cui, W. Zou, F. Zhou and J. Li, *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 2285-2292.
- 4. Y. Lin, Z. Wen, J. Liu, D. Wu, P. Zhang and J. Zhao, *Journal of Energy Chemistry*, 2021, 55, 129-135.
- 5. X. Wang, J. Zhuang, M. Liu, C. Wang, Y. Zhong, H. Wang, X. Cheng, S. Liu, G. Cao and W. Li, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2019, **7**, 19104-19111.
- N. W. Li, Y. Shi, Y. X. Yin, X. X. Zeng, J. Y. Li, C. J. Li, L. J. Wan, R. Wen and Y. G. Guo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2018, 57, 1505-1509.
- 7. C. Fu and C. Battaglia, ACS Appl Mater Interfaces, 2020, 12, 41620-41626.
- 8. D. Hong, Y. Choi, J. Ryu, J. Mun, W. Choi, M. Park, Y. Lee, N.-S. Choi, G. Lee, B.-S. Kim and S. Park, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2019, **7**, 20325-20334.
- 9. Y. Liang, Y. Xiao, C. Yan, R. Xu, J.-F. Ding, J. Liang, H.-J. Peng, H. Yuan and J.-Q. Huang, Journal of Energy Chemistry, 2020, 48, 203-207.