Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. This journal is © the Owner Societies 2022

Supporting Information

The bandgap of sulfur-doped Ag₂O nanoparticles

by

Arup Kumar De, Neha Kamal, Uttam Kumar, Neha Jatav, and Indrajit Sinha*

Department of Chemistry,

Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi 221005, India

*Corresponding author email: <u>isinha.apc@iitbhu.ac.in</u>



Fig. S1 K point optimization on $Ag_2O \times 2 \times 2$ supercell.



Fig. S2 Powder XRD pattern of B3 sample.



Fig. S3 High resolution SEM micrograph of (a) B1 and (b) B2 samples.



Fig. S4 XPS survey spectrum of B0 sample.



Fig. S5 XPS survey spectrum of B2 sample.



Fig. S6 Comparison of high resolution XPS spectrum of Ag 3d for undoped (B0) and doped (B2) Ag₂O.



Fig. S7 Valence band XPS spectrum of B0 and B2 samples.

Samples	VB (eV)	CB (eV)
B0	0.80	-0.73
B1	-0.48	-2.18
B2	-0.46	-2.35

Table S1 The position of VB and CB for the undoped and doped samples

Model	Formation energy (eV)	Bandgap (eV)
CO	-	0.52
CP1	0.71	0.60
CP2	1.97	0.06
C1	1.29	1.05
C2	1.17	1.09
C3	1.54	0.28
C4	2.89	1.04

Table S2 The formation energies and bandgap values of all the models attempted from DFT analysis.

Table S3 Calculation of energy per atom with the supercell size of the sulfur doped-Ag₂O.

Supercell	No. of atoms	Energy per atom (E/atom) (eV)
$2 \times 2 \times 2$	47	-3.50
$4 \times 2 \times 2$	94	-3.50
$4 \times 4 \times 2$	188	-3.50



Fig. S8 Optimized geometries of (a) C0, (b) C1, and (c) C2 models.



Fig. S9 Comparison of TDOS of OV Ag₂O (C1 model) and S doped Ag₂O system (C2 model).

Table S4 Chang	e of the lattice	parameters on	the increment	of OV	in Ag ₂ O	lattice
					L/-	

Lattice parameters	Without OV	10V (C1)	20V (C4)
a	9.63	9.53	9.46
b	9.63	9.53	9.45
c	9.63	9.53	9.46
α	90	90	90
β	90	90	90
γ	90	90	90
Volume	894.11	866.38	844.94



Fig. S10 PDOS of (a) C1 and (b) C2 models; PDOS comparison of (c) VB region of undoped and doped systems and (d) CB region of undoped and doped systems. The PDOS of the valence band contributing orbitals (Ag 4d and O 2p) and the conduction band contributing orbitals (Ag 5s and Ag 5p) of C1 model (undoped) expanded and contracted, respectively, due to its hybridization with the S dopant's orbitals.



Fig. S11 Comparison of PDOS of the dopant's atomic orbitals.



Fig. S12 UV-Vis absorbance plot on photodegradation of RhB for the catalyst (a) B0 and (b) B2

Turnover frequency (TOF) analysis

TOF values of the catalysts were calculated to determine the catalytic efficiency. The TOF determination experiment was similar to the photocatalytic experiments. In a 4 ml quartz cuvette, 3 ml aqueous solution of RhB at pH 3 was transferred and 0.1 mg catalyst was dispersed in it. Then the overall mixture was allowed to keep under stirring dark for 35 minutes before the light irradiation. The wavelength range of the visible light source was 410-720 nm. The SOLAR POWER METER (TM-206 model) was used to measure the power of the incident light. The power was 720 W/m² throughout the photocatalytic reaction. The calculation value of TOF as per the given relation below,

$$TOF = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} Number \ of \ moles \ of \ reactant \\ \hline Number \ of \ grams \ of \ catalyst \end{bmatrix} \times Yield}{time}$$

Catalysts	TOF (mole g ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	Rate constant (k _{app}) (min ⁻¹)
B0	4.79 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.0428
B1	9.75 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.1053
B2	1.18 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.1294

Table S5 Turn over frequency (TOF) and rate constant of B0, B1, and B2 catalysts for the photo-degradation of RhB

Recyclability experiment details

The catalyst recyclability experiments were performed on a larger scale. 600 μ L 40 ppm RhB dye solution was added to 6 ml deionized double distilled water (DDDW) with the addition of 21 μ L 1(M) HCl. The final pH of the aqueous solution of the dye was ~3. Next, 17 mg solid photocatalyst was added to the prepared dye solution. The whole solution was sonicated until the proper dispersion of the photocatalyst. Then the whole mixture was kept in the dark with continuous stirring for 10 minutes to ensure adsorption-desorption equilibrium. Only after that, the mixture was allowed for the LED light irradiation. In the 1st cycle, the catalyst degraded 50% of RhB in 5 minutes. Then, the used catalyst was washed several times with the DDDW for the next cycles. All the cycles gave the same degradation ability in the 5 minutes of light irradiation. Here, in the 1st cycle, we assumed the degradation as 100% and comparatively followed rest cycles.