## Supplementary Information to Ordering of monomers, dimers and polymers of deposited Br<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>Py molecules: a modeling study

Andrius Ibenskas,  $^{*,\dagger}$  Mantas Šimėnas,  $^{\ddagger}$  and Evaldas E. Tornau $^{\dagger}$ 

†Center for Physical Sciences and Technology, Saulėtekio 3, LT-10257 Vilnius, Lithuania
‡Faculty of Physics, Vilnius University, Saulėtekio 9, LT-10222 Vilnius, Lithuania

E-mail: andrius.ibenskas@ftmc.lt



Fig. S1: DFT-optimized molecular pairs corresponding to configurations i = 1, 2, 3 of the monomeric phase built of Br<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>Py and Br<sub>4</sub>Py molecules. Interaction energies  $e_{xi}$ ,  $e_{yi}$  obtained by DFT are shown for each case, along with equations for rough estimation of differences between X-X and X-H components of  $e_{xi}$  and  $e_{yi}$ .



Fig. S2: DFT-optimized two-molecule clusters corresponding to (a)  $e_{x1}$  and (b) derivative of  $e_{x1}$  with both I atoms substituted by H atoms. The difference of -3.28 kcal/mol between energies of (a) and (b) configurations can be attributed mainly to the Br - I bonding, amounting approximately to 60% of  $e_{x1}$ .



Fig. S3: Snapshots of MC simulation, illustrating phase separation and mixing in monomeric-dimeric system consisting of intact and singly deiodinated molecules when organometallic interaction is weak  $(e_{Au}/e_y = 0.3)$ . Here (a)  $c_2/c_1 = 1$  and (b)  $c_2/c_1 = 2$ . The letters M and D mark monomeric and dimeric regions, respectively.