## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

### For

# Insight into the effects of calcination temperature on the structureperformance of RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> in the Deacon process

Siyao Li,<sup>a</sup> Bowen Xu,<sup>a</sup> Yuexia Wang,<sup>a</sup> Yupei Liu,<sup>a</sup> Xinqing Lu,<sup>\*a,b</sup> Rui Ma,<sup>a,b</sup> Yanghe Fu,<sup>a,b</sup> Shuhua Wang,<sup>c</sup> Liyang Zhou<sup>c</sup> and Weidong Zhu<sup>\*a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Zhejiang Engineering Laboratory for Green Syntheses and Applications of Fluorine-Containing Specialty Chemicals, Institute of Advanced Fluorine-Containing Materials, Zhejiang Normal University, 321004 Jinhua, People's Republic of China
 <sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education for Advanced Catalysis Materials, Institute of Physical Chemistry, Zhejiang Normal University, 321004 Jinhua, People's Republic, People's Republic of China

<sup>c</sup> National Engineering Technology Research Center of Fluoro-Materials, Zhejiang Juhua Technology Center Co., Ltd., 324004 Quzhou, People's Republic of China \*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Tel./Fax: +86 579 82282234; E-mail: <u>xinqinglu@zjnu.cn</u> (X. Lu); Tel./Fax: +86 579 82282932; E-mail: <u>weidongzhu@zjnu.cn</u> (W. Zhu)

#### Mass Transfer Calculation for HCl Oxidation over RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>

The effects of internal and external transfer limitations on reaction kinetics were evaluated by the Mears ( $C_{\rm M}$ ) and Weisz-Prater ( $C_{\rm WP}$ ) criteria, respectively,<sup>1,2</sup> which were calculated using the following formulas:

$$C_{\rm M} = \frac{nr_{\rm obs}\rho_{\rm catal.}R_{\rm catal.}}{k_{\rm c}C_{\rm HCl}}$$
(S1)

$$C_{\rm WP} = \frac{r_{\rm obs} \rho_{\rm catal.} R_{\rm catal.}^2}{D_{\rm eff} C_{\rm HCl,s}}$$
(S2)

where *n* is the reaction order,  $r_{obs}$  is the observed reaction rate,  $\rho_{catal.}$  is the bulk density of catalyst bed,  $R_{catal.}$  is the particle radius of catalyst,  $k_c$  and  $D_{eff}$  are the external mass transfer coefficient and effective diffusion coefficient, respectively,  $C_{HCl}$  and  $C_{HCl,s}$  are the bulk concentration of HCl and the HCl concentration on the surface of a catalyst particle, respectively.  $C_{HCl,s}$  is equal to  $C_{HCl}$  when the external transfer limitation is excluded.

 $k_c$  was estimated by the combination of Sherwood number *Sh*, the Reynolds number *Re*<sub>p</sub>, and the Schmidt number *Sc* using the following formulas:

$$Sh = \frac{k_{\rm c} d_{\rm catal.}}{D_{\rm eff}} = 2 + 0.6 R e_{\rm p}^{1/2} S c^{1/3}$$
(S3)

$$Re_{\rm p} = \frac{U\rho d_{\rm catal.}}{\mu} \tag{S4}$$

$$Sc = \frac{\mu}{D_{\text{eff}}\rho}$$
 (S5)

Where  $d_{\text{catal.}}$  is the catalyst particle size, U is the free-stream velocity,  $\rho$  and  $\mu$  are the density and viscosity of a feed mixture, which can be obtained from Aspen Plus software.

 $D_{\text{eff}}$  was estimated by the combination of the coefficients for Knudsen diffusion  $D_{\text{A}}^{\text{K}}$  and bulk diffusion  $D_{\text{A}}^{\text{b}}$  using the following formulas:

$$\frac{1}{D_{\rm eff}} = \frac{1}{D_{\rm A}^{\rm K}} + \frac{1}{D_{\rm A}^{\rm b}}$$
(S6)

$$D_{\rm A}^{\rm K} = \frac{2}{3} r_{\rm po} \sqrt{\frac{8 \text{RT} \varepsilon}{\pi M_{\rm i} \tau}}$$
(S7)

$$r_{\rm po} = 4 \frac{\varepsilon}{S_{\rm catal., p}}$$
(S8)

$$D_{\rm A}^{\rm b} = D_{\rm A} \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}$$
 (S9)

where  $r_{po}$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $S_{catal.}$ , and  $\rho_{catal.,g}$  are the average pore radius, porosity, tortuosity, surface areas, and grain density of catalyst, respectively, T and  $M_i$  are the temperature and relative molecular weight of component i, respectively, and  $D_A$  is the free diffusion coefficient, which can be obtained from Aspen Plus software.

The data used in the above-mentioned equations and the calculations of the Mears and Weisz-Prater parameters are shown in Tables S2 and S3. Both external and internal mass transfer limitations can be excluded for all the kinetic study cases, as  $C_{\rm M}$  and  $C_{\rm WP}$ are less than 0.15 and 1, respectively.

## References

H.S. Fogler, Diffusion and reaction in Elements of Chemical Reaction Engineering;
 Pearson Education Inc.: New York, 2016, pp 734-743.

2. T.R. Marrero, E.A. Mason, Gaseous diffusion coefficients, Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, 1972, 1, 1-118. Table S1 Physicochemical properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> and RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts

**Table S2** Measured reaction rates over  $RuO_2/TiO_2$  catalysts at different reaction temperatures for  $E_a$  calculations

Table S3 Data for calculating the Mears and Weisz-Prater criterion parameters

**Table S4** Evaluation of the Mears  $(C_M)$  and Weisz-Prater  $(C_{WP})$  criterion parameters

Fig. S1 Wide-angle XRD patterns of  $RuO_2/TiO_2-150$  (a),  $RuO_2/TiO_2-200$  (b),  $RuO_2/TiO_2-250$  (c),  $RuO_2/TiO_2-300$  (d), and  $RuO_2/TiO_2-350$  (e). The standard pattern

of rutile-type TiO<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS No. 21-1276) is shown at the bottom of the figure.

Fig. S2 SEM image of TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Fig. S3 Ru 3d XPS spectrum of RuCl<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Fig. S4 Cl 2p XPS spectra of RuCl<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-150 (B), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-200 (C), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-250 (D), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-300 €, and RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-350 (F).

**Fig. S5** EDX mapping images of Cl for RuCl<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-150 (B), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-200 (C), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-250 (D), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-300 (E), and RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-350 (F). **Fig. S6** TEM (A, E) and EDX mapping images of Ru (B, F), O (C, G), and Ti (D, H) for RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-250 (A-D) and RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-350 (E-H).

**Fig. S7** Effects of HCl flow rate and reaction temperature on the catalytic activities in the oxidation of HCl with O<sub>2</sub> over different RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. Reaction conditions: catalyst particle sizes = 0.180-0.250 mm, WHSV (HCl) = 2933 h<sup>-1</sup>, and molar ratio of HCl to O<sub>2</sub> = 4 : 1.

Fig. S8 Effects of catalyst particle size and reaction temperature on the catalytic activities in the oxidation of HCl with  $O_2$  over different RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. Reaction

conditions: WHSV (HCl) = 2933  $h^{-1}$  and molar ratio of HCl to  $O_2 = 4 : 1$ .

**Fig. S9** Heat flow and MS signals in the microcalorimetric measurements on the pulse adsorption of O<sub>2</sub> on RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-250 (A, B) and RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-350 (C, D), respectively, as a function of time at 350 °C.

Fig. S10 Raman spectra of the fresh  $RuO_2/TiO_2$ -350 (a) and the used  $RuO_2/TiO_2$ -350

(b). The used catalyst represents the catalyst after 32 h of the reaction at 350 °C, and the reaction conditions were the same as those described in the caption of Fig. 4.

Fig. S11 Effects of WHSV on the oxidation of HCl over  $RuO_2/TiO_2$ -250. Reaction conditions: molar ratio of HCl to  $O_2 = 1 : 1$  and reaction temperature = 350 °C.

Samples	Ru loading <sup>a</sup>	$S_{\rm BET}{}^{\rm b}$	$S_{\rm ext}{}^{\rm c}$	$V_{\rm micro}^{\rm c}$	$V_{\rm total}{}^{\rm d}$
	(wt.%)	$(m^2 g^{-1})$	$(m^2 g^{-1})$	$(cm^3 g^{-1})$	$(cm^3 g^{-1})$
TiO <sub>2</sub>	-	34.8	34.7	0.0	0.25
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -150	2.1	35.8	34.7	0.0	0.25
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -200	2.0	36.3	35.0	0.0	0.26
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -250	2.0	36.8	34.5	0.0	0.27
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -300	1.9	34.9	33.8	0.0	0.27
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -350	2.0	35.4	35.0	0.0	0.26

Table S1 Physicochemical properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> and RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts

<sup>a</sup> Determined from ICP-AES analysis.

 $^{\rm b}$  Determined from the measured  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms at -196 °C using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method.

 $^{\rm c}$  Determined from the measured  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms at -196  $^{\circ}{\rm C}$  using the *t*-plot method.

<sup>d</sup> Determined from the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherm at -196 °C using the single-point method at a relative pressure of 0.995.

Catalyst	Temperature	HCl conversion	on Reaction rate	
	(°C)	(%)	$(\times 10^{-4} \text{ mol g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$	
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -150	330	2.7	6.03	
	335	3.2	7.15	
	340	3.8	8.48	
	345	4.5	10.04	
	350	5.2	11.61	
	355	6.1	13.62	
	360	7.3	16.29	
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -200	330	3.3	7.37	
	335	3.9	8.63	
	340	4.5	10.04	
	345	5.3	11.83	
	350	6.1	13.62	
	355	7.1	15.85	
	360	8.2	18.30	
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -250	330	4.0	8.97	
	335	4.6	10.34	
	340	5.3	11.83	
	345	6.1	13.62	

**Table S2** Measured reaction rates over  $RuO_2/TiO_2$  catalysts at different reactiontemperatures for  $E_a$  calculations<sup>a</sup>

	350	7.1	15.85
	355	7.9	17.63
	360	9.1	20.31
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -300	330	3.5	7.85
	335	4.1	9.16
	340	4.8	10.71
	345	5.5	12.28
	350	6.5	14.51
	355	7.4	16.52
	360	8.6	19.20
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -350	330	1.1	2.56
	335	1.4	3.10
	340	1.7	3.79
	345	2.0	4.46
	350	2.4	5.36
	355	2.9	6.47
	360	3.5	7.81

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: Catalyst particle sizes = 0.180-0.250 mm, V (HCl) = 300 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, WHSV (HCl) = 2933 h<sup>-1</sup>, molar ratio of HCl to  $O_2 = 4 : 1$ . The reaction rates were measured after 1 h of the reaction.

Parameters	Values
$ ho_{ m catal.}$	1141 kg m <sup>-3</sup>
R <sub>catal</sub> .	0.180-0.250 mm
$ ho_{ m catal.,g}$	4,260 kg m <sup>-3</sup>
З	0.65
$ au^{\mathrm{a}}$	3

Table S3 Data for calculating the Mears and Weisz-Prater criterion parameters

<sup>a</sup> The pore tortuosity is assumed as 3 for a spherical catalyst particle.

Catalyst	Temperature (°C)	$C_{\mathrm{M}}$	$C_{\mathrm{WP}}$
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -150	330	2.7×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.8×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.6×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	335	3.2×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.4×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.3×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.9×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	340	3.8×10 <sup>-2</sup> -5.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.5×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	345	4.5×10 <sup>-2</sup> -6.1×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.8×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.6×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	350	5.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -7.1×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.0×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	355	6.0×10 <sup>-2</sup> -8.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.5×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	360	7.2×10 <sup>-2</sup> -9.8×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.9×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -200	330	3.3×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.6×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.3×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.9×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	335	3.9×10 <sup>-2</sup> -5.4×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.6×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	340	4.5×10 <sup>-2</sup> -6.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.8×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.6×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	345	5.3×10 <sup>-2</sup> -7.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.1×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	350	6.0×10 <sup>-2</sup> -8.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.5×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	355	7.0×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.1×10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.8×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.1×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	360	8.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.1×10 <sup>-2</sup>	3.3×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.7×10 <sup>-2</sup>
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -250	330	4.0×10 <sup>-2</sup> -5.6×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.6×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.4×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	335	4.6×10 <sup>-2</sup> -6.4×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.9×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.7×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	340	5.3×10 <sup>-2</sup> -7.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.1×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	345	6.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -8.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.5×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.5×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	350	7.0×10 <sup>-2</sup> -9.6×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.8×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.1×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	355	7.8×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.1×10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.2×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.5×10 <sup>-2</sup>

**Table S4** Evaluation of the Mears ( $C_M$ ) and Weisz-Prater ( $C_{WP}$ ) criterion parameters<sup>a</sup>

	360	8.9×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.2×10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.6×10 <sup>-2</sup> -5.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -300	330	3.5×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.9×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.1×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	335	4.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -5.7×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.7×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.4×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	340	4.8×10 <sup>-2</sup> -6.6×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.9×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.8×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	345	5.5×10 <sup>-2</sup> -7.5×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.2×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	350	6.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -8.8×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.6×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.8×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	355	7.3×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.0×10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.0×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	360	8.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.2×10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.9×10 <sup>-2</sup>
RuO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> -350	330	1.1×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.5×10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.5×10 <sup>-3</sup> -6.5×10 <sup>-3</sup>
	335	1.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.9×10 <sup>-2</sup>	5.7×10 <sup>-3</sup> -8.2×10 <sup>-3</sup>
	340	1.7×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>	6.9×10 <sup>-3</sup> -9.9×10 <sup>-3</sup>
	345	2.0×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.7×10 <sup>-2</sup>	8.1×10 <sup>-3</sup> -1.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	350	2.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>	9.6×10 <sup>-3</sup> -1.4×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	355	2.9×10 <sup>-2</sup> -3.9×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.2×10 <sup>-2</sup> -1.7×10 <sup>-2</sup>
	360	3.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -4.7×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.4×10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.0×10 <sup>-2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: Catalyst particle sizes = 0.180-0.250 mm, V (HCl) = 300 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, WHSV (HCl) = 2933 h<sup>-1</sup>, molar ratio of HCl to  $O_2 = 4 : 1$ .



Fig. S1 Wide-angle XRD patterns of  $RuO_2/TiO_2-150$  (a),  $RuO_2/TiO_2-200$  (b),  $RuO_2/TiO_2-250$  (c),  $RuO_2/TiO_2-300$  (d), and  $RuO_2/TiO_2-350$  (e). The standard pattern of rutile-type TiO<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS No. 21-1276) is shown at the bottom of the figure.



**Fig. S2** SEM image of TiO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S3 Ru 3d XPS spectrum of  $RuCl_3/TiO_2$ .



Fig. S4 Cl 2p XPS spectra of RuCl<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (a), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-150 (b), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-200 (c), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-250 (d), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-300 (e), and RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-350 (f).



Fig. S5 EDX mapping images of Cl for RuCl<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-150 (B), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-200 (C), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-250 (D), RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-300 (E), and RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>-350 (F).



Fig. S6 TEM (A, E) and EDX mapping images of Ru (B, F), O (C, G), and Ti (D, H) of  $RuO_2/TiO_2-250$  (A-D) and  $RuO_2/TiO_2-350$  (E-H).



Fig. S7 Effects of HCl flow rate and reaction temperature on the catalytic activities in the oxidation of HCl with O<sub>2</sub> over different RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. Reaction conditions: catalyst particle sizes = 0.180-0.250 mm, WHSV (HCl) = 2933 h<sup>-1</sup>, and molar ratio of HCl to O<sub>2</sub> = 4 : 1.



Fig. S8 Effects of catalyst particle size and reaction temperature on the catalytic activities in the oxidation of HCl with  $O_2$  over different  $RuO_2/TiO_2$  catalysts. Reaction conditions: WHSV (HCl) = 2933 h<sup>-1</sup> and molar ratio of HCl to  $O_2 = 4 : 1$ .



Fig. S9 Heat flow and MS signals in the microcalorimetric measurements on the pulse adsorption of  $O_2$  on  $RuO_2/TiO_2-250$  (A, B) and  $RuO_2/TiO_2-350$  (C, D), respectively, as a function of time at 350 °C.



Fig. S10 Raman spectra of the fresh  $RuO_2/TiO_2$ -350 (a) and the used  $RuO_2/TiO_2$ -350 (b). The used catalyst represents the catalyst after 32 h of the reaction at 350 °C, and the reaction conditions were the same as those described in the caption of Fig. 4.

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. S11 Effects of WHSV on the oxidation of HCl over  $RuO_2/TiO_2-250$ . Reaction conditions: molar ratio of HCl to  $O_2 = 1 : 1$  and reaction temperature = 350 °C.