SUPPORTING INFORMATION

2	High framework metal sites in heteroatom zeolite as Lewis acid
3	catalyst for the conversion of ethanol-acetaldehyde to 1,3-butadiene
4	
5	
6	Haoxi Jiang, ^{abc} Liping Yi, ^{abc} Guochao Yang, ^{abc} and Lingtao Wang*abc
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Corresponding Address:
25	^a Key Laboratory for Green Chemical Technology of Ministry of Education, R&D
26	Center for Petrochemical Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China
27	^b Zhejiang Institute of Tianjin University, Ningbo, Zhejiang, 315201, China
28	^c State Key Laboratory of Engines, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China
29	E-mail: ltwang@tju.edu.cn
30	<i>Tel:</i> +86-22-27406119



31 Supplemental Data and Figures

- 32 Fig. S1. FI-IR spectra of IDRC25, DRC25 and LPI25. The peaks at 1219, 1043 and 800 cm⁻¹ of are
- 33 attributed to Si-O-Si bond in zeolite framework.
- 34



35 Fig. S2. TEM images of LPI25, samples prepared by IDRC and DRC with different Zr contents.



Fig. S3. ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectra of IDRC25, DRC25 and LPI25. The peak at -101 ppm is assigned to Q^3 species of (SiO)₃SiOH, and the peaks at -114, -112 and -110 ppm are assigned to Q^4 species of Si(OSi)₄ at different T sites.



Fig. S4. Py-IR spectra of IDRC25, DRC25 and LPI25. The bands at 1600 and 1450 cm⁻¹ are assigned
to Lewis acid sites. The band at 1540 cm⁻¹ is assigned to Brønsted acid sites. The band at 1490 cm⁻¹
¹ represents Brønsted+Lewis sites. The acid strength (weak, medium and strong) was determined

- 42 by treating at 423, 523 and 623 K.

Table S1. The acidity of samples IDRC25, DRC25 and LPI25 by NH₃-TPD

G 1	Acidity (µmol g ⁻¹)			Temperature at maximum (K)	
Sample	total	weak	strong	peak 1	peak 2
IDRC25	978	241	737	441	537
DRC25	527	103	424	437	530
LPI25	246	71	174	429	510



47 Fig. S5. XRD patterns of IDRC and DRC samples with different Zr contents. The diffraction peaks 48 at $2\theta = \sim 8.0^{\circ}$ and 22.4° represents (101) and (302) crystal planes of β zeolite, respectively. ZrO₂ 49 diffraction peaks at $2\theta = \sim 28.5^{\circ}$ and 31.8° were not detected in all prepared samples.



Fig. S6. UV-Vis spectra of IDRC and DRC samples with different Zr contents. The absorption peak
at 190-200 nm generally assigns to the tetrahedral Zr in the framework. And the absorption peak at
230-240 nm is related to the extra-framework ZrO₂-like species.



Fig. S7. (a) TG and (b) DTG of comparative experiments. The black line was comparative sample (1) IDRC25, red line was sample (6) lack of TEA⁺, green line was sample (7) lack of OH⁻, blue line was sample (8) lack of F⁻. All samples were not calcined. The weight loss in region I (298-423 K) represents the desorption of water in the sample. The region II (423-623 K) represents the Hoffmann elimination of template TEA⁺. And the region III (> 623 K) was attributed to the further degradation and oxidation of the template.

Zr content (wt%) $V_{\rm Meso}$ Con. ^b Sel.^b R.C. Sample Si/Zr^a (%) $(cm^3 g^{-1})$ (%) (%) Product ^a gel IDRC50 2.9 58 0.618 3.1 46 48.7 58.6 IDRC25 53 0.582 5.6 5.0 28 55.9 66.0 IDRC20 61 0.451 6.9 5.3 26 48.7 58.6 **DRC100** 114 0.282 1.5 n.m. ^c n.m. ^c 32.9 63.7 DRC75 114 0.282 2.0 n.m. ^c n.m. ^c 39.8 64.8 DRC50 102 0.279 2.9 1.35 110 40.7 65.5 DRC25 87 0.285 5.6 2.2 66 45.1 69.4 DRC20 0.291 94 6.9 3.4 43 45.4 66.9 DRC15 80 0.313 8.9 n.m. ^c n.m. ^c 43.0 62.7

Table S2. The textural properties of IDRC and DRC samples with different Zr contents.

^a Determined by ICP. ^b Conversion and selectivity. Reaction conditions: 598 K, WHSV = 1.0

62 h^{-1} , TOS = 6 h. ^cNot measured.





Fig. S8. XRD patterns of IDRC25 crystallized for different time.



Fig. S9. TEM images of IDRC25 crystallized for different time. The black particles in the red
 circle are Zr agglomerations in gel.



 $\begin{array}{ccc} 66 \\ 67 \\ 68 \\ \hline \hline Table S3. \ Carbon \ deposition \ of \ IDRC25. \\ \hline \hline TOS \ (h) \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 13.1 \\ \hline 100 \\ \hline 25.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$