Supporting Information for

Flexible ligand-Gd dye-encapsulated dual emission metal-organic

framwork

Ya-Ru Zhang ^a, Xiao-Zheng Xie ^a, Xue-Bo Yin $^{\ast a,b}$, and Yan Xia $^{\ast a}$

 ^a Tianjin Key Laboratory of Biosensing, Research Center for Analytical Science, and Molecular Recognition, College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, China
 ^b College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai 201620, China

*xbyin@nankai.edu.cn; nkxiayan@nankai.edu.cn

Table of Contents

S1. Experiments and methods

S1.1 Reagents and materials

S1.2 Instrumentation and Characterization

S2. Supporting figures

Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectra of L-COOMe

Figure S2. MS spectra of L-COOMe (+K)

Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectra of L-COOH

Figure S4. ¹C NMR spectra of L-COOH

Figure S5. MS spectra of L-COOH (+Na)

Figure S6. The florescence response of RhB@MOF in different pH values

Figure S7. The fluorescence spectra RhB@MOF in room temperature within three days

Figure S8. The response of RhB@MOF to Cu²⁺

Figure S9. The response of MOF to Cu^{2+}

Figure S10. Recyclability experiment of RhB@MOF to Cu²⁺

Figure S11. PXRD patterns of RhB@MOF before and after treated with Fe³⁺ aqueous solution

Figure S12. Fluorescence spectra of RhB under different concentrations of Cu2+

Figure S13. Recyclability experiment of RhB@MOF to Fe³⁺

Figure S14. PXRD patterns of RhB@MOF before and after treated with Cu²⁺ aqueous solution

Figure S15. UV-Vis absorption spectra of Cu²⁺ and excitation spectra of MOF

Figure S16. Detection mechanism of RhB@MOF to Cu²⁺

Table S1. Comparison of the limit of detection for the detection of Cu²⁺

References

S1. Experiments and methods

S1.1 Reagents and materials

Dimethyl-5-hydroxyisophthalate, 1,3,5-tris (bromomethyl) benzene, rhodamine B (RhB) and gadolinium(III)) nitrate hexahydrate (Gd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O) were purchased from Heowns Biochemistry Technology Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China. Potassium iodide, potassium carbonate and sodium hydroxide were get from Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China. Copper nitrate, Ferric nitrate, Ferrous nitrate, Sodium nitrate, Chromic nitrate, Calcium nitrate, Magnesium nitrate, Nickel nitrate, Sodium fluoride, Sodium iodide, Sodium sulfite and Sodium sulfate were get from Guangfu Fine Chemistry Graduate School, Tianjin, China. N,N'-dimethylformamide, methanol, and concentrated hydrochloric acid were purchased from Concord Chemical Research Institute (Tianjin, China). All the chemicals were obtained at least of analytical grade and used without further purification. The ultrapure water used throughout all the experiments was purified through Water Purifier Nanopure water system (18.3 M Ω cm).

S1.2 Instrumentation and Characterization

NMR experiments were performed on AV 400, Bruker, America. The UV–vis absorption spectra were obtained by a UV-3600-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The fluorescence experiments were performed on a FL-4600 Fluorescence Spectrometer, Hitachi, Japan, equipped with a plotterunit and a quartz cell (1 cm × 1 cm). unit and a quartz cell (1 cm × 1 cm). Infrared spectra (IR) were obtained by Bruker TENSOR 27 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, over the ranging from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm was recorded with ASAP2020/Tristar 3000 surface area and pore analyzer at 274 K. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a PTC-10ATG-DTA analyzer heated from 20°C at a ramp rate of 15°C min⁻¹ under air. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns from an angle range of 1.5° to 50° were recorded on a D/max-2500 diffractometer (Rigaku, Japan) using Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) with a scanning speed of 8° min⁻¹ and a step size of 0.02° in 20. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) were carried out by Kratos Analytical Ltd., England.

S2. Supporting figures



Figure S2. MS spectra of L-COOMe (+K)



Figure S4. ¹³C NMR spectra of L-COOH



Figure S5. MS spectra of L-COOH (+Na)



Figure S6. (A) Fluorescent spectra and (B) Fluorescence intensity ratio (I_{360}/I_{583}) of RhB@MOF in different pH values.



Figure S7. (A) Fluorescence spectra and (B) Fluorescence intensity ratio (I_{360}/I_{583}) of RhB@MOF in room temperature within three days under the excitation of 290 nm.



Figure S8. (A) Fluorescence spectra of RhB@MOF under different concentrations of Cu²⁺. (B)
 CIE chromaticity coordinates of RhB@MOF responding to various concentration of Cu²⁺. (C) Plot of the intensity ratio of I₃₆₀/I₅₈₃ vs Cu²⁺ concentration. (D) Linear relationship between the intensity ratio of I₃₆₀/I₅₈₃ and Cu²⁺ concentration.



Figure S9. (A) Fluorescence spectra of MOF under different concentrations of Cu²⁺. (B) CIE chromaticity coordinates of MOF responding to various concentration of Cu²⁺. (C) Linear relationship between the intensity ratio of I₃₆₀ and Cu²⁺ concentration.



Figure S10. (A) The ratiometric fluorescence of I_{360}/I_{583} with 30 μ M Cu²⁺ (blue dots) and ultrapure water (red dots). (B) PXRD patterns of RhB@MOF after five cycles with the treatment of 30 μ M Cu²⁺.



Figure S11. PXRD patterns of RhB@MOF before and after treated with Fe³⁺ aqueous solution.





Figure S12. Fluorescence spectra of RhB under different concentrations of Cu²⁺.



Figure S13. The emission intensity of I_{360} (A) and I_{583} (B) with Fe³⁺ aqueous solution and ultrapure water.



Figure S14. PXRD patterns of RhB@MOF before and after treated with Cu²⁺ aqueous solution.



Figure S15. UV-Vis absorption spectra of Cu^{2+} and excitation spectra of MOF.



Figure S16. (A) UV-Vis absorption spectra of RhB@MOF under various concentrations of Cu²⁺.
(B) FT-IR of RhB@MOF before and after treated with Cu²⁺. (C) O1s XPS for RhB@MOF before and after soaked in aqueous solutions of Cu²⁺.

analytical methods.			
No	Material	$LOD/\mu M$	Reference
1	RhB@MOF	0.185	this work
2	COF-JLU3	0.31	1
3	Receptor 1	7.99	2
4	BOPHY-PTZ	0.001	3
5	GA-UiO-66-NH ₂	315	4
6	Eu ³⁺ @Bio-MOF-1	0.14	5
7	Tb ³⁺ @UiO-66-(COOH) ₂	0.23	6
8	PQD@SiO ₂	3	7
9	DPE	0.2	8

Table S1. Comparison of the limit of detection (LOD) for the detection of Cu^{2+} with other

REFERENCES

- Li, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Xia, H.; Mu, Y.; Liu, X., A robust and luminescent covalent organic framework as a highly sensitive and selective sensor for the detection of Cu(2+) ions. *Chem. Commun* (*Camb*). 2016, 52 (39), 6613-6616.
- 2. Bhorge, Y. R.; Chou, T. L.; Chen, Y. Z.; Yen, Y. P., New coumarin-based dual chromogenic

probe: Naked eye detection of copper and silver ions. *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.* **2015**, *220*, 1139-1144.

- He, C.; Zhou, H.; Yang, N.; Niu, N.; Hussain, E.; Li, Y.; Yu, C., A turn-on fluorescent BOPHY probe for Cu²⁺ ion detection. *New. J. Chem.* 2018, 42 (4), 2520-2525.
- Lu, M.; Deng, Y.; Luo, Y.; Lv, J.; Li, T.; Xu, J.; Chen, S. W.; Wang, J., Graphene Aerogel-Metal-Organic Framework-Based Electrochemical Method for Simultaneous Detection of Multiple Heavy-Metal Ions. *Anal. Chem.* 2019, *91* (1), 888-895.
- Weng, H.; Yan, B., A Eu(III) doped metal-organic framework conjugated with fluoresceinlabeled single-stranded DNA for detection of Cu(II) and sulfide. *Anal Chim Acta* 2017, 988, 89-95.
- 6. Peng, X. X.; Bao, G. M.; Zhong, Y. F.; He, J. X.; Zeng, L.; Yuan, H. Q., Highly selective detection of Cu(2+) in aqueous media based on Tb(3+)-functionalized metal-organic framework. *Spectrochim. Acta. A. Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2020, 240, 118621.
- Xue, W.; Zhong, J.; Wu, H.; Zhang, J.; Chi, Y., A visualized ratiometric fluorescence sensing system for copper ions based on gold nanoclusters/perovskite quantum dot@SiO₂ nanocomposites. *Analyst.* 2021, *146* (24), 7545-7553.
- Jiang, H.; Li, Z.; Kang, Y.; Ding, L.; Qiao, S.; Jia, S.; Luo, W.; Liu, W., A two-photon fluorescent probe for Cu²⁺ based on dansyl moiety and its application in bioimaging. *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.* 2017, 242, 112-117.