Supporting Information

Revisiting the Roles of Dopant on g-C₃N₄ Nanostructures for Piezo-

photocatalytic Production of H₂O₂: A Case Study of Selenium and Sulfur

Dat Do Tran^{1,2,3,+}, Hoai-Thanh Vuong^{1,2,3,4,+}, Duc-Viet Nguyen^{1,2,3,5}, Pho Phuong Ly^{1,2,3},

Pham Duc Minh Phan^{1,2,3}, Vu Hoang Khoi^{1.2.3.5}, Phong Thanh Mai^{1,2,3}, and Nguyen Huu

Hieu^{1,2,3,*}

¹VNU-HCM, Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering and Petroleum Processing (Key

CEPP Lab), Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology (HCMUT), 268 Ly Thuong Kiet Street, District 10, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

²Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology

(HCMUT)

268 Ly Thuong Kiet Street, District 10, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

³Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City (VNU-HCM), Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

⁴ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California Santa Barbara (UCSB), Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

⁵School of Chemical Engineering, University of Ulsan, Ulsan, South Korea

*Corresponding author's contact: nhhieubk@hcmut.edu.vn

Materials

Melamine ($C_3H_6N_6$), urea (CH_4N_2O), thiourea ($SC(NH_2)_2$), sulfur powder (S powder), selenium powder (Se powder), selenium dioxide (SeO_2), isopropanol (IPA), potassium iodide (KI), potassium hydrogen phthalate ($C_8H_5KO_4$), ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl), benzoquinone (BQ), and methanol (CH_3OH), were purchased from Xilong Scientific, China. Distilled water was used in the whole research. All chemicals were directly utilized without any purifications. The fabricated materials were named SCN, p-SCN, p-SeCN, and SeCN for the use of thiourea, sulfur power, selenium power, and SeO_2 as doping precursors, respectively. g- C_3N_4 was prepared by the same method without the presence of other agents and denoted as GCN.

Characterization

The optical features of all materials were computed by UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-DRS). The characteristic crystal structure of materials was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The morphologies of materials were also examined by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). Furthermore, the surface charge and conductivity of the materials were detected by zeta potential measurements. The BET and Barret-Joyner-Halender (BJH) methods were carried out using N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements to determine the specific surface area, pore size, and pore volume of all samples. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was obtained to analyze the thermal stability of materials. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (ssNMR) were employed to characterize functional groups and molecular structure. In addition, XPS was performed to determine the chemical states with the spectra of all samples. In order to analyze the recombination of charges and the charge transfer resistance, photoluminescence (PL) spectra and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were utilized. XPS-VB spectra were conducted to identify the valance band (VB) edge of the materials and Mott-Schottky plots were used to confirm the

position of the flat-band potential of the materials. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) were also performed in the study to study the electrocatalytic properties of the materials.

Photocatalyst	Light source	Experimental conditions	H ₂ O ₂ yield	Ref.
GCN			460.8 µM	
SCN		Catalyst: 1 mg	393.7 µM	
p-SCN	Two 50 W Philips halogen lamps	Solvent: Water SA: IPA Time: 90 min	725.8 µM	This work
p-SeCN		Atmosphere: O ₂	661.1 µM	
SeCN			903.01 μM	
C, O co-doped	300 W Xenon arc	Catalyst: 100 mg	60.3	[1]
$g-C_3N_4$	lamp	Solvent: Water	μmol	
		SA: IPA		
		Time: 30 h		
		Atmosphere: O ₂		
B doped g-C ₃ N ₄		Catalyst: 50 mg	$42.31 \text{ uM min}^{-1}$	[2]
tubes	300 W xenon lamp	Solvent: Water	42.91 µW IIIII	
P doped g-C ₃ N ₄	e so nonon minp	SA: IPA	24.05 161	
tubes			24.95 μM min ⁻¹	

Table S1. Summary of the photocatalytic process for the production of H	$_2O_2$,
---	---------	---

S doped g-C3N4		Time: 120 min		
tubes		Atmosphere: O ₂	24.22 μM min ⁻¹	
Ultra-thin g-	AM 1.5 (100 mW	Catalyst: 20 mg		[3]
C_3N_4	cm ⁻² , 400 nm $\leq \lambda \leq$	Solvent: Water		
	760 nm)	SA: IPA	665.4 μ mol h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	
		Time: 5 h		
		Atmosphere: O ₂		
K-, P-, O-, and	300 W Xenon lamp	Catalyst: 150 mg		[4]
S-co-doped g-		Solvent: Water		
C_3N_4		SA: IPA	6231 μM	
		Time: 180 min		
		Atmosphere: O ₂		
Cl doped g-C ₃ N ₄	250 W high-	Catalyst: 200 mg		[5]
nanorods	pressure sodium	Solvent: Water	$0.35 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$	
	lamp	SA: EDTA		
Br doped g-		Time: 6 h	1 99 mmol·I ⁻¹	
C_3N_4 nanorods		Atmosphere: O ₂		
Ultrathin g-C ₃ N ₄	Simulated sunlight	Catalyst: 50 mg		[6]
nanosheet	irradiation system	Solvent: Water	$1083 \ \mu mol \ g^{-1}h^{-1}$	
		SA: Ethanol		

Time: 180 min

Atmosphere: O₂

CN-vacancy-	300 W Xenon lamp	Catalyst: 50 mg	718.36	[7]
doped		Solvent: Water	µmol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	
g-C ₃ N ₄		SA: IPA		
		Atmosphere: O ₂		
		Time: 60 min		
KOH doped	500 W Xe arc lamp	Catalyst: 30 mg	704	[8]
8 - 5- 14		Solvent: Water	µmol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	
		SA: IPA		
		Atmosphere: O ₂		
		Time: 240 min		
K and P doped	300 W Xenon lamp	Catalyst: 20 mg	216	
5 031 4		Solvent: Water	µmol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	
		SA: EtOH		[9]
		Atmosphere: O ₂		
P doped g-C ₃ N ₄	Blue LED light	Catalyst: 50 mg	285.34	
		Solvent: Water	µmol L ⁻¹	
		SA: Ethanol		[10]
		Atmosphere: O ₂		

Time: 180 min

B and O co-	300 W Xenon lamp	Catalyst: 40 mg	620	
doped g-C ₃ N ₄		Solvent: Water	μ mol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	
		SA: Ethanol		[11]
		Atmosphere: O ₂		
		Time: 150 min		
O doped g-C ₃ N ₄	300 W Xenon lamp	Catalyst: 50 mg	2008.4	[12]
		Solvent: Water	μ mol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	
		SA: Isopropanol		
		Atmosphere: O ₂		

Sample	С	Ν	0	Cl	X	
					(Se)
GCN	44.78ª	53.77ª	1.35ª	0.1ª	-	-
SCN	43.96ª	53.9ª	1.83ª	0.12ª	0.19ª	-
p-SCN	44.36ª	52.89ª	1.91ª	0.36ª	0.27ª	-
p-SeCN	44.53ª	54.29ª	1.06 ^a	0.11ª	0.01ª	0.199
SeCN	44.17ª	53.9ª	1.69ª	0.16 ^a	0.08ª	0.08

 Table S2. Elemental percentage as-prepared samples

^aData was obtained from XPS results

^bData was obtained from ICP-MS results

	Zeta	Zeta ootential (mV) (mS/cm)	Surface Pore		Average	d-spacing (nm)		Average
Samples	potential (mV)		Active Area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Volume (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	Pore Size (nm)	(100)	(002)	Site (nm)
GCN	- 31.6	0.00604	51.136	0.222	3.4710	0.679	0.326	3.939
SCN	- 28.2	0.00824	57.224	0.277	3.5628	0.684	0.323	9.198
p-SCN	- 34.4	0.0057	54.822	0.243	3.5199	0.684	0.322	10.490
p-SeCN	- 34.3	0.0449	52.142	0.268	3.4657	0.690	0.323	9.642
SeCN	- 31.2	0.00810	58.076	0.304	4.0406	0.690	0.323	9.664

Table S3. Physical properties of all samples

Table S4. Calculations of the delocalized π - π^* electron systems based on XPS data

Sample	$(\pi$ - $\pi^*)/C_{total}$	$(\pi$ - $\pi^*)/N_{total}$	N-(C ₃)/C=N-C
GCN	0.046	0.083	0.199
SCN	0.046	0.212	0.199
p-SCN	0.078	0.084	0.346
p-SeCN	0.059	0.059	0.341
SeCN	0.058	0.079	0.265

Samples	Bandgap Energy (eV)	Valance Band Edge Energy (eV)	Valance Band Potential (eV)	Flat Band Potential (eV)	Work function (eV)	Cond Band P (e	uction Potential V)
GCN	2.68	2.03ª	1.47ª	-1.327 ^b	5.630	-1.21ª	-1.230 ^b
SCN	2.67	2.05ª	1.49ª	-1.314 ^b	5.617	-1.18ª	-1.217 ^b
p-SCN	2.62	1.95ª	1.39ª	-1.319 ^b	5.622	-1.23ª	-1.222 ^b
p-SeCN	2.71	2.08ª	1.52ª	-1.302 ^b	5.605	-1.19ª	-1.205 ^b
SeCN	2.61	2.06ª	1.50 ^a	-1.239 ^b	5.542	-1.11ª	-1.142 ^b

 Table S5. Electronic properties of as-synthesized materials

^a Data and values were exported and computed from XPS-VB results

^b Data and values were exported and computed from Mott Schottky plots



Fig. S1. XRD patterns of as-prepared materials from 10 to 16 degree (a), and from 24 to 30

degree (b)



Fig. S2. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of samples and (b) the pore size distribution curves of GCN, SCN, p-SCN, p-SeCN, and SeCN



Fig. S3. TGA curves for GCN, SCN, p-SCN, p-SeCN, and SeCN



Fig. S4. FTIR (a) and ¹³C solid-state NMR (b) and (c) spectra of the materials



Fig. S5. XPS survey spectra of materials







Fig. S7. Bandgap energy of SCN (a), p-SCN (b), and p-SeCN (c)



Fig. S8. PL energy of GCN (a), SCN (b), p-SCN (c), and p-SeCN (d)



Fig. S9. EIS of GCN, SCN, p-SCN, p-SeCN, and SeCN



Fig. S10. XPS-VB and Mott Schottky plots of (a-b) GCN, (c-d) SCN, (e-f) p-SCN, and (g-h) p-SeCN



Fig. S11. CV curves of GCN (a), SCN (b), p-SCN (c), and p-SeCN (d)



Fig. S12. LSV curves of GCN (a), SCN (b), p-SCN (c), and p-SeCN (d)



Fig. S13. (a) Piezo-photocatalytic H₂O₂ production of as-prepared samples and (b) the cycle test of 50 mg of SeCN under 1 h irradiation



Fig. S14. Piezo-photocatalytic results of SeCN with the presence of a scavenger

References

[1] Samanta, S.; Yadav, R.; Kumar, A.; Sinha, A. K.; and Srivastava, R*Appl. Catal. B*, 2019, 259, 118054.

[2] Liu, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Zhang, W.; Peng, Z.; Xie, H.; Wang, Y.; Huang, Y. J. Mater. Sci. Technol., 2021, 95, 127-135.

[3] Hu, J.; Zhang, P.; Yang, T.; Cai, Y.; Qu, J.; Yang, X. Appl. Surf. Sci., 2022, 576, 151841.

[4] Deng, L.; Sun, J.; Sun, J.; Wang, X.; Shen, T.; Zhao, R.; Wang, B. Appl. Surf. Sci., 2022, 153586.

[5] Zhang, C.; Bai, J.; Ma, L.; Wang, F.; Zhang, X.; Hu, S. *Diamond Relat. Mater.*, 2018, 87, 215-222.

[6] Zhou, L.; Feng, J.; Qiu, B.; Zhou, Y.; Lei, J., Xing; Zhang, J. Appl. Catal. B, 2020, 267, 118396.

[7] Zhang, Z.; Zheng, Y.; Xie, H.; Zhao, J.; Guo, X.; Zhang, W.; Huang, Y. J. Alloys Compd.,
2022, 904, 164028.

[8] Zhang, H.; Jia, L.; Wu, P.; Xu, R.; He, J.; Jiang, W. Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 527, 146584.

[9] Fattahimoghaddam, H.; Mahvelati-Shamsabadi, T.; Jeong, C. S.; Lee, B. K. J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2022, 617, 326-340.

[10] Li, D.; Wen, C.; Huang, J.; Zhong, J.; Chen, P.; Liu, H.; Liu, G. *Appl. Catal. B*, 2022, **307**, 121099.

[11] You, Q.; Zhang, C.; Cao, M.; Wang, B.; Huang, J.; Wang, Y.; Yu, G. *Appl. Catal. B*, 2023, 321, 121941.

[12] Xie, H.; Zheng, Y.; Guo, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Zhao, J.; Huang, Y. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2021, 9, 6788-6798.