## In situ construction of a cobalt oxyhydroxide loaded pyrene-based fluorescent organic nanoprobe for bioimaging of endogenous ascorbic acid in living cells

Huijuan Yan,\*<sup>a</sup> Shuanghui Liu,<sup>a</sup> Shuo Yang,<sup>a</sup> Wu Ren,<sup>b</sup> Jingfang Shangguan,<sup>a</sup> Jieli Lv,<sup>a</sup> Mengzhen Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Juan Tang<sup>a</sup> and Ying Zhao<sup>a, c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Pharmacy, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, Henan 453003, P. R. China;

<sup>b</sup> School of Medical Engineering, Xinxiang Neurosense and Control Engineering Technology Research Center, Xinxiang Key Lab of Biomedical Information Research, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, Henan 453003, P. R. China;

<sup>c</sup> Xinxiang Key Laboratory of Clinical Psychopharmacology, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, 453003, China.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-373-3029879

E-mail address: yanhuijuan2013@126.com (H. J. Yan)



Fig. S1 (A) Relative intensity of PyFONs during 12 h, respectively. (B) DLS of PyFONs.



**Fig. S2** (A) Zeta potential of PyFONs (-22.1mV) and CoOOH-modified Py nanoconjugate (-6.07 mV).



Fig. S3 (A) TEM image of CoOOH nanoflakes; (B) Absorption spectra of aqueous solutions of  $CoCl_2$  (a) and CoOOH nanoflakes (b).



**Fig. S4** UV-vis spectrum of aqueous solutions of CoOOH nanoflakes (a) and fluorescence spectrum of PyFONs (b). Inset: photographs of the CoOOH nanoflakes (a) and PyFONs under visible light (b) and irradiated by a laser pointer of 365 nm (c).



**Fig. S5** FL spectra of aqueous solutions of PyFONs (line a), physical mixture of CoOOH and PyFONs (line b), and CoOOH-modified PLNPs (line c).



**Fig. S6** Fluorescence decay dynamics of PyFONs (A) and in situ grown of CoOOH nanoflakes on the surface of PyFONs (B).



**Fig. S7** (A) FL spectra of PyFONs with different CoCl<sub>2</sub> feeding amounts (from bottom to top: 0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.23, 0.34, 0.45, 0.56 mM), with the excitation wavelength at 345 nm. (B) Relationship between quenching efficiency (QE %) and the contents of CoCl<sub>2</sub>. Inset: photographs of PyFONs at a series of different CoCl<sub>2</sub> feeding amount. (C) The corresponding Stern-Volmer plot of  $F_0/F$  versus the concentrations of CoCl<sub>2</sub> feeding.  $F_0$  and F correspond to the fluorescence intensity of the PyFONs at 468 nm in the absence and presence of CoCl<sub>2</sub>, respectively. All above solutions include NaClO (0.2 M) and NaOH (0.8 M).



**Fig. S8** UV-Vis absorption spectrum of CoOOH nanoflakes in absence (curve a) and present (curve b) of AA. The inset displays the photographic images of CoOOH nanoflakes solutions in absence (a) and present (b) of AA under broad daylight.



**Fig. S9** The effect of AA on PyFONs. (A) FL spectra of PyFONs with different AA (0, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500  $\mu$ M, respectively). (B) Fluorescence at 468 nm vs. AA concentration.  $\lambda ex/\lambda em = 345 \text{ nm}/468 \text{ nm}.$ 



**Fig. S10** Effects of buffer (A), pH (B), and temperature (C) on the fluorescence responses to different concentrations of AA. Buffer 1-4: 1. 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, and 1.8 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 2. 0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and 0.2 M NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 3. 0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and 0.1 M citric acid; 4. 0.2 M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 0.2 M NaOH; pH = 5.0-9.0; [Py@CoOOH] = 90  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>; [AA] = 400  $\mu$ M AA;  $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} = 345$  nm/468 nm.

Materia	als used	I	Method an	nlied		esnonse	range R	eference	_
determination of ascorbic acid									
Table S	<mark>81.</mark> An	overview	on recently	reported	nanomaterial-b	based flu	orometric	methods	for

Materials used	Method applied	LOD	Response range	Reference	
NIR GODs (NGs)	Fluorometric 270 n		1 ~ 30 µM	[1]	
	(TPEM)	270 1111	1 50 µm	[*]	
	Fluorometric	0.2 μΜ	0 ~ 60 µM	[2]	
NaYF <sub>4</sub> :Yb/Tm@NaYF <sub>4</sub>	(upconversion				
	approach)				
NaYF4:Gd/Yb/Tm/Ho@	Fluorometric	0.63 uM	0 - 40 uM	[3]	
NaYF <sub>4</sub>	(UCL images)	0.05 µW	0 10 40 μινι	[9]	
MoS <sub>2</sub> quantum dots	Fluorometric	0.21 µM	0.8 22.11	[4]	
(QDs)	(ratiometric detection)	0.21 µW	$0.0 \sim 32 \mu M$	[+]	
CdTe quantum	Fluorometric	1.2 uM	10 - 250 uM	[5]	
dots (QDs)	(OPE)	1.5 μινι	$10 \sim 250 \mu \text{M}$	[J]	
DEASPI/βCDP	Fluorometric	0.27	2 50 uM	[6]	
nanomicelle	(TPEM) 0.27 µW		$2 \sim 30 \mu W$	[0]	
Persistent	Fluorometric	0.59 µM	1 ~ 100 μM	[7]	
luminescence					
nanoparticles (PLNPs)	(ILI)				
Fluorescent	Fluorometric	4.8 µM	0 ~ 500 μM	[8]	
Polydopamine (PDA)	(OPE)				
nanoparticles	(OFL)				
<b>PyFON®@CoOOH</b>	Fluorometric	0.21 µM	2 - 500 uM	This work	
I yronsecooon	(OPE)	0.21 µW	2 ~ 500 μM		

**Notes:** One-photon excited (OPE), Persistent luminescence imaging (PLI), Time-gated luminescence microscopy (TGLM), Two-photon excited microscopy (TPEM), Upconversion Luminescence images (UCL images).

Samples	Added AA ( $\mu M$ )	Found AA ( $\mu M$ )	Recovery (%)	RSD (%, n=3)
1	20	19.3	96.5	3.7
2	80	81.9	102.4	6.1
3	160	158.2	98.9	5.4

Table S2. Analytical results of AA in human plasma using PyFONs@CoOOH nanoprobe.

## References

[1] L. L. Feng, Y. X. Wu, D. L. Zhang, X. X. Hu, J. Zhang, P. Wang, Z. L. Song, X. B. Zhang, W. H.Tan, *Anal. Chem*, 2017, 89, 4077-4084.

- [2] Y. Cen, J. Tang, X. J. Kong, S. Wu, J. Yuan, R. Q. Yu and X. Chu, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 13951-13957.
- [3] Q. X, Han, Z, Dong, X. L, Tang, L, Wang, Z. H, Ju, W. S, Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2017, 5, 167-172.
- [4] Z. H. Wu, D. Y. Nan, H. Yang, S. Pan, H. Liu, X. L. Hu, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2019, 1091,59-68.
- [5] J. Zhu, Z. J. Zhao, J. J. Li, J. W. Zhao, J. Lumin, 2017, 192, 47-55.
- [6] H.J. Yan, Y. F. Liu, W. Ren, J. F. Shangguan, X. Yang, *Microchim. Acta*, 2019, 186, 201.
- [7] N. Li, Y. H. Li, Y. Y. Han, W. Pan, T. T. Zhang, B. Tang, Anal. Chem, 2014, 86, 3924-3930.
- [8] Y. Y. Zhao, L. Li, R. Q. Yu, T. T. Chen, X. Chu, Anal. Methods, 2017, 9, 5518-5524.