Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2022 'Optimized Route' to Synthesis Isoelectronic and Isostructural Au(III)- and Pt(II)-NHC Complexes; Synthesis, Structure, Spectral Properties, Electrochemistry, and Molecular Docking Studies

Lakshmikanta Maity,^a Sahadev Barik,^b Hemanta K. Kisan,^a Abhimanyu Jana,^c Anvarhusein A. Isab,^d and Joydev Dinda^a*

^a Department of Chemistry, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar-751004, Odisha, India.

^b School of Chemical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar-751004, Odisha, India.

^c Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah-711103, West Bengal, India.

^dDepartment of Chemistry, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

Supporting Information



Figure S1.¹H NMR spectrum of 1.HPF6 in DMSO-d₆.



Figure S2.¹³C NMR spectrum of 1.HPF6 in DMSO-d₆.



Figure S3.¹H NMR spectrum of complex 2 in DMSO-d₆.



Figure S4.¹³C NMR spectrum of complex 2 in DMSO-d_{6.}

Figure S5.¹H NMR spectrum of complex **3** in DMSO-d₆.

Figure S6.¹³C NMR spectrum of complex 3 in DMSO-d₆.

Figure S7.HRMass spectrum of complex 2

Figure S8.HRMass spectrum of complex 3.

Figure S9. Distorted octahedral shape around Au(III) ion of complex 2.

Figure S10. Butterfly like view of complex 2(left) and complex 3 (right).

Figure S11.Various intramolecular Au(III)·····H-C interactions present in complex 2.

Figure S12. Various intramolecular Pt(II)·····H-C interactions present in complex 3.

Figure S13. Absorption spectra of complexes **2** (Au-complex) and **3** (Pt-complex) and proligand **1**.HPF₆ studied in DCM solution at room temperature at 50 μ M conc.

Figure S14. Simulated absorption spectra of Complex 2 in CH₃CN using TD-DFT Computation.

Figure S15.Simulated absorption spectra of Complex 3 in CH₃CN using TD-DFT Computation.

Figure S16.Time resolved fluorescence spectra of complex-**2** and **3** in dry CH₃CN solution with λ_{ex} = 340 nm. The solid navy-blue line represents the instrument response function (IRF) of the system.

Figure S17. The cyclic voltammogram of complex **2** in dry acetonitrile at a 100 mVs⁻¹ scan rate with Pt as the working electrode, Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode, and a 0.1(M) solution of $[N(Bu)_4]PF_6$ as the supporting electrolyte.

Figure S18.The cyclic voltammogram of **3** in dry acetonitrile at a 100 mVs⁻¹ scan rate with Pt as the working electrode, Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode, and a 0.1(M) solution of $[N(Bu)_4]PF_6$ as the supporting electrolyte.

| Complex | 2 | 3 |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| parameters | | |
| Empirical | $C_{36}H_{38}N_{10}AuP_{3}F_{18}$ | $C_{30}H_{29}N_7PtP_2F_{12}$ |
| formula | | |
| Formula | 1242.64 | 972.63 |
| weight | | |
| Crystal | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| system | | |
| Space group | P -1 | C 2/c |
| Temperature | 295 | 100 |
| /К | | |
| a /Å | 10.5186(13) | 14.3168(16) |
| b/Å | 11.1996(14) | 20.207(2) |
| c / Å | 11.4763(14) | 12.3606(14) |
| α (°) | 67.964(4) | 90(4) |
| β (°) | 89.955(4) | 103.347(4) |
| γ (°) | 69.998(4) | 90(4) |
| Volume / ų | 1164.9(3) | 3479.2(7) |
| Z | 1 | 4 |
| Density / g cm ⁻³ | 1.771 | 1.857 |
| Absorption coefficient(mm ⁻¹) | 3.373 | 9.320 |
| Theta range | 2.628 to 25.460 | 7.304 to 76.747 |
| Index ranges | -12<=h<=12, | -16<=h<=17, |
| 0 | -13<=k<=13, | -23<=k<=24, |
| | -13<= <=13 | -13<=l<=13 |
| Total / unique / obs. data | 23197 /4295/4294 | 42953 /3355/3187 |
| No. of Parameters | 313 | 240 |
| Final R | R1 = 0.0287, | R1 = 0.0382, |
| indices | wR2 = 0.0777 | wR2 =0.1020 |
| [I>2sigma(I)] | | |
| R indices (all | R1 = 0.0287, | R2 = 0.0396, |
| data) | wR2 = 0.0777 | wR2 =0.1034 |
| GOF | 1.039 | 1.149 |

.

| M…H∕ M…C | Complex 2 (Å) M= Au(III) | Complex 3 (Å) M= Pt(II) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| M(1)…H(13) | 2.939 | 2.969 |
| M(1)…H(8B) | 3.044 | 3.066 |
| M(1)…H(5) | 3.365 | 3.357 |
| Ave. | 3.116 | 3.131 |
| M(1)…C(13) | 2.925 | 2.947 |
| M(1)…C(8) | 3.150 | 3.160 |
| M(1)…C(5) | 3.663 | 3.666 |
| Ave. | 3.246 | 3.258 |

 Table S2.Selected bond lengths (Å) of various M...H-C interactions of complex 2 and 3.

Table S3.Selected bond angles (°) of various M...H-C interactions of complex 2 and 3.

| C-H⋯M/ | Complex 2 | Complex 3 |
|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| H-C…M | M= Au(III) (°) | M= Pt(II) (°) |
| C(13)-H(13)…M(1) | 80.04 | 79.46 |
| C(8)-H(8B)…M(1) | 87.22 | 86.28 |
| C(5)-H(5)…M(1) | 101.34 | 101.46 |
| Ave. | 89.53 | 89.1 |
| H(13)-C(13)…M(1) | 81.71 | 82.05 |
| H(8)-C(8B)…M(1) | 74.86 | 75.49 |
| H(5)-C(5)…M(1) | 64.26 | 63.83 |
| Ave. | 73.61 | 73.79 |

Table S4. The docking results of NHC proligand ($\mathbf{1}$.HPF₆), Complex $\mathbf{2}$ and Complex $\mathbf{3}$ with Human-DNA Topoisomerase (ID: 1t8i) are given in Kcal/mol.

| compound | Binding | vdW+H | Electr | Total | Torsional | Unbound | Estimated | Referenc |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------|
| | free | bond + | ostati | internal | free | system's | Inhibition | e |
| | energy | dissolvin | с | energy | energy | energy | Constant, Ki | RMSD |
| | $\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ | g | energ | (ΔG_{total}) | (∆G _{tor}) | (∆G _{unb}) | (micromola | |
| | (Kcal/m | energy | У | | | | r) | |
| | ol) | (∆G _{vdW+hb} | (ΔG_{elec}) | | | | | |
| | | +desolv) |) | | | | | |
| Proligand | -5.73 | -6.33 | -0.07 | -0.15 | +0.60 | -0.15 | 62.55 | 31.60 |
| 1 .HPF ₆ | | | | | | | | |
| Complex 2 | -6.94 | -6.83 | -0.11 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +0.00 | 8.20 | 31.60 |
| Complex-3 | -7.26 | -7.24 | -0.02 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +0.00 | 4.75 | 31.60 |

Table S5. Pharmacokinetic study parameters of proligand $(1.HPF_6)$

| ∎ ⊙ ⊘ | | | Water Solubility |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | LIPO | Log S (ESOL) 😣 | -6.34 |
| | | Solubility | 1.69e-04 mg/ml ; 4.56e-07 mol/l |
| | FLEX SIZE | Class 😣 | Poorly soluble |
| _ | | Log S (Ali) 😣 | -6.69 |
| V. V-(") | | Solubility | 7.55e-05 mg/ml ; 2.05e-07 mol/l |
| VC | | Class Θ | Poorly soluble |
| | INSATU POLAR | Log S (SILICOS-IT) 😣 | -4.21 |
| | | Solubility | 2.29e-02 mg/ml ; 6.19e-05 mol/l |
| | | Class 😣 | Moderately soluble |
| | INBOLU | | Pharmacokinetics |
| MILES F(P-](F)(F)(F)(F)F | .Cc1n(Cc2ccccn2)c[n+]2c1cccc2 | GI absorption 😣 | Low |
| Ph | vsicochemical Properties | BBB permeant 😣 | No |
| ormula | C14H14F6N3P | P-gp substrate 😣 | Yes |
| folecular weight | 369.25 g/mol | CYP1A2 inhibitor 😣 | Yes |
| lum. heavy atoms | 24 | CYP2C19 inhibitor 😣 | Yes |
| lum. arom. heavy atoms | 15 | CYP2C9 inhibitor 😣 | No |
| raction Csp3 | 0.14 | CYP2D6 inhibitor 😣 | No |
| lum. rotatable bonds | 2 | CYP3A4 inhibitor 🔞 | Yes |
| lum. H-bond acceptors | 7 | Log Kn (skin permeation) 🔞 | -4.18 cm/s |
| lum. H-bond donors | 0 | | Druglikeness |
| Iolar Refractivity | 81.80 | Lipinski 😣 | Yes: 0 violation |
| PSA 🥹 | 35.51 A⁼ | Ghose () | No: 1 violation: WLOGP>5.6 |
| | Lipophilicity | Veher | Vec |
| og P _{o/w} (iLOGP) 😣 | 0.00 | Fase | No: 1 violation: WI OGP>5.88 |
| .og P _{o/w} (XLOGP3) 😣 | 6.16 | Muenne 😣 | No: 1 violation: XI OGP3>5 |
| .og P _{o/w} (WLOGP) 😣 | 7.88 | Bioavailability Score 0 | 0.55 |
| .og Pow (MLOGP) 😣 | 2.41 | | Medicinal Chemistry |
| .og Pow (SILICOS-IT) 0 | 1.95 | PAINS 😣 | 0 alert |
| Consensus Log P., 0 | 2.89 | Brenk 😣 | 2 alerts: phosphor, quaternary_nitrogen_1 @ |
| Valiaciada coa i O/W | 3.00 | Leadlikeness 😣 | No; 2 violations: MW>350, XLOGP3>3.5 |
| | | Synthetic accessibility 0 | 2.50 |

Table S6.Pharmacokinetic study parameters of complex 2.

| †† ⊙ ⊘ | | | Water Solubility |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | LIPO | Log S (ESOL) 📀 | -7.57 |
| CH3 | | Solubility | 1.74e-05 mg/ml ; 2.70e-08 mol/l |
| | FLEX | Class 📀 | Poorly soluble |
| | | Log S (Ali) 🥹 | -5.40 |
| | X | Solubility | 2.55e-03 mg/ml ; 3.94e-06 mol/l |
| Au | | Class 🥹 | Moderately soluble |
| (in) | INSATU | Log S (SILICOS-IT) 📀 | -6.09 |
| | CH | Solubility | 5.19e-04 mg/ml ; 8.04e-07 mol/l |
| ~ | | Class 📀 | Poorly soluble |
| | INSOLU | | Pharmacokinetics |
| CC1=c2ccccn2C | 2N1Cc1ccccn1[Au]12C2N(Cc3n1cccc3)C(=c1n2cc | GI absorption 😣 | High |
| SMILES cc1)C | | BBB permeant 🧐 | Yes |
| Pt | hysicochemical Properties | P-gp substrate 📀 | Yes |
| Formula | C28H28AuN6 | CYP1A2 inhibitor 🧐 | Yes |
| Molecular weight | 645.53 g/mol | CYP2C19 inhibitor 🥹 | No |
| Num. heavy atoms | 35 | CYP2C9 inhibitor 0 | Yes |
| Num. arom. heavy atoms | 24 | CYP2D6 inhibitor 😣 | No |
| Fraction Csp3 | 0.21 | CYP3A4 inhibitor 🥹 | No |
| Num. rotatable bonds | 0 | Log K _n (skin permeation) 🧐 | -6.61 cm/s |
| Num. H-bond acceptors | 0 | | Drualikeness |
| Num. H-bond donors | 0 | Lipinski 🥹 | Yes: 1 violation: MW>500 |
| Molar Refractivity | 141.57 | Ghose 🥹 | No: 2 violations: MW>480. MR>130 |
| IPSA 🧐 | 26.20 A ² | Veber 😑 | Yes |
| | Lipophilicity | Egan 🤨 | Yes |
| Log P _{olw} (iLOGP) 🧐 | 0.00 | | No: 3 violations: MW>600, XLOGP3>5 |
| Log P _{o/w} (XLOGP3) 🛞 | 5.11 | Muegge 🤎 | #rings>7 |
| Log P _{olw} (WLOGP) 😣 | 1.91 | Bioavailability Score 🧐 | 0.55 |
| Log P _{o/w} (MLOGP) 😣 | 2.00 | | Medicinal Chemistry |
| Log P _{o/w} (SILICOS-IT) 📀 | -2.84 | PAINS 🧐 | 0 alert |
| Consensus Log Poly | 123 | Brenk 🧐 | 0 alert |
| | | Leadlikeness 🥹 | No; 2 violations: MW>350, XLOGP3>3.5 |
| | | Synthetic accessibility 🧐 | 6.24 |

Table S7.Pharmacokinetic study parameters of complex 3.

| # ⊕ ○ <i>€</i> | | | Water Solubility |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | LIPO | Log S (ESOL) 🕖 | -7.56 |
| CH3 | | Solubility | 1.78e-05 mg/ml ; 2.77e-08 mol/l |
| ~ \ | FLEX | Class 😣 | Poorly soluble |
| THE WE | | Log S (Ali) 🧐 | -5.40 |
| | X | Solubility | 2.54e-03 mg/ml ; 3.94e-06 mol/l |
| Pt | | Class 🥹 | Moderately soluble |
| (in n | INSATU | Log S (SILICOS-IT) 🥹 | -6.09 |
| | CH- | Solubility | 5.22e-04 mg/ml ; 8.11e-07 mol/l |
| | | Class 🥹 | Poorly soluble |
| | INSOLU | | Pharmacokinetics |
| CC1=c2ccccn2C2 | 2N1Cc1ccccn1[Pt]12C2N(Cc3n1cccc3)C(=c1n2ccc | GI absorption 🥹 | High |
| c1)C | | BBB permeant 🧐 | Yes |
| Pł | nysicochemical Properties | P-gp substrate 🧐 | Yes |
| Formula | C28H28N6Pt | CYP1A2 inhibitor 🥹 | Yes |
| Molecular weight | 643.64 g/mol | CYP2C19 inhibitor 📀 | No |
| Num. heavy atoms | 35 | CYP2C9 inhibitor 😣 | Yes |
| Num. arom. heavy atoms | 24 | CYP2D6 inhibitor 🧐 | No |
| Fraction Csp3 | 0.21 | CYP3A4 inhibitor 📀 | No |
| Num. rotatable bonds | 0 | Log K _p (skin permeation) 🥹 | -6.60 cm/s |
| Num. H-bond acceptors | 0 | | Druglikeness |
| Num. H-bond donors | | Lipinski 🧐 | Yes; 1 violation: MW>500 |
| Molar Refractivity | 141.57 | Ghose 🧐 | No; 2 violations: MW>480, MR>130 |
| IPSA 🔍 | ZD.ZU A ² | Veber | Yes |
| | c.co | Egan 🕖 | Yes |
| | 0.00 | Muegge 🥺 | No; 3 violations: MW>600, XLOGP3>5, |
| | 4.04 | Bioavailability Score 🦲 | n ingər i 0 55 |
| LUG P _{0/W} (WLUGP) | 1.91 | | Medicinal Chemistry |
| Log P _{o/w} (MLOGP) 🥹 | 2.00 | | 0 alert |
| Log P _{o/w} (SILICOS-IT) 😣 | -2.85 | Brook 0 | |
| Consensus Log P _{o/w} 😣 | 1.23 | | No. 2 violations: MW>250, XLOGP2>2.5 |
| | | Supthatia accessibility | NO, 2 VIOIAUUTS. NIVY-300, ALUGE3-3.3 |
| | | Symmetic accessibility 🔮 | 0.10 |