Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2022

Supporting Information

Cellulose Nanocrystal Reinforced Hydrogel with Anti-Freezing

Properties for Strain Sensor

Jiawen Zheng ^a, Yong Sun^{a,b,*}, Shuliang Yang ^a, Zheng Li ^a, Xing Tang ^{a,b}, Xianhai Zeng ^{a,b}, Lu Lin _{a,b}

^a Xiamen Key Laboratory of Clean and High-valued Applications of Biomass, College of Energy,

Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China.

^b Fujian Engineering and Research Center of Clean and High-valued Technologies for Biomass, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China.

* Corresponding author.

Yong Sun

Email: sunyong@xmu.edu.cn

Tel.: 00-86-592-5952786; Fax: 00-86-592-5952786

Samples	AA	PVA	${\rm H_2O}$	Ethylene glycol	Fe^{3+}	CNCs	APS	MBAA
	(g)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(mmol)	(mg)	(mg)	(mg)
PVA/PAA	6	2	10	10	/	/	50	20
PVA/PAA/CNC-5	6	2	10	10	/	5	50	20

/

/

/

/

0.3

0.6

0.9

1.8

0.6

/

/

/

/

PVA/PAA/CNC-10

PVA/PAA/CNC-15

PVA/PAA/CNC-20

PVA/PAA/CNC-30

PVA/PAA//Fe-50

PVA/PAA//Fe-100

PVA/PAA//Fe-150

PVA/PAA//Fe-300

PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe-100

Table S1. Feed information of AA solution and PVA solution for IPN hydrogel formation

Hydrogel materials	Elongation	Tensile	Toughness (Elastic modulus	Ionic conductivity	Ref.
	(%)	Strength (kPa)	KJ/m³)	(KPa)	(S/m)	
PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe	756	519	179.342	0.69	1.54	This work
GO/PEDOT:PSS/PNIPAM	2512	29	N/G	N/G	0.084	[1]
PNIPAAm/PANI	290	42	N/G	N/G	0.068	[2]
MXene/PVA/PAAm	1000	35	N/G	N/G	N/G	[3]
PAAm/PEDOT:PSS	525	30	N/G	80	1.000	[4]
PAA/TA@CNC/Al	2952	256	5600	48	N/G	[5]
PEG/PAM/PAA/Fe	1350	360	458	N/G	0.006	[6]
PAA/PANI/Fe	991	35.68	N/G	N/G	N/G	[7]
PAM/PVAA/Fe	600	370	N/G	N/G	N/G	[8]
PAA/CNF/Fe	1803	1370	11.05	N/G	N/G	[9]
PRGO/PB/TA@CNC	869	245	N/G	N/G	0.0175	[10]

Table S2. Mechanical properties of various similar hydrogels

Note: 'N/G' indicates 'not given' in the references.



Fig. S1. Preparation of the PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe³⁺ hydrogel.



Fig. S2. CNCs–Fe³⁺ binding unit.



Fig. S3. SEM image of the PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe³⁺ hydrogel



Fig. S4. FTIR spectra of the PAA, PVA, CNCs, PVA/PAA, PVA/PAA/CNC and PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe³⁺ hydrogel



Fig. S5. X-ray diffraction profiles of the PVA, PVA/PAA/CNC, and PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe³⁺ hydrogels.



Fig. S6. The PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe³⁺ hydrogel was subjected to 1200 cycles of the tensile test at low temperature.



Fig. S7. Tensile tests of IPN hydrogels: (a) Typical stress-train curves of different hydrogels; Toughness (b), Strain (c), and Tensile strength (d) of different hydrogels.

When only Fe³⁺ was added, the tensile length of PVA/PAA/Fe increased significantly from 256% to 656%, which was higher than 512% of PVA/PAA/CNC, but the tensile strength and toughness were only 378 KPa and 119 KJ/m³, which was lower than 497 KPa and 129 KJ/m³ of PVA/PAA/CNC, these changes demonstrated that hydrogen bonds and ionic coordinate bonds could improve the mechanical properties of hydrogels together.



Fig. S8. Highly stretched after 24 h of freezing.



Fig. S9. The PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe³⁺ hydrogel could be electrically conductive at -20 °C.



Fig. S10. PVA/PAA, PVA/PAA/CNC, and PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe hydrogels can be bent after being

frozen at -20 $^\circ\!\mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours.



Fig. S11. Tensile tests of PVA/PAA, PVA/PAA/CNC, and PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe hydrogels at room temperature and after freezing: (a) Typical stress-train curves of different hydrogels; Strain (b), Tensile strength (c) of different hydrogels.

The tensile strain and tensile strength of different hydrogels decreased to different degrees after 24 hours of freezing at -20°C. The tensile length of PVA/PAA/CNC/Fe³⁺, PVA/PAA/CNC, and PVA/PAA hydrogels decreased to 69.5%, 67.9%, and 69.5% of that at room temperature, respectively. It can be seen that the addition of CNC and Fe³⁺ had little effect on the tensile length at low temperatures. At the same time, the tensile strength decreased to 84.2%, 77.0%, and 85.2% of that at room temperature. When only CNC was added, the tensile strength of hydrogel decreased more at low temperature.

Reference

[1] H. Zhang, M. Yue, T. Wang, J. Wang, X. Wu, S. Yang, New Journal of Chemistry, 2021, 45, 4647-4657.

- [2] Z. Wang, H. Zhou, W. Chen, Q. Li, B. Yan, X. Jin, A. Ma, H. Liu, W. Zhao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 14045-14054.
- [3] H. Liao, X. Guo, P. Wan and G. Yu, Advanced Functional Materials, 2019, 29.
- [4] Y. Y. Lee, H. Y. Kang, S. H. Gwon, G. M. Choi, S. M. Lim, J. Y. Sun and Y. C. Joo, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 1636-1643.
- [5] C. Shao, M. Wang, L. Meng, H. Chang, B. Wang, F. Xu, J. Yang and P. Wan, Chemistry of Materials, 2018, 30, 3110-3121.
- [6] S. Liu, O. Oderinde, I. Hussain, F. Yao and G. Fu, Polymer, 2018, 144, 111-120.
- [7] G. Ge, Y. Lu, X. Qu, W. Zhao, Y. Ren, W. Wang, Q. Wang, W. Huang and X. Dong, ACS Nano, 2020, 14, 218-228.
- [8] J. Tie, L. Rong, H. Liu, B. Wang, Z. Mao, L. Zhang, Y. Zhong, X. Feng, X. Sui and H. Xu, Polymer Chemistry, 2020, 11,

1327-1336.

- [9] C. Shao, H. Chang, M. Wang, F. Xu and J. Yang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 28305-28318.
- [10] X. Liu, Y. Ma, X. Zhang and J. Huang, Colloids and Surfaces, A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2021, 613.