New nickel(II) Schiff base complexes as potential tool against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron target proteins: An *in-silico* approach

Sunil Kumar^a, Mukesh Choudhary^{a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, National Institute of Technology Patna, Patna-800005 (Bihar) India.

^{a.} *Corresponding author: <u>mukesh@nitp.ac.in</u>



Figure S1. FT-IR spectra of Schiff base ligand L¹H₂.



Figure S2. FT-IR spectra of Schiff base ligand L²H₂.



Figure S3. FT-IR spectra of nickel(II) complex $[Ni(L^1)](PPh_3)]DMF(1)$



Figure S4. FT-IR spectra of nickel (II) complex[Ni(L²)](2) of L²H₂.



Figure S5. ¹H-NMR spectra of Schiff base ligand L²H₂.



This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx



Figure S7. UV-Vis spectrum of Schiff base ligands ($L^{1}H_{2}$ and $L^{2}H_{2}$) in DMSO (3 x10⁻³ M) solvent at room temperature.



Figure S8. UV-Vis spectrum of nickel (II) complex $[Ni(L^1)](PPh_3)]DMF$ (**1**) in different solvents (3 x 10⁻³ M) at room temperature.



ARTICLE

Figure S9. UV-Vis spectrum of nickel (II) complex [Ni(L^2)] (2) in different solvents (3 x 10⁻³ M) at room temperature.



Figure S10. Fluorescence spectra of Schiff base ligands $(L^{1}H_{2}/L^{2}H_{2})$ and nickel complex $[Ni(L^{1})](PPh_{3})DMF(1)$ and $[Ni(L^{2})](2)$ in EtOH $(3x10^{-3} \text{ M})$ at room temperature.



Figure S11. LC-MS spectra of Ni(II) complex [Ni(L¹)](PPh₃)]DMF(**1**).



Figure S12. LC-MS spectra of Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^1)](2)$.















Sample Chromatograms

0,8

0.2



Figure S17. Scan rate of Ni(II) complex [Ni(L¹)](PPh₃)]DMF(1).



Figure S18. Scan rate of Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^1)](2)$.

Journal Name



Figure S19. View of base peak chromatograms of Ni(II) complex [Ni(L¹)](PPh₃)]DMF(1).



Figure S20. View of base peak chromatograms of Ni(II) complex [Ni(L¹)](2).



Figure S21. View of target screening report for Ni(II) complex [Ni(L¹)](PPh₃)]DMF(**1**).



Figure S22. View of target screening report for Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^1)](2)$.



Figure S23. Shelx structure of the Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^1)(PPh_3)]DMF$ (1).



Figure S24: Packing view of Ni(II) complex [Ni(L¹)](PPh₃)]DMF (1) (along the *a*-*c*-axis).



Figure S25. Shelx structure of the Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^2)](2)$ with (a) monomer and (b) dimer structure . The Ni...Ni separation is 3.317 Å in dimer Ni(II) complex (2).



Figure S26. ORTEP representation of dimer Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^2)]_2(2)$; with thermal ellipsoids were drawn at 50 % probability level.



Journal Name





Figure S28. Optimized and experimental geometry of Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^1)](PPh_3)]DMF(1)$ of L^1H_2 and $[Ni(L^2)](2)$ of L^2H_2 .



Figure S29. The atomic orbital composition of the frontier molecular orbitals of Ni(II) complex (2) of L^2H_2 .



Figure S30. The molecular electrostatic potential maps (MEPs) of Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^1)](PPh_3)]DMF(1)$ of L^1H_2 and $[Ni(L^2)]$ (2) of L^2H_2 with iso-value of 0.020 to 0.024 a.u., where negative potential decreases in order of red>orange>yellow>green>blue.



Figure S31. Graphical view of the Hirshfeld surfaces (HS) for Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^2)](2)$ mapped with d_{norm} , d_i , d_e , shape index, Fragment patch, curvedness, deformation density and crystal void; red spots represents the closest contacts and blue colour the most distant contacts for the Ni(I) complex (2).



Figure S32. Graphical view with 2D Fingerprint plots of Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^2)](2)$ showing percentage of contacts contributed to the total Hirshfeld surface (HS) area of the molecules; d_i and d_e are the distances from the surface to the nearest atoms interior and exterior to the surface, respectively.



Figure S33. 3D graphical representation of energy framework diagrams for Ni(II) complex [Ni(L²)] (**2**); (a) Dispersion energy (E_{dis}), (b) Coulomb energy (E_{coul}), (c) Total energy (E_{tot}) for cluster of molecule in Ni(II) complex (**2**). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity and all diagrams used the same cylindrical scale of 300 for energies.

Figure S34. Computed CE-B3LYP estimates of energy components and total energies (kJ/mol) for the closest intermolecular interactions of Ni(II) complex $[Ni(L^1)](PPh_3)]DMF(1)$ and $[Ni(L^2)]$ (2).

1	N	Symop	R	Electron De	ensity	E	ele	E_po	bl	E_c	lis	E_rep	E_tot
	2	x, -y+1/2, z+1/2	18.00	HF/3-21G			2.0	-0	.4	22	7.1	0.0	-4.7
	2	x, y, z	17.87	HF/3-21G			- <mark>2.9</mark>	-0	.5	22	8.6	0.0	-11.0
	2	-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2	17.34	HF/3-21G			-0.1	-0	.4	22	6.1	0.0	-5.8
	1	-x, -y, -z	18.43	HF/3-21G			1.6	-0	.1	- 22	1.0	0.0	0.6
	2	-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2	6.12	HF/3-21G			-8.0	-5	.5	-4	6.6	17.0	-40.0
	2	x, -y+1/2, z+1/2	6.70	HF/3-21G		-	25.6	-11	.8	-4	3.2	28.9	-49.3
	1	-x, -y, -z	3.52	HF/3-21G		-	45.6	-30	.0	-16	3.5	98.6	-133.3
	2	-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2	9.62	HF/3-21G			2.7	-0	.9	-	3.8	0.0	-1.2
	1	-x, -y, -z	6.00	HF/3-21G		-	28.9	-8	.9	-6	0.2	28.2	-66.5
	1	-x, -y, -z	18.64	HF/3-21G			-0.6	-0	.2	- 22	4.7	0.0	-4.9
Energ	jy №	Iodel			k_ele		k_po	l k	dis	p	k_re	p	
CE-HF	F	. HF/3-21G electron	densities	6	1.0	19	0.6	51 (0.90)1	0.8	11	
CE-B3	3L YF	B3LYP/6-31G(d,	p) electro	on densities	1.05	57	0.7	40 (0.87	1	0.6	18	

Complex (1)

CE-B3LYP B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) electron densities	1.057	0.740	0.871	0.618

Complex (2)

		~ ~	P	(-,						
N	Symop	R	Electron De	ensity	E_e	ele I	E_pol	E_	dis	E_rep	E_tot
	1 -x, -y, -z	18.45	HF/3-21G		(0.7	-0.2		-5.0	0.0	-3.9
	2 x, -y+1/2, z+1/2	17.81	HF/3-21G		(0.2	-0.4		-7.7	0.0	-7.0
	2 x, y, z	17.69	HF/3-21G		-(0.1	-0.5	6	-9.3	0.0	-8.9
	2 -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2	6.06	HF/3-21G		-{	3.7	-5.5	-	50.0	20.0	-41.4
	1 -x, -y, -z	3.48	HF/3-21G		-5	1.6	-31.1	-1	73.6	111.7	-138.7
:	2 x, -y+1/2, z+1/2	6.63	HF/3-21G		-26	5.8	- <mark>12.</mark> 2	-	46.1	33.3	-49.7
	2 -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2	9.51	HF/3-21G			2.8	-1.0		-4.0	0.0	-1.4
	2 -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2	17.16	HF/3-21G			1.3	-0.4		-6.6	0.0	-4.9
	1 -x, -y, -z	5.93	HF/3-21G		-29	9.8	-9.3	-	64.5	33.0	-67.8
	1 -x, -y, -z	18.24	HF/3-21G			2.0	-0.1	1	-1.1	0.0	1.0
Energy	Model			k_ele	e k	pol	k_di	sp	k_re		2
CE-HF	HF/3-21G electron	densities	0.7	1.01	9	0.651	0.9	01	0.8	11	
CE-B3L	YP B3LYP/6-31G(d,	p) electro	on densities	1.05	7	0.740	0.8	71	0.6	18	



Figure S35. H-bond (top view) and hydrophobicity (bottom view) plot of interacting amino acid residues of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (PDB ID: 7CWO) with the Ni(II) complex (1) and (2). The complexes are displayed in red and pink colour.



Figure S36. View of docked Ni(II) complex (1) and (2) with the binding cavity of the spike protein interaction of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant (PDB ID: 7WK8). The 2D interaction plot and Lig-Plot interaction of docked complexes with focussed for interacting different amino acid residues.



Figure S37. View of the total density surfaces representation for docked Ni(II) complex (1) inside the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7WK8); hydrophobic pocket represented with deep pink and blue colours; hydrogen bond donor and acceptor meshes represented by light pink and dark navy blue colours, respectively.

Figure S38. View of the total density surfaces representation for docked Ni(II)complex (**2**) inside the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7WK8), hydrophobic pocket represented with deep yellow and blue colours; hydrogen bond donor and acceptor meshes represented by orange and light yellow colours, respectively.



Figure S39. Graphical representation of docked Ni (II) complex (1) inside the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7QTK) with its focused view for interacting residues along with H-bond and intermolecular interactions; (a) H-bond donor and acceptor meshes represented by pink and light green colours, respectively; (b) Hydrophobic pocket represented with blue and brown colours; (c) Aromatic receptor surface represented by blue (Edge) and light orange (face) colours; (d) interpolated charge receptor surface represented by blue and red colours; (e) SAS receptor surface represented by blue and light green colours, respectively; (f) ionizability receptor surface represented by blue (basic) and red (acidic) colours.



Figure S40. Graphical representation of docked Ni (II) complex (**2**) inside the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7QTK) with its focused view for interacting residues along with H-bond and intermolecular interactions; (a) H-bond donor and acceptor meshes represented by pink and light green colours, respectively; (b) Hydrophobic pocket represented with blue and brown colours; (c) Aromatic receptor surface represented by blue (Edge) and light orange (face) colours; (d) interpolated charge receptor surface represented by blue and red colours; (e) SAS receptor surface represented by blue and light green colours, respectively; (f) ionizability receptor surface represented by blue (basic) and red (acidic) colours.



Figure S41. Graphical representation of docked Ni (II) complex (1) inside the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7WK8) with its focused view for interacting residues along with H-bond and intermolecular interactions; (a) H-bond donor and acceptor meshes represented by pink and light green colours, respectively; (b) Hydrophobic pocket represented with blue and brown colours; (c) Aromatic receptor surface represented by blue (Edge) and light orange (face) colours; (d) interpolated charge receptor surface represented by blue and red colours; (e) SAS receptor surface represented by blue and light green colours, respectively; (f) ionizability receptor surface represented by blue (basic) and red (acidic) colours.



Figure S42. Graphical representation of docked Ni (II) complex (2) inside the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7WK8) with its focused view for interacting residues along with H-bond and intermolecular interactions; (a) H-bond donor and acceptor meshes represented by pink and light green colours, respectively; (b) Hydrophobic pocket represented with blue and brown colours; (c) Aromatic receptor surface represented by blue (Edge) and light orange (face) colours; (d) interpolated charge receptor surface represented by blue and red colours; (e) SAS receptor surface represented by blue and light green colours, respectively; (f) ionizability receptor surface represented by blue (basic) and red (acidic) colours.



Figure S43. Graphical view of the docked ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol (LVM) inside the active site of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7WK8) with its focussed view for interacting amino acid residues.

Figure S44. The top figures show 3D view of ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol (LVM) with interacting residues inside the active site of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7WK8). The bottom figures show the 2D representation of docked ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol (LVM) with types of interactions.

CLEU:11

C TYR 152 C:ALA:175

esalbutamol



Figure S45. H-bond (top view) and hydrophobicity (bottom view) plot of interacting amino acid residues of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (PDB ID: 7CWO) with the docked ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol (LVM). Ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol are displayed in pink and blue colour.



Figure S46. H-bond (top view) and hydrophobicity (bottom view) plot of interacting amino acid residues of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7QTK) with the docked ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol (LVM). Ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol are displayed in yellow and pink colour.



Figure S47. H-bond (top view) and hydrophobicity (bottom view) plot of interacting amino acid residues of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike protein (PDB ID: 7WK8) with the docked ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol (LVM). Ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol are displayed in blue/ purple colour and green/purple colour.



Figure S48. ADMET representation of new Schiff base Ni(II) complex (1) and (2).



Figure S49. Pie chart representation of Swiss-target prediction for new Schiff base Ni(II) complex (1) and (2).



Figure S50. Graphical representation of bioavailability radar for new Schiff base Ni(II) complex (1) and (2).

Table S1. AUTODOCK parameters (docking coordinates, grid size and grid spacing) used for ivermectin (IVM) and levosalbutamol (LVM) docked with S protein from SARS CoV-2 Spike protein and the Omicron S proteins.

Autodock	SARS-CoV-2	Spike		Omicron S	proteins	
parameters	protein					
	(PDB ID:7CW	/0)	(PDB ID: 7Q	ГК)	(PDB ID: 7V	VK8)
	IVM	LVM	IVM	LVM	IVM	LVM
Docking	65.828,	67.703,	90.121,	80.231	82.232	83.752
coordinates	96.754,	98.629,	91.541,	79.562	86.624	86.358
(x, y, z)	83.245	85.120	91.147	83.762	89.258	90.712
Grid Size	80.828,	80.828,	92.141	87.236	79.612	81.950
(x, y, z)	111.754,9	111.754,	89.234	88.231	73.322	87.478
	8.245	98.245	93.527	87.539	82.815	85.421
Grid spacing	0.375 Å	0.375 Å	0.492 Å	0.492 Å	0.442 Å	0.442 Å

Table S2. The relevant parameters obtained from AUTODOCKoutputs for ivermectin(IVM) and levosalbutamol (LVM) with SARS-CoV-2Spike protein (PDB ID: 7CWO) and the Omicron S proteins(PDB ID: 7CQTK and 7WK8).

Property	SARS-CoV	-2 Spike	Omicron S proteins			
	protein					
	(PDB ID:70	CWO)	(PDB ID:	ID: 7QTK) (PDB ID: 7V		7WK8)
	IVM	LVM	IVM	LVM	IVM	LVM
Binding energy	-9.04	-5.70	-8.93	-5.68	-10.14	-5.80
(kcal/mol)						
Ligand efficiency	-0.15	-0.34	-0.14	-0.27	-0.16	-0.27
Inhibition	234.91	66.56	284.61	65.87	36.89	58.22
constant (nM)		(μM)		(µM)		(μM)
Intermolecular	-12.32	-8.08	-12.21	-8.09	-13.42	-8.16
Energy (kcal/mol)						
Electrostatic	-0.57	-0.31	0.03	-0.78	-0.16	-1.15
energy						
(kcal/mol)						
Torsional energy	3.28	2.39	3.28	2.37	3.25	2.39
(kcal/mol)						
vdW + Hbond +	-11.76	-7.78	-12.24	-7.31	-13.26	-7.01
desolv Energy						
(kcal/mol)						
Unbound Energy	-2.80	-1.95	-3.09	-2.02	2.63	-2.06
(kcal/mol)						
Total energy	-2.80	-1.95	-3.09	-2.02	-2.63	-2.06
(kcal/mol)						

Table S3 .Pharmacokinetic Properties of the newly synthes	ized I	Ni(II)
complex (1) and (2).		

Property	Model Name	Predicted Value			
		(1)	(2)		
Absorption	Water solubility(log mol/L)	-9.942	-6.12		
	Caco ₂ permeability(log Papp in	-4.923	-4.387		
	10 ⁻⁶ cm/s)				
	Intestinal absorption (human)	85.919	81.246		
	(%Absorbed)				
	Skin Permeability(Log Kp)	-4.715	-3.221		
	P-glycoprotein Substrate	Yes	Yes		
	P-glycoprotein I inhibitor	Yes	Yes		
	P-glycoprotein II inhibitor	Yes	Yes		
Distribution	VDss (human) (log L/kg)	0.741	1.189		
	Fraction unbound (human) (Fu)	0.665	0.809		
	BBB permeability(log BB)	-2.618	-0.1488		
	CNS permeability(log PS)	-0.629	-1.248		
Metabolism	CYP2D6 substrate	No	No		
	CYP3A4 substrate	No	Yes		

	CYP1A2 substrate	Yes	Yes
	CYP2C19 inhibitor	No	Yes
	CYP2C9 inhibitor	No	Yes
	CYP2D6 inhibitor	No	No
	CYP3A4 inhibitor	No	No
Excretion	Total Clearance (log	-2.551	-0.982
	ml/min/kg)		
	Renal OCT2 substrate	No	No
Toxicity	AMES toxicity	No	No
	Max. tolerated dose (human)	0.629	0.547
	(log mg/kg/day)		
	hERG I inhibitor	No	No
	hERG II inhibitor	Yes	Yes
	Oral Rat Acute Toxicity (LD50)	8.247	5.084
	(mol/kg)		
	Oral Rat Chronic Toxicity	-1.758	-2.361
	(LOAEL) (log mg/kg_bw/day)		
	Hepatotoxicity	Yes	Yes
	Skin Sensation	No	No
	T. Pyriformis toxicity(log ug/L)	0.358	
			1.247
	Minnow toxicity(log Mm)	-7.441	-6.714

nviolations	2	0
nrotb	4	0
volume	515.44	354.62
LogP	10.820	5.366
Rotatable Bonds	4	0
Acceptors	2	2
Donors	0	0
Surface area	44.41	43.18

Table S4.Chemoinformatics Properties of the newly synthesizedNi(II) complex (1) and (2).

Model Name	Predicted Value			
	(1)	(2)		
Molecular Formula	C ₃₅ H ₂₅ CINNiO ₂ P	$C_{24}H_{18}N_2NiO_2$		
Molecular Weight (g/mol)	616.71	425.11		
GPCR ligand	-0.06	-0.02		
Ion channel modulator	-0.38	-0.16		
Kinase inhibitor	-0.07	-0.02		
Nuclear receptor ligand	-0.15	-0.04		
Protease inhibitor	-0.04	-0.05		
Enzyme inhibitor	-0.14	-0.03		
miLogP	7.58	4.52		
TPSA	32.40	30.33		
natoms	41	29		
nON	3	4		
nOHNH	0	0		

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx