Supplementary Information

Regulating the Phase Distribution of Quasi-2D Perovskites using a Three-Dimensional Cyclic Molecule toward Improved Light-Emitting Performance

Chiung-Han Chen,^{*a,b,+} <i>Chiao-Hsin Hsu*,^{*c,+} <i>I-Chih Ni*,^{*d*} *Bi-Hsuan Lin*,^{*e*} *Chih-I Wu*,^{*d*} *Chi-Ching Kuo*,^{*c,**} *and Chu-Chen Chueh*^{*a,b,**}</sup></sup>

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

^b Advanced Research Center for Green Materials Science and Technology, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

^c Insitute of Organic and Polymeric Material, National Taipei University of Technology, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

^d Graduate Institute of Photonics and Optoelectronics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

^e National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan

⁺ These authors contribute to this work equally.

* Corresponding authors. E-mail: cchueh@ntu.edu.tw; kuocc@mail.ntut.edu.tw

Keywords: Quasi-2D perovskite; light-emitting diodes; cyclic molecules; phase regulation; additive engineering



Figure S1. UV-vis absorption spectra of the quasi-2D perovskite films added with different doping ratios of Crown.



Figure S2. The films of (a) pristine quasi-2D perovskite and quasi-2D perovskite with (b) 0.025, (c) 0.05, and (d) 0.075 Cryptand (molar ratio relative to PbBr₂) under the excitation of an UV lamp.



Figure S3. The originally unnormalized PL emission spectra of the quasi-2D perovskite films with different Cryptand doping ratios.



Figure S4. Time-resolved photoluminescence spectra (TRPL) for (a) the quasi-2D perovskite film and the films with (b) 0.025, (c) 0.05, and (d) 0.075 doping ratios of Cryptand.

Material	τ ₁ (ns)	A ₁	τ ₂ (ns)	A ₂	τ _{ave} (ns)
Pure	2.25	0.89	9.92	0.12	5.2
Cry_0.025	3.39	0.82	21.20	0.2	14.3
Cry_0.05	3.57	0.75	25.77	0.26	19.5
Cry_0.075	3.93	0.87	25.77	0.18	16.8

Table S1. Fitting parameters of the TRPL results for the studied quasi-2D perovskite films.



Figure S5. The SEM images of the quasi-2D perovskite films with (a) 0.025 and (b) 0.075 doping ratios of Cryptand.



Figure S6. The contour plots of the PL stability test for (a) the quasi-2D perovskite film and the films with (b) 0.025 and (c) 0.075 doping ratios of Cryptand.



Figure S7. (a, c) Temperature-dependent PL spectra and (b, d) the calculated binding energy for the quasi-2D perovskite films with (a, b) 0.025 and (c, d) 0.075 doping ratios of Cryptand.



Figure S8. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) spectra for (a) the quasi-2D perovskite film and the films with (b) 0.025, (c) 0.05, and (d) 0.075 doping ratios of Cryptand.



Figure S9. The calculated trap-filled limiting voltages (V_{TFL}) from the space charged limited current (SCLC) measurement of the hole-only devices based on (a) the pristine quasi-2D perovskite film and the films with (b) 0.025, (c) 0.05 and (d) 0.075 doping ratios of Cryptand.



Figure S10. The EL curves of the device recorded under different biases based on the film with

0.075 doping ratio of Cryptand.



Figure S11. Operational stability of the fabricated PeLEDs based on the pristine quasi-2D perovskite film and the films with 0.025, 0.05 and 0.075 doping ratios of Cryptand.