Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Nanoscale. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

### **Supplementary Information**

# A Molecular Popeye: $Li^+@C_{60}$ and its Complexes with [n]Cycloparaphenylenes

Markus Freiberger, Iris Solymosi, Eva Marie Freiberger, Andreas Hirsch, M. Eugenia Pérez-Ojeda Thomas Drewello

## **Table of Contents**

1. Experimental section	. 3
2. Additional experimental data	. 5
3. References	. 9

#### **1. Experimental section**

<u>Chemicals</u>: [n]CPPs were purchased from TCI (Belgium, HPLC grade),  $[Li^+@C_{60}](PF_6)^-$  from Idea International Co., Ltd (Japan, 001D04) and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) from Merck (Germany, HPLC) grad. The solvents acetonitrile (ACN), dichloromethane (DCM), *o*-dichlorobenzene (*o*-DCB) and toluene (tol) were purchased from VWR (Belgium, HPLC grade).

<u>Sample preparation</u>: Stock solutions of [n]CPPs were prepared in DCM (0.2 g l<sup>-1</sup>), of C<sub>60</sub> in tol (0.5 g l<sup>-1</sup>) and of [Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sup>-</sup> in *o*-DCB (0.5 g l<sup>-1</sup>). The ESI experiments were performed with a solvent mixture of ACN/DCM/tol (3:2:1, v:v:v) to which a small amount of TFA was added. The analyte concentrations were 1 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol 1<sup>-1</sup> in case of [n]CPPs and C<sub>60</sub> while the concentration of [Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sup>-</sup> was 1 x 10<sup>-6</sup> mol 1<sup>-1</sup>. The lower concentration of [Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sup>-</sup> was chosen due to its ionic nature and, hence, to prevent saturation of our detector.

<u>Instrumentation</u>: The mass spectrometry experiments were performed on a quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (microToF-Q II, Bruker Daltonics, Bremen). For its ESI source, the analyte solutions were directly injected with a syringe pump at a flow rate of 180  $\mu$ l h<sup>-1</sup>. The temperature of the nitrogen counter flow was set to 180 °C and a capillary voltage of -4.5 kV was applied. Prior to each experiment, the instrument parameters were optimized to obtain good signal intensities. MS<sup>2</sup> experiments were carried out with N<sub>2</sub> as collision gas which was generated by a Parker LCMS64 nitrogen generator with a purity of 99.999% and a flow rate of 0.2 l min<sup>-1</sup>.

The titration experiments were performed using a Nano ITC-low volume calorimeter from TA instruments. 25 injections were added from the computer-controlled 50  $\mu$ l microsyringe into the corresponding host solution, each with a volume of 1.95  $\mu$ l (unless otherwise indicated in the subtitle). The delay time was 200 s between each injection and the stirring rate was 350 rpm. All ITC experiments were performed at 25 °C and in triplicates. The respective initial injections were discarded in order to remove the effect of titrant diffusion across the syringe tip during the equilibration process. The heat change accompanying the addition of the guest into solvent (dilution and unspecific heat) were subtracted from the raw results by the software AFFINImeter. The concentrations used were 1 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M for the compound in the injection syringe and 1 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M for the compound in the titration cell. The experimental data were fitted to a theoretical titration

curve using the software AFFINimeter with  $\Delta H$  (enthalpy change in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>),  $K_a$  (association constant in M<sup>-1</sup>) and n (stoichiometry), as adjustable parameters.

The thermodynamic parameters were calculated from Equation 1:

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S = -RT \cdot lnK_a \tag{1}$$

 $\Delta G$ : change in free energy;  $\Delta H$ : change in enthalpy;  $\Delta S$ : change in entropy; *T*: absolute temperature; *R*: universal gas constant.

<u>Breakdown graphs</u>: Breakdown graphs are a plot of the Survival Yield (SY) against the applied collision energy. The SY is the ratio of precursor ions surviving the collision event at a given collision energy in relation to all ions observed. The collision energy is determined by dividing the laboratory energy ( $E_{lab}$ ) by the degrees of freedom (DoF) of the hosting [n]CPP. This procedure of defining the collision energy is based on our previous results.<sup>[1]</sup> The number of DoF was calculated according to Equation 2:

$$DoF = (3 * n) - 6$$
 (2)

with n corresponding to the number of atoms of the [n]CPP.

All SY curves were recorded under multiple collision conditions and fitted with a sigmoid Boltzmann function. The collision energy,  $E_{50}$ , at which 50% of the parent ions have dissociated into their fragment ions is chosen as a relative measure of stability. The fragmentation energies obtained represent relative rather than absolute values with respect to the actual energy demand of the dissociation processes.

#### 2. Additional experimental data











Figure S3. a) Positive-ion mode ESI MS<sup>1</sup> spectrum of a solution containing [12]CPP and C<sub>60</sub>;
b) MS<sup>2</sup> spectrum of ([12]CPP<sup>+</sup>→C<sub>60</sub>)<sup>+</sup>.



Figure S4. a) Positive-ion mode ESI MS<sup>1</sup> spectrum of a solution containing [9]CPP and Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub>;
b) MS<sup>2</sup> spectrum of [9]CPP⊃Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub>.







Figure S6. a) Positive-ion mode ESI MS<sup>1</sup> spectrum of a solution containing [12]CPP and Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub>; b) MS<sup>2</sup> spectrum of [12]CPP⊃Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub>.



Figure S7. Energy-resolved collision-induced dissociation graphs of  $[9]CPP \supset Li^+ @C_{60}$  and  $([9]CPP \supset C_{60})^{+}$ .



Figure S8. Energy-resolved collision-induced dissociation graphs of  $[11]CPP \supset Li^+ @C_{60}$  and  $([11]CPP \supset C_{60})^{+\bullet}$ .



Figure S9. Energy-resolved collision-induced dissociation graphs of  $[12]CPP \supset Li^+ @C_{60}$  and  $([12]CPP \supset C_{60})^{+\bullet}$ .



Figure S10. a, b) Representative ITC data of the global analysis of the triple titration of Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub> into [10]CPP (a) together with the triple titration of [10]CPP into Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub> (b) in o-DCB. Experimental data points (black points) and the fitting curve (red) using a [1:1] binding model from AFFINImeter software. c) Result of the global analysis providing one overall binding constant and one enthalpy value for all six experiments.



Figure S11. Titration of Li<sup>+</sup>@C<sub>60</sub> (c = 8 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M) into [11]CPP (c = 8 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M) with 20 injections á 1.95 μl. a) ITC thermogram and b) experimental data points that cannot be fitted properly because of too less heats.

## 3. References

[1] M. Freiberger, M. B. Minameyer, I. Solymosi, S. Frühwald, M. Krug, Y. Xu, A. Hirsch, T. Clark, D. Guldi, M. von Delius, K. Amsharov, A. Görling, M. E. Pérez-Ojeda, T. Drewello, *Eur. J. Chem.*, in press, *n/a*.