## Near infrared fluorescent probes based on quinoxaline skeleton for imaging nucleic acid in mitochondria

Hai-Yan Peng,<sup>a</sup> Gang Zhang,<sup>b</sup> Yu-Jie Xu,<sup>b</sup> Ru Sun,<sup>a,\*</sup> Jian-Feng Ge<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Material Science, Soochow University, 199 Ren'Ai

Road, Suzhou 215123, China.

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, School of Radiation Medicine and

Protection and Collaborative Innovation Center of Radiation Medicine of Jiangsu Higher Education

Institutions, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, China.

## Index

Table S1. Basic optical properties of common nucleic acid dyes and their targeting site in
cells
Table S2. Optical properties of probe 1a-c in different solvents
<b>Fig. S2</b> . Optical properties of probe <b>1b</b> (10 $\mu$ M) in different solvents. (a) Absorption spectra; (b) emission spectra (excited at 556 nm, slit widths: 3 nm/1.5 nm); (c) photographs under daylight; (d) photographs under a lamp at 365 nm in dark room
Fig. S3. The electron cloud profiles of frontier molecular orbits for probes 1a-b in cationic form
and dye <b>1c</b> calculated at the level of DFT//b3lyp/6-31g(d) using Gaussian software5
Fig. S4. Excitation spectra of probe 1a
Fig. S5. Excitation spectra of probe 1b
<b>Fig. S6</b> . Optical responses of probe <b>1b</b> (10 $\mu$ M) toward DNA (0–600 $\mu$ g/mL) in Tris–HCl buffer (10 mM, pH=7.4) containing 1% DMSO. (a)Absorption spectra; (b) emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =590 nm, slit widths: 3 nm/5 nm); (c) fluorescence intensity toward different concentrations of DNA at 661 nm; (d) linear relationship of fluorescence intensity at 661 nm versus the concentration of DNA (0–350 $\mu$ g/mL)
<b>Fig. S7</b> . Optical responses of probe <b>1b</b> (10 $\mu$ M) toward RNA (0–600 $\mu$ g/mL) in Tris–HCl buffer (10 mM, pH=7.4) containing 1% DMSO. (a)Absorption spectra of probe <b>1b</b> in the presence of

(10 mM, pH=7.4) containing 1% DMSO. (a)Absorption spectra of probe **1b** in the presence of different concentrations of RNA (0–600  $\mu$ g/mL); (b)emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =594 nm, slit widths: 3 nm/5 nm); (c) fluorescence intensity toward different concentrations of RNA at 663 nm; (d)

**Fig. S12**. Fluorescence confocal images of living HeLa cells with dye **1c** and ROI analysis: (a) bright field image; (b) confocal image (green channel) of cells with dye **1c** (5  $\mu$ M); (c) confocal image (red channel) of cells with Mito-Tracker Red CMXRos (100 nM); (d) merged image of the green and red channels; (e) fluorescence intensity correlation plot of the green and red channels; (f) fluorescence intensities of the regions of interest (ROIs) across the cells.

Fig. S14. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of probe 1a.	10
Fig. S15. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of probe 1b	11
Fig. S16. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of dye 1c.	11
Fig. S17. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of probe 1a	12
Fig. S18. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of probe 1b	12
Fig. S19. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of dye 1c.	13
Fig. S20. HRMS (ESI <sup>+</sup> ) spectrum of probe 1a	13
Fig. S21. HRMS (ESI <sup>+</sup> ) spectrum of probe 1b	14
Fig. S22. HRMS (ESI⁺) spectrum of dye 1c	14

			Whether the dye	Targeted subcellular
Dyes	$\lambda_{\text{Abs,max}}{}^{a}$	$\lambda_{\text{Em,max}}{}^{\text{a}}$	can penetrate the	organelle
			cell membrane	
1a (this work)	561 <sup>b</sup>	611 <sup>b, c</sup>	Yes	Mitochondria
	497	520	Vac	Mitochondria and
SYBR Green 1		520	res	Nucleus
DAPI	358	461	Yes	Nucleus
Hoechst 33342	346	460	Yes	Nucleus
PI	493	636	No	/
Gel Red	510	600	No	/

**Table S1**. Basic optical properties of common nucleic acid dyes and their targeting site in cells.

<sup>a</sup> Reported in nm. <sup>b</sup> Testing in DMSO. <sup>c</sup> Second highest emission peak.

Probe	Solvents	$\lambda_{Abs,max}^{a}$	$\lambda_{\text{Em,max}}{}^{a}$	Stokes	ε <sup>b</sup>	Φ <sup>c</sup>
				shift <sup>a</sup>		
1a	H <sub>2</sub> O	503	ND <sup>d</sup>	ND <sup>d</sup>	2.3	ND <sup>d</sup>
1a	DMSO	561	575	14	10.5	71.6
1a	MeOH	560	578	18	10.2	86.9
1a	THF	551	563	12	12.2	95.1
1a	DCM	556	569	13	9.9	90.2
1a	TOL	555	567	12	1.1	71.5
1b	$H_2O$	505	ND <sup>d</sup>	$ND^d$	1.0	$ND^d$
1b	DMSO	561	575	14	9.2	72.8
1b	MeOH	560	575	15	8.5	78.8
1b	THF	551	563	12	9.2	80.3
1b	DCM	556	570	14	8.6	75.2
1b	TOL	555	567	12	9.2	75.4
1c	H <sub>2</sub> O	373	ND <sup>d</sup>	$ND^d$	0.9	$ND^d$
1c	DMSO	370	561	191	2.2	15.1
1c	EtOH	369	575	206	3.2	9.4
1c	CHCl₃	369	578	209	2.2	29.7
1c	THF	366	562	196	2.4	21.2
1c	TOL	368	560	192	2.4	31.4

**Table S2**. Optical properties of probes **1a-c** in different solvents.

<sup>a</sup> Reported in nm. <sup>b</sup> Reported in  $10^4 M^{-1} cm^{-1}$ . <sup>c</sup> Reported in %. <sup>d</sup> Reported in 'not detected'. Cresyl violet ( $\Phi$ =0.578 in ethanol) was used as the reference compound for **1a** and **1b**, coumarin–153 ( $\Phi$ =0.544 in ethanol) was used as the reference compound for **1c**.



**Fig. S1**. Photofading behaviors of probes **1a-c** and Cy7 in acetonitrile. (a) The residual absorption rate of probes **1a-c** after continuous irradiation for 6 h; (b) absorption spectra of probe **1a** without irradiation and irradiation for 1 h.



**Fig. S2**. Optical properties of probe **1b** (10  $\mu$ M) in different solvents. (a) Absorption spectra; (b) emission spectra (excited at 556 nm, slit widths: 3 nm/1.5 nm); (c) photographs under daylight; (d) photographs under a lamp at 365 nm in dark room.



**Fig. S3**. The electron cloud profiles of frontier molecular orbits for probes **1a-b** in cationic form and dye **1c** calculated at the level of DFT//b3lyp/6-31g(d) using Gaussian software.<sup>1</sup>



Fig. S5. Excitation spectra of probe 1b.



**Fig. S6**. Optical responses of probe **1b** (10  $\mu$ M) toward DNA (0–600  $\mu$ g/mL) in Tris–HCl buffer (10 mM, pH=7.4) containing 1% DMSO. (a)Absorption spectra; (b)emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =590 nm, slit widths: 3 nm/5 nm); (c) linear relationship of fluorescence intensity at 661 nm versus the concentration of DNA (0–350  $\mu$ g/mL); (d) fluorescence intensity toward different concentrations of DNA at 661 nm.



**Fig. S7**. Optical responses of probe **1b** (10  $\mu$ M) toward RNA (0–600  $\mu$ g/mL) in Tris–HCl buffer (10 mM, pH=7.4) containing 1% DMSO. (a)Absorption spectra; (b)emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =594 nm, slit widths: 3 nm/5 nm); (c) linear relationship of fluorescence intensity at 663 nm versus the concentration of RNA (0–350  $\mu$ g/mL); (c) fluorescence intensity toward different concentrations of RNA at 663 nm.



**Fig. S8**. Selectivity experiments of probe **1b** (10  $\mu$ M) toward different analytes. Analytes: DNA (600  $\mu$ g/mL), RNA (600  $\mu$ g/mL), NADH (500  $\mu$ g/mL), BSA (600 $\mu$ g/mL), 5 mM for Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>; 1 mM for S<sup>2-</sup>, SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; 10 mM for K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, SCN<sup>-</sup>, Cys, Gly, Hcy, Phe, His and Pro. ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =594 nm, slit widths: 3 nm/5 nm). (a)emission spectra; (b) fluorescence histogram at 660nm.



**Fig. S9**. Selectivity experiments of probe **1c** (10  $\mu$ M) toward different analytes. Analytes: DNA (600  $\mu$ g/mL), S mM for Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>; 1 mM for SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>; 10 mM for Na<sup>+</sup>, Gly, GSH, Hcy, Phe and His. ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =384 nm, slit widths: 5 nm/5 nm). (a)emission spectra; (b) fluorescence histogram at 550nm.



**Fig. S10**. HeLa cells viabilities after treatment with probes **1a-c**. Cell viability was assayed by the CCK-8 method.



**Fig. S11.** Fluorescence confocal images of living HeLa cells with probe **1b** and ROI analysis: (a) bright field image; (b) confocal image (red channel) of cells with probe **1b** (5  $\mu$ M); (c) confocal image (green channel) of cells with Mito-Tracker Green FM (100 nM); (d) merged image of the green and red channels; (e) fluorescence intensity correlation plot of the green and red channels; (f) fluorescence intensities of the regions of interest (ROIs) across the cells.



**Fig. S12**. Fluorescence confocal images of living HeLa cells with dye **1c** and ROI analysis: (a) bright field image; (b) confocal image (green channel) of cells with dye **1c** (5 μM); (c) confocal image (red channel) of cells with Mito-Tracker Red CMXRos (100 nM); (d) merged image of the green and red channels; (e) fluorescence intensity correlation plot of the green and red channels; (f) fluorescence intensities of the regions of interest (ROIs) across the cells.



**Fig. S13.** Fluorescence confocal images of the digest experiment for probe **1b** (5  $\mu$ M) with fixed HeLa cells. (a) Cells were incubated with **1b** in control experiments; (b) cells were incubated with **1b** and DNase (1 mg/mL); (c)cells were incubated with **1b** and RNase (10 mg/mL). Red channel emission was collected in 570–750 nm upon excitation at 561 nm.





Fig. S15. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of probe 1b.



Fig. S16. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of dye 1c.







Fig. S18. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of probe 1b.



Fig. S19. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of dye 1c.



Fig. S20. HRMS(ESI<sup>+</sup>) spectrum of probe 1a.



Fig. S21. HRMS(ESI<sup>+</sup>) spectrum of probe 1b.



Fig. S22. HRMS(ESI<sup>+</sup>) spectrum of dye 1c.

## references

M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision A.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.