Electronic Supplementary Information

Experimental section

Materials: Ti₂O₃ (100 mesh, 99.9%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36.0-38.0%), ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl, 99.5%), sodium hypochlorite (NaClO, AR), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, AR), salicylic acid (C₇H₆O₃, AR), sodium citrate dihydrate (C₆H₅Na₃O₇·2H₂O, AR), sodium nitroferricyanide (III) dihydrate (Na₂Fe(CN)₅NO·2H₂O, 99.0%), para-(dimethylamino) benzaldehyde (C₉H₁₁NO, 99.0%), and Nafion solution (5 wt%) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co., Ltd. Absolute ethanol (C₂H₅OH, 99.7%) and hydrazine hydrate (N₂H₄·H₂O, AR) were purchased from Beijing Chemical Corporation. Carbon paper was bought from Taiwan CeTech Company. The deionized water used throughout all experiments was purified by a Millipore system. All reagents were analytical reagent grade without further purification.

Preparation of OV-Ti₂O₃: Plasma treatment was performed on an AX-1000II plasma system with a radio frequency power generator (13.56 MHz) at room temperature. Ti₂O₃ particles were treated by Ar plasma for 300 s at a radiofrequency power of 600 W and a pressure of 20 Pa in Ar atmosphere (20 sccm) to obtain OV-Ti₂O₃.

Preparation of OV-Ti₂O₃/CP and pristine Ti₂O₃/CP: Typically, 10 mg of catalyst and 40 μ L of the 5 wt% Nafion solution were dispersed in 720 μ L of absolute ethanol and 240 μ L of deionized water and ultrasonicated for 1 h to form a homogeneous ink. Then 10 μ L of ink was loaded onto a 1 cm² pretreated carbon paper (CP) and dried under infrared light for measurement.

Characterizations: X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on a Shimadzu XRD-6100 diffractometer (Shimadzu, Japan) with a Cu Ka X-ray source. SEM images and corresponding EDX elemental mapping images were obtained from a GeminiSEM 300 field-emission scanning electron microscope (ZEISS, Germany) with an accelerating voltage of 5 kV. TEM and HRTEM images were performed on a Zeiss Libra 200FE transmission electron microscope at 200 kV. Raman spectra were obtained using a LabRAM HR Evolution Raman spectrometer. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements were conducted on a Bruker 500 spectrometer at 298 K. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out on an ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer equipped with monochromatized Al Ka radiation. Absorbance data were acquired on a Shimadzu UV-1800 UV-vis spectrophotometer. Ion chromatography (IC) data were obtained by using a ThermoFisher ICS 5000 plus IC. Gaseous products were detected by a Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chromatograph (GC) with thermal conductivity detector.

Electrochemical measurements: N_2 reduction experiments were carried out in a gastight H-type electrolytic cell separated by a Nafion 211 membrane under ambient conditions. The Nafion membrane was pretreated by heating in 3% H₂O₂ solution and 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 80 °C for 1 h, respectively, and then immersed in deionized water for another 1 h. Electrochemical data were collected with a CHI760E electrochemical

workstation (Shanghai, Chenhua). In this work, OV-Ti₂O₃/CP, Ag/AgCl/saturated KCl, and graphite rod were used as the working electrode, reference electrode, and counter electrode, respectively. All potentials were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) through calibration (E (vs RHE) = E (vs Ag/AgCl) + 0.197 V + 0.059 × pH). Before NRR measurement, the HCl electrolyte was purged with N₂ for 30 min. For the NRR experiments, the chronoamperometry tests were performed in an N₂-saturated 0.1 M HCl solution (pH=1, 35 mL). During the electrolysis process, high-purity N₂ gas (99.999%) was continuously purged into the cathodic chamber at a flow rate of 20 mL min⁻¹.

Assembly of the Zn-N₂ battery and electrochemical test: The homemade rechargeable Zn-N₂ battery was assembled with OV-Ti₂O₃/CP (1 × 1 cm²) electrode as the cathode and a polished Zn plate (1 × 1 cm²) as the anode. A typical H-type cell containing 35 mL of cathode electrolyte (0.1 M HCl) and 35 mL of anode electrolyte (1 M KOH) is separated by a bipolar membrane (Nafion 211). N₂ gas was continuously bubbled into the catholyte during electrochemical testing. The discharge polarization curves with a scan rate of 2 mV s⁻¹ and galvanostatic tests were performed on a CHI760E electrochemical workstation. The power density (*P*) of the Zn-N₂ battery was determined by $P = I \times V$, where *I* and *V* are the discharge current density and voltage, respectively.

The electrochemical reactions in Zn-N₂ battery are as follows:¹⁻³

Cathode reaction: $N_2 + 6H_2O + 6e^- \rightarrow 2NH_3 + 6OH^-$

Anode reaction: $Zn + 2OH^{-} \rightarrow ZnO + H_2O + 2e^{-}$

Overall reaction: $3Zn+N_2+3H_2O \rightarrow 3ZnO+2NH_3$

Determination of NH₃: The concentrations of NH₃ produced were detected by the indophenol blue method using a UV-vis spectrophotometer. Firstly, 2 mL of electrolyte was pipetted from the cathodic chamber and mixed with 2 mL of 1 M NaOH solution containing 5% $C_7H_6O_3$ and 5% $C_6H_5Na_3O_7\cdot 2H_2O$. Then, 1 mL of 0.05 M NaClO and 0.2 mL of 1% Na₂Fe(CN)₅NO·2H₂O were added to the above solution in turn. Finally, after standing for 120 min at room temperature, the absorbance measurements were performed in the range of 500 nm to 800 nm. The concentration-absorbance (at 655 nm) curves were calibrated by measuring a series of standard solutions with different NH₄Cl concentrations. The fitting curve of NH₃ (y = 0.3848x + 0.036, R² = 0.999) shows a good linear relationship between absorbance value and NH₄⁺ concentration.

Determination of N₂H₄: The concentration of N₂H₄ was determined by Watt and Chrisp method. 5.99 g of p-C₉H₁₁NO, 30 mL of concentrated HCl, and 300 mL of C₂H₅OH were homogeneously mixed as a color reagent. Typically, 2 mL of electrolyte was removed from the cathodic chamber and mixed with 2 mL of the above-prepared color reagent. After standing for 10 min at room temperature, the UVvis absorption spectra were collected at a wavelength of 455 nm. The fitting curve of N₂H₄ (y = 0.389x + 0.041, R² = 0.999) shows a good linear relationship between absorbance value with N₂H₄ concentration.

Calculations of NH₃ yield and Faradaic efficiency (FE):

NH₃ yield (V_{NH3}) was calculated using the following equation:

$$V_{\rm NH3} = c(\rm NH_3) \times V / (t \times m_{cat.})$$
⁽¹⁾

FE was calculated by the following equation:

$$FE_{NH3} = 3F \times c(NH_3) \times V / (17 \times Q) \times 100\%$$
(2)

where $c(NH_3)$ is the measured NH₃ concentration, V is the volume of the electrolyte in the cathodic chamber (35 mL), t is the electrolysis time (2 h), $m_{cat.}$ is the mass loading of the catalyst on CP (0.1 mg), F is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol⁻¹), and Q is the total charge passed through the electrode.

Calculation of FE for H₂:

FE for H₂ was calculated using the following equation:

$$FE_{H2} = 2F \times n(H_2) / Q \times 100\%$$
 (3)

Calculations of electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) and turnover frequency (TOF): The ECSA of the electrode was determined by the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}). We tested the cyclic voltammetry curves of OV-Ti₂O₃ and pristine Ti₂O₃ at scanning rates of 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, and 160 mV s⁻¹ to calculate the C_{dl} value. Moreover, the specific capacitance (C_s) for a flat surface is generally found to be in the range of 20~60 µF cm⁻². The ECSA was calculated by dividing the C_{dl} with the specific capacitance ($C_s = 60 \ \mu\text{F cm}^{-2}$) of flat electrodes in 0.1 M HCl.^{4,5}

$$A_{ECSA} = C_{dI} / C_s \tag{4}$$

The TOF value of the electrocatalyst was calculated by the following equation:⁶

$$TOF = V_{NH3} \times m_{cat.} \times N_A / 17 \times \text{surface sites} \times A_{ECSA}$$
(5)

where N_A is Avogadro constant (6.023 × 10²³ mol⁻¹). Since the exact nitrogen binding site is unknown, we conservatively estimate the number of active sites as the total number of surface sites. Surface Ti ions of Ti₂O₃ are considered to be catalytic active species (two Ti atoms and three O atoms with a volume of 313.5 Å³).

Surface sites per real surface area:^{7,8}

Surface sites =
$$\left(\frac{\text{Atoms per unit cell}}{\text{Volume/unit cell}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} = \left(\frac{5 \text{ Atoms/unit cell}}{313.5 \text{ Å}^3/\text{unit cell}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
 (6)



Fig. S1. XPS spectra of pristine Ti_2O_3 and $OV-Ti_2O_3$ in the Ti 2p region.



Fig. S2. TEM image of OV-Ti₂O₃.



Fig. S3. SEM image of pristine Ti_2O_3 .



Fig. S4. SEM image and corresponding EDX elemental mapping images of OV-Ti₂O₃.



Fig. S5. Schematic illustration of the NRR process.



Fig. S6. (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of indophenol assays with NH_4^+ concentrations after incubated for 2 h at room temperature. (b) Calibration curve used for calculation of NH_4^+ concentrations.



Fig. S7. (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of various N_2H_4 concentrations after incubated for 10 min at room temperature. (b) Calibration curve used for calculation of N_2H_4 concentrations.



Fig. S8. Tafel plots of OV-Ti₂O₃ and pristine Ti₂O₃ catalysts for NRR.



Fig. S9. UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes stained with the indophenol indicator for the $OV-Ti_2O_3$ electrode at different potentials after NRR electrolysis for 2 h.



Fig. S10. (a) Chronoamperometry curves of pristine Ti_2O_3 at different potentials in N_2 -saturated 0.1 M HCl solution. (b) Corresponding UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes stained with the indophenol indicator after NRR electrolysis for 2 h.



Fig. S11. (a) Ion chromatogram curves of the standard solution with NH_{4^+} concentrations in 0.1 M HCl. (b) Calibration curve used for estimation of NH_{4^+} . (c) Ion chromatogram for the electrolytes at a series of potentials after electrolysis for 2 h. (d) NH_3 yields of OV-Ti₂O₃/CP at corresponding potentials.



Fig. S12. NH₃ yields and FEs of OV-Ti₂O₃/CP at -0.25 V in 0.1 M HCl and 0.1 M Na₂SO₄.



Fig. S13. UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes detected by the method of Watt and Chrisp after 2 h electrolysis in N_2 atmosphere at a series of potentials.



Fig. S14. Comparisons of the NH_3 yields at different applied potentials over OV-Ti₂O₃ using a feed gas of 99.999% N₂, and purified 99.999% N₂ with 0.05 M H₂SO₄ or 10 M NaOH.



Fig. S15. (a) UV-vis spectra of the electrolytes with different electrolysis times at -0.25 V on the OV-Ti₂O₃ catalyst. (b) The mass of produced NH₃ vs electrolysis time at -0.25 V.



Fig. S16. (a) Chronoamperometry curves of $OV-Ti_2O_3/CP$ under recycling tests for NRR at -0.25 V in 0.1 M HCl. (b) UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes stained with indophenol indicator after NRR electrolysis.



Fig. S17. Photographs of pH test strips in 0.1 M HCl before and after 12-h electrolysis.



Fig. S18. XRD pattern for OV-Ti $_2O_3$ /CP after long-term NRR electrolysis.



Fig. S19. TEM image for OV-Ti₂O₃/CP after long-term NRR electrolysis.



Fig. S20. XPS spectra of OV-Ti₂O₃/CP after long-term NRR electrolysis.



Fig. S21. Cyclic voltammetry curves of (a) OV-Ti₂O₃/CP, and (b) pristine Ti₂O₃/CP with various scan rates (20-160 mV s⁻¹) in the region of -0.05 to 0.05 V vs Ag/AgCl. (c) The corresponding double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}) of OV-Ti₂O₃/CP and pristine Ti₂O₃/CP.



Fig. S22. Nyquist plots of OV-Ti₂O₃ and pristine Ti₂O₃.



Fig. S23. Schematic illustration of $Zn-N_2$ battery.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	NH ₃ yield	FE (%)	Ref.
OV-Ti ₂ O ₃	0.1 M HCl	$37.24 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	19.29	This work
Ti ₂ O ₃	0.1 M HCl	26.01 $\mu g h^{-1} m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	9.16	9
TiO ₂ (V ₀)	0.1 M HCl	$3.0 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	6.5	10
OV-TiO ₂ nanosheets	0.005 M H ₂ SO ₄	$35.6 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	5.3	11
Ru/TiO ₂ -V _O	0.1 M KOH	2.11 μ g h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	0.72	12
Pd-Co/TiO ₂ -V _O	0.1 M KOH	4.11 μ g h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	2.83	13
Ag@TiO ₂	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	14.88 $\mu g h^{-1} m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	6.2	14
B-doped TiO ₂	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	14.4 $\mu g h^{-1} m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	3.4	15
Mn-doped TiO ₂	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$20.05 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	11.93	16
Pd-doped TiO ₂	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$17.4 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	12.7	17
TiO ₂ /JE-CMTs	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$20.03 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	10.76	18
V-doped TiO ₂	0.5 M LiClO ₄	$17.73 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	15.3	19
TiO ₂ /Ti	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$5.6 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	2.5	20
Ti ³⁺ -TiO _{2-x} /TM	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$3.51 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	14.62	21
d-TiO ₂ /TM	0.1 M HC1	$7.58 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}{}^{-1}$	9.17	22
C-Ti _x O _y /C	0.1 M Li ₂ SO ₄	14.8 $\mu g h^{-1} m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	17.8	23
TiC/C NF	0.1 M HCl	14.1 $\mu g h^{-1} m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	5.8	24
Zr-doped TiO ₂	1.0 M KOH	$8.9 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	17.3	25
La-doped TiO ₂	0.1 M LiClO ₄	23.06 $\mu g h^{-1} m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	14.54	26

Table S1. Comparison of the electrocatalytic NRR performances of OV-Ti₂O₃ withother reported Ti-based catalysts under ambient conditions.

Battery systems	Catalysts	Power density	NH ₃ yield	Ref.
Zn-N ₂	OV-Ti ₂ O ₃	1.02 mW cm^{-2}	4.3 $\mu g h^{-1} m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	This work
Zn-N ₂	CoPi/HSNPC	0.33 mW cm^{-2}	11.58 μ g h ⁻¹ mg _{cat.} ⁻¹	1
Zn-N ₂	CoPi/NPCS	0.49 mW cm^{-2}	14.7 $\mu g h^{-1} m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	27
Zn-N ₂	nano-Cu	0.0101 mW cm ⁻²	$0.125 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	2
Zn-N ₂	NbS ₂ nanosheets	0.31 mW cm ⁻²	/	28
Zn-N ₂	VN@NSC-900	$0.01642 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$	$0.172 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	3
Zn-N ₂	Fe _{1.0} HTNs	$0.02765 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$	$0.137 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	29
Zn-N ₂	Vs-FePS ₃ NSs	2.6 mW cm ⁻²	/	30

Table S2. Comparison of NH_3 yield and power density of our battery with the reported $Zn-N_2$ battery systems.

References

- J. T. Ren, L. Chen, Y. Liu and Z. Y. Yuan, Hollow cobalt phosphate microspheres for sustainable electrochemical ammonia production through rechargeable Zn-N₂ batteries, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, 9, 11370–11380.
- 2 C. Du, Y. Gao, J. Wang and W. Chen, Achieving 59% faradaic efficiency of the N₂ electroreduction reaction in an aqueous Zn-N₂ battery by facilely regulating the surface mass transport on metallic copper, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 12801–12804.
- 3 X. W. Lv, Y. Liu, Y. S. Wang, X. L. Liu and Z. Y. Yuan, Encapsulating vanadium nitride nanodots into N,S-codoped graphitized carbon for synergistic electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction and aqueous Zn-N₂ battery, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2021, 280, 119434.
- 4 T. Xu, D. Ma, T. Li, L. Yue, Y. Luo, S. Lu, X. Shi, A. M. Asiri, C. Yang and X. Sun, Enhanced electrocatalytic N₂-to-NH₃ fixation by ZrS₂ nanofibers with a sulfur vacancy, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, 56, 14031–14034.
- D. Liu, X. Li, S. Chen, H. Yan, C. Wang, C. Wu, Y. A. Haleem, S. Duan, J. Lu,
 B. Ge, P. M. Ajayan, Y. Luo, J. Jiang and L. Song, Atomically dispersed platinum supported on curved carbon supports for efficient electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Nat. Energy*, 2019, 4, 512–518.
- 6 Y. Yang, L. Zhang, Z. Hu, Y. Zheng, C. Tang, P. Chen, R. Wang, K. Qiu, J. Mao,T. Ling and S. Z. Qiao, The crucial role of charge accumulation and spin

polarization in activating carbon-based catalysts for electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 4525–4531.

- 7 H. Fei, T. Guo, Y. Xin, L. Wang, R. Liu, D. Wang, F. Liu and Z. Wu, Sulfur vacancy engineering of MoS₂ via phosphorus incorporation for improved electrocatalytic N₂ reduction to NH₃, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2022, **300**, 120733.
- 8 W. Xu, G. Fan, J. Chen, J. Li, L. Zhang, S. Zhu, X. Su, F. Cheng and J. Chen, Nanoporous palladium hydride for electrocatalytic N₂ reduction under ambient conditions, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 3511–3516.
- 9 H. Chen, J. Liang, L. Li, B. Zheng, Z. Feng, Z. Xu, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, X. Shi, Y. Liu,
 S. Gao, A. M. Asiri, Y. Wang, Q. Kong and X. Sun, Ti₂O₃ nanoparticles with Ti³⁺ sites toward efficient NH₃ electrosynthesis under ambient conditions, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, 13, 41715–41722.
- 10 Z. Han, C. Choi, S. Hong, T.-S. Wu, Y.-L. Soo, Y. Jung, J. Qiu and Z. Sun, Activated TiO₂ with tuned vacancy for efficient electrochemical nitrogen reduction, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2019, 257, 117896.
- 11 C. Fang, T. Bi, X. Xu, N. Yu, Z. Cui, R. Jiang and B. Geng, Oxygen vacancyenhanced electrocatalytic performances of TiO₂ nanosheets toward N₂ reduction reaction, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, 6, 1901034.
- S. Cheng, Y. J. Gao, Y. L. Yan, X. Gao, S. H. Zhang, G. L. Zhuang, S. W. Deng,
 Z. Z. Wei, X. Zhong and J. G. Wang, Oxygen vacancy enhancing mechanism of nitrogen reduction reaction property in Ru/TiO₂, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2019, **39**,

144-151.

- 13 S. Zhang, W. Wang, Y. Gao, S. Deng, L. Ding, H. Zhuo, Z. Bao, W. Ji, C. Qiu and J. Wang, Pd-Co alloy supported on TiO₂ with oxygen vacancies for efficient N₂ and O₂ electrocatalytic reduction, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, 567, 150680.
- 14 X. Li, Y. Cao, T. Xu, Y. Luo, T. Li, H. Zhao, S. Lu, X. Shi, A. M. Asiri, J. Hu, Q. Liu and X. Sun, Ag@TiO₂ as an efficient electrocatalyst for N₂ fixation to NH₃ under ambient conditions, *ChemistrySelect*, 2021, 6, 5271–5274.
- Y. Wang, K. Jia, Q. Pan, Y. Xu, Q. Liu, G. Cui, X. Guo and X. Sun, Boron-doped TiO₂ for efficient electrocatalytic N₂ fixation to NH₃ at ambient conditions, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2019, 7, 117–122.
- 16 H. Chen, T. Wu, X. Li, S. Lu, F. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Zhao, Q. Liu, Y. Luo, A. M. Asiri, Z. Feng, Y. Zhang and X. Sun, Modulating oxygen vacancies of TiO₂ nanospheres by Mn-doping to boost electrocatalytic N₂ reduction, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2021, 9, 1512–1517.
- H. J. Chen, G. R. Deng, Z. S. Feng, Z. Q. Xu, M. Y. Yang, Y. Huang, Q. Peng, T. Li and Y. Wang, Enhanced electrocatalytic performance of TiO₂ nanoparticles by Pd doping toward ammonia synthesis under ambient conditions, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, 58, 3214–3217.
- H. Chen, J. Liang, K. Dong, L. Yue, T. Li, Y. Luo, Z. Feng, N. Li, M. S. Hamdy,
 A. A. Alshehri, Y. Wang, X. Sun and Q. Liu, Ambient electrochemical N₂-to NH₃ conversion catalyzed by TiO₂ decorated juncus effusus-derived carbon

microtubes, Inorg. Chem. Front., 2022, 9, 1514-1519.

- 19 T. Wu, W. Kong, Y. Zhang, Z. Xing, J. Zhao, T. Wang, X. Shi, Y. Luo and X. Sun, Greatly enhanced electrocatalytic N₂ reduction on TiO₂ via V doping, *Small Methods*, 2019, **3**, 1900356.
- 20 R. Zhang, X. Ren, X. Shi, F. Xie, B. Zheng, X. Guo and X. Sun, Enabling effective electrocatalytic N₂ conversion to NH₃ by the TiO₂ nanosheets array under ambient conditions, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 28251–28255.
- 21 B. Li, X. Zhu, J. Wang, R. Xing, Q. Liu, X. Shi, Y. Luo, S. Liu, X. Niu and X. Sun, Ti³⁺ self-doped TiO_{2-x} nanowires for efficient electrocatalytic N₂ reduction to NH₃, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 1074–1077.
- 22 L. Yang, T. Wu, R. Zhang, H. Zhou, L. Xia, X. Shi, H. Zheng, Y. Zhang and X. Sun, Insights into defective TiO₂ in electrocatalytic N₂ reduction: combining theoretical and experimental studies, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 1555–1562.
- 23 Q. Qin, Y. Zhao, M. Schmallegger, T. Heil, J. Schmidt, R. Walczak, G. Gescheidt-Demner, H. Jiao and M. Oschatz, Enhanced electrocatalytic N₂ reduction via partial anion substitution in titanium oxide-carbon composites, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 13101–13106.
- G. Yu, H. Guo, W. Kong, T. Wang, Y. Luo, X. Shi, A. M. Asiri, T. Li and X. Sun,
 Electrospun TiC/C nanofibers for ambient electrocatalytic N₂ reduction, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 19657–19661.
- 25 N. Cao, Z. Chen, K. Zang, J. Xu, J. Zhong, J. Luo, X. Xu and G. Zheng, Doping

strain induced bi-Ti³⁺ pairs for efficient N_2 activation and electrocatalytic fixation, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 2877.

- 26 L. Li, H. Chen, L. Li, B. Li, Q. Wu, C. Cui, B. Deng, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, T. Li, F. Zhang, A. M. Asiri, Z. S. Feng, Y. Wang and X. Sun, La-doped TiO₂ nanorods toward boosted electrocatalytic N₂-to-NH₃ conversion at ambient conditions, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2021, **42**, 1755–1762.
- J. T. Ren, L. Chen, H. Y. Wang and Z. Y. Yuan, Aqueous rechargeable Zn-N₂
 battery assembled by bifunctional cobalt phosphate nanocrystals-loaded carbon nanosheets for simultaneous NH₃ production and power generation, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, 13, 12106–12117.
- 28 H. Wang, J. Si, T. Zhang, Y. Li, B. Yang, Z. Li, J. Chen, Z. Wen, C. Yuan, L. Lei and Y. Hou, Exfoliated metallic niobium disulfate nanosheets for enhanced electrochemical ammonia synthesis and Zn-N₂ battery, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2020, 270, 118892.
- 29 X. W. Lv, X. L. Liu, L. J. Gao, Y. P. Liu and Z. Y. Yuan, Iron-doped titanium dioxide hollow nanospheres for efficient nitrogen fixation and Zn-N₂ aqueous batteries, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, 9, 4026–4035.
- 30 H. Wang, Z. Li, Y. Li, B. Yang, J. Chen, L. Lei, S. Wang and Y. Hou, An exfoliated iron phosphorus trisulfide nanosheet with rich sulfur vacancy for efficient dinitrogen fixation and Zn-N₂ battery, *Nano Energy*, 2021, 81, 105613.