## Controllable fabrication of a nickel-iridium alloy network by galvanic replacement engineering for high-efficiency electrocatalytic water splitting

Su Yan,<sup>a</sup> Mengxiao Zhong,<sup>a</sup> Wendong Zhu,<sup>a</sup> Weimo Li,<sup>a</sup> Xiaojie Chen,<sup>a</sup> Meixuan Li,\*<sup>b</sup> Ce Wang<sup>a</sup> and Xiaofeng Lu \*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Alan G. MacDiarmid Institute, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, P.R. China E-mail: <u>xflu@jlu.edu.cn</u>

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Automobile Materials of Ministry of Education & School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanling Campus, Jilin University, No. 5988 Renmin Street, Changchun 130025, P.R. China Email: limeixuan@jlu.edu.cn

## **Experimental section**

**Materials.** Iridium (III) chloride hydrate (IrCl<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O) and commercial iridium oxide (IrO<sub>2</sub>) were obtained from Aladdin. Sodium borohydride (NaBH<sub>4</sub>) was provided by Xilong Scientific Co., Ltd. Nickel (II) chloride hexahydrate (NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) was obtained from Tianjin Yongsheng Fine Chemical Co., Ltd. The Nafion 117 dispersion was bought from Sigma-Aldrich. The commercial 20 wt% Pt/C was provided by Johnson Matthey.

**Preparation of the Ni network.** First, Ni hydrogel was synthesized by an *in situ* spontaneous gelation procedure using a one-step NaBH<sub>4</sub> reduction approach. 0.1188 g of NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O was dissolved in 10 mL of deionized water. Fresh NaBH<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution (0.0568 g of NaBH<sub>4</sub> was dissolved in 15 mL of deionized water) was rapidly injected into NiCl<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution. Then the mixed solution was reacted at room temperature for 3 h to obtain the Ni hydrogel. The as-prepared Ni hydrogel was washed with deionized water for several times and then freeze-dried overnight.

**Preparation of the Ni-Ir0.25, Ni-Ir0.5 and Ni-Ir1.0 network catalysts.** 2 mg of the as-synthesized Ni network and 0.5 mL of IrCl<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) were added to 5 mL of deionized water. The mixed solution was sonicated for several seconds and then transferred into an oil bath at 80 °C. After 4 h, the aggregated sample (denoted as Ni-Ir0.5) was collected by centrifugation, rinsed for five times with deionized water, and freeze-dried overnight. Similarly, the Ni-Ir0.25 and Ni-Ir1.0 catalysts were fabricated by the same preparation procedure, except for different volumes with IrCl<sub>3</sub> solution: Ni-Ir0.25 (0.25 mL of 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> IrCl<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution) and Ni-Ir1.0 (1.0 mL of 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> IrCl<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution). In the three samples of Ni-Ir0.25, Ni-Ir0.5 and Ni-Ir1.0, the feeding molar ratios between Ni network and IrCl<sub>3</sub> are calculated to be around 4:1, 2:1 and 1:1, respectively.

**Preparation of the Ni network-2.** The Ni network-2 fabricated and obtained with the same fabrication procedure of Ni network except for the freeze-drying process, instead of washing with ethanol for several times and then drying under 45 °C in the oven overnight.

**Preparation of the Ni-Ir0.5 network-2.** 2 mg of the as-synthesized Ni network-2 and 0.5 mL of  $IrCl_3$  aqueous solution (10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) were added to 5 mL of deionized water. The mixed solution was sonicated for several seconds and then transferred into an oil bath at 80 °C. After 4 h, the aggregated sample (denoted as Ni-Ir0.5 network-2) washed with ethanol for several times and then drying under 45 °C in the oven overnight.

Material characterization. The morphologies of all the resultant network samples were characterized by the field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, FEI Nova NanoSEM). High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images, energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum and corresponding elemental maps were gained from an FEI Tecnai G2 F20 electron microscope with an operating voltage of 200 kV. The crystallographic structure of the samples was investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical B.V. Empyrean) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted on a Thermo Scientific ESCALAB250 system. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area was determined using N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 PLUS instrument with started temperature hold at 200 °C.

**Electrochemical measurements.** All electrochemical tests were performed on a CHI660E electrochemistry workstation. The preparation process of the working electrode is as follows: 4 mg of catalyst was dispersed into the mixture of deionized water (490  $\mu$ L), ethanol (490  $\mu$ L) and Nafion (20  $\mu$ L) under vigorously ultrasonication until fully dispersed. Afterward, the above suspension (50  $\mu$ L) was loaded on carbon paper (covered area: 0.196 cm<sup>2</sup>) and dried at room temperature. The mass loading of the as-prepared catalysts, commercial IrO<sub>2</sub> and 20% Pt/C was around 1.0 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> in all electrochemical tests. For the HER and OER measurements, a standard three-electrode system was employed, in which mercury oxide (Hg/HgO) was selected as the reference electrode, and a carbon rod and a Pt wire were used as the counter electrodes for HER and OER, respectively. All the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were carried out with a scan rate of 1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> with *iR*-compensation. The CV cycle stability measurements were performed at -0.8 V to -1.2 V and 0.4 V to 0.8 V (vs. Hg/HgO) for HER and OER, respectively, with a scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The procedure for

calibrating the Hg/HgO reference electrode was as follows. Two platinum plates were used as the working electrode and counter electrode and then a CV measurement with two sweep segments was carried out in hydrogen-saturated 1 M KOH electrolyte with a scan rate of 1 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The average of two potentials at which the current crossed zero was recorded to be the thermodynamic potential for the hydrogen electrode reaction. As displayed in Fig. S15, the average value of two zero current potentials was -0.932 V. The potentials were calculated to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) in terms of the following equation:  $E_{RHE} = E_{Hg/HgO} + 0.932$ . The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests for Fig. 3f were performed at  $E_{Hg/HgO}$  of -0.95 V from 10<sup>5</sup> to 0.1 Hz. The mass activity (MA) values of HER and OER were computed at overpotentials of 100 and 300 mV by the equation (MA = j/m), respectively, in which j is the current density (mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) at a definite overpotential and m is the mass loading (mg cm<sup>-2</sup>) of the as-prepared electrocatalysts. The values of double layer capacitance  $(C_{dl})$  of different samples were calculated in terms of capacitive current density  $(\Delta j/2 =$  $(j_a - j_c)/2$ ) at different scan rates under non-Faraday interval. The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) of all samples were acquired based on the equation (ECSA =  $C_{dl}/C_s \times L$ ), where the value of  $C_s$  is assumed to be 40  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup> and L is the mass loading of the catalyst.

For the overall electrolysis water measurement, a typical two-electrode configuration was performed, where the Ni-Ir0.5 catalyst loaded on carbon papers were used as both cathode and anode. LSV for the overall electrolysis water was measured under 1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> without *iR*-compensation. The mass loading of catalyst for the overall electrolysis water is the same as the previous HER and OER tests.



**Fig. S1**. The diameter distribution of nanoparticles in a-d) Ni, Ni-Ir0.25, Ni-Ir0.5 and Ni-Ir1.0. The average diameters are 51.6 nm, 61.4 nm, 75.7 nm and 102.6 nm, respectively.



Fig. S2. a-b) EDX spectra of Ni-Ir0.25 and Ni-Ir1.0.



Fig. S3. CV curves at different scan rates (10-60 mV/s) for (a) Ni, (b) Ni-Ir0.25 and (c) Ni-Ir1.0.



Fig. S4. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of Ni and Ni-Ir0.5 samples.



Fig. S5. The pore size distribution of Ni and Ni-Ir0.5 samples.



Fig. S6. The mass activity at the overpotential of 100 mV for as-prepared catalysts during HER process in 1 M KOH.



Fig. S7. The equivalent circuit model used for simulating the Nyquist plots.



Fig. S8. SEM images of Ni-Ir0.5 after stability tests: (a) HER and (b) OER.



Fig. S9. XRD patterns of Ni-Ir0.5 before and after stability tests.



Fig. S10. Ni 2p spectra of Ni-Ir0.5 before and after stability tests.



Fig. S11. Ir 4f spectra of Ni-Ir0.5 before and after stability tests.



**Fig. S12**. a) The MA at the overpotential of 300 mV for as-prepared catalysts during OER process, b) the comparison of  $MA_{Ir}$  between Ni-Ir0.5 and commercial IrO<sub>2</sub> at the overpotential of 300 mV during OER process.



Fig S13. (a-b) The images of Ni network-2 and Ni-Ir0.5 network-2.



Fig S14. (a-b) HER and OER LSV curves of Ni-Ir0.5 network and Ni-Ir0.5 network-2.



Fig. S15. RHE voltage calibration.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	η₁₀ [mV]	Tafel slope [mV dec <sup>-1</sup> ]	Reference
Ni-Ir0.5	1 М КОН	22	29.4	This work
Ru₁CoP/CDs	1 М КОН	51	47	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 7234
N,Pt-MoS₂	1 М КОН	38	39	Energy Environ. Sci. 2022, 15, 1201
RuCoO <sub>x</sub>	1 М КОН	37	53.2	Nano Lett. 2021, 21, 9633
Sr₂RuO₄	1 М КОН	61	51	Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 149
Ru-30	1 М КОН	36	35	Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 2022, 307, 121199
Ni/Mo <sub>2</sub> C(1:2)-NCNFs	1 М КОН	143	57.8	Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 1803185
RuNi-NCNFs	1 М КОН	35	30	Adv. Sci. 2020, 7, 1901833
CDs/Pt-PANI	1 М КОН	56	41.7	Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 2019, 257, 117905
IrP₂@NC	1 М КОН	28	50	Energy Environ. Sci. 2019, 12, 952
RuAu-0.2	1 М КОН	24	37	Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 9, 1803913
Ni/CeO₂@N-CNFs	1 М КОН	32	85.7	Small 2022, 2106592
Au-Ru-2 NWs	1 М КОН	50	30.8	Nat. Chem. 2018, 10, 456
RuP₂@NPC	1 М КОН	52	69	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 11559
Ni₅P₄-Ru/CC	1 М КОН	54	52	Adv. Mater., 2020, 32, 1906972
β-Ni(OH)₂/Pt	1 М КОН	115	42	ACS Energy Lett. 2018, 3, 237
Ru–NiSe₂/NF	1 М КОН	59	72.2	Small 2021, 2105305
IrNi-FeNi3/NF	1 М КОН	31.1	36.01	Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 2021, 286, 119881

**Table S1**. Comparison of the HER performance for Ni-Ir0.5 catalyst with other electrocatalysts in alkaline solution.

**Table S2.** Summary for ECSA values of as-prepared catalysts.

Catalyst	ECSA (m² g⁻¹)
Ni	38.25
Ni-Ir0.25	36.00
Ni-Ir0.5	434.75
Ni-lr1.0	402.75

 Table S3. Summary of BET surface area among of Ni and Ni-Ir0.5.

Catalyst	BET surface area (m² g⁻¹)
Ni	31.5
Ni-Ir0.5	37.4

**Table S4**. Comparison of the OER performance for Ni-Ir0.5 catalyst with other

 electrocatalysts in alkaline solution.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	η₁₀ [mV]	Reference
Ni-Ir0.5	1 М КОН	257	This work
Ir	1 М КОН	430	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4347
Exfoliated NiFe LDH	1 М КОН	302	Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4477
Ni/Mo <sub>2</sub> C(1:2)-NCNFs	1 М КОН	288	Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 1803185
Ru₃Ni₃ NAs	1 М КОН	304	iScience 2019, 11, 492
Co-Ni₃N	1 М КОН	307	Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1705516
Ni₃FeN/r-GO-20	1 М КОН	270	ACS Nano 2018, 12, 245
Co/β-Mo₂C@N-CNTs	1 М КОН	356	Angew.Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 4923
CoNi-Fe₃N	1 М КОН	285	Small 2020, 16, 2003824
Ru	1 М КОН	320	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16977
Ir₃Ni₂/BMNC	1 М КОН	279	Adv. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 2001145
NiMo-PVP/NiFe-PVP	1 М КОН	297	Adv. Energy Mater. 2017, 1700220.
Ru-RuP <sub>x</sub> -Co <sub>x</sub> P	0.1 М КОН	291	Nano Energy 2018, 53, 270
RuNi-NCNFs	1 М КОН	290	Adv. Sci. 2020, 7, 1901833
FeCoNi-2	1 М КОН	288	ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 469
FeCo-Co₄N/N-C	1 М КОН	280	Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1704091

**Table S5.** Comparison of the overall water splitting performance for Ni-Ir0.5 catalyst

 with other electrocatalysts in alkaline solution.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	Cell voltage (at 10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Reference
Ni-Ir0.5	1 М КОН	1.516 V	This work
Pt-CoS₂	1 М КОН	1.550 V	Adv. Energy Mater. 2018, 8, 1800935
Ru-NiCoP/NF	1 М КОН	1.515 V	Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 2020, 279, 119396
Ni₃FeN/r-GO-20	1 М КОН	1.60 V	ACS Nano 2018, 12, 245
Ni/Mo₂C(1:2)-NCNFs	1 М КОН	1.64 V	Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 9, 1803185
RuNi-NCNFs	1 М КОН	1.564 V	Adv. Sci. 2020, 7, 1901833
NiFeRu-LDH	1 М КОН	1.52 V	Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1706279
Ru-HPC/porous RuO <sub>2</sub>	1 М КОН	1.53 V	Nano Energy 2019, 58, 1
Co/β-Mo₂C@N-CNTs	1 М КОН	1.64 V	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 4923
RuTe <sub>2</sub>	1 М КОН	1.57 V	Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 2020, 278, 119281
RuCo NSs	1 М КОН	1.524 V	Adv. Energy Mater. 2018, 2002860
Ni/CeO₂@N-CNFs	1 М КОН	1.56 V	Small 2022, 2106592
Pt-Cu@CuxO NWs-3DF	0.1 М КОН	1.56 V	Nano Energy 2019, 59, 216
NCP/GNS	1 М КОН	1.61 V	Nano Energy 2018, 48, 284
Felr/NF	1 М КОН	1.51 V	Chem. Eng. J. 2021, 421, 129892
Co@IC/MoC@PC	1 М КОН	1.57V	ACS Nano 2021, 15, 13399
lr-16-PdCu/C	1 М КОН	1.63 V	Nano Lett. 2021, 21, 13
Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	1 М КОН	1.71 V	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 14023

NiFe/NiCo₂O₄/Ni Foam	1 М КОН	1.67 V	Adv. Funct. Mater. 2016, 26, 3515.
Co₂B	1 М КОН	1.81 V	Adv. Energy Mater. 2016, 6, 1502313