

Supplementary Materials

Tables

Table 1. Company and molecular weight for materials used

No	Materials	Company
1	industrial wastewater	Rocket fertilizer factory in new salhia, sharqia, Egypt
2	Chitosan	Local market, Ismailia, Egypt
3	hydrochloric acid	Alpha chemika, Egypt
4	sodium hydroxide	Alpha chemika, Egypt
5	Graphite powder	Alpha chemika, Egypt
6	Silver nitrate	Alpha chemika, Egypt
7	Sodium borohydride	Oxford lab fine chem LLP, Egypt
8	Trisodium Citrate Dihydrate	Oxford lab fine chem LLP, Egypt
9	potassium dichromate	Oxford lab fine chem LLP, Egypt
10	ferric chloride	Oxford lab fine chem LLP, Egypt
11	Manganese chloride	Oxford lab fine chem LLP, Egypt

Table 2. The characteristics of the industrials wastewater

Colour	PH	TSS (ppm)	COD (ppm)	TDS (ppm)	Fe (ppm)	Mn (ppm)	Alk
Light grey	3.22	542	5500	9160	68.15	49.29	0

Table 3. Langmuir isotherm models

Heavy metals	Langmuir model	Plotting	$q_0(\text{mg}\cdot\text{g}^{-1})$	$(K_L(\text{L}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}))$	R_L	R^2
Cr (VI)	Nonlinear: $q_e = q_0 \frac{K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e}$ (1)	q_e vs. C_e	60443.73	0.0007	0.972	0.996
	Linear : $= 1/q_0 K_L + C_e/q_0$ (2)	C_e/q_e vs. C_e	-159.39	-0.052	0.791	0.791
	Nonlinear:					

	$q_e = q_o \frac{K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e}$ (1)					
	Linear : $= 1/q_o K_L + C_e/q_o$ (2)	C_e/q_e vs. C_e	254.771	0.004	0.977	0.430

Table 4. Freundlich isotherm models

Heavy metals	Freundlich model	Plotting	$(K_f((\text{mg/g})/(\text{mg/L})^n))$	n	R ²
Cr (VI)	Nonlinear: $q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n}$ (3)	q_e vs C_e	20.053	0.787	0.957
	Linear : $\ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$ (4)	$\ln q_e$ vs $\ln C_e$	5.803127	0.557848	0.921
Fe (III)	Nonlinear: $q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n}$ (3)	q_e vs. C_e	0.826977	1.040647	0.997
	Linear : $\ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$ (4)	$\ln q_e$ vs $\ln C_e$	2.803122	1.461737	0.988

Table 5. Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm models

Heavy metals	Dubinin-Radushkevich	Plotting	q_m	β	(E(kJ·mol ⁻¹	R ²
--------------	----------------------	----------	-------	---------	-------------------------	----------------

	model					
Cr (VI)	Non-linear: $q_e = q_m \exp^{-\beta \varepsilon^2}$ (5)	q_e vs. ε^2	301.042	2.09	-488.589	0.972
	Linear : $q_e = \ln q_m - \beta \varepsilon^2$ (6)	$\ln q_e$ vs. ε^2	1023.484	1.288	-196.963	0.924
Fe (III)	Non-linear: $q_e = q_m \exp^{-\beta \varepsilon^2}$ (5)	q_e vs. ε^2	234.158	0.002	-14.458	0.934
	Linear : $q_e = \ln q_m - \beta \varepsilon^2$ (6)	$\ln q_e$ vs ε^2	45.388	0.001	-159.231	0.754

Table 6.Tempkin models

Heavy metals	Tempkin model	Plotting	b	K_T	B	R^2
Cr (VI)	Nonlinear: $q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln (K_T C_e)$ (7)	q_e vs. C_e	7.849	0.502	315.647	0.725
	Linear : $q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln K_T + \frac{RT}{b} \ln C_e$ (8)	q_e vs $\ln C_e$	7.849	0.502	315.647	0.858
Fe (III)	Nonlinear: $q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln (K_T C_e)$ (7)	q_e vs. C_e	106.797	0.293	23.599	0.650
	Linear : $q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln K_T + \frac{RT}{b} \ln C_e$ (8)	q_e vs $\ln C_e$	106.797	0.293	23.599	0.650

Table 7.The pseudo-first-order models

Heavy metal	The pseudo-first-order model	Plotting	k_1 min ⁻¹	q_e mg	R^2
-------------	------------------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------------	-------

metals			1	g ⁻¹	
Cr (VI)	Nonlinear : (1) $q_t = q_e (1 - e^{-k_1 t})$	q_t vs. t	0.189	41.698	0.325
	Linear : (2) $\log(q_e - q_t) = \log(q_e) - k_1 t / \ln 10$	(e^{-q_t}) vs t	0.040	15.062	0.786
Fe (III)	Nonlinear : (1) $q_t = q_e (1 - e^{-k_1 t})$	q_t vs t	0.029	38.401	0.764
	Linear : (2) $\log(q_e - q_t) = \log(q_e) - k_1 t / \ln 10$	(e^{-q_t}) vs t	0.001	40.139	0.442

Table 8. The pseudo-second-order model

Heavy metals	The pseudo-second-order model	Plotting	K_2 g mg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹	q_e mg g ⁻¹	R ²
Cr (VI)	Nonlinear: $q_t = k_2 q_e^2 t / (1 + k_2 q_e t)$ (3)	q_t vs t	0.002	45.469	0.686
	Linear : $t/q_t = 1/(k_2 q_e^2) + t/q_e$ (4)	t/q_t vs t	-0.001	51.120	0.996
Fe (III)	Nonlinear: $q_t = k_2 q_e^2 t / (1 + k_2 q_e t)$ (3)	q_t vs t	0.0008	44.933	0.681
	Linear : $t/q_t = 1/(k_2 q_e^2) + t/q_e$ (4)	t/q_t vs t	0.023	37.993	0.999

Table 9. Intraparticle diffusion models

Heavy metals	Intraparticle diffusion model	Plotting	k_1 mg g ⁻¹ min ^{-0.5}	C mg g ⁻¹	R ²
Cr (VI)	Non-linear:	q vs. t	1.187	31.923	0.891

	$q = k_i t^{0.5} + C$ (5)				
	$q = k_i t^{0.5} + C$ Linear: (5)	q vs $t^{0.5}$	1.187	31.923	0.891
Fe (III)	Non-linear: $q = k_i t^{0.5} + C$ (5)	q vs. t	0.872	31.339	0.561
	$q = k_i t^{0.5} + C$ Linear: (5)	q vs $t^{0.5}$	0.872	31.339	0.561

Table 10. Pore diffusion models

Heavy metals	Pore diffusion model	Plotting	k_p min ^{-0.5}	D_{ii} cm ² min ⁻¹	R2
Cr (VI)	$\frac{6}{r_o} \left(-\frac{D_{ii} \cdot t}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} = k_p * t^{1/2}$ (6)	$\frac{q_t}{q_e}$ vs $t^{0.5}$	0.112	6.243	0.891
Fe (III)	$\frac{6}{r_o} \left(-\frac{D_{ii} \cdot t}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} = k_p * t^{1/2}$ (6)	$\frac{q_t}{q_e}$ vs $t^{0.5}$	0.170	0.00001	0.561

Table 11. Film diffusion models

Heavy metals	film diffusion model	Plotting	k_{fd} min ⁻¹	D_{ii} cm ² min ⁻¹	R2
Cr (VI)	$-\left(\frac{D_i}{r_o^2} \right) \cdot \pi^2 \cdot t = -k_{fd} \cdot t$ (7)	$\ln \left(1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e} \right)$ vs. t	0.055	2.81.07	0.817
Fe (III)	$-\left(\frac{D_i}{r_o^2} \right) \cdot \pi^2 \cdot t = -k_{fd} \cdot t$ (7)	$\ln \left(1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e} \right)$ vs t	0.160	0.0001	0.860

List of abbreviation

Abbreviation	Terminology
FTIR	Fourier transform infra-red
SEM	Scanning electron microscopic
TEM	Transpiration electro microscopic
BET	Brunauer, Emmett, Teller
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
XRD	X-ray diffraction
TSS	Total suspended solids
AIK	Alkalinity

List of materials

Materials	Index
industrial wastewater	WW
Chitosan	Cs
Chitin	Ct
hydrochloric acid	HCl
sodium hydroxide	NaOH
Graphite oxide	GO
Graphite powder	G
Silver nitrate	AgNO ₃
Sodium borohydride	NaBH ₄
Trisodium Citrate Dihydrate	C ₆ H ₅ Na ₃ O ₇ ·2H ₂ O
potassium dichromate	K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇
ferric chloride FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O
silver nanoparticles	AgNPs
calcium carbonate	CaCO ₃
calcium chloride	CaCL ₂
carbon dioxide	CO
Distilled water	Dw

