# Supporting information

## Synthesis of W-modified CeO<sub>2</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts for selective catalytic reduction of

### NO with NH<sub>3</sub>

Chenglong Li<sup>a</sup>, Zhitao Han<sup>a\*</sup>, Yuqing Hu<sup>a</sup>, Tingjun Liu<sup>a</sup>, Xinxiang Pan<sup>a b</sup>

a. Marine Engineering College, Dalian Maritime University, Dalian 116026, China;

b. School of Electronic and Information Technology, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang

524088, China

Correspondence information: Dr. Zhitao Han, Dalian Maritime University, No.1, Linghai Road,

Dalian 116026, China, hanzt@dlmu.edu.cn.

### **Table of content**

**Table. S1** Comparison of the performance over  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  catalyst with related literatures.

**Fig. S1.** SCR performance test results of fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$ 

(2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalyst: (a)  $NO_x$  conversion and (b)  $N_2$  selectivity.

Fig. S2. XRD patterns of fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/ZrO_2$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalysts Fig. S3. H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles of fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/ZrO_2$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalysts in the range of 100-900 °C.

Fig. S4. NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD curves of fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalysts in the range of 50-700 °C.

**Fig. S5.** TEM pattern of  $W_{0.1}$ ZrO<sub>*x*</sub> sample

**Fig. S6.** EDS pattern over  $W_{0,1}$ ZrO<sub>x</sub> sample

Catalysts	Preparation method	Reaction mixture	T <sub>80%</sub> , °C	Ref
$Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$	Co-precipitation $(W_{0.1}ZrO_x)$ Impregnation	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 500 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 5 \text{ vol. }\%$ N <sub>2</sub> as balance, GHSV = 60,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	226-446 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	This work
WO <sub>3</sub> /CeO <sub>2</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	Incipient wetness impregnation	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 550 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 6 \text{ vol. }\%$ $[CO_2] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%, [H_2O] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%$ $N_2 \text{ as balance, GHSV} = 90,000 \text{ h}^{-1}$	290-500 (NO)	1
WO <sub>3</sub> /Ce <sub>0.65</sub> Zr <sub>0.35</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Wet impregnation	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 0.1 \%, [O_2] = 5 \text{ vol. }\%$ $[H_2O] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%, \text{ Ar as balance}$ $GHSV = 30,000 \text{ h}^{-1}$	245-450 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	2
$WO_3/ZrO_2-Ce_{0.6}Zr_{0.4}O_2$	Incipient wetness impregnation Solution combustion method	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 1000 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%$ $[H_2O] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%, N_2 \text{ as balance}$ $GHSV = 50,000 h^{-1}$	270-510 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	3
WO <sub>3</sub> /Ce-Zr (40-60)	Impregnation	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 500 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%$ $[CO_2] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%, [H_2O] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%$ $N_2 \text{ as balance, WHSV} = 200 \text{ h}^{-1}$	250-500 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	4
WO <sub>3</sub> -Ce <sub>0.75</sub> Zr <sub>0.25</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Citric-aide sol-gel (Ce <sub>0.75</sub> Zr <sub>0.25</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) Incipient wetness impregnation	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 500 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 5 \text{ vol. }\%$ N <sub>2</sub> as balance, GHSV = 30,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	290-400 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	5

**Table. S1** Comparison of the performance over  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  catalyst with related literatures.

W-CeZr (SO <sub>2</sub> -treatment)	Wet impregnation	$[NO] = 900 \text{ ppm}, [NO_2] = 100 \text{ ppm}$ $[NH_3] = 1000 \text{ ppm}, [SO_2] = 100 \text{ ppm}$ $[O_2] = 6 \text{ vol. \%, N_2 as balance}$ $WHSV = 300 \text{ h}^{-1}$	295-500 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	6
CeO <sub>2</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub> -WO <sub>3</sub>	Hydrothermal synthesis Incipient impregnation Co-precipitation Sol-gel	[NO] = [NH <sub>3</sub> ] = 600 ppm, [O <sub>2</sub> ] = 5 vol. % N <sub>2</sub> as balance, GHSV = 60,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	185-450 (NO) 245-450 (NO) 190-430 (NO) 225-450 (NO)	7
CeO <sub>2</sub> /ZrO <sub>2</sub> -S	Impregnation	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 500 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 5 \text{ vol. }\%$ N <sub>2</sub> as balance, GHSV = 30,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	235-500 (NO)	8
$Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}O_2-PO_4^{3-}$ (SO <sub>2</sub> + 10%H <sub>2</sub> O treatment)	Sol-gel (Ce <sub>0.75</sub> Zr <sub>0.25</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) Impregnation	[NO] = [NH <sub>3</sub> ] = 500 ppm, [O <sub>2</sub> ] = 5 vol. % N <sub>2</sub> as balance, GHSV = 30,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	235-470 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	9
NbO <sub>x</sub> /Ce <sub>0.75</sub> Zr <sub>0.25</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Sol-gel (Ce <sub>0.75</sub> Zr <sub>0.25</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) Impregnation	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 500 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 5 \text{ vol. }\%$ $[CO_2] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%, N_2 \text{ as balance}$ $GHSV = 300,000 \text{ h}^{-1}$	190-450 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	10
MoO <sub>3</sub> /CeO <sub>2</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	Hydrothermal synthesis (CeO <sub>2</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub> ) Impregnation	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 500 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 5 \text{ vol. }\%$ He as balance, GHSV = 98,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	245-430 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	11
NiO-CeO <sub>2</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	Sol-gel	$[NO] = [NH_3] = 500 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 5 \text{ vol. }\%$ $[CO_2] = 10 \text{ vol. }\%, N_2 \text{ as balance}$ $GHSV = 300,000 \text{ h}^{-1}$	250-450 (NO <sub>x</sub> )	12

#### **Reference:**

- 1 Y. Li, H. Cheng, D. Li, Y. Qin, Y. Xie and S. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, **12**, 1470-1472.
- Z. Fang, B. Yuan, T. Lin, H. Xu, Y. Cao, Z. Shi, M. Gong and Y. Chen, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 2015, **94**, 648-659.
- 3 T. Baidya, A. Bernhard, M. Elsener and O. Kröcher, *Top. Catal.*, 2013, 56, 23-28.
- 4 F. Can, S. Berland, S. Royer, X. Courtois and D. Duprez, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 1120-1132.
- 5 M. Wang, Z. Si, L. Chen, X. Wu and J. Yu, J. Rare Earths., 2013, **31**, 1148-1156.
- 6 Väliheikki, T. Kolli, M. Huuhtanen, T. Maunula and R. L. Keiski, Top. Catal., 2015,58, 1002-1011.
- P. Ning, Z. Song, H. Li, Q. Zhang, X. Liu, J. Zhang, X. Tang and Z. Huang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, **332**, 130-137.
- 8 Z. Han, X. Li, X. Wang, Y. Gao, S. Yang, L. Song, J. Dong and X. Pan, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2022, 608, 2718-2729.
- 9 Z. Si, D. Weng, X. Wu, R. Ran and Z. Ma, Catal. Commun., 2012, 17, 146-149.
- 10 Z. Ma, X. Wu, Z. Si, D. Weng, J. Ma and T. Xu, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2015, 179, 380-394.
- 11 Z. Liu, H. Su, J. Li and Y. Li, Catal. Commun., 2015, 65, 51-54.
- 12 Z. Si, D. Weng, X. Wu, Z. Ma, J. Ma and R. Ran, *Catal. Today*, 2013, 201, 122-130.

Fig. S1



Fig. S1. SCR performance test results of  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (1<sup>st</sup> cycle) and  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$ 

(2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalyst: (a)  $NO_x$  conversion and (b)  $N_2$  selectivity.

(Reaction conditions: 0.5 mL catalyst,  $[NO] = [NH_3] = 500$  ppm,  $[O_2] = 5$  vol.%,

balance with N<sub>2</sub>, total flow rate = 500 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> and GHSV =60,000 h<sup>-1</sup>)

Fig. S2



Fig. S2. XRD patterns of fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle)

catalysts

To investigate the effect of stability reaction on the structure over  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$ catalyst, the structures of fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalysts were characterized by XRD. It could be seen from Fig. S2 that the intensities of  $CeO_2$  and  $ZrO_2$  peaks did not change significantly before and after the SCR reaction. Moreover, no new peaks were detected on the XRD curve of  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalyst compared to the fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  catalyst. It was demonstrated that the structure of  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  catalyst with better stability during the SCR reactions.

Fig. S3



Fig. S3. H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles of fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalysts in the range of 100-900 °C.

As shown in Fig. S3, intensities of the three reducing peaks over  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalyst did not decrease significantly compared to the fresh catalyst. In addition, the total H<sub>2</sub> consumption of the fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  catalyst only decreased by 0.05 mmol/g after cycling two times. It was indicated that  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  catalyst still maintained a good redox property after the SCR reactions.

Fig. S4



Fig. S4. NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD curves of fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalysts in the range of 50-700 °C.

The surface acidities of two catalysts were also investigated by NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD characterization technique. It could be seen from Fig. S4 that NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles of the fresh  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  and used  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  (2<sup>nd</sup> cycle) catalysts both exhibited three desorption peaks, which intensities of the corresponding peaks were similar. In other words, there was no obvious damage in the surface acidity over  $Ce_{0.4}/W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  catalyst after the SCR reactions.

Fig. S5



**Fig. S5.** TEM pattern of  $W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  sample



Fig. S6

**Fig. S6.** EDS pattern over  $W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  sample

To further investigate the dispersion of W, Zr and O elements over  $W_{0.1}ZrO_x$  sample, EDS mapping was conducted and the results showed that the tungsten species were well dispersed on the support, as presented in Fig. S6.