Supporting Information

Title: Fulvic-Polyphosphate Composite Embedded with ZnO Nanorods (FA-APP@ZnO) for Efficient P/Zn Nutrition on Peas (*Pisum sativum* L.)

Authors: Chunxiao Han, Jingxu Yang, Xiaohou Zhou, Peng Yun, Xue Li, Dehua Xu, Yanjun Zhong, Benhe Zhong, Zhengjuan Yan*, and Xinlong Wang*

Engineering Research Center of Ministry of Education for Comprehensive Utilization and Clean Process Engineering of Phosphorus Resources, School of Chemical Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China

*Corresponding authors:

Zhengjuan Yan: E-mail: zjyan@scu.edu.cn;

Xinlong Wang: E-mail: wangxl@scu.edu.cn;

Number of pages: 8

Number of Figures: 7

Number of Tables: 2

Number of Texts: 1



Figure S1. The calibration curves and the linearity of the inductively coupled plasma optically emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) for (a) phosphorus (P) and (b) zinc (Zn) determination.



Figure S2. Schematic of the differential growth modes of the ZnO crystals under two alkalinity conditions. The far left inset was adapted with permission from ref.¹ Copyright 2008 American Chemical Society.



Figure S3. Raman spectra of FA-APP@ZnO calcined at different temperatures.

Text S1.

The hydrolysis reaction of polyphosphates consists of the following steps (eqs 1 and 2).²

(A) (B)

$$\stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{O$$

The P₃O₁₀⁵⁻ hydrolysis (eq 1) and P₂O₇⁴⁻ hydrolysis (eq 2) are both regarded as the one-order reactions. Their reaction rate, r_1 and r_2 (mol m⁻³ d⁻¹), can be expressed by the concentration of P₃O₁₀⁵⁻ and P₂O₇⁴⁻ respectively, as indicated in eqs 3-6.

$$r_1 = k_1 C_A \tag{3}$$

$$r_2 = k_2 C_B \tag{4}$$

$$dC_A/dt = -k_1 C_A \tag{5}$$

$$dC_B/dt = k_1 C_A - k_2 C_B \tag{6}$$

here, C_A (mol m⁻³) is the concentration of P₃O₁₀⁵⁻ and C_B (mol m⁻³) represents the concentration of P₂O₇⁴⁻. k_1 (d⁻¹) and k_2 (d⁻¹) are the kinetic constants of eqs 3 and 4, respectively.

 x_1 is then used to represent the conversion rate of P₃O₁₀⁵⁻, while x_2 represents the yield of P₂O₇⁴⁻ to P₃O₁₀⁵⁻. $C_{A,0}$, and $C_{B,0}$ (mol m⁻³) represent the initial concentration of P₃O₁₀⁵⁻ and P₂O₇⁴⁻ respectively, yielding eqs 7 and 8.

$$dx_1/dt = k_1(1 - x_1) \tag{7}$$

$$dx_2/dt = k_1(1 - x_1) - k_2(C_{B,0}/C_{A,0} + x_2)$$
(8)

The kinetic experiments acquired the change in x_1 and x_2 as a function of hydrolytic environment (free or absorbed state), pH, and time (Table S1) *via* IC analysis (Figure 6, and 7). The Levenberg–Marquardt method was used to fit the kinetic data. As a result, the values of k_1 , k_2 at various pH were calculated and displayed in Table S2.

Hydrolysis	pН	Hydrolysis time (d)	$P_3O_{10}^{5-}$ conversion, x_1	$P_2O_7^{4-}$ yield, x_2
environment				
Free state	2.50	3	$0.082 \pm 0.031^*$	0.088 ± 0.044
		9	0.239 ± 0.036	0.232 ± 0.041
		18	0.454 ± 0.029	0.445 ± 0.046
		26	0.540 ± 0.038	0.454 ± 0.031
		36	0.631 ± 0.041	0.289 ± 0.035
		46	0.717 ± 0.037	0.123 ± 0.033
		54	0.755 ± 0.042	-0.173 ± 0.039
		62	0.810 ± 0.032	$\textbf{-0.297} \pm 0.049$
		72	0.857 ± 0.031	$\textbf{-0.470} \pm 0.043$
		90	0.923 ± 0.039	-0.625 ± 0.037
	4.50	3	0.007 ± 0.022	$\textbf{-0.007} \pm 0.031$
		9	0.066 ± 0.027	0.051 ± 0.032
		18	0.193 ± 0.032	0.166 ± 0.029
		26	0.314 ± 0.050	0.214 ± 0.041
		36	0.411 ± 0.039	0.353 ± 0.038
		46	0.539 ± 0.044	0.409 ± 0.035
		54	0.620 ± 0.036	0.464 ± 0.028
		62	0.707 ± 0.041	0.534 ± 0.042
		72	0.786 ± 0.039	0.556 ± 0.041
		90	0.882 ± 0.047	0.561 ± 0.043
Absorbed state	3.50	3	0.593 ± 0.072	0.155 ± 0.080
		9	0.876 ± 0.076	0.206 ± 0.081
		18	1.000 ± 0.081	$\textbf{-0.040} \pm 0.077$
		26	1.000 ± 0.000	-0.314 ± 0.088
		36	1.000 ± 0.000	$\textbf{-0.620} \pm 0.087$
		46	0.957 ± 0.084	$\textbf{-0.684} \pm 0.078$
		54	1.000 ± 0.000	$\textbf{-0.980} \pm 0.075$
		62	1.000 ± 0.000	-1.209 ± 0.086
		72	1.000 ± 0.000	$\textbf{-1.236} \pm 0.087$
		90	1.000 ± 0.000	$\textbf{-}1.279\pm0.093$
	5.50	3	0.282 ± 0.067	-0.821 ± 0.077
		9	0.472 ± 0.074	$\textbf{-1.060} \pm 0.071$
		18	0.433 ± 0.078	$\textbf{-1.072} \pm 0.068$
		26	0.507 ± 0.087	-1.040 ± 0.085
		36	0.681 ± 0.089	$\textbf{-1.067} \pm 0.088$
		46	0.674 ± 0.074	-1.002 ± 0.076
		54	0.741 ± 0.079	-1.018 ± 0.078
		62	0.810 ± 0.082	-1.034 ± 0.073
		72	0.967 ± 0.069	-1.060 ± 0.075
		90	1000 ± 0080	-1279 ± 0.086

Table S1. Results of kinetics experiments during APP hydrolysis.

 $0.082 \pm 0.031^*$: Each experiment was carried out in duplicate under identical conditions.

Hydrolysis environment	pН	$k_1 (10^{-2} \cdot d^{-1})$	$k_2 (10^{-2} \cdot d^{-1})$
Free state	2.50	4.080	1.322
	4.50	1.693	0.143
Absorbed state	3.50	19.82	3.888
	5.50	3.136	28.84

Table S2. Experimental reaction rate constants for the two-step hydrolyzation.

A comparison between the calculated and experimental values is shown in Figure S4 and S5. It can be seen the model has high predictive accuracy and kinetic constants calculated are reliable, especially for a span of dozens of days.



Figure S4. Experimental and calculated values of $P_3O_{10}^{5-}$ conversion, x_1 and $P_2O_7^{4-}$ yield, x_2 versus time for free state: (a) pH=2.50, and (b) pH=4.50.



Figure S5. Experimental and calculated values of $P_3O_{10}^{5-}$ conversion, x_1 and $P_2O_7^{4-}$ yield, x_2 versus time for absorbed state: (a) pH=3.50, and (b) pH=5.50.



Figure S6. (a) DFT-calculated hydrolysis active energies of free and ZnO nanorod

absorbed phosphates. Laplacian bond order distributions and hydrolysis paths of (b) free tripolyphosphate (P3), (c) absorbed tripolyphosphate (P3-ZnO), and (d) absorbed pyrophosphate (P2-ZnO).



Figure S7. PL emission spectra of ZnO nanorods (excitation wavelength from 285 nm to 345 nm).

REFERENCES

- Kawska, A.; Duchstein, P.; Hochrein, O.; Zahn, D. Atomistic Mechanisms of ZnO Aggregation from Ethanolic Solution: Ion Association, Proton Transfer, and Self-Organization. *Nano Lett.* 2008, *8*, 2336-2340. https://doi.org/10.1021/nl801169x
- Williard, J. W.; Farr, T. D.; Hatfield, J. D. Hydrolysis of Ammonium Pyro-, Tripoly-, and Tetrapolyphosphate at 25°C and 50°C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1975, 20, 276-283. https://doi.org/10.1021/je60066a012