Support information for Ru-based monolithic catalysts for the catalytic oxidation of chlorinated volatile organic compounds

Yemin Zhao,^{a,b,d} Chao Xi,^{b,d} Shan Gao,^{*b,d} Yuejun Wang,^{b,d} Haiqiang Wang,^{a,d} Pengfei Sun ^c and Zhongbiao Wu ^{a,d}

^aDepartment of Environmental Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, P. R. China.

^bZhejiang Tianlan Environmental Protection Technology Co., Ltd., Hangzhou 311202, China. E-mail: gaoshan@tianlan.cn

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Surface & Interface Science of Polymer Materials of Zhejiang Province, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, China.

^dZhejiang Provincial Engineering Research Center of Industrial Boiler & Furnace Flue Gas Pollution Control, 866 Yuhangtang Road, Hangzhou 310058, P. R. China



Fig. S1. The schematic diagram of the experimental set-up for DCM degradation



Fig. S2. The XRD pattern of Ru/TiO₂/PB/Cor



Fig. S3. (A) Ti 2p XPS spectra of Ru/TiO₂; (B) Ti 2p XPS spectra of Ru/P25; (C) Ce 3d XPS spectra of Ru/CeO₂;



Fig. S4. The NH₃-TPD profiles of TiO₂, P25, Si-Beta and CeO₂.

Catalysts	ratio ^[a]
Ru/TiO ₂ /Cor	100.84%
Ru/P25/Cor	93.26%
Ru/Si-Beta/Cor	91.08%
Ru/CeO ₂ /Cor	91.43%
TiO ₂ /Cor	26.15%
Ru/TiO ₂ /PB/Cor	93.50%
Ru/P25/PB/Cor	102.42%
Ru/Si-Beta/PB/Cor	97.37%
Ru/CeO ₂ /PB/Cor	102.48%
$[CH_2Cl_2]_{out} + [CO_2]_{out} + [CO]_{out}$	

Table S1. The carbon balance over various catalysts at 460 °C

Ratio (%) = $\frac{[CH_2Cl_2]_{out} + [CO_2]_{out} + [CO_2]_{out}}{[CH_2Cl_2]_{in}} \times 100\%$ [a]: