Supplementary data for:

Semisynthesis reveals Apoptin as a tumour-selective protein prodrug

that causes cytoskeletal collapse

Jasmine Wyatt^{1,2}, Yuen Ka Chan¹, Mateusz Hess², Mahvash Tavassoli^{1,*} and Manuel M. Müller^{2,*}

1 Department of Molecular Oncology, King's College London, Guy's Hospital Campus, Hodgkin Building, London SE1 1UL, UK

2 Department of Chemistry, King's College London, Britannia House, 7 Trinity Street, London, SE1 1DB, UK

*Correspondence to M.T. (mahvash.tavassoli@kcl.ac.uk) or M.M.M. (manuel.muller@kcl.ac.uk)

Supplementary Figures S1-13

Figure S1: Synthesis of Apoptin C-terminal peptides	2
Figure S2: Production of Apoptin-thioester	3
Figure S3: Significant hydrolysis of thioester during NCL	4
Figure S4: Production of Apoptin-WT	5
Figure S5: Circular dichroism spectra of renatured Apoptin variants	6
Figure S6: Efficient transduction of Apoptin-WT using the TAT system.	7
Figure S7: Production of Apoptin-Mut	8
Figure S8: Apoptotic activity of Apoptin variants analysed via FACS	9
Figure S9: Synthesis of biotinylated Apoptin peptides	10
Figure S10: Apoptin interaction to known targets	11
Figure S11: Thr108 contributes to intracellular localisation of Apoptin	12
Figure S12: Apoptin does not interfere with cytoskeleton of healthy cells	13
Figure S13: Lethal doses of Apoptin disrupt the cytoskeleton and perturb cell size	14

Figure S1



Figure S1: Synthesis of Apoptin C-terminal peptides

Peptides (Apoptin⁹⁰⁻¹²¹ and Apoptin⁹⁰⁻¹²¹-T108ph) were synthesis via Fmoc-SPPS and purified with RP-HPLC as described in the methods. a) RP-HPLC data and (b) MS data for the unmodified peptide (Expected mass 3758.04 Da, Observed mass 3758.06 Da). c) RP-HPLC data and (d) MS data for the T108ph peptide (Expected mass 3838.00 Da, Observed mass 3838.03 Da).





a) Schematic for the thiolysis reaction. b) SDS-PAGE analysis of the thiolysis reaction over 16 hours. c) RP-HPLC analysis of the thiolysis reaction over 16 hours. d) RP-HPLC analysis of the isolated protein thioester. e) Mass spectrometry of the starting material corresponding to Apoptin-Intein (Expected mass 36072.6 Da, Observed mass 36070.8 Da). f) Mass spectrometry of the isolated protein thioester (Expected mass 14943.7 Da, Observed mass 14943.8 Da; hydrolysed expected mass 14819.2 Da).



Figure S3: Significant hydrolysis of thioester during NCL

Mass spectrometry analysis of the thioester during the NCL reaction (Expected mass 14820.6 Da, observed mass 14820.2 Da).



Figure S4: Production of Apoptin-WT

a) RP-HPLC and b) SDS-PAGE of purified and renatured Apoptin-WT. c) Mass spectrometry analysis of final product. Expected mass (-Met): 18562.9 Da, observed mass: 18562.6 Da.



Figure S5: Circular dichroism spectra of renatured Apoptin variants.

Points represent an average from 3 circular dichroism wavelength scans of Apoptin-WT (blue) and Apoptin-T108ph (red). The lines represent smoothened spectra. Bestsel software was used to determine secondary structure content (Apoptin-WT: 27% Antiparallel β -Sheet, 20% Turn, 53% Others; Apoptin-T108ph: 28% Antiparallel β -Sheet, 24% Turn, 44% Others).^[1]

15 kDa-



Figure S6: Efficient transduction of Apoptin-WT using the TAT system.

a) Immunofluorescence analysis of SAOS-2 cells delivered with Apoptin-WT. Cells were incubated with recombinant Apoptin-WT for 15 minutes, then fixed and stained with anti-HA antibodies. Cells were imaged at 60x magnification. The white box indicates the area that has been zoomed in as seen below. Scale bar=40 μ m. b) Western blot analysis of Apoptin-WT delivery to HSC-3 cells. Cells were treated with 1 mL of 0.5 or 5 μ g/mL Apoptin-WT for 15 minutes, washed and incubated for the indicated duration. Each lane contains lysate from approx. 200'000-300'000 cells of a total of 1.5*10⁶ (1h), 2.4*10⁶ (4h) and 3.4*10⁶ (24h) cells. 10 ng and 1 ng of purified Apoptin-WT were analysed as a control. Apoptin was visualised with an anti-HA antibody, Tubulin was used as a loading control.





Figure S7: Production of Apoptin-Mut

a) RP-HPLC and b) SDS-PAGE of purified and renatured mutant Apoptin. c) Mass spectrometry analysis of final product (expected mass: 18472.8 Da, observed mass 18472.2 Da).

Figure S8

Figure S8: Apoptotic activity of Apoptin variants analysed via FACS. Showing relative total Annexin V positive populations for cancer cell-lines (red, n=3) and healthy lines (blue, n=2).

Figure S9: Synthesis of biotinylated Apoptin peptides

Apoptin-derived peptides were synthesis with an N-terminal biotin handle via Fmoc-SPPS and purified with RP-HPLC as described in the methods section. RP-HPLC and MS data of unmodified peptide (a, b; Expected mass: 3826.17 Da, Observed mass: 3826.26 Da) and Thr108ph peptide (c, d; Expected mass: 3906.14 Da, Observed mass: 3906.20 Da).

Figure S10: Apoptin interaction to known targets

a) Western blot analysis of beta-actin, exportin and APC1 pull-downs with wild-type and T108ph Apoptin peptides. Three independent replicates are shown. b) Quantification of Exportin (CRM-1) and APC1 pull-downs (n=3, ± SEM). c) Western blot analysis downs of E-cadherin and beta-importin pull-downs, showing that C-terminal Apoptin peptides does not interact with these targets.

Figure S11: Thr108 contributes to intracellular localisation of Apoptin.

Immuno-fluorescence performed in SAOS-2 (a) and HSC-3 (b) cells after treatment with Apoptin-WT (top) and Apoptin-Mut (bottom) for 24h. Dotted boxes highlight regions of perinuclear accumulation in cells with condensed nuclei. 60x magnification. Scale bar= 40μ M.

Figure S12: Apoptin does not interfere with cytoskeleton of healthy cells

Immuno-fluorescence images of HGF cells treated with sub-lethal doses (0.5 μ g/mL) recombinant and mutant Apoptin and stained for F-Actin. Cells were imaged at 60x magnification, scale bar=40 μ m.

Figure S13: Lethal doses of Apoptin disrupt the cytoskeleton and perturb cell size. a) Immuno-fluorescence of SAOS-2 cells treated with 5 μ g/mL Apoptin for 15 min and incubated for 24h before fixing and staining with TRITC-phalloidin and DAPI. Cells were imaged at a 60x magnification. At least 20 cells from field of view were analysed for cell size (b) and scored for prominent stress fibre formation (c) (56 cells from 2 independent experiments).

Supplementary References

[1] A. Micsonai, F. Wien, L. Kernya, Y.-H. Lee, Y. Goto, M. Réfrégiers, J. Kardos, *PNAS* 2015, *112*, E3095-E3103.