# **Supporting Information**

## Room-Temperature Antiferroelectric in Hybrid Perovskite Enables Highly-Efficient Energy Storage at Low Electric Fields

Yi Liu,<sup>1</sup> Haojie Xu,<sup>12</sup> Xitao Liu,<sup>1</sup> Shiguo Han,<sup>1</sup> Wuqian Guo,<sup>12</sup> Yu Ma,<sup>12</sup> Qingshun Fan,<sup>12</sup> Xinxin Hu,<sup>12</sup> Zhihua Sun,<sup>\*123</sup> and Junhua Luo<sup>\*12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Structure Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350002, P. R. China <sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing, 100049, P. R. China <sup>3</sup>Fujian Science & Technology Innovation Laboratory for Optoelectronic Information

of China, Fuzhou, Fujian 350108, P. R. China

#### **Experimental Section**

Synthesis and single-crystal growth. The target compound **1** was prepared by mixing stoichiometric ratio of isobutylammonium (10 mmol, 1.52 g), formamidinium acetate (5 mmol, 0.38 g) and  $Pb(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$  (10 mmol, 3.79 g) in the 40% w/w solution of hydrobromic acid. After the continuous stirring for 30 min at 373 K, a clear yellow solution was obtained. Plate-like yellow crystals of **1** were obtained by the temperature cooling method after about three weeks, as shown in Figure S1.

**Single-Crystal X-ray Crystallography and Powder X-ray Diffraction.** We used the Bruker D8 Quesr/Venture diffractometer with the Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.77$  Å) to record X-ray diffraction data. The structures were solved by direct methods and confirmed by the full-matrix least-squares refinements on  $F^2$  using the *SHELXTL* software packing. All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically, and all H atoms were generated by geometrical method and refined by using a "riding" model with *Uiso* = 1.2 *Ueq* (C). The above-mentioned structure solution and refinement were conducted in the *Olex2* software. Crystal data for 1 at 200 and 310 K are listed in Table S1. Powder X-ray diffractometry (PXRD) data was recorded on the MiniFlex 600 X-ray diffractometer equipped with a Cu K $\alpha$  radiation.

**Measurements.** The dielectric analyses were performed on TongHui TH2828 analyzer in the temperature range of 270-330 K. Single-crystal plates of **1** with the surface deposited by silver conduction paste were used for dielectric measurements. DSC measurement of **1** was recorded by using a NETZSCH DSC 200F3 instrument in the

temperature range of 270-330 K. The powder samples that placed in aluminum crucibles were heated and cooled with a rate of 5 K·min<sup>-1</sup> under a nitrogen atmosphere. The *P-E* hysteresis loops were carried out on a ferroelectric analyzer (Radiant Precision Premier II). For the *P-E* loop measurement, the crystal sample with size of  $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}^3$  was used. The sample thickness was 0.4 mm and both sides were printed with silver electrodes with an area of approximately 0.16 mm<sup>2</sup>. In order to avoid electric discharge at high electric field, single crystal of **1** was immersed in silicone oil to measure the *P-E* hysteresis loops. Domain structures of the grown crystal were observed using a Nikon Eclipse LV100POL polarizing microscope along [010] crystal wafer.

[CCDC 2157694 and 2157696 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.] Figure



Figure S1. Plate-like single crystal of 1 obtained by the temperature-cooling method.



Figure S2. Experimental and simulated PXRD patterns for 1 at room temperature.



**Figure S3.** The N-H…Br hydrogen-bonding interactions between organic cations and inorganic perovskite frameworks of **1** at (a) low-temperature phase (LTP, 200 K) and (b) high-temperature phase (HTP, 310 K). Purple dotted lines represent the N-H…Br hydrogen bonds.



Figure S4. Frequency-dependent (a) double *P-E* hysteresis loops and (b) *J-E* curves.



Figure S5. Schematic diagram for energy storage properties of 1 obtained from the typical *P-E* hysteresis loop.



**Figure S6**. Energy density  $W_{\rm rec}$  and energy storage efficiency  $\eta$  of **1** as a function of frequency.



**Figure S7.** (a) The interlayered space and (b) atomic distance between the adjacent layers of organic n-BA<sup>+</sup> cations in (n-BA)<sub>2</sub>(FA)Pb<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>7</sub>.



**Figure S8.** A series of 2D hybrid perovskites,  $(i-BA)_2(A)Pb_2Br_7$ , created by alloying the cationic spacer  $(i-BA^+)$  and "perovskitizer" (FA<sup>+</sup>, MA<sup>+</sup> and Cs<sup>+</sup>). Obviously, the adjacent *i*-BA<sup>+</sup> cations exhibit the antiparallel reorientation.

## Table

**Table S1.** Crystal data for 1 collected at low-temperature phase (LTP, 200 K) and high-temperature phase (HTP,310 K).

	LTP	НТР
Empirical formula	$C_9H_{29}Br_7N_4Pb_2$	$C_9H_{29}Br_7N_4Pb_2$
Formula weight	1167.11	1167.11
Temperature/K	200	310
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Tetragonal
Space group	Pnma	I4/mmm
<i>a</i> (Å)	8.3299(4)	5.9841(2)
b (Å)	37.549(3)	5.9841(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	8.4639(5)	38.4072(17)
<i>V</i> (ų)	2647.3(3)	1659.7(3)
D <sub>calca</sub> /Mg⋅m⁻³	2.928	2.818
Z	4	2
μ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	23.269	22.394
F(000)	2080.0	1040.0
2Θ range /°	4.934 to 54.996	7.03 to 55.17
Index ranges	$-10 \le h \le 10, -48 \le k \le 43, -10 \le l \le 10$	-7 ≤ h ≤ 7, -7 ≤ k ≤ 7, -49 ≤ l ≤ 47
Reflections collected	21033 5626	
Independent reflections	3070 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0758, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0517]	538 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0649, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0318]
Data/restraints/parameters	3070/38/109	538/98/88
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.083	1.082
Final R indexes $[I \ge 2\sigma (I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0554, wR_2 = 0.1474$	$R_1 = 0.0386, wR_2 = 0.1033$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0774, wR_2 = 0.1605$	$R_1 = 0.0466, wR_2 = 0.1119$

### Table S2. Bond lengths of crystal 1 at 200 K.

Atom	Atom	Length/Å	Atom	Atom	Length/Å
Pb1	Br1	3.070(5)	N3	C3	1.508(17)
Pb1	Br2 <sup>1</sup>	2.968(12)	C3	C4	1.44(2)
Pb1	Br2	3.013(12)	C4	N5	1.44(3)
Pb1	Br3 <sup>2</sup>	3.027(12)	C4	C6	1.51(2)
Pb1	Br3	3.052(12)	N1	C1	1.308(3)
Pb1	Br4	2.916(16)	N2	C1	1.285(2)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: <sup>1</sup>1/2+X, Y, -Z-3/2; <sup>2</sup>1/2+X, Y, -Z-1/2

Table S3. Bond angles of crystal 1 at 200 K.

Bond	Angle/°	Bond	Angle/°
Br2 <sup>1</sup> -Pb1-Br1	88.85(4)	Br4-Pb1-Br2	98.43(4)
Br2-Pb1-Br1	90.48(4)	Br4-Pb1-Br3 <sup>2</sup>	84.74(4)
Br2 <sup>1</sup> -Pb1-Br2	90.21(13)	Br4-Pb1-Br3	92.64(4)
Br2 <sup>1</sup> -Pb1-Br3	176.31(4)	Pb1 <sup>3</sup> -Br1-Pb1	177.11(6)
Br2-Pb1-Br3	91.75(3)	Pb1 <sup>4</sup> -Br2-Pb1	159.73(5)
Br2 <sup>1</sup> -Pb1-Br3 <sup>2</sup>	90.02(3)	Pb1 <sup>5</sup> -Br3-Pb1	160.25(5)
Br2-Pb1-Br3 <sup>2</sup>	176.82(4)	C4-C3-N3	115.5(12)
Br3-Pb1-Br1	88.00(4)	C3-C4-C6	110.5(15)
Br3 <sup>2</sup> -Pb1-Br1	86.35(4)	C5-C4-C3	118.0(18)
Br3 <sup>2</sup> -Pb1-Br3	87.86(13)	C5-C4-N6	110.5(16)
Br4-Pb1-Br1	171.04(4)	N2-C1-N1	124(3)
Br4-Pb1-Br2 <sup>1</sup>	90.16(4)		

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: <sup>1</sup>1/2+X, Y, -Z-3/2; <sup>2</sup>1/2+X, Y, -Z-1/2; <sup>3</sup>X, -Y+1/2, Z;

<sup>4</sup>X-1/2, Y, -Z-3/2; <sup>5</sup>X-1/2, Y, -Z-1/2

Table S4. Hydrogen bonds of crystal 1 at 200 K.

D-H···A	d(D-H)	d(H…A)	< DHA	d(DA)
N1-H1A····Br1 <sup>6</sup>	0.880	3.117	108.60	3.480
N1-H1B····Br1 <sup>1</sup>	0.884	3.128	110.40	3.535
N2-H2C····Br1 <sup>4</sup>	0.880	2.695	142.58	3.436
N2-H2D····Br1 <sup>5</sup>	0.878	2.690	148.83	3.471
N3-H3A····Br4 <sup>1</sup>	0.914	2.499	170.96	3.404
N3-H3B····Br4 <sup>2</sup>	0.908	2.580	164.15	3.463
N3-H3C····Br2 <sup>3</sup>	0.908	2.878	121.28	3.485

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: <sup>1</sup>X-1/2, Y, -Z-1/2; <sup>2</sup>X-1, Y, Z; <sup>3</sup>X-1/2, Y, -Z-3/2; <sup>4</sup>X+1/2, Y, -Z-1/2; <sup>5</sup>X, Y, Z+1; <sup>6</sup>X+1/2, -Y+3/2, -Z+3/2

		(- ()	
Antiferroelectrics	E <sub>cr</sub> (kV/cm)	η (%)	Ref.
TFMBI	22	44	[1]
(BA) <sub>2</sub> (EA) <sub>2</sub> Pb <sub>3</sub> I <sub>10</sub>	32	65	[2]
( <i>i</i> -BA) <sub>2</sub> CsPb <sub>2</sub> Br <sub>7</sub>	75	69	[3]
ТСМВІ	81	62	[1]
DFMBI	86	78	[1]
AgNbO <sub>3</sub>	150	46	[4]
0.90PHf-0.10PMW	155	72	[5]
[H-55dmbp][Hca]	173	90	[6]
Ag(Nb <sub>0.85</sub> Ta <sub>0.15</sub> )O <sub>3</sub>	175	69	[7]
Ag <sub>0.97</sub> Bi <sub>0.01</sub> NbO <sub>3</sub>	200	55	[8]
SQA	210	90	[9]
Ag <sub>0.94</sub> La <sub>0.02</sub> NbO <sub>3</sub>	273	73	[10]
Sm <sub>0.03</sub> Ag <sub>0.91</sub> NbO <sub>3</sub>	290	69	[11]
PHS-0.075	320	79	[12]
0.86NaNbO <sub>3</sub> -0.14(Bi <sub>0.5</sub> Na <sub>0.5</sub> )HfO <sub>3</sub>	350	80	[13]
PLHT-0.05	360	89	[14]
Pb <sub>0.98</sub> La <sub>0.02</sub> (Hf <sub>0.45</sub> Sn <sub>0.55</sub> ) <sub>0.995</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	380	94	[15]
PbZrO <sub>3</sub>	463	68	[16]
(Pb <sub>0.95</sub> Sr <sub>0.05</sub> )ZrO <sub>3</sub>	500	78	[16]
NLNT <sub>0.18</sub> -0.01BCB	550	66	[17]
Compound 1	41	91	This work

**Table S5.** Energy storage efficiency ( $\eta$ ) for various reported antiferroelectrics at room temperature.

### References

1. S. Horiuchi, F. Kagawa, K. Hatahara, K. Kobayashi, R. Kumai, Y. Murakami and Y. Tokura, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 1308.

2. S. Han, X. Liu, Y. Liu, Z. Xu, Y. Li, M. Hong, J. Luo and Z. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 12470-12474.

3. Z. Wu, X. Liu, C. Ji, L. Li, S. Wang, Y. Peng, K. Tao, Z. Sun, M. Hong and J. Luo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3812-3816.

4. Y. Tian, L. Jin, H. Zhang, Z. Xu, X. Wei, E. D. Politova, S. Y. Stefanovich, N. V. Tarakina, I. Abrahams and H. Yan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 17279-17287.

5. P. Gao, Z. Liu, N. Zhang, H. Wu, A. A. Bokov, W. Ren and Z.-G. Ye, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 979-990.

6. S. Horiuchi, R. Kuma and S. Ishibashi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 425-432.

7. L. Zhao, Q. Liu, J. Gao, S. Zhang and J.-F. Li, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1701824.

8. Y. Tian, L. Jin, H. Zhang, Z. Xu, X. Wei, G. Viola, I. Abrahams and H. Yan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 17525-17531.

9. S. Horiuchi and S. Ishibashi, Chem. Sci., 2021, 12, 14198-14206.

10. J. Gao, Y. Zhang, L. Zhao, K.-Y. Lee, Q. Liu, A. Studer, M. Hinterstein, S. Zhang and J.-F. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 2225-2232.

11. N. N. Luo, K. Han, F. P. Zhuo, C. Xu, G. Z. Zhang, L. J. Liu, X. Y. Chen, C. Z. Hu, H. F. Zhou and Y. Z. Wei, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 14118-14128.

12. P.-Z. Ge, X.-G. Tang, K. Meng, X.-X. Huang, S.-F. Li, Q.-X. Liu and Y.-P. Jiang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **429**, 132540.

13. Z. T. Yang, H. L. Du, L. Jin, Q. Y. Hu, H. Wang, Y. F. Li, J. F. Wang, F. Gao and S. B. Qu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 27256-27266.

14. P.-Z. Ge, X.-G. Tang, K. Meng, X.-X. Huang, Q.-X. Liu, Y.-P. Jiang, W.-P. Gong and T. Wang, *Mater. Today Phys.*, 2022, **24**, 100681.

15. W. Chao, T. Yang and Y. Li, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2020, 8, 17016-17024.

16. X. Hao, J. Zhai and X. Yao, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2009, 92, 1133-1135.

17. J. Chen, H. Qi and R. Zuo, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 32871-32879.