Supplementary Information

One-Pot Cascade Construction of Nonsubstituted Quinoline-Bridged Covalent Organic Frameworks

Huaji Pang¹, Dekang Huang¹, Yanqiu Zhu¹, Xiaodong Zhao¹ & Yonggang Xiang^{1*}

¹Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China

E-mail: ygxiang@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Table of Contents

1.	General information1
	1.1 Reagents1
	1.2 Characterization methods1
	1.3 Electrochemical measurements
2.	Synthesis of small molecules4
3.	One-pot synthesis of NQ-COFs6
4.	Structure Modeling and PXRD Refinement of NQ-COFs11
5.	Typical procedures of the titration by Karl Fischer reagent13
6.	Characterization of NQ-COFs15
7.	Photocatalytic synthesis of 2-benzimidazole based derivatives
8.	Copies of NMR spectra
9.	References

1. General information

1.1 Reagents

Unless otherwise noted, all the chemicals and reagents were purchased in analytical purity from commercial suppliers and used directly without further purification. Karl Fischer reagent (containing pyridine) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., 4,4',4",4"'-(pyrene-1,3,6,8-tetrayl)tetrabenzaldehyde (**D**), 4,4',4",4"'-(pyrene-1,3,6,8-tetrayl)tetrakis(2-methoxybenzaldehyde) (**E**), and 4,4',4",4"'-(pyrene-1,3,6,8-tetrayl)tetraaniline (**3**) were synthesized following the reported procedures.^[1]

1.2 Characterization methods

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with Cu K α radiation (2 θ range: 2-40°; Scan step size: 0.02°; Time per step: 1 s). Liquid-state ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR were recorded on Bruker Advance 600 MHz, 150 MHz, and 564 MHz spectrometers at 298 K, respectively, and chemical shift (δ) was reported in ppm with residual solvent peak as the reference. Peaks are reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet or unresolved, with coupling constants in Hz. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were collected on a Nicolet 6700 spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) equipped with an ATR cell. The specific Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and pore size distribution were measured using a Micrometrics ASAP 2040 instrument at 77 K. High resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) images were obtained on a JEM-2100F instrument at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Solid-state ¹³C cross polarization magic angle spinning (¹³C-CP/MAS) NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 spectrometer. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed on a Thermo ESCALAB 250 spectrometer with non-monochromatic Al K α x-rays as the excitation source and C 1s (284.8 eV) as the reference line. Solid-state diffuse reflectance Ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy (UV-vis) spectra were collected on a Shimadzu UV 3600 Spectrophotometer with BaSO₄ as the reference. Thermal stability was investigated on a DSC 200 PC (NETZSCH) thermogravimetric analyzer with temperature ranging from 303 to 1073 K under N₂ atmosphere at a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹. The generation of O₂⁻⁻ was determined by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra, which was recorded on a JES-FA200 spectrometer under visible light irradiation using 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO) as the spin trapper.

1.3 Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical measurements were performed on the CHI660E workstation (Chenhua Instruments, China), and the standard three-electrode system included a platinum plate as the counter electrode, a commercial Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode, and a working electrode. The working electrode was prepared as follows: 15 mg of sample was thoroughly mixed with 200 μ L isopropanol containing 5% Nafion, and the resulting suspension was carefully loaded on the ITO glass substrate (10 × 25 × 1.1 mm) and dried at 60 °C under vacuum for 1 h. 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution was employed as the electrolyte for the photocurrent test while the aqueous solution containing 0.1 M KCl and 0.005 M K₃[Fe(CN)₆] was employed as the

electrolyte for the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement. For Mott-Schottky tests, the perturbation was 5 mV with frequencies of 100, 500, and 1000 Hz.

2. Synthesis of small molecules



¹³C-labeled A: A round-bottom flask was charged with ¹³C-labeled S1^[1] (464 mg, 2 mmol), 1,3,5-tribromobenzene (157 mg, 0.5 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (28.89 mg, 0.025 mmol) and K₃PO₄ (0.743 g, 3.5 mmol), and a mixed solvent of 1,4-dioxane (15 mL), distilled water (2 mL). After being degassed with Ar for 10 min, the mixture was stirred at 110 °C under an Ar atmosphere for 24 h. After cooling down, water was added to quench the reaction, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (EA) three times. The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The concentrated residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with CH₂Cl₂ as the eluent to afford the product ¹³C-labeled **A** as a white solid (180 mg, 93%). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.25-9.96 (dd, 3H), 8.03-8.01 (m, 6H), 7.91 (s, 3H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 191.9, 146.4, 141.7, 136.0, 130.6, 128.1, 126.6.



Model reaction for the synthesis of 2-phenylquinoline: A 10 mL Schlenk pressure tube was charged with benzaldehyde (31.8 mg, 0.30 mmol), aniline (27.9 mg, 0.30 mmol), vinylene carbonate (51.6 mg, 0.60 mmol), $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ (4 mg, 0.003 mmol), and mesitylene (1 mL) and dioxane (1 mL). After being sonicated for 5 minutes, the tube was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles (liquid nitrogen) and sealed under vacuum. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling down, the concentrated residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with PE/EA as the eluent to afford the product **2-phenylquinoline** (58.5 mg, 0.28 mmol, 95%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 8.25-8.17 (m, 4H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.55-7.52 (m, 3H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.3, 148.2, 139.6, 136.7, 129.7, 129.6, 129.3, 128.8, 127.5, 127.4, 127.1, 126.2, 118.9.

3. One-pot synthesis of NQ-COFs



Supplementary Scheme 1. Chemical structures of the building blocks for synthesis of NQ-COFs

Entry	Solvent	Catalyst	MgSO ₄ (mg)	Crystallinity
1	o-DCB 1 mL	HOAc 50µL	72	Low
2	o-DCB 1 mL	HOAc 50µL	-	No
3	o-DCB 1 mL	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	No
4	o-DCB 1 mL	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No
5	o-DCB 1 mL	TFA 50 μL	72	Low
6	o-DCB 1 mL	TFA 50 µL	-	No
7	Dioxane 1mL	HOAc 50 µL	72	No
8	Dioxane 1mL	HOAc 50 µL	-	No
9	Dioxane 1mL	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	No
10	Dioxane 1mL	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No
11	Dioxane 1mL	TFA 50 μL	72	No
12	Dioxane 1mL	TFA 50 μL	-	No
13	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:1	HOAc 50 µL	72	Moderate
14	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:1	HOAc 50 µL	-	No
15	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	No
16	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No
17	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:1	TFA 50 µL	72	Low
18	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:1	TFA 50 μL	-	No
19	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:2	HOAc 50 µL	72	High
10	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:2	HOAc 50 µL	-	No
21	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:2	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	No
22	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:2	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No
23	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:2	TFA50 µL	72	No
24	Mesitylene: Dioxane=1:2	TFA50 µL	-	No
25	Mesitylene: Dioxane=2:1	HOAc 50 µL	72	Low
26	Mesitylene: Dioxane=2:1	HOAc 50 µL	-	No
27	Mesitylene: Dioxane=2:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	Low
28	Mesitylene: Dioxane=2:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No
29	Mesitylene: Dioxane=2:1	TFA50 µL	72	No
30	Mesitylene: Dioxane=2:1	TFA50 µL	-	No
31	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:1	HOAc 50 µL	72	Low
32	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:1	HOAc 50 µL	-	No
33	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	No
34	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No
35	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:1	TFA50 µL	72	Low
36	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:1	TFA50 µL	-	No
37	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:2	HOAc 50 µL	72	Moderate
38	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:2	HOAc 50 µL	-	No
		7		

Supplementary Table 1. Condition optimization for the synthesis of NQ-COFA1-

OPR.^{*a*}

39	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:2	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	Low	
40	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:2	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No	
41	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:2	TFA50 µL	72	No	
42	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =1:2	TFA50 µL	-	No	
43	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =2:1	HOAc 50 µL	72	Low	
44	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =2:1	HOAc 50 µL	-	No	
45	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =2:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	Low	
46	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =2:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No	
47	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =2:1	TFA50 µL	72	Low	
48	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>n</i> -BuOH =2:1	TFA50 µL	-	No	
49	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>i</i> -PrOH =1:1	HOAc 50 µL	72	No	
50	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>i</i> -PrOH =1:1	HOAc 50 µL	-	No	
51	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>i</i> -PrOH =1:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	72	No	
52	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>i</i> -PrOH =1:1	6 M HOAc 100 μL	-	No	
53	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>i</i> -PrOH =1:1	TFA50 µL	72	No	
54	<i>o</i> -DCB: <i>i</i> -PrOH =1:1	TFA50 µL	-	No	
55	DMAc: Mesitylene:		72	Madarata	
	Dioxane=2:2:1	HOAC 50 µL	12	Moderate	
56	DMAc: Mesitylene:			No	
	Dioxane=2:2:1	HOAC 50 µL	-	NO	
57	DMAc: Mesitylene:	6 M HOA c 100 III	72	Low	
51	Dioxane=2:2:1	0 W 110AC 100 µL	12	Low	
58	DMAc: Mesitylene:	6 M HOAc 100 III	_	No	
50	Dioxane=2:2:1	0 WI 110/10 100 µL		110	
59	DMAc: Mesitylene:	ΤΕΔ 5 0 μΙ	72	Low	
57	Dioxane=2:2:1	11/130 µL	12	LOW	
60	DMAc: Mesitylene:	ΤΕΑ 5 0 μΓ	_	No	
00	Dioxane=2:2:1	11/130 µL		110	
61	Mesitylene: Dioxane: n-	HOAc 50 JUL	72	Low	
01	BuOH=1:1:1		12	Low	
62	Mesitylene: Dioxane: n-	HOAc 50 µL	_	No	
0-	BuOH=1:1:1	110110 00 pm		1.0	
63	Mesitylene: Dioxane: n-	6 M HOAc 100 µL	72	No	
05	BuOH=1:1:1		12	110	
64	Mesitylene: Dioxane: n-	6 M HOAc 100 µL	-	No	
	BuOH=1:1:1	• ··· ··· ··· /·-			
65	Mesitylene: Dioxane: n-	TFA50 uL	72	Low	
	BuOH=1:1:1		. –		
66	Mesitylene: Dioxane: n-	TFA50 uL	-	No	
00	BuOH=1:1:1	- * F. <u> </u>			

^{*a*} Aldehyde A, amine 1, vinylene carbonate (3 equiv), 120 °C, 3 d.

One-pot synthesis of 12 other NQ-COFs: The synthetic condition is similar to that of **NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR** with only the amount of building blocks, types of catalyst, and solvent changed, and the optimized reaction conditions were demonstrated in Supplementary Table 2.





Supplementary Scheme 2. Chemical structures of different NQ-COFs.

Supplementary Table 2. Optimized reaction conditions for one-pot synthesis of

Entry	Aldehyde	Amine	Acid	Solvent 1	Solvent 2	Solvent 3	Yield
NQ-COF _{B1}	19.7 mg	17.6 mg	HOAc	Mesitylene	Dioxane		36.2 mg
	0.05 mmol	0.05 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(1.0 mL)	(0.5 mL)	-	95%
NQ-COF _{B2}	19.7 mg	17.7 mg	HOAc	o-DCB	<i>i</i> -PrOH		36.0 mg
	0.05 mmol	0.05 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(0.5 mL)	(0.5 mL)	-	94%
NQ-COF _{C1}	8.1 mg	17.6 mg	HOAc	o-DCB	n-BuOH		24.5 mg
	0.05 mmol	0.05 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(0.5 mL)	(1.0 mL)	-	92%
NQ-COFc2	8.1 mg	17.7 mg	HOAc	o-DCB	n-BuOH		24.0 mg
	0.05 mmol	0.05 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(0.5 mL)	(1.0 mL)	-	90%
NQ-COFD4	30.9 mg	18.4 mg	HOAc	o-DCB			46.6 mg
	0.05 mmol	0.10 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(1.0 mL)	-	-	92%
NQ-COFD5	30.9 mg	10.8 mg	TFA	o-DCB			39.5 mg
	0.05 mmol	0.10 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(1.0 mL)	-	-	92%
NQ-COF _{E4}	36.9 mg	18.4 mg	TFA	o-DCB			49.2 mg
	0.05 mmol	0.10 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(1.0 mL)	_	_	87%
NQ-COF _{E5}	36.9 mg	10.8 mg	TFA	o-DCB			43.5 mg
	0.05 mmol	0.10 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(1.0 mL)	_	_	89%
NQ-COF _{F1}	10.6 mg	17.6 mg	TFA	Mesitylene	Dioxane	n-BuOH	25.9 mg
	0.075 mmol	0.05 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(0.5 mL)	(0.5 mL)	(0.5 mL)	92%
NQ-COF _{F2}	10.6 mg	17.7 mg	HOAc	o-DCB			26.2 mg
	0.075 mmol	0.05 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(1.0 mL)	-	-	93%
NQ-COF _{F3}	13.4 mg	28.3 mg	TFA	o-DCB		_	38.6 mg
	0.10 mmol	0.05 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(1.0 mL)	-	-	90%
NQ-COF _{G1}	14.6 mg	17.6 mg	HOAc	o-DCB			30.3 mg
	0.075 mmol	0.05 mmol	(0.05 mL)	(1.0 mL)	-	-	93%

different NQ-COFs.

4. Structure Modeling and PXRD Refinement of NQ-COFs

Supplementary Table 3. The Pawley refinement results including unit cell parameters and final related refinement factors for NQ-COFs

NQ-COF Unit cell parameters		Space group	Refinement factors	
	a = 25.47 Å b = 26.22 Å		D 1.40.0/	
NQ-COF _{A1} -OPR	c = 3.59 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 1.49 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=90^\circ\;\gamma=120^\circ$		Rp = 1.11%	
	a = 25.87 Å b = 25.89 Å			
NQ-COF _{B1}	c = 3.53 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 1.48 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=90^\circ\;\gamma=120^\circ$		Rp = 0.83 %	
	a = 25.16 Å b =26.27 Å			
NQ-COF _{B2}	c = 3.51 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 1.66 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=90^\circ~\gamma=120^\circ$		Rp = 1.45 %	
	a = 18.62 Å b = 18.61 Å			
NQ-COF _{C1}	c = 3.63 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 2.38 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=90^\circ~\gamma=120^\circ$		Rp = 1.53 %	
	a = 19.04 Å b = 17.79 Å			
NQ-COF _{C2}	c= 3.35 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 1.30 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=90^\circ~\gamma=120^\circ$		Rp = 1.21 %	
	a = 28.05 Å b = 28.52 Å			
NQ-COF _{D4}	c = 3.74 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 1.65 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$		Rp = 1.28 %	

	a = 23.93 Å b = 24.23 Å			
NQ-COF _{D5}	c = 3.75 Å	PI	Rwp = 1.32 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$		Rp = 1.19 %	
	a = 28.17 Å b = 28.65 Å			
NQ-COF _{E4}	c = 3.81 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 1.27 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$		Rp = 1.19 %	
	a = 23.85 Å b = 24.15 Å			
NQ-COF _{E5}	c = 3.85 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 1.21 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$		Rp = 1.09 %	
	a = 37.68 Å b = 36.15 Å			
NQ-COF _{F1}	c =3.62 Å	PI	Rwp = 1.34 %	
	$\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ} \ \gamma = 120^{\circ}$		Rp = 1.17 %	
	a = 34.21 Å b = 36.72 Å			
NQ-COF _{F2}	c = 4.92 Å	P1	Rwp = 4.60 %	
	$\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ} \ \gamma = 120^{\circ}$		Rp = 3.61 %	
	a = 23.43 Å b = 23.82 Å			
NQ-COF _{F3}	c = 3.83 Å	P1	Rwp = 1.91 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$		Rp = 1.51 %	
	a = 37.30 Å b = 36.95 Å			
NQ-COF _{G1}	c = 3.79 Å	<i>P1</i>	Rwp = 3.78 %	
	$\alpha=\beta=90^\circ~\gamma=120^\circ$		Rp = 2.93 %	

5. Typical procedures of the titration by Karl Fischer reagent:

10 μ L (10 mg) of distilled water was added into the mixed solvent of dry mesitylene (0.5 mL) and dry dioxane (0.5 mL) in a 10 mL glass tube with a magnetic stirring bar. Karl Fischer reagent was then dropped into the above mixed solvent, and the titration was stopped until the endpoint was reached (Supplementary Fig. 1). The amount of water was determined according to equation: T = M/V_{KF}, in which V_{KF} (mg/mL) represents the consumed volume of Karl Fisher reagent while M is the exact mass of water. The value of Average T was calculated to be 6.65 mg/mL after 5 parallel tests (Supplementary Table 4).



Supplementary Fig. 1 Digital photo of titration set-up by Karl Fischer reagent.

Su	pplementary	Table 4.	Titration b	y Karl	Fischer	reagents
----	-------------	-----------------	-------------	--------	---------	----------

V1 (mL)	V2 (mL)	$V_{KF}(mL)$	T (mg/mL)	T (Average)
3.09	4.58	1.49	6.71	
4.58	6.09	1.51	6.62	
6.09	7.59	1.5	6.67	6.65
7.59	9.1	1.51	6.62	
9.1	10.61	1.51	6.62	

Determination of water in the process of synthesizing NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR:

Batch A: NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR were prepared in the optimized conditions in the presence of MgSO₄. As the reaction finished, 1 mL of supernatant was taken after being centrifuged and added into a glass tube. Following the typical titration procedure, the average content of water was determined to be 0.89 mg/mL (Supplementary Table 5). Batch B: NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR were prepared in the optimized conditions without addition of MgSO₄. Following the above process, the content of water was determined to be 2.36 mg/mL (Supplementary Table 6).

V1 (mL)V2 (mL) V_{KF} (mL)T (mg/mL)T (Average)13.2713.410.140.6213.4113.540.130.58

Supplementary Table 5. Titration for COF_{A1} in the presence of MgSO₄.

		• • - •		
13.41	13.54	0.13	0.58	
13.54	13.67	0.13	0.57	0.59
13.67	13.81	0.14	0.62	
13.81	13.94	0.13	0.58	

Supplementary Table 6. Titration for NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR in the absence of MgSO4.

V1 (mL)	V2 (mL)	$V_{KF}(mL)$	T (mg/mL)	T (Average)
10.61	11.14	0.53	2.35	
11.14	11.68	0.54	2.395	
11.68	12.2	0.52	2.31	2.36
12.2	12.73	0.53	2.35	
12.73	13.27	0.54	2.39	

6. Characterization of NQ-COFs



Supplementary Fig. 2 Characterization of **NQ-COF**_{A1}**-OPR** with different amount of MgSO₄. (a) FT-IR and (b) PXRD patterns.



Supplementary Fig. 3 Characterization of NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR in the presence of CaCl₂.



Supplementary Fig. 4 Pore size distribution profiles of COF_{A1}, NQ-COF_{A1}-PSM, and

NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR.



Supplementary Fig. 5 HRTEM image of NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR (the inset shows the enlarged image of the selected area).



Supplementary Fig. 6 FT-IR spectra of isotopically ¹³C-labelled COF_{A1} and NQ-

COF_{A1}-OPR.



Supplementary Fig. 7 High resolution N 1s XPS spectra of COF_{A1} and NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR.



Supplementary Fig. 8 Chemical stability test. PXRD patterns of (a) NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR and (b) COF_{A1} after treatment under different harsh chemical conditions. (c) PXRD patterns and (d) FT-IR spectra of NQ-COF_{A1}-OPR before and after treatment in n-hexylamine solution for 24 h.



Supplementary Fig. 9 Thermal stability evaluation by TGA measurement.



Supplementary Fig. 10 FT-IR spectra of different COFs and NQ-COFs.



Supplementary Fig. 11 High resolution N 1s XPS spectra of NQ-COFs and corresponding imine-linked COFs.



Supplementary Fig. 12 N₂ sorption isotherm curves of NQ-COFs and corresponding

imine-linked COFs.



Supplementary Fig. 13 Pore size distribution profiles of NQ-COFs and corresponding

imine-linked COFs.



Supplementary Fig. 14 PXRD patterns of different NQ-COFs before and after treatment in *n*-hexylamine solution for 24 h.



Supplementary Fig. 15 FT-IR spectra of different COFs and NQ-COFs before and after treatment in *n*-hexylamine solution for 24 h.



Supplementary Fig. 16 SEM images of NQ-COFs and corresponding imine-linked

COFs.



Supplementary Fig. 17 HRTEM images of (a) NQ-COF_{B1}, (b) NQ-COF_{B2}, (c) NQ-COF_{C1}, (d) NQ-COF_{C2}, (e) NQ-COF_{D4}, (f) NQ-COF_{D5}, (g) NQ-COF_{E4} (h) NQ-COF_{E5}, (i) NQ-COF_{F1}, (j) NQ-COF_{F2} (k) NQ-COF_{F3} and (l) NQ-COF_{G1}. The insets show the enlarged image of the selected area.



Supplementary Fig. 18 Mott-Schottky plots of (a) COF_{A1} and (b) NQ-COF_{A1}-PSM.



Supplementary Fig. 19 FT-IR spectra of **NQ-COF**_{A1}**-OPR** before and after the five runs of the photocatalytic reaction.

7. Photocatalytic synthesis of 2-benzimidazole based derivatives



Detection of H₂O₂:

The generation of H₂O₂ in the photocatalytic reaction was detected by the following mechanism:

$$\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{2} + 2\mathrm{KI} + \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{SO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2} + \mathrm{K}_{2}\mathrm{SO}_{4} + 2\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$$

Typical procedure: after finishing the synthesis of 2-phenyl-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole (**3a**), 0.5 mL aliquot of the filtrate was diluted with 5 mL distilled water. Subsequently, 5 mL of 3.5 M H₂SO₄ solution, 1 mL of 0.1 M KI solution, and 1 mL of 0.4 M Potassium biphthalate solution were successively added to the above solution to evaluate the generation of H₂O₂.



Supplementary Fig. 20 (a) Photo image of potassium iodide, potassium biphthalate, and 3.5 M H₂SO₄ mixture solution, which was changed to dark yellow (b) after addition

of 0.5 mL aliquot of the filtrate 3a.



2-phenyl-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazole (3a): 96 mg, 0.495 mmol, 99 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.93 (br s, 1H), 8.19 (d,** *J* **= 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (s, 2H), 7.56-7.48 (m, 3H), 7.22-7.19 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 151.2, 130.1, 129.9, 128.9, 126.4, 122.1.**



5,6-difluoro-2-phenyl-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazole (3b)**: 108 mg, 0.47 mmol, 94 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.17 (br s, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.68-7.49 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 153.3, 130.2, 129.7, 129.0, 126.4; ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ -140.9, -142.9.



5,6-dichloro-2-phenyl-1*H***-benzo**[*d*]**imidazole (3c)**: 115 mg, 0.44 mmol, 88 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.26 (br s, 1H), 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H),7.59-7.52 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 154.3, 131.1, 129.7, 125.6, 127.2.



5-fluoro-2-phenyl-1*H***-benzo**[*d*]**imidazole (3d**): 87 mg, 0.41 mmol, 82 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.05 (br s, 1H), 8.17 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H),7.69-7.33 (m, 5H), 7.06 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 159.7, 158.1, 152.9, 131.7, 130.1,

128.8, 126.5, 119.7, 111.9, 110.6, 104.4. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -119.6, -121.4.



5-methyl-2-phenyl-1*H***-benzo**[*d*]**imidazole (3e**): 89 mg, 0.43 mmol, 85 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.74 (br s, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H),7.63-7.32 (m, 5H), 7.03 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 133.3, 130.8, 130.1, 129.7, 129.4, 126.8, 119.0, 111.5, 21.8.



2-(4-bromophenyl)-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazole (3f): 121 mg, 0.45 mmol, 89 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.96 (br s, 1H), 8.12 (d,** *J* **= 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d,** *J* **= 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (s, 2H), 7.34-7.20 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 150.7, 132.4, 129.9, 128.8, 123.7, 122.8.**



4-(1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazol-2-yl) phenol (3g)**: 96 mg, 0.46 mmol, 92 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.65 (br s, 1H), 9.98 (s, 1H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.4Hz, 2H), 7.54 (s, 2H), 7.16-7.14 (m, 2H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 159.6, 152.3, 128.6, 122.1, 121.6, 116.1.



2-(4-bromophenyl)-5,6-difluoro-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazole (3h): 144 mg, 0.47 mmol, 94 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.26 (br s, 1H), 8.08 (d,** *J* **= 8.6 Hz,** 2H), 7.77 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 152.7, 132.5, 129.3, 128.8, 124.1; ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -143.0, -144.8.



2-(4-bromophenyl)-5,6-chloro-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazole (3i): 137 mg, 0.40 mmol, 80 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.36 (br s, 1H), 8.10 (d,** *J* **= 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.87-7.78 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 153.3, 132.6, 129.1, 128.9, 124.5.**



4-(5,6-difluoro-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazol-2-yl) phenol (3j): 109 mg, 0.45 mmol, 89 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.91 (br s, 1H), 10.04 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d,** *J* **= 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (s, 2H), 6.91 (d,** *J* **= 7.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 170.8, 159.8, 154.3, 147.8, 147.7, 146.2, 146.1, 128.6, 121.1, 116.2; ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -144.5, -145.8.**



4-(5,6-difluoro-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazol-2-yl) benzonitrile (3k)**: 108 mg, 0.43 mmol, 85 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.41 (br s, 1H), 8.30 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 134.2, 133.5, 127.5, 119.0, 112.6. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -141.8, -143.7.



4-(5,6-dichloro-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazol-2-yl) benzonitrile (3l)**: 115 mg, 0.4 mmol, 80 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.55 (br s, 1H), 8.33 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.05

 $(d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.93 (s, 2\text{H}); {}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (151 \text{ MHz}, \text{DMSO-d}_6) \delta 152.5, 133.9, 133.6,$

127.8, 113.0.



5,6-difluoro-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-1*H***-benzo[***d***]imidazole (3m): 114 mg, 0.42 mmol, 83 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.49 (br s, 1H), 8.41-8.37 (m, 2H), 7.73-7.70 (m, 2H), 5.75 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 151.5, 148.4, 135.9, 127.9, 124.8. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -142.2, -144.1.**



5-fluoro-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H***-benzo**[*d*]**imidazole (3n)**: 98 mg, 0.41 mmol, 81 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.87 (br s, 1H), 8.10 (s, 2H), 7.61-7.02 (m, 5H), 3.83 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 161.2, 128.5, 122.9, 119.7, 114.9, 112.0, 110.5, 55.8. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -120.2, -121.8.



5,6-dichloro-2-(*o***-tolyl)-1***H***-benzo[***d***]imidazole (3o): 129 mg, 0.46 mmol, 93 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.97 (br s, 1H), 7.85 (s, 2H), 7.73 (d,** *J* **= 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.44-7.37 (m, 3H), 2.59 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 155.0, 137.7, 131.9, 130.4, 130.0, 129.6, 126.5, 21.4.**



2-(m-tolyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole (3p): 93 mg, 0.44 mmol, 89 % yield. ¹H NMR (600

MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 13.30 (br s, 1H), 8.09 (s, 1H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.60-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.42-7.40 (t, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.17 (m, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 151.8, 138.5, 130.9, 130.6, 129.3, 127.6, 124.2, 122.4, 21.5.



2-(*o***-tolyl)-1***H***-benzo[***d***]imidazole (3q): 96 mg, 0.46 mmol, 92 % yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.61 (br s, 1H), 7.74 (d,** *J* **= 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d,** *J* **= 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d,** *J* **= 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.36 (m, 3H), 7.23-7.19 (m, 2H), 2.61 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 152.4, 144.2, 137.5, 134.9, 131.7, 130.5, 129.9, 129.8, 126.4, 122.8, 121.9, 119.4, 111.7, 21.5.**

8. Copies of NMR spectra



Supplementary Fig. 21 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of ¹³C-labeled A.



Supplementary Fig. 22 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 2-phenylquinoline.



Supplementary Fig. 23 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3a.



Supplementary Fig. 24 ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 3b.



Supplementary Fig. 25 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3c.



Supplementary Fig. 26 ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 3d.



Supplementary Fig. 27 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3e.



Supplementary Fig. 28 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3f.



Supplementary Fig. 29 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3g.



Supplementary Fig. 30 ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 3h.



Supplementary Fig. 31 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3i.



Supplementary Fig. 32 ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 3j.



Supplementary Fig. 33 ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 3k.



Supplementary Fig. 34 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3l.



Supplementary Fig. 35 ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 3m.



Supplementary Fig. 36 ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 3n.



Supplementary Fig. 37 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 30.



Supplementary Fig. 38 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3p.



Supplementary Fig. 39 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3q.

9. References

[1] X. Zhao, H. Pang, D. Huang, G. Liu, J. Hu, Y. Xiang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, e202208833.