Supplementary Information

Boosting Bifunctional Oxygen Electrocatalysis of Graphitic C₃N₄ using Non-covalently Functionalized Non-oxidized Graphene Aerogels as Catalyst Supports

Jin Kim,^{b, 1} Anand P. Tiwari,^{a, 1} Myungwoo Choi,^a Qiang Chen,^a Jinho Lee,^a Travis G. Novak,^a Minsu Park,^a Kisun Kim,^a Ki-Seok An^{b,*} and Seokwoo Jeon^{a,*}

^a Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea E-mail: jeon39@kaist.ac.kr

^bThin Film Materials Research Center, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Daejeon 34114, Republic of Korea E-mail: ksan@krict.re.kr

¹These authors contributed equally to this work

*corresponding author, E-mail address: jeon39@kaist.ac.kr (S. Jeon), ksan@krict.re.kr

(K.-S. An)

CONTENTS

Experimental Section	-S4
Details for calculating the Koutecky-Levich plots	- S6
Details for calculating the ne based on rotating ring disc electrode (RRDE) measureme	e nts S7

Supporting Figures

Lateral size distribution of g-C ₃ N ₄ nanosheets. (Fig. S1) S8
Characterization of the exfoliated $g-C_3N_4$ nanosheets. (Fig. S2) S8
Characterization of the non-oxidized graphene flake (NOGF). (Fig. S3) S9
Composition analysis of NOGFs with different surface modifications. (Fig. S4) S8
Structural and analysis of n-type CN-NOGA pore walls. (Fig. S5)S10
Structural and analysis of p-type CN-NOGA pore walls. (Fig. S6)S10
Structural and composition analysis of bare CN-NOGA. (Fig. S7)S11
Digital image of a film holder for Hall measurement. (Fig. S8)S11
Ideal oxygen reduction reaction through four electron donation. (Fig. S9)S12
Electron transfer number (n_e) and kinetic current density (j_k) of CN-NOGAs. (Fig. S10)S12
RRDE polarization curves of n-type CN-NOGA. (Fig. S11)S12
Cyclic voltammetry of CN-NOGAs. (Fig. S12)S13
Composition analysis of n-type CN-NOGA before and after ORR stability test. (Fig. S13) -S13
Linear sweep voltammetry curves of of as-prepared g-C ₃ N ₄ and CN-NOGAs normalized by ECSAs. (Fig. S14)S14

Composition analysis of p-type CN-NOGA before and after OER stability test. (Fig. S15) -S14

Hall measurement of NOGFs in different surface modifications. (Table S1) ------S15

 $Comparison of present work with other reported bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysis based on g-C_3N_4 supported on various conductive supports. (Table S2) ------S15$

Experimental Section

Fabrication of g-C₃N₄ Nanosheets: Melamine (100 mg) was heated at 550 °C for 4 hours in air with elevating temperature of 5 °C min⁻¹. The resulting bulk g-C₃N₄ was immersed in Deionized (DI) water (100 ml) and exfoliated using a probe sonicator for 2 hours. The g-C₃N₄ solution was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 30 min and the supernatant was collected.

Fabrication of NOGFs: Bulk potassium metal and naphthalene were dissolved in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) in 1 M concentration to prepare potassium-naphthalenide solution. Graphite powder (Sigma Aldrich) was immersed in the potassium-naphthalenide solution for at least 24 hr to obtain graphite intercalation compound (GIC). The GIC was thoroughly washed with anhydrous THF to remove remaining potassium and naphthalene. The GIC ultrasonicated using a probe sonicator in Dimethyl for 30 minutes. For obtaining surface modified NOGFs, the GIC was immersed in PVP-Dimethyl Sulfoxide (2 mg ml⁻¹) or PBA-Dimethyl Sulfoxide (2 mg ml⁻¹) solution. The exfoliated NOGF solution was then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 30 min and the supernatant was collected.

Fabrication of Aerogel: NOGFs and g-C₃N₄ nanosheets were first redispersed in DI water in 1:1 ratio and redispersed in DI water in 4 mg ml⁻¹ concentration under probe sonication for 3 min. Nafion binder was sequentially added to the solution at 1:4 weight ratio of NOGFs and g-C₃N₄ nanosheets. The precursor solution was then poured into a polylactic acid (PLA) mold with one end having 20° wedge. The mold was placed on a metal stage cooled by liquid nitrogen for bi-directional freeze casting. The aerogel was obtained after freeze dried in a freeze dryer (TFD8501, iSBio) for 48 hr.

Characterization: SEM (S-4800, Hitachi) with a field-emission source at 10 keV and built-in EDS was used to examine the structure and composition of CN-NOGAs. TEM analysis was performed with F2 F20 (Tecnai) at an acceleration voltage of 200 keV. Raman spectroscopy was carried out on a Senterra system (Bruker). XPS was performed on a Sigma Probe system (Thermo VG Scientific) with AlKa radiation source. XRD (D/MAX-2500) with Cu Ka radiation ($\lambda = 1.518$ Å) was used to examine phases and composition of Cn-NOGAs. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy was carried out by FT-IR-4100 type-A FT-IR

spectrometer (Jasco). Hall measurement was performed with Ecopia. For accurate comparison, the CN-NOGAs were formed into films with the same dimension by compressing to 5 μ m thickness and cut into 5 cm x 5 cm. The films were placed above the film holder and measured.

Electrochemical Characterizations: The aerogels were cut with a punch hole with diameter of 3 mm, in the direction parallel to the pore alignment direction. The samples were placed on top of glassy carbon electrode. 5μ l of Nafion 117 solution (5%) was drop casted on the sample which was dried in an oven at 65 °C overnight. The electrocatalytic properties were measured on a VersaSTATE 3 (principle applied research) electrochemical workstation equipped with a rotating disk electrode system (AMETEK, principle applied research, 616B). The electrochemical performance was evaluated using a three-electrode system (where the working electrode was the active material/glassy carbon, the reference electrode was Ag/AgCl in 3 M KCl, and the counter electrode was a Pt mesh) in 1 M KOH (pH = 13.6) electrolyte. All of the potentials were calibrated to a RHE, ERHE = EAg/AgCl + 0.196 + 0.0591 pH V.

Details for calculating the Koutecky-Levich plots:

The Koutecky - Levich plots and the kinetic parameters of ORR can be analysed on the basis of the Koutecky - Levich equations:

$$\frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j_L} + \frac{1}{j_K} = \frac{1}{B\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{j_K}$$
(1)

$$B = 0.62nFC_0 \left(D_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \right) \eta^{-\frac{1}{6}}$$
⁽²⁾

$$j_K = nF \ kC_0 \tag{3}$$

Where j (mA cm⁻²) is the measured current density, j_K and j_L (mA cm⁻²) are the kinetic- and diffusion-limiting current densities, ω is the angular velocity of the rotating disk ($\omega = 2\pi N$, N is the linear rotating speed in rpm), n is the overall number of electrons transferred in ORR, F is the Faraday constant, C₀ is the bulk concentration of O₂, D₀ is diffusion coefficient of O₂, v is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte, and k is the electron transfer rate constant, respectively. According to the Equations (1) and (2), the number of electrons transferred (n) and the kinetic-limiting current j_K can be obtained from the slope and intercept of the Koutecky–Levich plots (1/j versus $\omega^{-1/2}$). For the calculation of electron transfer number n and j_K in 0.1M KOH electrolyte, values of different constants are estimated as:

 $F = Faraday constant = 96485 C mol^{-1}$

A= electrode area = 0.071 cm^2

 $D_0 = Diffusion \text{ coefficient of } O_2 = 9 \times 10^{-5}$

v = kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte solution = 0.01 cm² s⁻¹

 C_0 = concentration of dissolved O_2 = 1.2 × 10⁻⁶

After putting these values in equation (2) we got the final equation as:

$$n = \frac{28.15}{slope \ of \ K - L \ plot} \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, for the calculation of the production yield of H₂O₂, we have used the following equation:

$$H_2O_2\% = 100 \times \frac{4-n}{2}$$
 (5)

Values for the samples:

Samples	Electron transfer number	Kinetic current density	
	(n _e)	(jĸ)	
g-C ₃ N ₄	0.10	0.15 mA cm ⁻²	
CN-NOGA	0.35	0.31 mA cm ⁻²	
p-type CN-NOGA	0.65	1.62 mA cm ⁻²	
n-type CN-NOGA	3.80	5.20 mA cm ⁻²	
Pt/C	3.99	5.90 mA cm ⁻²	

Details for calculating the n_e based on rotating ring disc electrode (RRDE) measurements:

 n_e of the n-type CN-NOGA was calculated on the basis of the RRDE measurements using the following equation:

$$n_e = 4I_D / (I_D + I_R / N)$$
 (6)

Where N (= 0.36) is the collection efficiency, I_D is the faradic disk current, and I_R is the faradic ring current.



Fig. S1. Lateral size distribution of 60 g-C₃N₄ nanosheets.



Fig. S2. Characterization of the exfoliated g- C_3N_4 nanosheets. (a) X-ray diffraction pattern (XRD) showing characteristic peaks of g- C_3N_4 . X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of g- C_3N_4 nanosheets in (b) survey and (c) C1s peak showing characteristic 60 % nitrogen and C-N content.



Fig. S3. Characterization of the non-oxidized graphene flake (NOGF). (a) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of a single NOGF and (b) enlargement of its edge (inset shows Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) pattern of hexagonal symmetry, indicating of high crystallinity).



Fig. S4. Composition analysis of NOGFs with different surface modifications. (a) Raman spectrum showing low I_D/I_G ratios of NOGFs even after surface modification with PVP or PBA. (b) XPS C1s peaks and (c) Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopic (FT-IR) analysis showing characteristic components of corresponding surfactant. (d) Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) estimating the quality of functionalized surfactant.



Fig. S5. Magnified SEM image of n-type CN-NOGA pore walls.



Fig. S6. Magnified SEM image of p-type CN-NOGA pore walls.



Fig. S7. (a) SEM image of the cross section of bare CN-NOGA. (b) TEM image of bare CN-NOGA pore wall and corresponding (c) energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental maps of C, O and N. Characterization of bare NOGF, $g-C_3N_4$ and bare CN-NOGA in (d) X-ray Diffraction (XRD), (e) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey and (f) Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy.



Fig. S8. Digital image of (a) film holder for Hall measurement. (b) Film holder with CN-NOGA in 5 cm x 5 cm and 5 μ m thickness dimension.

$$O_2 \rightarrow O_2 \rightarrow OOH \rightarrow 2OH \rightarrow OH + H_2O \rightarrow 2H_2O$$

Fig. S9. Ideal oxygen reduction reaction through four electron donation.



Fig. S10. Electron transfer number (n_e) and kinetic current density (j_k) of bare g-C₃N₄, CN-NOGAs in different electric states and commercial Pt/C.



Fig. S11. RRDE polarization curves of n-type CN-NOGA in 1.0 M KOH at a rotation rate of 1600 rpm.



Fig. S12. Cyclic voltammetry of (a) bare CN-NOGA, (b) n-type CN-NOGA and (c) p-type CN-NOGA.



Fig. S13. Composition analysis of n-type CN-NOGA before and after ORR stability test: (a) XRD spectra, XPS in terms of (b) survey, (c) C1s peaks and (d) N1s peaks.



Fig. S14. Linear sweep voltammetry curves of as-prepared $g-C_3N_4$ and CN-NOGAs normalized by ECSAs.



Fig. S15. Composition analysis of p-type CN-NOGA before and after OER stability test: (a) XRD spectra, XPS in terms of (b) survey, (c) C1s peaks and (d) N1s peaks.

	Carrier Concentration (cm ⁻³)	Mobility (cm ^{2.} V ^{-1.} s ⁻¹)	Resistivity (Ω·cm)	Conduction Type
0 CN-NOGA (Bare)	0.588 · 10 ¹⁹	1.451 · 10 ²	7.31 · 10 ⁻³	n
n CN-NOGA (PVP)	4.114 · 10 ¹⁹	1.238 · 10 ²	1.23 · 10 ⁻³	n
p CN-NOGA (PBA)	1.348 · 10 ¹⁹	1.202 · 10 ²	3.85 · 10 ⁻³	р

Table S1. Hall measurement of NOGFs in different surface modifications.

Table S2. Comparison of present work with other reported bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysis based on g-C₃N₄ supported on various conductive supports. All shown V0 values are vs. RHE.

	Overpotentials (V ₀ vs RHE)	Overpotential of OER		
Sample	for ORR/electron transfer	(V₀ <i>vs</i> RHE) @10 mA cm⁻²,	Reference	
	no. (n _e)	Tafel slope		
Co-C ₃ N ₄ /C	V ₀ = 0.95 V n _e = 3.80	V ₀ = 1.65 V	Niu <i>et al.</i>	
		Tafel = 53 mV dec ⁻¹	ACS Catal.	
			2018, 8, 1926–1931	
	$V_{0} = 0.90 V_{0}$	V ₀ = 1.61 V	Zheng et al.	
Co-C ₃ N ₄ /CNT	$v_0 = 0.30$ v	Tafel = 68 mV dec ⁻¹	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017,	
	n _e = N/A		139 , 3336–3339	
	$V_0 = 0.80 V$	V ₀ = 1.65 V	Ma et al.	
$g-C_3N_4/Ti_3C_2$ nanosheets	$n_e = N/A$	Tafel = 74 mV dec⁻¹	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016,	
			55 , 1138-1142	
phosphorus-doped	$V_{0} = 0.84 V_{0}$	V ₀ = 1.63 V	Ma et al.	
g-C ₃ N ₄ /carbon-fiber	$v_0 = 0.04 v$	Tafel = 61 m\/ dec-1	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015,	
paper			54 , 4646–4650	
NiCo2S4@g-C3N4-CNT	V ₀ = 0.85 V n _e = N/A	V ₀ = 1.58 V	Han <i>et al.</i>	
		Tafel = 57 mV dec ⁻¹	Adv. Mater.	
			2019, 31, 1808281	
	$V_{0} = 0.82 V_{0}$	V ₀ = 1.65 V Tafel = N/A	Guo et al.	
Ag/ g-C ₃ N ₄ /Co ₃ O ₄	$v_0 = 0.02$ v		J. Energy Chem.	
	n _e = 4.00		2020, 41, 185-193	
Co ₄ N/g-C ₃ N ₄	V ₀ = 0.94 V n _e = 3.90	V ₀ = 1.54 V	Wang <i>et al.</i>	
		Tafel = 69 mV dec ⁻¹	Chem. Eng. J.	
			2021, 413, 127954	
g-C₃N₄/NOGA	(n-type CN-NOGA)	(p-type CN-NOGA)		
	V ₀ = 0.79 V	V ₀ = 1.49 V	This Work	
	n _e = 3.80	Tafel = 68 mV dec ⁻¹		