## Ultrahigh efficiency $CH_4$ photocatalytic conversion to C1 liquid products over cheap and plentiful CeO<sub>2</sub> at 30 °C

Hailong Tang<sup>a</sup>, Tangtong Ju<sup>a</sup>, Yue Dai<sup>a</sup>, Meiling Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yongqing Ma<sup>a, b,\*</sup>, Min

Wang<sup>c</sup> and Ganhong Zheng<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, China

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Physical Science and Information Technology, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China

<sup>c</sup> School of Physics and optoelectronics engineering, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, China

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Tel: (86) 13856996630, E-mail: mlw@ahu.edu.cn, yqma@ahu.edu.cn

Key words: CeO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen vacancy, CH<sub>4</sub> conversion, photocatalysis, C1 products

Fig. S1-S8, Table S1-S4



Fig. S1 a) Particle size distributions of different catalysts calculated using Scherrer equation, b-d) Low- and high-magnification SEM images of representative samples.



Fig. S2 The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and pore size distributions of raw-CeO<sub>2</sub>, 900-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) and 1200-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) respectively.



Fig. S3 UV-vis absorption spectra of different samples.



Fig. S4 a) XPS survey spectra of sample 1200-CeO<sub>2</sub>, and high resolution Ce 3d and O 1s XPS spectra of sample b, c) raw-CeO<sub>2</sub>, d, e) 900-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5), f, g) 1000-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5), h, i) 1100- CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) and j, k) 1100- CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) respectively.



Fig. S5 Photoluminescence spectra of raw-CeO<sub>2</sub>, 900-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) and 1200-

CeO<sub>2</sub>(5).

| Catalyst                           | Main                    | Oxidant                       | Temperatur  | Pressure               | References |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|
|                                    | Products                |                               | e           |                        |            |
| Fe/TiO <sub>2</sub>                | alcohol                 | H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | Room        | Atmospheric            | 17         |
|                                    |                         |                               | temperature | pressure               |            |
| Cu/C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>   | ethanol                 | H <sub>2</sub> O              | Room        | Atmospheric            | 19         |
|                                    |                         |                               | temperature | pressure               |            |
| Au-                                | CH <sub>3</sub> OOH and | 02                            | 25 °C       | 0.1 MPa O <sub>2</sub> | 21         |
| CoO <sub>x</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> | СН <sub>3</sub> ОН      |                               |             | and 2.0 MPa            |            |
| ZnO                                | СН <sub>3</sub> ООН,    | H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | 50 °C       | Atmospheric            | 22         |
| nanosheets                         | СН <sub>3</sub> ОН,     |                               |             | pressure               |            |
|                                    | HCHO and                |                               |             |                        |            |
|                                    | нсоон                   |                               |             |                        |            |
| CeO <sub>2</sub>                   | ethanol and             | water                         | 25 °C       | 0.2 MPa                | 34         |
|                                    | aldehyde                |                               |             |                        |            |
| IrFe                               | СН <sub>3</sub> СООН,   | H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | 50 °C       | 3 MPa                  | 41         |
| supported                          | CH <sub>3</sub> OH, and |                               |             |                        |            |
| on ZSM-5                           | нсоон                   |                               |             |                        |            |

| RGO-TiO <sub>2</sub> | Aldehyde and            | Water                         | 60 °C | Atmospheric | 42       |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------|----------|
|                      | acetone                 | vapor                         |       | pressure    |          |
| BiOCl                | СН₃ОН                   | 02                            | 40 °C | Normal      | 43       |
| CeO <sub>2</sub>     | нсно,                   | H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | 30 ℃  | 2 MPa       | Our work |
|                      | СН₃ОН,                  |                               |       |             |          |
|                      | CH <sub>3</sub> OOH and |                               |       |             |          |
|                      | нсоон                   |                               |       |             |          |

Table S1 Catalysts referenced in Fig. 4f and their photocatalytic experimental conditions.



Fig. S6 Different products yields and C1 products selectivity over a) 5 mg, b) 10 mg and c) 20 mg 1200-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) obtained as a function of photoexcitation time (2 MPa  $CH_4$ , 30 °C, 165  $\mu$ L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>).

| C1 liquid | e <sup>-</sup> transfered | C1          | HCHO and    | $H_2O_2$   | Gain factor |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| products  |                           | selectivity | НСООН       | conversion |             |
|           |                           |             | selectivity | rate       |             |
| 67.31     | 348.1                     | 1           | 0.92        | 0.1        | 0.39        |



Table S2 Photocatalytic performance of 1200-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5), experimental condition: (20 mg catalyst, 2 MPa CH<sub>4</sub>, 70 °C, 165 μL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 2 h).

Fig. S7 High resolution Ce 3d and O 1s XPS spectra of sample 1200-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) a) after



photocatalysis and b) regenerated via reannealing treatments.

Fig. S8 Electrochemical impedance spectra of raw-CeO<sub>2</sub> and 1200-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) in 1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution at 1.8 V vs reversible hydrogen electrode.

| 1200-CeO <sub>2</sub> (5) | Ce <sup>3+</sup> /(Ce <sup>3+</sup> +Ce <sup>4+</sup> ) | O <sub>v</sub> ratios (%) |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
|                           | (%)   |                           |  |  |
| After 4th cycle           | 23.13   | 32.83                     |  |  |
| Regenerated               | 27.4  | 41.45                     |  |  |

Table S3  $Ce^{3+}/(Ce^{3+}+Ce^{4+})$  and  $O_v$  ratios of 1200-CeO<sub>2</sub>(5) after 4<sup>th</sup> cycle and

regeneration via the relative intensity ratios in XPS spectra.

| radical      | CH <sub>3</sub> OH | НСНО | НСООН | CH <sub>3</sub> COOH | CH <sub>3</sub> OOH | CO <sub>2</sub> | C1       |
|--------------|--------------------|------|-------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|
| scavengers   |                    |      |       |                      |                     |                 | products |
| Without      | 2.2                | 11.5 | 15.9  | 0.5                  | 4.8                 | 0.5             | 34.9     |
| radical      |                    |      |       |                      |                     |                 |          |
| scavengers   |                    |      |       |                      |                     |                 |          |
| isopropanol  | 0.7                | 4.1  | 16.9  | 3.6                  | 0.6                 | 0               | 25.9     |
| benzoquinone | 0                  | 0    | 0     |                      | 0                   | 3.2             | 0        |

Table S4 Yields of C1 products without and with radical scavengers.



Fig. S9 Yields of various products using CH<sub>3</sub>OH and HCHO as the starting reactants respectively.