Synergistic Effect of Diatomic Boron-doped Layered Two-Dimensional MSi₂N₄ Monolayer for Efficient Electrochemical Nitrogen Reduction

Qian Dang,^a Yuqin Zhang ,^a Xiaohang Wang,^a Tianyong Liu,^a Mingjie Zhang,^{*a} Xingxing Li,^a Shaobin Tang,^{*a} and Jun Jiang^b

^aKey Laboratory of Organo-Pharmaceutical Chemistry of Jiangxi Province, Gannan Normal University, Ganzhou 341000, China

^bHefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, CAS Center for

Excellence in Nanoscience, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, University of

Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

Table S1. Gibbs free energy of potential-determining step (ΔG_{PDS} , in eV) for NRR on B@MoSi₂N₄ with different supercell size by enzymatic pathway.

	3×1×3	4×1×4	5×1×5	
ΔG_{PDS}	1.325	1.374	1.340	
ΔG _{PDS}	1.325	1.374	1.340	



Fig. S1 Positively polarized charges of per B atom and negatively polarized charges of N_2 for N_2 adsorbed on (a, b) B@MSi₂N₄ and (c, d) B₂@MSi₂N₄ with the (a, c) end-on and (b, d) side-on configurations.



Fig. S2 Crystal orbital Hamilton population of adsorbed N_2 on B@MSi₂N₄ (left panel) and B₂@MSi₂N₄ (right panel) with the end-on pattern (M=Ti, Hf, Zr, V, Nb, Ta, Cr, W). (a) Ti, (b) Hf, (c) Zr, (d) V, (e) Nb, (f) Ta, (g) Cr, and (h) W. ICOHP values for each system are shown. The Fermi level is set to 0.



Fig. S3 Geometrical structures of intermediates via (a) and (b) the alternating and (c) and (d) enzymatic reaction pathway on (a, c) one B and (b, d) two B atoms doped $MoSi_2N_4$.

М	ΔE _{ads} (*N-N)	ΔE _{ads} (*N-NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*N-NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*N-NH ₃)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₃)
Cr	-2.26	-1.04	-2.12	-1.65	-0.76	-2.93	-4.20
Hf	-1.84	-0.58	-1.61	-1.03	-0.14	-2.39	-3.76
Mo	-1.97	-0.66	-1.75	-1.17	-0.35	-2.56	-3.89
Nb	-1.90	-0.87	-1.69	-1.23	-0.48	-2.51	-3.83
Та	-1.86	-0.66	-1.64	-1.10	-0.33	-2.48	-3.80
Ti	-2.07	-0.80	-1.87	-1.33	-0.54	-2.68	-4.01
V	-2.13	-0.91	-1.94	-1.39	-0.58	-2.78	-4.07
W	-2.00	-0.75	-1.79	-1.31	-0.43	-2.65	-3.93
Zr	-1.80	-0.46	-1.59	-1.02	-0.24	-2.37	-3.74

Table S2. Adsorption energy (in eV) of key intermediates *N-N, *N-NH, *N-NH2,*N-NH3, *NH, *NH2, and *NH3 on B@MSi2N4 for eNRR via the distal mechanism.

* The adsorption energy of intermediate is calculated by the following equation:

$$\Delta E_{ads} * N_X H_Y = E * N_X H_Y - (E^* + \frac{X}{2} E_{N_2} + \frac{Y}{2} E_{H_2}), \text{ where } E(*N_X H_Y), E^*, E_{N_2} \text{ and } E_{H_2}$$

are the total energies of catalysts with adsorbed species $*N_XH_Y$, clean catalyst surface, free N_2 , and H_2 , respectively. *X* and *Y* represent the number of nitrogen and hydrogen atoms, respectively.

Table S3. Adsorption energy (in eV) of key intermediates *NH-NH, *NH-NH₂,

М	ΔE _{ads} (*NH-NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH-NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂ -NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂ -NH ₃)
Cr	-2.22	-2.68	-3.56	-4.42
Hf	-1.75	-2.19	-3.10	-3.91
Мо	-1.90	-2.33	-3.23	-4.27
Nb	-1.83	-2.38	-3.41	-4.11
Та	-1.78	-2.25	-3.13	-3.99
Ti	-2.01	-2.47	-3.36	-4.19
V	-2.08	-2.53	-3.42	-4.25
W	-1.94	-2.41	-3.38	-4.31
Zr	-1.72	-2.17	-3.07	-3.88

*NH₂-NH₂, *NH₂-NH₃ on B@MSi₂N₄ for NRR via the alternating mechanism.

Table S4. Adsorption energy (in eV) of key intermediates *N-N, *N-NH, *NH-NH,

М	ΔE _{ads} (*N-N)	ΔE _{ads} (*N-NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH-NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH-NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂ -NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂ -NH ₃)
Cr	-0.66	0.18	-0.98	-1.38	-3.64	-4.28
Hf	-0.26	0.65	-0.54	-0.96	-3.21	-3.76
Mo	-0.38	0.61	-0.59	-1.09	-3.33	-3.92
Nb	-0.32	0.68	-0.53	-1.01	-3.27	-3.97
Ta	-0.29	0.83	-0.53	-0.7	-3.25	-3.84
Ti	-0.48	0.66	-0.74	-1.18	-3.45	-4.04
V	-0.53	0.59	-0.82	-1.22	-3.51	-4.12
W	-0.41	0.49	-0.65	-1.17	-3.32	-4.02
Zr	-0.23	0.96	-0.44	-0.91	-3.18	-3.73

*NH-NH₂, *NH₂-NH₂, and *NH₂-NH₃ on B@MSi₂N₄ for NRR via enzymatic mechanism.

Table S5. Adsorption energy (in eV) of key intermediates *N-N, *N-NH, *N-NH₂,

М	ΔE _{ads} (*N-N)	ΔE _{ads} (*N-NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*N-NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*N-NH ₃)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₃)
Cr	0.51	-0.90	-1.54	-1.79	-1.48	-2.43	-2.72
Hf	0.33	-0.82	-1.51	-1.61	-1.69	-2.10	-3.72
Mo	-0.16	-1.41	-1.87	-2.19	-1.99	-3.12	-3.83
Nb	-0.28	-1.58	-2.00	-2.65	-2.18	-3.29	-3.76
Ta	-0.022	-1.30	-1.78	-2.30	-2.07	-3.05	-3.77
Ti	0.071	-1.27	-1.77	-2.37	-2.06	-2.83	-3.27
V	0.13	-1.22	-1.70	-2.34	-1.59	-2.59	-3.02
W	-0.29	-1.55	-2.17	-2.28	-2.15	-3.19	-3.89
Zr	0.35	-0.82	-1.51	-1.76	-1.70	-2.77	-3.69

*N-NH₃, *NH, *NH₂, and *NH₃ on $B_2@MSi_2N_4$ for NRR via distal mechanism.

Table S6. Adsorption energy (in eV) of key intermediates *NH-NH, *NH-NH₂,

Μ	ΔE _{ads} (*NH-NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH-NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂ -NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂ -NH ₃)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₃)
Cr	-0.24	-2.30	-2.07	-3.83	-2.72
Hf	-1.88	-2.23	-3.04	-4.17	-3.72
Mo	-0.80	-2.79	-3.15	-4.53	-3.83
Nb	-0.77	-3.13	-3.13	-4.65	-3.80
Ta	-0.85	-3.38	-3.08	-4.48	-3.77
Ti	-0.53	-2.86	-2.70	-4.55	-3.20
V	-0.79	-2.82	-2.38	-3.99	-3.02
W	-0.93	-2.92	-3.26	-4.60	-3.88
Zr	-0.31	-2.32	-3.02	-4.18	-3.70

*NH₂-NH₂, *NH₂-NH₃, and *NH₃ on $B_2@MSi_2N_4$ for NRR via the alternating mechanism.

Table S7. Adsorption energy (in eV) of key intermediates *N-N, *N-NH, *NH-NH,

Μ	ΔE _{ads} (*N-N)	ΔE _{ads} (*N-NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH-NH)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH-NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂ -NH ₂)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₂ -NH ₃)	ΔE _{ads} (*NH ₃)
Cr	-1.25	-2.16	-2.88	-3.19	-5.90	-6.30	-2.48
Hf	-1.81	-2.42	-3.25	-3.42	-6.10	-6.73	-3.72
Mo	-2.26	-2.93	-3.66	-3.95	-6.63	-7.17	-3.83
Nb	-2.13	-2.94	-3.62	-4.40	-6.43	-7.32	-3.80
Та	-2.08	-2.79	-3.48	-3.77	-6.34	-7.09	-3.77
Ti	-1.79	-2.54	-3.42	-3.54	-6.25	-6.92	-3.27
V	-1.60	-2.46	-3.18	-3.47	-6.09	-6.78	-3.02
W	-2.32	-3.07	-3.75	-4.42	-6.73	-7.25	-3.90
Zr	-1.80	-2.41	-3.22	-3.32	-6.05	-6.73	-3.69

*NH-NH₂, *NH₂-NH₂, and *NH₂-NH₃ and *NH₃ on $B_2@MSi_2N_4$ for NRR via enzymatic mechanism.

Table S8. Free energy corrections: E_{ZPE} and S represent the zero-point energy change and the entropy change of intermediate for eNRR on B@MSi₂N₄ by distal (end-on) and enzymatic (side-on) pathway, respectively. Note that T is set to 298.15 K, and all the energies are in eV.

Species	$E_{\rm ZPE}$ (eV)	TS (eV)	$E_{\rm ZPE}$ -TS (eV)
*N-N(end-on)	0.228	0.134	0.094
*N-N(side-on)	0.161	0.0942	0.0668
*N-NH	0.492	0.105	0.387
*N-NH	0.548	0.118	0.430
*N-NH ₂	0.852	0.126	0.726
*NH-NH	0.844	0.102	0.742
*N-NH ₃	1.244	0.133	1.111
*NH-NH ₂	1.152	0.184	0.968
*NH	0.339	0.0871	0.252
*NH ₂ -NH ₂	1.525	0.161	1.364
*NH2	0.774	0.0447	0.695
*NH ₂ -NH ₃	1.807	0.158	0.929
*NH3	1.157	0.0935	1.064
*NH3	1.157	0.0935	1.064

Table S9. Free energy corrections: E_{ZPE} and S represent the zero-point energy change and the entropy change of intermediate for eNRR on B@MSi₂N₄ by alternating pathway. Note that T is set to 298.15 K, and all the energies are in eV.

Species	$E_{\rm ZPE}$ (eV)	TS (eV)	$E_{\rm ZPE}$ - TS (eV)
*NH-NH	0.886	0.189	0.697
*NH-NH ₂	1.183	0.176	1.007
*NH ₂ -NH ₂	1.503	0.141	1.362
*NH ₂ -NH ₃	1.830	0.159	1.671
*NH3	1.157	0.0935	1.064

Table S10. Free energy corrections: E_{ZPE} and *S* represent the zero-point energy change and the entropy change of intermediate for eNRR on B₂@MSi₂N₄ by distal (end-on) and enzymatic (side-on) pathway, respectively. Note that *T* is set to 298.15 K, and all the energies are in *eV*.

Species	$E_{\rm ZPE}$ (eV)	TS (eV)	$E_{\rm ZPE}$ - TS (eV)
*N-N(end-on)	0.257	0.0927	0.164
*N-N(side-on)	0.249	0.0592	0.190
*N-NH	0.550	0.102	0.448
*N-NH	0.585	0.0614	0.524
*N-NH ₂	0.864	0.0903	0.774
*NH-NH	0.909	0.0713	0.838
*N-NH ₃	1.200	0.103	1.097
*NH-NH ₂	1.230	0.0961	1.134
*NH	0.414	0.0373	0.377
*NH ₂ -NH ₂	1.441	0.165	1.276
*NH ₂	0.730	0.0798	0.650
*NH ₂ -NH ₃	1.807	0.139	1.668
*NH3	1.080	0.105	0.975
*NH ₃	1.082	0.104	0.978

Table S11. Free energy corrections: E_{ZPE} and S represent the zero-point energy change and the entropy change of intermediate for eNRR on B₂@MSi₂N₄ by alternating pathway. Note that T is set to 298.15 K, and all the energies are in eV.

Species	$E_{\rm ZPE}$ (eV)	TS (eV)	$E_{\rm ZPE}$ - TS (eV)
*NH-NH	0.853	0.119	0.694
*NH-NH ₂	1.188	0.181	1.104
*NH ₂ -NH ₂	1.537	0.175	1.378
*NH ₂ -NH ₃	1.861	0.146	1.715
*NH ₃	1.074	0.152	0.922



Fig. S4 Free-energy diagrams of the eNRR on (a-c) $B@MoSi_2N_4$ and (d-f) $B@NbSi_2N_4$ via (a, d) distal, (b, e) alternating, (c, f) enzymatic pathways at 0 V and the limiting potentials.



Fig. S5 Scaling relationship between the polarized charges of B ($\Delta q(B)$) and $\Delta E(*N_2)$ (a, c), and $\Delta E(*N_2H)$ (b, d) via (a, b) distal and (c, d) enzymatic pathways on B@MSi₂N₄.



Fig. S6 (a) Top and side view of schematic structure of B, $B@MoSi_2N_4$ with two nonneighboring B doping. (b) Free-energy diagram of the eNRR on this catalyst via enzymatic pathways at 0 V and the limiting potentials.



Fig. S7 (a) Side view of schematic geometrical structures of $B@MoSi_2N_4$. (b) Schematic geometrical structures of $B@MoSi_2N_4$ supported on graphene (namely $B@MoSi_2N_4/G$) (left panel) and corresponding charge density differences (right panel) which are obtained

by subtracting the electronic charges of the B@MoSi₂N₄ and graphene from system. The purple and yellow areas define electron accumulation and depletion, respectively. All isosurface values are set to $0.005 \ e/Å^3$. Density of states (DOS) of (c, d) B@MoSi₂N₄ and partial DOS of B atom (e, f) for B@MoSi₂N₄ (c, e) without and (d, f) with graphene support. The Fermi level is set to 0. (g) Comparison of free-energy diagrams of NRR catalyzed by B@MoSi₂N₄ and B@MoSi₂N₄/G via the enzymatic pathway.



Fig. S8 (a) Free energy change of the potential-determining step of eNRR on $B@MoSi_2N_4$ by enzymatic pathway as a function of the external strain. (b) Comparison of free-energy diagrams of eNRR catalyzed by $B@MoSi_2N_4$ without strain and with strain of -5% via the enzymatic pathway.



Fig. S9 Formation energy (E_f) of single-B and double B-doped MSi₂N₄.