Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

Supporting Information

A novel A-DA'D-A bifunctional small molecule for organic solar cells application with

impressive photovoltaic performance

Junfang Lv,^a Yuhao Chen,^a Xia Guo,^a Jinjing Qiu,^a Zhiliang Zhang,^a Jianqiu Wang,^a Haiyan

Liang,^a Liu Zhang,^a Lei Zhu,^b Feng Liu,^b Maojie Zhang^{*a}

^aLaboratory of Advanced Optoelectronic Materials, Suzhou Key Laboratory of Novel Semiconductor-optoelectronics Materials and Devices, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, China

E-mail: mjzhang@suda.edu.cn.

^bFrontiers Science Center for Transformative Molecules, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China

Experimental Section

¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, TGA, and DSC measurement

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured in CDCl₃ on Bruker AV 400 MHz FT-NMR spectrometer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a Perkin–Elmer TGA–7. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed on a TA DSC Q-200.

UV-vis absorption and Cyclic voltammetry

UV-vis absorption spectra were taken on an Agilent Technologies Cary Series UV-Vis-NIR Spectrophotometer. The electrochemical cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed on a Zahner Ennium IM6 Electrochemical Workstation with glassy carbon disk, Pt wire, and Ag/Ag⁺ electrode as working electrode, counter electrode, and reference electrode respectively, in a 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Bu₄NPF₆) acetonitrile solution.

Device fabrication and characterization

The Indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass substrates were pre-cleaned twice by sequential ultrasonication in deionized water and isopropanol for 15 min each. After 20 min of ultravioletozone treatment, a thin layer of 30 nm thick PEDOT:PSS was spin-coated onto the substrates as the anode interlayer and annealed at 150 °C for 15 min. The substrates were transferred into the nitrogen-filled glove box.

P3HT:BPM1 based decvices were fabricated as following: the active layer solution was spin-coated on the PEDOT:PSS layer to form the 100 nm thick active layer. P3HT:BPM1 solution were prepared with a donor/acceptor (D/A) weight ratio of 1:1 in tetrahydrofuran at a total concentration of 20 mg mL⁻¹. follow with thermal annealing at 130 °C for 10 min. Then PFN-Br methanol solution (0.5 mg mL⁻¹) was spincoated on the active layer to give a 5 nm thick cathode interlayer. Finally, about 100 nm thick of Al was deposited onto the active layer under high vacuum, giving an effective cell area of 4 mm².

BPM1:Y6 based devices were fabricated as following: the active layer solution was spincoated on the PEDOT:PSS layer to form the 100 nm thick active layer. BPM1:Y6 solution were prepared with a donor/acceptor (D/A) weight ratio of 1:1 in chloroform at a total concentration of 20 mg mL⁻¹. Follow with solvent vapor annealing by CS₂ for 90 s. Besides, 5 wt % PM7 as a solid additive was introduced into the BPM1:Y6 to improve the PCE. Then PFN-Br methanol solution (0.5 mg mL⁻¹) was spincoated on the active layer to give a 5 nm thick cathode interlayer. Finally, about 100 nm thick of Al was deposited onto the active layer under high vacuum, giving an effective cell area of 4 mm².

J-V and EQE measurement

The current density–voltage (*J-V*) characteristics of the OSCs were recorded with a keithley 2450. The power conversion efficiencies of the OSCs were measured under 1 sun, AM 1.5G (air mass 1.5 global) (100 mW cm⁻²) using a SS-F5-3A (Enli Technology CO., Ltd.) solar simulator (AAA grade, 50 mm × 50 mm photo-beam size). 2×2 cm² monocrystalline silicon reference cell (SRC-00019, covered with a KG5 filter windows) was purchased from Enli Technology CO., Ltd. The EQE was measured by solar cell spectral response measurement system QE-R3011 (Enli Technology CO., Ltd.). The light intensity at each wavelength was calibrated with a standard single-crystal Si photovoltaic cell.

SCLC Mobility measurement

The hole and electron mobilities of devices were evaluated from space-charge-limited current (SCLC) method. The corresponding charge mobilities were calculated from fitting the Mott-Gurney square law $J = 9\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_0\mu V^2/(8L^3)$, where J is the current density, ε_r is the dielectric permittivity of the active layer (assumed to be 3), ε_0 is the vacuum permittivity, L is the thickness of the active layer, μ is the hole or electron mobility. $V=V_{appl}-V_{bi}-V_s$, Vappl is the applied voltage, V_{bi} is the built-in voltage, V_s is the voltage drop from the substrate's series resistance ($V_s = IR$). The SCLC devices were measured under dark condition in a nitrogen glovebox without encapsulation.

$J_{\rm ph}$ - $V_{\rm eff}$ measurement

Exciton dissociation efficiency (P_{diss}) and charge collection efficiency (P_{coll}) were measured to investigate the exciton dissociation and charge collection process in devices. According to previous literature, ¹⁻³ P_{diss} is obtained in formula, as following:

$$P_{\rm diss} = \frac{J_{\rm ph}^*}{J_{\rm sat}} \times 100\%$$

where J_{sat} is the saturated short-circuit current density and J_{ph}^* is the photo-current density under the short circuit condition. Besides, P_{coll} is also deduced from following formula,

$$P_{\rm coll}{=}\frac{J_{\rm ph}^{\&}}{J_{\rm sat}}~\times~100\%$$

where J_{sat} is the saturated short-circuit current density and $J_{\text{ph}}^{\&}$ is the photo-current density under the maximal power output condition. Among them, J_{ph} is defined as $J_{\text{L}} - J_{\text{D}}$, where J_{L} and J_{D} are the current density under light irradiation and in the dark,^{4,5} respectively. In addition, the saturation current density (J_{sat}) is only limited by total amount of absorbed incident photons if we assume that all the photogenerated excitons are dissociated into free charge carriers and collected by electrodes at a high V_{eff} .⁶ V_{eff} is defined as $V_0 - V_{\text{bias}}$, where V_0 is the voltage when $J_{\rm ph} = 0$ and $V_{\rm bias}$ is the applied bias voltage. $J_{\rm sat}$, $J_{\rm ph}$ and $V_{\rm eff}$ are obtained by related testing. Finally, the $P_{\rm diss}$ and $P_{\rm coll}$ are calculated by the above formula, respectively.

TPC and TPV measurement

Transient photovoltage (TPV) and transient photocurrent (TPC) measurements were carried out under a 337 nm 3.5 ns pulse laser (160 μ J per pulse at 10 Hz) and halide lamps (150 W). Voltage and current dynamics were recorded on a digital oscilloscope (Tektronix MDO3102).

AFM and TEM characterization

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements were performed on a Dimension 3100 (Veeco) Atomic Force Microscope in the tapping mode. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed using a Tecnai G2 F20 S-TWIN instrument at 200 kV accelerating voltage, in which the blend films were prepared as following: first, the blend films were spin-coated on the PEDOT:PSS/ITO substrates; second, the resulting blend film/PEDOT:PSS/ITO substrates were submerged in deionized water to make these blend films float onto the air-water interface; finally, the floated blend films were taken up on unsupported 200 mesh copper grids for a TEM measurement.

GIWAXS measurement

Grazing incidence wide-angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) measurements were performed at beamline 7.3.3 at the Advanced Light Source, Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. Samples were prepared on Si substrates using identical blend solutions as those used in devices. The 10 keV X-ray beam was incident at a grazing angle of $0.12^{\circ} - 0.16^{\circ}$, selected to maximize the scattering intensity from the samples. The scattered x-rays were detected using a Dectris Pilatus 2M photon-counting detector. Besides, the CCL is calculated by the Scherrer equation.⁷

Contact Angle measurement

The contact angle tests were performed on a Dataphysics OCA40 Micro surface contact angle analyzer. The surface energy of the polymers was characterized and calculated by the contact angles of the two probe liquids (ultrapure water and diiodomethane) with the Owens and Wendt equation: $\gamma_{LV}(1 + \cos\theta) = 2(\gamma_S^d \gamma_L^d)^{1/2} + 2(\gamma_S^p \gamma_L^p)^{1/2}$, where γ_S and γ_L are the surface energy of the sample and the probe liquid, respectively. The superscripts d and prefer to the dispersion and polar components of the surface energy, respectively.And Flory–Huggins interaction parameter (χ_{D-A}) can be written as a function of two surface energy parameter, $\chi_{D-A} = K(\gamma_D^{1/2} - \gamma_A^{1/2})^2$, where *K* is the proportional constant ($K = 116 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^{-1/2}$)⁸, where χ_{D-A} is the Flory–Huggins interaction parameter between donor (D) and acceptor (A), and γ_D and γ_A are the surface energy parameter of donor (D) and acceptor (A), respectively.

Materials synthesis:

All chemicals and solvents were reagent grades and purchased from Alfa Aesar and TCI. 3-butylrhodanine was purchased from TOKYO CHEMICAL INDUSTRY Co., Ltd. P3HT was purchased from SunaTech Inc. Compound 1 was purchased from Derthon Optoelectronic Materials Science Techology Co., Ltd.

BPM1 was synthesized as follows:



Scheme S1 Synthetic route of the BPM1.

Compound 1 (0.15 g, 0.15 mmol), 3-butylrhodanine (0.12 g, 0.75 mmol), piperidine (0.5 mL) and chloroform (20 mL) were dissolved in a round bottom flask under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at 65 °C for 10 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was

poured into methanol and filtered. The residue was purified with column chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/1, v/v) as the eluent to give a dark aubergine solid BPM1 (0.16 g, 78%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (s, 2H), 4.72-4.65 (t, 4H), 4.16-4.18 (d, 4H), 3.07-3.03 (t, 4H), 2.04-2.01 (t, 2H), 1.88-1.83 (t, 4H) 1.75-1.70 (t,4H), 1.47-0.85 (m, 64H), 0.72-0.63 (m,12H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 192.04, 167.73, 147.51, 144.16, 143.36, 136.93, 132.66, 132.35, 127.81, 126.17, 124.15, 118.59, 112.41, 77.37, 77.25, 77.05, 76.73, 55.21, 44.75, 40.27, 31.93, 30.04, 29.73, 29.66, 29.63, 29.56, 29.45, 29.36, 29.15, 28.70, 27.91, 27.83, 23.28, 23.22, 22.80, 22.72, 20.14, 14.16, 13.87, 13.76, 10.24, 10.15.HR-MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z calcd. for (C₅₈H₈₂N₄O₂S₅): 1368.5. Found: 1368.46.



Fig. S1 (a) Top view and side view of the optimized geometry of BPM1. (b) the frontier molecular orbital of HOMO and LUMO of BPM1.



Fig. S2 (a) The TGA and (b) DSC curve of BPM1 at a scan rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen.



Fig. S3 (a) The absorption of BPM1 and P3HT in thin film. (b) The absorption of BPM1 and Y6 in thin film. (b) Cyclic voltammogram of BPM1 film on a glassy carbon electrode measured in 0.1 mol L^{-1} Bu₄NPF₆ acetonitrile solution at a scan rate of 50 mVs⁻¹



Fig. S4 (a) The $J^{0.5}$ -V plot of electron-only and (b) hole-only devices based on BPM1 neat film.



Fig. S5 (a) *J-V* curves of the OSCs based on P3HT:BPM1 with different D/A weight ratios (TA at 130 °C for 10 min). (b) *J-V* curves of the OSCs based on P3HT:BPM1 with as-cast and with different TA temperature.



Fig. S6 (a) *J-V* curves of P3HT:BPM1 OSCs with different solvent (TA at 130 °C for 10 min).(b) *J-V* curves of P3HT:BPM1 OSCs with different additive (TA at 130 °C for 10 min).



Fig. S7 (a) *J-V* curves of BPM1:Y6 OSCs under as-cast and CS₂-SVA-90 s. (b) *J-V* curves of BPM1:Y6 OSCs with SVA under different solvents. (c) The *J-V* curves of the BPM1:Y6 blend

with different PM7 content (CS₂-SVA-90 s). (d) J-V curves of BPM1:PM7:Y6 OSCs with different time under the condition of SVA treatment.



Fig. S8 (a) and (b) the plots of photocurrent (J_{ph}) versus effective voltage (V_{eff}) curves of P3HT:BPM1, BPM1:Y6, BPM1:PM7:Y6.



Fig. S9 (a) and (b) The dependence of V_{oc} on light intensity of corresponding OSCs.



Fig. S10 (a-b) The $J^{0.5}$ -V plots of hole-only and (c-d) electron-only based on corresponding OSCs.



Fig. S11 (a-e) 2D GIWAXS patterns of BPM1, P3HT, Y6 and PM7. (f) the line-cut profiles of neat film in the IP (dash line) and OOP (solid line) directions of corresponding neat film in CF. (h) the line-cut profiles of neat film in the IP (dash line) and OOP (solid line) directions of corresponding neat film in THF.



Fig. S12 Contact angles measurement of BPM1, Y6 and PM7 neat film.



Fig. S13 ¹HNMR spectra of BPM1 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S14 ¹³CNMR spectra of BPM1 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S15 MALDI-TOF spectra of BPM1 in CHCl₃.

D:A (w/w)	$V_{\rm oc}({ m V})$	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
1.5:1	1.04	5.7	40.9	2.4
1:1	1.09	11.4	57.0	7.1
1:1.5	1.04	9.5	43.9	4.3

Table S1.With TA 130 °C treatment for 10 min, photovoltaic parameters of **P3HT:BPM1** OSCs with different D/A weight ratios under the illumination of AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm⁻².

Table S2. Photovoltaic parameters of the OSCs based on **P3HT:BPM1** blend with as-cast and different TA temperature under the illumination of AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm⁻².

Condition (°C)	$V_{\rm oc}({ m V})$	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
as-cast	1.13	3.2	29.9	1.1
110	1.09	11.9	52.0	6.7
130	1.09	11.4	57.0	7.1
150	1.07	10.7	57.9	6.6

Solvent	$V_{\rm oc}({ m V})$	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
Toluene	1.06	10.6	54.0	6.1
o-xylene	1.01	9.9	50.4	5.0
CF	1.06	10.7	50.1	5.7
Tetrahydrofuran	1.09	11.4	57.0	7.1

Table S3. With TA 130°C treatment for 10 min, photovoltaic parameters of **P3HT:BPM1** OSCs with different solvents under the illumination of AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm⁻².

Table S4. With TA 130°C treatment for 10 min, photovoltaic parameters of **P3HT:BPM1** OSCs with different additives under the illumination of AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm⁻².

Additives	$V_{\rm oc}\left({ m V} ight)$	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
Without additive	1.09	11.4	57.0	7.1
DIO	1.03	8.7	57.3	5.1
CN	1.04	8.2	59.9	5.1
PN	1.03	8.7	53.9	4.8
NMP	1.08	8.7	57.1	5.4
DPE	1.04	9.1	55.8	5.3

Table S5. Photovoltaic parameters of **BPM1:Y6** OSCs with as-cast and CS₂-SVA-90 s under the illumination of AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm⁻²

Conditions	$V_{\rm oc}({ m V})$	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
BPM1:Y6 ^a	0.89	4.4	37.8	1.5

BPM1:Y6 ^b	0.86	22.9	53.1	10.5

^a: as-cast; ^b: CS₂-SVA-90 s.

Table S6: Photovoltaic parameters of **BPM1:Y6** OSCs with different solvents with SVA under the illumination of AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm⁻².

Solvents	$V_{ m oc}$ (V)	$J_{\rm sc}~({ m mA~cm^{-2}})$	FF (%)	PCE (%)
CS_2	0.86	22.9	53.1	10.5
CHCl ₃	0.86	21.3	47.0	8.6
CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.32	0.80	28.5	0.1

Table S7. Photovoltaic parameters of **BPM1:PM7:Y6** OSCs with different content of PM7 under the illumination of AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm⁻².

D ₁ :D ₂ :A (<i>w</i> / <i>w</i>)	$V_{\rm oc}({ m V})$	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
1:0.1:1	0.84	25.3	69.6	14.8
1:0.2:1	0.84	24.9	64.0	13.4
1:0.3:1	0.85	25.5	63.6	13.8

Table S8. Photovoltaic parameters of **BPM1:PM7:Y6** OSCs with different thermal time under the illumination of AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm⁻².

Time (s)	$V_{ m oc}\left({ m V} ight)$	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
60	0.84	25.6	67.5	14.5
90	0.84	25.3	69.6	14.8
120	0.84	25.2	68.5	14.5
150	0.84	25.2	66.5	14.1

Table S9. The P_{diss} and P_{coll} datas of BPM1, PM7, and Y6 pure film.

Active layers	$P_{\rm diss}$ (%)	P_{coll} (%)
P3HT:BPM1 ^a	67.0	35.6
P3HT:BPM1 ^b	95.0	72.7
BPM1:Y6 ^c	84.2	65.7
BPM1:PM7:Y6°	95.4	85.0

^a as-cast; ^b Thermal annealing 130 °C for 10 min; ^cCS₂-SVA-90 s.

Materials	$\mu_{\rm h} ({\rm cm}^2{\rm V}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$\mu_{\rm e} ({\rm cm}^2{ m V}^{-1}{ m s}^{-1})$	$\mu_{ m h}/\mu_{ m e}$
BPM1	4.68×10 ⁻⁴	6.10×10 ⁻⁴	
P3HT:BPM1 ^a	1.78×10^{-4}	4.69×10 ⁻⁶	38.0
P3HT:BPM1 ^b	7.75×10 ⁻⁴	1.25×10^{-4}	6.14
BPM1:Y6 ^c	2.61×10 ⁻⁵	1.98×10 ⁻⁵	1.32
BPM1:PM7:Y6 [°]	5.79×10 ⁻⁴	4.92×10 ⁻⁴	1.18

 Table S10. Mobilities of pure films of BPM1 and blend films of related OSCs.

^a: as-cast; ^b: thermal annealing 130 °C for 10 min; ^c: CS₂-SVA-90 s.

Table S11	. Summary	of the <i>d</i> -	spacing a	and co	oherence	lengths	of the	in-plane	(IP) a	and o	out-of-
plane (OOI	P) peak for	neat film	s.								

Materials	Peak Position (Å ⁻¹)	Stacking Distance <i>d</i> (Å)	FWHM of Peak (Å ⁻¹)	CCL of Stacking (Å)

	IP	OOP	IP	OOP	IP	OOP	IP	OOP
	(100)	(010)	(100)	(010)	(100)	(010)	(100)	(010)
РЗНТ	0.37	1.64	16.98	3.83	0.06	0.19	94.25	29.76
BPM1 ^a	0.26	1.67	24.17	3.76	0.09	0.50	62.83	11.31
BPM1 ^b	0.27	1.66	23.27	3.78	0.08	0.48	70.68	11.78
Y6	0.29	1.73	21.67	3.63	0.08	0.26	70.68	21.75
PM7	0.30	1.65	20.94	3.81	0.14	0.47	40.39	12.03

^aThe neat film in THF; ^b The neat film in CHCl₃.

Table S12. Summary of the *d*-spacing and coherence lengths of the in-plane (IP) and out-of-plane (010) for P3HT:BPM1^a, P3HT:BPM1^b, BPM1:Y6^c, BPM1:PM7:Y6^c blend films.

Active layers	Peak Position (Å ⁻¹)		Stacking Distance <i>d</i> (Å)		FWHM of Peak (Å ⁻¹)		CCL of Stacking (Å)	
	IP	OOP	IP	OOP	IP	OOP	IP	OOP
	(100)	(010)	(100)	(010)	(100)	(010)	(100)	(010)
P3HT:BPM1 ^a	0.37	1.64	16.98	3.83	0.19	0.28	29.76	20.19
P3HT:BPM1 ^b	0.38	1.67	16.53	3.76	0.04	0.19	141.37	29.76
BPM1:Y6 ^c	0.26	1.73	24.17	3.63	0.09	0.24	62.83	23.56
BPM1:PM7:Y6 °	0.26	1.72	24.17	3.65	0.10	0.26	56.55	21.75

^a:as-cast; ^b: thermal annealing 130 °C for 10 min; ^cCS₂-SVA-90 s.

Table S13. The contact angles and surface energy parameters of BPM1, PM7, and Y6 purefilm.

Sample _	Contact angles		$\gamma^{ m d}$	γ^{p}	γ	$(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}, \gamma, \frac{1}{2})^2$
	$\theta_{water}.(^{\circ})$	θ _{DIM} . (°)	$(mN \cdot m^{-1})$	(mN·m ⁻¹)	$(mN \cdot m^{-1})$	(YD -YA)
BPM1	98.2	35.2	43.82	0.002	43.8	0.124 <i>ĸ</i>
PM7	105.5	45.1	39.75	0.150	39.9	0.024 <i>ĸ</i>
Y6	93.8	41.5	38.81	0.476	39.3	

- 1 C. G. Shuttle, R. Hamilton, B. C. O'Regan, J. Nelson and J. R. Durrant, *PANS*, 2010, **107**, 16448-16452.
- 2 L. Lu, T. Xu, W. Chen, J. Lee, Z. Luo, I. H. Jung, H. I. Park, S. Kim and L. Yu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2365-2369.
- 3 L. Lu, W. Chen, T. Xu and L. Yu, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 7327.
- 4 V. D. Mihailetchi, L. J. A. Koster, J. C. Hummelen, P. W. M. Blom, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **93**, 216601.
- 5 V. D. Mihailetchi, J. Wildeman, P. W. M. Blom, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2005, 94, 126602.
- 6 R. Barla, B. Lochab, A. Agrawal, A. Mishra, M. L. Keshtov and G. D. Sharma, *Sol. RRL*, 2021, **5**, 2100402.
- 7 D. M. Smilgies, J. Appl. Cryst., 2009, 42, 1030-1034.
- 8 D. Qian, Z. Zheng, H. Yao, W. Tress, T. R. Hopper, S. Chen, S. Li, J. Liu, S. Chen, J. Zhang, X. K. Liu, B. Gao, L. Ouyang, Y. Jin, G. Pozina, I. A. Buyanova, W. M. Chen, O. Inganas, V. Coropceanu, J. L. Bredas, H. Yan, J. Hou, F. Zhang, A. A. Bakulin and F. Gao, *Nat Mater.*, 2018, **17**, 703-709.