Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

Supporting Information

Highly efficient multi-sites synergistic catalysis of a polyoxovanadate-based metal-organic framework for benzylic C-H bonds oxidation

Tian-Yi Dang,^{a†} Run-Han Li,^{a†} Hong-Rui Tian,^b Wei Guan,^a Ying Lu*^a and Shu-Xia Liu*^a

^aKey Laboratory of Polyoxometalate and Reticular Material Chemistry of the Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, Jilin 130024, P. R. China.

^bDepartment of Chemistry and Shenzhen Grubbs Institute, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518055, P. R. China

E-mail: <u>luy968@nenu.edu.cn; liusx@nenu.edu.cn</u>

Table of Contents

1	Crystallographic Data and Structure Refinements	S3
2	The asymmetric unit of 1	S5
3	PXRD patterns of 1	S5
4	FTIR Spectrum of 1	S6
5	Raman Spectrum of 1	S6
6	XPS Spectra of V and Cu in 1	S7
7	BVS for the copper ions and vanadium ions in 1	S7
8	TGA curve of 1	S8
9	PXRD patterns of 1 after immersing in various solvents	S8
10	BET Analysis of 1	S9
11	Radical trapping experiments	S9
12	Frontier molecular orbital diagrams of 1	S10
13	Adsorption of 'BuOOH on active sites in 1	S10
14	Recycling tests of the 1	S11
15	SEM images of 1	S11
16	Comparison of 1 and partially reported catalysts	S12
17	¹ H-NMR Spectra of the ketones	S13
18	References	S17

Name	1
Empirical formula	$C_{28}H_{28}Cu_3N_8O_{12}V_4$
Formula weight	1062.96
Temperature (K)	297.52
Wave length (Å)	0.71073
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$
a (Å)	16.059(3)
b (Å)	14.643(2)
c (Å)	7.9460(12)
a (deg)	90
β (deg)	108.842(3)
γ (deg)	90
Volume (Å ³)	1768.4(5)
Z, Dcalc (Mg/m ³)	2, 1.996
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	2.853
F (000)	1054.0
Crystal size (mm ³)	0.21 imes 0.2 imes 0.15
θ range (deg)	2.782 to 25.384
index range (deg)	$-19 \le h \le 19, -17 \le k \le 17, -9 \le l \le 9$
Reflections collected / unique	$28158 / 3241 [R_{int} = 0.2036]$
Data / restraints / parameters	3241 / 0 / 250
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.008
R1, wR ₂ (I > $2\sigma(I)$)	0.0443, 0.0842
R1, wR ₂ (all data)	0.1188, 0.1108
Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å ⁻³)	0.55, -0.74

1. Crystallographic Data and Structure Refinements

 Table S1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement of 1

 $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o| \cdot w R_2 = [\sum [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \sum [w(F_o^2)^2]]^{1/2}$

1							
Cu(1)-O(1)	1.888(4)	Cu(1)-O(1) ¹	1.888(4)				
$Cu(1)-N(4)^2$	1.999(5)	$Cu(1)-N(4)^3$	1.999(5)				
Cu(2)-O(6)	1.839(4)	$Cu(2)-O(2)^4$	2.417(5)				
Cu(2)-O(5)	2.465(5)	Cu(2)-N(1)	1.880(5)				
V(1)-O(2)	1.598(5)	V(1)-O(1)	1.668(4)				
V(1)-O(3)	1.759(4)	V(1)-O(4)	1.780(4)				
V(2)-O(4)	1.790(4)	V(2)-O(5)	1.619(4)				
V(2)-O(3) ⁵	1.806(4)	V(2)-O(6)	1.651(4)				
O(1)-Cu(1)-O(1) ¹	180.0	$O(1)-Cu(1)-N(4)^2$	90.28(18)				
O(1)-Cu(1)-N(4) ³	89.72(18)	$O(1)^1$ -Cu(1)-N(4) ³	90.28(18)				
$O(1)^1$ -Cu(1)-N(4) ²	89.72(18)	$N(4)^2$ -Cu(1)-N(4) ³	180.0				
O(6)-Cu(2)-O(2) ⁴	90.00(19)	O(6)-Cu(2)-N(1)	163.9(2)				
N(1)-Cu(2)-O(2) ⁴	100.9(2)	O(2)-V(1)-O(1)	111.4(3)				
O(2)-V(1)-O(3)	110.0(2)	O(2)-V(1)-O(4)	107.8(2)				
O(1)-V(1)-O(3)	109.4(2)	O(1)-V(1)-O(4)	107.4(2)				
O(3)-V(1)-O(4)	110.77(19)	O(6)-V(2)-O(3) ⁵	110.0(2)				
O(6)-V(2)-O(4)	107.5(2)	O(5)-V(2)-O(6)	110.6(2)				
O(5)-V(2)-O(3) ⁵	108.9(2)	O(5)-V(2)-O(4)	111.0(2)				
O(4)-V(2)-O(3) ⁵	108.84(19)						

Table S2. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg] for 1

¹-X,1-Y,-1-Z; ² 1-X,-1/2+Y,3/2-Z; ³ -1+X,3/2-Y,-5/2+Z; ⁴ +X,+Y,1+Z; ⁵ +X,3/2-Y,1/2+Z. 2. The asymmetric unit of 1



Figure S1. The asymmetric unit of **1**. Asymmetric codes: A, x, 3/2-y, 1/2+z; B, x, y, 1+z; C, -x, 1-y, -1-z; D, -1+x, 3/2-y, -5/2+z; E, 1-x, -1/2+y, 3/2-z.

3. PXRD patterns of 1



Figure S2. The PXRD patterns of 1.

4. FTIR Spectrum of 1



Figure S3. The FTIR spectrum of 1.

5. XPS Spectra of V and Cu in 1



Figure S4. The Raman spectrum of 1.

6. XPS Spectra of V and Cu in 1



Figure S5. XPS spectra of V (a), Auger spectra of Cu (b) and XPS spectra of Cu (c)

in **1**.

7. BVS for the copper ions and vanadium ions in 1

Table S3. BV	VS	results	for	the	copper	ions	and	vanac	lium	ions	in 1	
--------------	----	---------	-----	-----	--------	------	-----	-------	------	------	------	--

Metal site	BVS cacl.	Assigned O.S.
Cul	1.282	1
Cu2	1.684	2
V1	5.18	5
V2	5.367	5



9. PXRD patterns of 1 after immersing in various solvents



Figure S7. The PXRD patterns of 1 after immersing in various solvents for 7 days.





Figure S8. (a) BET analysis of 1. (b)The N₂ absorption/ desorption isotherms were measured at 77K ($P_0 = 101$ kPa).

Entry	Radical trap	mmol	Yield (%)	
1	-	-	96	
2	Ph ₂ NH	0.25	N.D.	
3	TEMPO	0.25	52	
4	TEMPO	0.50	8	

11. Radical trapping experiments

^{*a*}Reaction conditations: indane (0.25 mmol), ^{*t*}BuOOH (0.75 mmol), **1** (0.0125 mmol, 5% mol), internal standard naphthalene (0.25 mmol), MeCN (2.0 mL), 65 °C,24h.

12. Frontier molecular orbital diagrams of 1



Frontier Molecular Orbits

Figure S9 Frontier molecular orbital diagrams of catalyst 1.



13. Adsorption of 'BuOOH on active sites in 1

Figure S10 The adsorption of 'BuOOH on Cu^{II} , Cu^{I} and $\{V_4\}$ activated sites of catalyst 1 and the corresponding adsorption energy (eV).

14. Recycling tests of the 1



Figure S11. Recycling tests for benzylic compound oxidation using the 1. Reaction conditations: indane (0.25 mmol), 'BuOOH (0.75 mmol), 1 (0.0125 mmol), naphthalene (0.25 mmol), MeCN (2.0 mL), 65 °C, 24h.

15. SEM images of 1



Figure S12. (a) SEM images of the grinded 1; (b) SEM images of the grinded 1 after benzylic compound oxidation.

16. Comparison of 1 and partially reported catalysts

	Catalyst	Т	Yield (%)		
Entry		(°C)	or the second se		Ref.
1	$[Pd(NH_3)_4]_3[V_{10}O_{28}]\cdot 8H_2O$	90	14.7	22.2	1
2	$[Pd(dpa)(acac)]_2[V_6O_{13}(OMe)_6]$	65	73.2	95.8	2
3	$[Cu^{I}_{4}L_{4}]\cdot 4NO_{3}$	60	16.8	25.2	3
4	$[Na(H_2O)_5](NH_4)_7[P_2W_{15}O_{56}Co_3$ $(H_2O)_3(OH)_3Re(CO)_3] \cdot 13H_2O$	90	87.5	96.8	4
5	$Cu^{I}_{12}Cl_{2}(trz)_{8}[HPW_{12}O_{40}]$	75	97	95	5
6	$[Cu^{I}_{6}(trz)_{6}\{PW_{12}O_{40}\}_{2}]$	75	90.6	95.2	6
7	$ \{ [Cd(DMF)_2Mn^{III}(DMF)_2TPyP] \\ (PW_{12}O_{40}) \} \cdot 2DMF \cdot 5H_2O $	80	92.7	37	7
8	[Cu ₂ (C ₃₃ H ₁₇ NO ₈)(H ₂ O)]·3DMF	65	30	40	8
9	[Mn ₅ Cl ₂ (MnCl- OCPP)(DMF) ₄ (H ₂ O) ₄]·2DMF·8C H ₃ COOH·14H ₂ O	65	99	18	9
10	$\label{eq:cull} \begin{split} & [Cu^{II}(en)_2]_4 \{Na(H_2O)(\mu-OH)[B(OH)_2]\}_2[(V^VO)_2 \\ & (V^{IV}O)_{10}O_6(B_{18}O_{36}(OH)_6)]\}\cdot 7H_2O \end{split}$	65	78.9	-	10
11	Ni-MOF-74/[bmim]Br	60	88	95	11
12	1	65	98	96	This work

Table S5 Comparison of 1 and partially reported catalysts









¹H-NMR Spectra of 4-methoxyacetophenone.





¹H-NMR Spectra of 4'-nitroacetophenone.

7.75 7.75 7.73 7.73 7.77 7.77 7.69 7.69 7.67 7.67 7.67 7.69 7.67 7.69 7.67 7.69 7.67 7.69 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.758 7.7597 7.759 7.759 7.759 7.759 7.759 7.759 7.759 7.759 7.759 7.759 7.759



¹H-NMR Spectra of xanthone.

7.81 7.73 7.63 7.63 7.60 7.60 7.60 7.760 7.760 7.760 7.738 7.738 7.737



¹H-NMR Spectra of 9-fluorenone.

18. References

- 1. X. Huang, J. Li, G. Shen, N. Xin, Z. Lin, Y. Chi, J. Dou, D. Li and C. Hu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 726-733.
- J.-K. Li, X.-Q. Huang, S. Yang, H.-W. Ma, Y.-N. Chi and C.-W. Hu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 54, 1454-1461.
- Q.-T. He, X.-P. Li, L.-F. Chen, L. Zhang, W. Wang and C.-Y. Su, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, 3, 1-9.
- X. Ma, P. Wang, Z. Liu, C. Xin, S. Wang, J. Jia, P. Ma, J. Niu and J. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 8690-8698.
- B. Xu, Q. Xu, Q. Wang, Z. Liu, R. Zhao, D. Li, P. Ma, J. Wang and J. Niu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, 60, 4792-4799.
- D. Li, X. Ma, Q. Wang, P. Ma, J. Niu and J. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, 58, 15832-15840.

- C. Zou, Z. Zhang, X. Xu, Q. Gong, J. Li and C.-D. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 87-90.
- L. Kimberley, A. M. Sheveleva, J. Li, J. H. Carter, X. Kang, G. L. Smith, X. Han, S. J. Day, C. C. Tang, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, S. Yang and M. Schröder, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, 60, 15243-15247.
- X.-L. Yang, M.-H. Xie, C. Zou, Y. He, B. Chen, M. O'Keeffe and C.-D. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 10638-10645.
- Y. Feng, C. Ding, H. Fan, Z. Zhong, D. Qiu and H. Shi, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 18731-18736.
- C. Guo, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 3701-3704.