Trace N introduction accelerates desorption of intermediates for HER and formation of MOOH species for OER on NiCoP to boost overall water splitting

Yujie Liu^a, Zhi Li^b, Haixiao Sun^a, Longjiao Zheng^a, Zhaoshuo Yuan^a, Shuna Li^a, Yunrui Li^a, Xu Fang^a, Yufeng Gao^a, Zhen Wang^a, Xiaoping Dai^a, Xin Zhang^{a*}and Weiyu Song^{b*}

^aState Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing
College of Chemical Engineering and Environment, China University of Petroleum
Beijing, 102249, China.
^bCollege of Science, China University of Petroleum
Beijing, 102249, China.
E-mail: zhangxin@cup.edu.cn, songwy@cup.edu.cn



Fig. S1. 2D-ELF of N-NiCoP.



Fig. S2. The three positions (top, bridge, and hollow position) of the surface adsorption H models on NiCoP and N-NiCoP.



Fig. S3. Photo images of the different samples: bare clean Ni Foam, NiCo/NF, NiCoN/NF, NiCoP/NF and N-NiCoP/NF.



Fig. S4. SEM images of bare clean Ni Foam at different magnifications.



Fig. S5. SEM images of NiCo on Ni Foam at different magnifications.



Fig. S6. SEM images of NiCoN on Ni Foam at different magnifications.



Fig. S7. SEM images of NiCoP on Ni Foam at different magnifications.



Fig. S8. SEM images of N-NiCoP on Ni Foam at different magnifications.



Fig. S9. TEM images of (a) NiCo, (b) NiCoP, (c) NiCoN and (d) N-NiCoP.



Fig. S10 Partial enlargement XRD patterns of NiCoP/NF and N-NiCoP/NF.



Fig. S11. TEM-EDS spectrum of N-NiCoP.



Fig. S12. High-resolution XPS spectrum of (a) full spectra in NiCoP and N-NiCoP samples



Fig. S13. Polarization curves of HER (a) and OER (b) in 1.0 M KOH with different contents of N samples, and Corresponding overpotential histogram in (c) and (d).



Fig. S14. (a) HER-LSV curves of PtC/NF and N-NiCoP/NF samples, (a) Tafel plot of PtC/NF.



Fig. S15. (a) Cyclic voltammograms for (a) NiCo/NF, (b) NiCoN/NF, (c) NiCoP/NF and (d) N-NiCoP samples in the region of 0.05-0.15 V (vs RHE).



Fig. S16. Calculated C_{dl} for (a) NiCo/NF, (b) NiCoN/NF, (c) NiCoP/NF and (d) N-NiCoP samples.



Fig. S17. The calculated C_{dl} of different samples.



Fig. S18. The ECSA-normalized HER polarization curves.



Fig. S19. HRTEM images of N-NiCoP/NF before and after 30 h HER process.



Fig. S20. (a) OER-LSV curves of RuO_2/NF and N-NiCoP samples, (b) Tafel slope of RuO_2/NF .



Fig. S21. (a) Cyclic voltammograms for (a) NiCo/NF, (b) NiCoN/NF, (c) NiCoP/NF and (d) N-NiCoP samples in the region of 0.05-0.15 V (vs RHE).



Fig. S22. Calculated C_{dl} for (a) NiCo/NF, (b) NiCoN/NF, (c) NiCoP/NF and (d) N-NiCoP samples.



Fig. S23. The calculated C_{dl} of different samples.



Fig. S24. The ECSA-normalized OER polarization curves.



Fig. S25. HRTEM images of N-NiCoP/NF before and after 30 h OER process.



Fig. S26. Overall water splitting of LSV curves of RuO₂/NF||PtC/NF and N-NiCoP/NF|| N-NiCoP/NF samples



Fig. S27. SEM images of N-NiCoP on Ni Foam before and after 100 h overall water splitting in (a) and (b).



Fig. S28. (a) The volume of oxygen was theoretically calculated and actually measured for N-NiCoP/NF sample in 1.0 M KOH, (b) the Volume of hydrogen was theoretically calculated and measured simultaneously.

Catalyst	$\eta_{10} \\ /mV$	η ₅₀ /mV	Electrolyte	References
N-NiCoP/NF	53	138	1.0 M KOH	This work
O-NiCoP/Ni ₂ P	58	119	1.0 M KOH	1
O-NiCoP	44	/	1.0 M KOH	2
S-Ni ₅ P ₄	56	104	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	3
CoP/NiCoP/NC	75	/	1.0 M KOH	4
NiCo foam	86	135	1.0 M KOH	5
Ni ₃ S ₂ /Cu–NiCo/NF	156	274	1.0 M KOH	6
Se-(NiCo)S _x /(OH) _x	103	175	1.0 M KOH	7
CoNi-inf	72	150	1.0 M KOH	8
MoS ₂ /(CoNi@G)	150	207	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	9

Table S1. Comparison of N-NiCoP HER performance with that of recently reported electrocatalysts.

Table S2. Fitted values of equivalent circuit, R_s and R_{ct} in 1.0 M KOH for HER

	NiCo/NF	NiCoN/NF	NiCoP/NF	N-NiCoP/NF
$ m R_{s}/\Omega$	1.44	1.25	1.02	0.89
R_{ct} / Ω	63.1	8.5	4.6	2.5

Table S3 Comparison of N-NiCoP OER performance with that of recently reported electrocatalysts.

Catalyst	$\eta_{10} \ /mV$	η ₅₀ /mV	Electrolyte	References
----------	-------------------	------------------------	-------------	------------

N-NiCoP/NF	/	250	1.0 M KOH	This work
NiFe foam	206	242	1.0 M KOH	5
SO ₄ ²⁻ modified NiFe (oxy)hydroxide	/	234	1.0 M KOH	10
NiCo(OH) ₂ /NiS ₂ CHCs	258	/	1.0 M KOH	11
NiCo@CuO	262	/	1.0 M KOH	12
NiFe-OOH _{OV}	/	330	1.0 M KOH	13
NiCoFeO _x	201	/	1.0 M KOH	14
NiCo/Fe ₃ O ₄ /MOF-74	238	261	1.0 M KOH	15
NiCoSe ₂ @NiO@CoNi ₂ S ₄ @CoS 2/NF	/	350	1.0 M KOH	16
NiMoO ₄ (Vo)	/	220	1.0 M KOH	17

Table S4.	Fitted valu	ues of equi	valent circ	uit. R. and	d R _{ct} in	1.0 M K	OH for C)ER

	NiCo/NF	NiCoN/NF	NiCoP/NF	N-NiCoP/NF
R_{s}/Ω	1.01	0.95	0.79	0.69
R_{ct} / Ω	4.3	3.71	1.96	1.85

 Table S5. Comparison of N-NiCoP OWS performance in 1.0 M KOH electrolyte

 with recently reported electrocatalysts.

Catalyst	E/mV	Stability/h	References
N-NiCoP/NF N-NiCoP/NF	$\begin{array}{c} J_{52} = 1.62 \\ J_{100} = 1.74 \end{array}$	100	This work
CVN/CC CVN/CC	$J_{10}=1.64$ $J_{50}=1.88$ $J_{100}=2.12$	100	18

NiCoP NiCoP	J ₁₀ =1.53 J ₅₀ =1.75 J ₁₀₀ =1.86	20	19
NiCo foam(-) NiFe foam(+)	J ₅₀ =1.59 J ₁₀₀ =1.64	50	5
Ni ₃ S ₂ /Cu– NiCo/NF Ni ₃ S ₂ /Cu–NiCo/NF	J ₅₀ =1.70 J ₁₀₀ =1.75	12	6
Co-Ni _x P _y @Co ₃ O ₄ /NF Co- Ni _x P _y @Co ₃ O ₄ /NF	J ₅₀ =1.62	36	20
NiCoP NiCoP	J ₅₀ =1.72 J ₁₀₀ =1.80	24	21
Mo–NiCoP Mo–NiCoP	J ₁₀ =1.61 J ₅₀ =1.7	48	22
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	J ₁₀ =1.583 J ₅₀ =1.952	24	16
Se-(NiCo)S _x /(OH) _x Se- (NiCo)S _x /(OH) _x	$J_{10}=1.6$ $J_{50}=1.84$ $J_{100}=2.05$	66	7
CoNiMoO4/CuOx/CF CoNi MoO4/CuOx/CF	J ₅₀ =1.532	20	23
Al, Fe-CoP/RGO Al, Fe- CoP/RGO	J ₁₀ =1.66 J ₅₀ =1.78	15	24
O-CoP O-CoP	J ₁₀ =1.6 J ₅₀ =1.74	18	25
NF/NiCoMoO- H ₂ NF/NiCoMoO-H ₂	J ₅₀ =1.6 J ₁₀₀ =1.73	60	26
Co-Mo-P/CoNWS/NF Co- Mo-P/CoNWS/NF	J ₅₀ =1.71 J ₁₀₀ =1.78	35	27
NiFeOH/CoS _x /NF NiFeOH /CoS _x /NF	J ₅₀ =1.71 J ₁₀₀ =1.75	24	28
Ni ₃ S ₂ /VG@NiCo Ni ₃ S ₂ /VG @NiCo	J ₅₀ =1.85 J ₁₀₀ =1.95	24	29

References

- 1. Y. Wen, J. Qi, D. Zhao, J. Liu, P. Wei, X. Kang and X. Li, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2021, 293, 120196.
- 2. C. Liu, G. Zhang, L. Yu, J. Qu and H. Liu, *Small*, 2018, 14, e1800421.
- J. Chang, K. Li, Z. Wu, J. Ge, C. Liu and W. Xing, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 26303-26311.
- 4. R. Boppella, J. Tan, W. Yang and J. Moon, *Adv. Func. Mater.*, 2018, **29**, 1807976.
- 5. J. Zhou, L. Yu, Q. Zhou, C. Huang, Y. Zhang, B. Yu and Y. Yu, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2021, **288**, 120002.
- L. Jia, G. Du, D. Han, Y. Hao, W. Zhao, Y. Fan, Q. Su, S. Ding and B. Xu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, 9, 27639-27650.
- 7. C. Hu, L. Zhang, Z. J. Zhao, A. Li, X. Chang and J. Gong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, e1705538.
- X. Tan, S. Geng, Y. Ji, Q. Shao, T. Zhu, P. Wang, Y. Li and X. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, 32, e2002857.
- 9. Y. Tu, J. Deng, C. Ma, L. Yu, X. Bao and D. Deng, Nano Energy, 2020, 72, 104700.
- H. Liao, T. Luo, P. Tan, K. Chen, L. Lu, Y. Liu, M. Liu and J. Pan, *Adv. Func. Mater.*, 2021, 31, 2102772.
- 11. J. Wang, A. Yang, J. Li, K. Su, Y. Tang and X. Qiu, Appl. Catal. B, 2022, 300, 120727.
- 12. B. Chen, Z. Zhang, S. Kim, M. Baek, D. Kim and K. Yong, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2019, **259**, 118017.
- Z. Ahmed, Krishankant, R. Rai, R. Kumar, T. Maruyama, C. Bera and V. Bagchi, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13, 55281-55291.
- Y. Liu, Y.Ying, L. Fei, Y. Liu, Q. Hu, G. Zhang, S. Pang, W. Lu, C. Mak, X. Luo, L. Zhou, M. Wei and H. Huang, *J.Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, 141, 8136-8145.
- X. Wang, H. Xiao, A. Li, Z. Li, S. Liu, Q. Zhang, Y. Gong, L. Zheng, Y. Zhu, C. Chen, D. Wang, Q. Peng, L. Gu, X. Han, J. Li and D. Li, *J.Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, 140, 15336-15341.
- Z. Xu, H. Pan, Y. Lin, Z. Yang, J. Wang and Y. Gong, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 18641-18648.
- A. Karmakar, K. Karthick, S. S. Sankar, S. Kumaravel, M. Ragunath and S. Kundu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 11691-11704.
- 18. S. Dutta, A. Indra, Y. Feng, H. Han and T. Song, Appl. Catal. B, 2019, 241, 521-527.
- X. Lv, X. Li, C. Yang, X. Ding, Y. Zhang, Y. Z. Zheng, S. Li, X. Sun and X. Tao, *Adv. Func. Mater.*, 2020, **30**,1910830.
- 20. B. Lu, J. Zang, W. Li, J. Li, Q. Zou, Y. Zhou and Y. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **422**, 130062.
- L. Chen, Y. Song, Y. Liu, L. Xu, J. Qin, Y. Lei and Y. Tang, J. Energy Chem., 2020, 50, 395-401.
- J. Lin, Y. Yan, C. Li, X. Si, H. Wang, J. Qi, J. Cao, Z. Zhong, W. Fei and J. Feng, Nano-Micro Lett., 2019,11:55.
- 23. M. Gu, X. Deng, M. Lin, H. Wang, A. Gao, X. Huang and X. Zhang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**, 2102361.
- 24. S. F. Zai, Y. T. Zhou, C. C. Yang and Q. Jiang, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 421, 127856.
- G. Zhou, M. Li, Y. Li, H. Dong, D. Sun, X. Liu, L. Xu, Z. Tian and Y. Tang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **30**, 1905252.

- 26. S. Wen, T. Yang, N. Zhao, L. Ma and E. Liu, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2019, **258**, 117953.
- 27. V. H. Hoa, D. T. Tran, D. C. Nguyen, D. H. Kim, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2002533.
- 28. R. Bose, V. R. Jothi, K. Karuppasamy, A. Alfantazi and S. C. Yi, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 13795-13805.
- X. Zhang, J. Fan, X. Lu, Z. Han, C. Cazorla, L. Hu, T. Wu and D. Chu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, 415, 129048.