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Fig. S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of VBC-Dim.


Fig. S2. FTIR spectra of PPO, BrPPO and PPO-PImIL.


Fig. S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of BrPPO.


Fig. S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of PPO-PImIL.


Fig. S5 Semilogarithmic kinetic plots of ARGET ATRP under different $\operatorname{BrPPO} / \mathrm{Br} @$ CNTs feeding ratios.


Fig. S6. TEM image of $\operatorname{BrPPO} / \operatorname{Br} @$ CNTs-4wt\%.

Tab. S1. Chemical compositions and IECs of PPO-PImIL, PImIL@CNTs and hybrid AEMs under different $\operatorname{BrPPO} / \mathrm{Br} @ \mathrm{CNTs}$ feeding ratios

| Sample | $\mathrm{VBC}-\mathrm{Dim} / \mathrm{BrPPO}^{a}$ (mole ratio\%) | \%PImIL content in grafted CNTs ${ }^{b}$ | \% $\mathrm{Br}_{\text {reacted }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Graft density ${ }^{d}$ | Graft length ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | IEC ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PImIL@CNTs (exp) | PPO-PImIL (theo) | Hybrid AEMs (theo) | Hybrid AEMs (exp) |
| BrPPO/Br@CNTs-1 wt\% | 55.6 | 49.8 | 32 | 5.8 | 9.6 | 2.01 | 2.08 | 2.32 | 2.18 |
| BrPPO/Br@CNTs-2 wt\% | 54.8 | 48.2 | 31 | 5.6 | 9.8 | 1.94 | 2.06 | 2.27 | 2.24 |
| BrPPO/Br@CNTs-4 wt\% | 55.2 | 47.3 | 29 | 5.2 | 10.6 | 1.91 | 2.07 | 2.31 | 2.29 |
| BrPPO/Br@CNTs-8 wt\% | 53.3 | 45.9 | 28 | 5.0 | 10.7 | 1.85 | 2.04 | 2.13 | 1.88 |

${ }^{a}$ Based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{b}$ Based on TGA. ${ }^{c}$ Based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{d}$ Number of PImIL per 100 units in aromatic backbone, calculated from the mol $\%$ of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ in $\mathrm{BrPPO} 18 \%$ ) multiplied by the $\%$ of Br reacted. ${ }^{e}$ Average number of VBC-Dim units in each graft chain, calculated from the VBC-Dim/BrPPO mole ratio divided by graft density.

The graft amounts of VBC-Dim are estimated from the integral ratio of the aromatic protons of BrPPO backbone (denoted 'a', ' m ' and ' n ' in Figure S 4 ) to the aromatic protons of ionic side chains (denoted 'e' and ' f ' in Figure S 4 ) by equation S 1 . Where $E, F, A, M$ and $N$ represent the integrals of 'e', ' f ', 'a', ' m ' and ' n ' peaks, respectively.
$\frac{\mathrm{VBC}-\operatorname{Dim}}{\operatorname{BrPPO}}=\frac{E+F}{2(A+M+N)} \times 100 \%$
The reacted Br sites ( $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) during ARGET ATRP are calculated from integrals of peak ' P ' and ' l ' by equation S 2 . Where P and L represent the integrals of ' p ' and ' l ' peaks, respectively.
$\% \mathrm{Br}$ reacted $=\frac{2 P}{2 P+L} \times 100 \%$

Tab. S2. Alkaline stability of AEMs at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after 24 h

| Sample |  | Weight loss (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 M NaOH | 2 M NaOH | 4 M NaOH |
| ImPPO | $4.9 \pm 0.15$ | $5.2 \pm 0.21$ | $5.8 \pm 0.22$ |
| $\mathrm{BrPPO} / \mathrm{Br} @ \mathrm{CNTs}-1 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | $4.2 \pm 0.08$ | $4.4 \pm 0.10$ | $4.9 \pm 0.16$ |
| $\mathrm{BrPPO} / \mathrm{Br} @ \mathrm{CNTs}-2 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | $3.3 \pm 0.11$ | $3.6 \pm 0.13$ | $4.0 \pm 0.09$ |
| $\mathrm{BrPPO} / \mathrm{Br} @ \mathrm{CNTs}-4 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | $1.8 \pm 0.12$ | $2.0 \pm 0.14$ | $2.3 \pm 0.17$ |
| $\mathrm{BrPPO} / \mathrm{Br} @ \mathrm{CNTs}-8 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | $2.1 \pm 0.18$ | $2.3 \pm 0.11$ | $2.7 \pm 0.24$ |

