## **Supporting information**

Surface reconstruction on  $Ni_2P@CC$  to form an ultrathin layer of  $Ni(OH)_2$  for enhancing capture and catalytic conversion of polysulfides in lithium-sulfur batteries

Lin Peng <sup>a, b</sup>, Meixiu Qu <sup>a, b</sup>, Rui Sun <sup>a, b</sup>, Weiwei Yang <sup>a, b</sup>, Zhenhua Wang <sup>b</sup>, Wang Sun <sup>b</sup>, Yu Bai <sup>a, b, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Advanced Research Institute of Multidisciplinary Science, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, PR China.

<sup>b</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory for Chemical Power Source and Green Catalysis, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing,100081, PR China.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: yubaiit@163.com



Fig. S1. SEM image of Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC (Insert is the magnified SEM image of Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC).



Fig. S2. TEM image of Ni<sub>2</sub>P.



Fig. S3. TEM image of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P.



**Fig. S4.** HAADF-STEM image of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC and the corresponding elemental mappings of overlap, O, P and Ni.



Fig. S5. HRTEM image of Ni<sub>2</sub>P.



Fig. S6. The O 1s XPS spectrum of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC.



Fig. S7. TGA curve of S/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC with a sulfur loading of 2.20mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S8. XRD patterns of S/Ni(OH)2-Ni2P@CC, S/Ni2P@CC and S/CC.



Fig. S9. SEM image and corresponding elemental mappings of S/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC.



Fig. S10. CV curves of (a) S/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC-based cell, (b) S/Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC-based cell

and (c) S/CC-based cell at scan rates of 0.1-0.5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S11. (a) anodic oxidation process (peak A:  $Li_2S/Li_2S_2 \rightarrow S_8$ ). (b) first cathodic reduction process (peak  $C_1: S_8 \rightarrow Li_2S_x$ ,  $4 \le x \le 6$ ). and (c) second cathodic reduction process (peak  $C_2: Li_2S_x \rightarrow Li_2S/Li_2S_2$ ,  $4 \le x \le 6$ ) vs the square root of the scan rates.



Fig. S12. Charge-discharge profiles of (a)  $S/Ni(OH)_2-Ni_2P@CC$ -based cell, (b)

S/Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC-based cell and (c) S/CC-based cell at different current density.



Fig. S13. SEM image of  $S/Ni(OH)_2$ -Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC cathode at fully charged state after 200

cycles at 1 C.



**Fig. S14.** TGA curve of S/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC with a high sulfur loading of 11.10 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.



**Fig. S15.** Cycling performance of S/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC-based cell at 0.1 C even with high sulfur loading (11.10 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>).



Fig. S16. Cycling performance of S/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC-based cell with a sulfur loading of 5.90 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> under the E/S = 7  $\mu$ L<sub>E</sub> mg<sup>-1</sup><sub>S</sub> condition.



Fig. S17. The optimized adsorption configuration of LiPSs (Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>, Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>, Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, and

 $Li_2S$ ) adsorbed on the surface of  $Ni(OH)_2$ .



Fig. S18. The optimized adsorption configuration of LiPSs ( $Li_2S_6$ ,  $Li_2S_4$ ,  $Li_2S_2$ , and  $Li_2S$ )

adsorbed on the surface of Ni<sub>2</sub>P.



Fig. S19. The charge density difference plots of  $Ni(OH)_2$  and  $Ni_2P$  after binding with  $Li_2S_4$ .



Fig. S20. The charge density difference plots of  $Ni(OH)_2$  and  $Ni_2P$  after binding with  $Li_2S$ .

| Electrode              | $D_{Li}$ +(cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) |                       |                       |  |  |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Electrode              | Peak A                                       | Peak C <sub>1</sub>   | Peak C <sub>2</sub>   |  |  |
| S/Ni(OH)2-Ni2P@CC      | 2.70×10 <sup>-7</sup>                        | 4.50×10 <sup>-8</sup> | 7.54×10 <sup>-8</sup> |  |  |
| S/Ni <sub>2</sub> P@CC | 8.13×10 <sup>-8</sup>                        | 1.24×10 <sup>-8</sup> | 1.88×10 <sup>-8</sup> |  |  |
| S/CC                   | 4.74×10 <sup>-8</sup>                        | 6.97×10 <sup>-9</sup> | 8.26×10 <sup>-9</sup> |  |  |

**Table S1.** Comparisons of the  $D_{Li^+}$  of S/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC, S/Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC and S/CC based cells.

|                | Electrode              | $R_{ m e}\left(\Omega ight)$ | $R_{ m g}\left(\Omega ight)$ | $R_{ m ct}\left(\Omega ight)$ |  |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| before cycling | S/Ni(OH)2-Ni2P@CC      | 2.61                         | -                            | 22.20                         |  |
|                | S/Ni <sub>2</sub> P@CC | 2.39                         | -                            | 50.00                         |  |
|                | S/CC                   | 2.63                         | -                            | 76.90                         |  |
| after cycling  | S/Ni(OH)2-Ni2P@CC      | 3.65                         | 2.28                         | 4.89                          |  |
|                | S/Ni <sub>2</sub> P@CC | 3.26                         | 3.36                         | 10.23                         |  |
|                | S/CC                   | 2.67                         | 4.11                         | 15.73                         |  |
|                |                        |                              |                              |                               |  |

**Table S2.** The EIS results of S/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC, S/Ni<sub>2</sub>P@CC and S/CC based cells before and after cycling.

| Electrode                 | Sulfur<br>loading<br>(mg cm <sup>-2</sup> ) | E/S ratio<br>(µL mg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Rate  | Areal<br>capacity<br>(mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Ref       |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------|--|-----------|
| S/Ni(OH)2-Ni2P@CC         | 5.9   | 7.0                                 | 0.1 C | 5.28   | This work |
| Co/CNT@GF-S               | 5.1   | 15.0                                | 0.1 C | 4.93   | 1         |
| CC@CS@HPP/S               | 5.6   | 10.0                                | 0.1 C | 5.10   | 2         |
| S-C@MoS <sub>2</sub>      | 4.0   | 10.0                                | 0.1 C | 3.30   | 3         |
| VSe <sub>2</sub> -VG@CC/S | 5.5   | 8.4                                 | 0.1 C | 4.10   | 4         |
| Co-NbN/rGO/S              | 5.6   | 8.0                                 | 0.1 C | 3.92   | 5         |
| BTO-MS-BPC/S              | 4.5   | 8.0                                 | 0.1C  | 3.93   | 6         |
| S-Ni <sub>2</sub> Co@rGO  | 4.0   | 6.0                                 | 0.1 C | 4.53   | 7         |
| 3DOM NC@V-ZnO/S           | 5.8   | 4.4                                 | 0.2 C | 4.40   | 8         |

**Table S3.** The electrochemical performance comparison of the  $S/Ni(OH)_2-Ni_2P@CC-$ based cell with other articles.

Reference

- 1. Y. Xie, J. Ao, L. Zhang, Y. Shao, H. Zhang, S. Cheng and X. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **451**, 139017.
- 2. Z. Ye, Y. Jiang, L. Li, F. Wu and R. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2020, 32, 2002168.
- 3. Q. Wu, Z. Yao, X. Zhou, J. Xu, F. Cao and C. Li, Acs Nano, 2020, 14, 3365-3377.
- 4. H. Ci, J. Cai, H. Ma, Z. Shi, G. Cui, M. Wang, J. Jin, N. Wei, C. Lu, W. Zhao, J. Sun and Z. Liu, ACS Nano, 2020, 14, 11929-11938.
- W. Ge, L. Wang, C. Li, C. Wang, D. Wang, Y. Qian and L. Xu, J. Mater. Chem. A., 2020, 8, 6276-6282.
- C. Chang, S. Di, G. Gao, B. Zhai, S. Chen, S. Wang, X. Liu and L. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, 435, 135031.
- G. Li, W. Qiu, W. Gao, Y. Zhu, X. Zhang, H. Li, Y. Zhang, X. Wang and Z. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2202853.
- 8. X. Zhao, Y. Guan, X. Du, G. Liu, J. Li and G. Li, Chem. Eng. J., 2022, 431, 134242.