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## Supporting Information



Figure S1: (a) Survey spectrum of antimonene; (b) X-ray photoelectron spectrum of Sb in antimonene; (c) O 1s spectrum.


Figure S2: Polyhedral representation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{SeMo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{21}\right)\left(\mathrm{COO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{3}\right]^{6-}$ polyoxometalate


Figure S3: (a) Survey spectrum of POM; X-ray photoelectron spectrum of (b) Mo 3d; (c) Se 3d; (d) C 1s; (e) O 1s in POM.


Figure S4: $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ FESEM image of BSA/aptamer-POM(SA)/antimonene on Si wafer at different magnifications; (c, d) corresponding EDAX and elemental mapping of different elements.


Figure S5: Randle's equivalent circuit


Figure S6: Schematic of immunoreaction at the electrode surface

## Details of Dissociation constant $\left(K_{D}\right)$ calculation for antigen-aptamer complex

The surface coverage can be calculated by comparing the charge transfer resistance $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}}\right)$ or capacitance $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{dl}}\right)$ to the value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$. We employed the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}}$ component for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ measurement because minor variations in capacitance values were observed, thus we used a Langmuir adsorption isotherm and a linear relationship between the surface coverage $(\Theta)$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}$ by Eqn. (1) \& (2).
$\Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}=\Theta\left(\Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\max }$
where $\Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}=\left[\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\mathrm{\Theta}=0}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right] /\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\mathrm{e}=0}$
and $\Delta\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\max }=\left[\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\Theta=0}-\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\max }\right] /\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\Theta=0}$

To avoid data overloading at low protein concentrations, the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}}$ change was converted to the Hanes-Woolf form, which may be characterized by Eqn. (4)
$[\mathrm{X}] / \Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}=[\mathrm{X}] / \Delta\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\max }+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} / \Delta\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{et}-1}\right)_{\max }$

Where in the value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ was obtained by division of intercept by slope obtained from the Hanes-Woolf plot shown in Fig.9a of manuscript.

