# **Supplementary Information**

Cuprous oxide-demethyleneberberine nanospheres for single near-infrared light-triggered photoresponsive-enhanced enzymatic synergistic antibacterial therapy

Tao Wang,<sup>a</sup> Xiao-Chan Yang,<sup>a</sup> Yong Ding,<sup>a</sup> Yu-Jiao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Yu-Qing Ru,<sup>a</sup> Jia-Jun Tan,<sup>a</sup> Fang Xu,<sup>b</sup> Wei-Wei Gao,<sup>\*a</sup> and Ya-Mu Xia<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory Base of Eco-chemical Engineering, College of Chemical Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China

<sup>b</sup> Key laboratory of Processing and Quality Evaluation Technology of Green Plastics of China National Light Industry Council, College of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Beijing Technology and Business University, Beijing 100048, China

\* Corresponding Address:

gww501@qust.edu.cn (W.-W. Gao); xiayamu@126.com (Y.-M. Xia)

# **1** Materials

Phosphoric acid (85%), hydrogen peroxide (30%), dimethylbenzene, acetic acid (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH), methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), ethanol (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>OH), berberine, hydrochloric acid (HCl), 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), *N*,*N*dimethylformamide (DMF), and pyrogallol were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H), Acetate monohydrate [Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>], 3-propyl-2-[5-(3-propyl-2(3H)benzothiazolylidene)-1,3-pentadien-1-yl]-iodide(1:1) [diSC3(5)], crystal violet (CV), amplex red (AR) and xylene were obtained from Aladdin Reagent Co. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was obtained from Servicebio. 3,3'5,5'tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), O-phenylenediamine (OPD), tetramethylpiperidine (TEMP), 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-*N*-oxide (DMPO) and 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. All other chemicals were reagent grade or better. All other reagents and solvents were used as received. The deionized water of resistivity 18.2 M $\Omega$  cm<sup>-1</sup> was used in all experiments. *MRSA* and *AREC* were provided by Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, Chengdu, China.

# 2 Characterizations

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained on a HITACHI Regulus 8100 at a working voltage of 15 kV after platinum coating for 45 s. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and EDS element mapping were acquired on the JEOL JEM-F200. XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectrometry, AXIS SUPRA) and XRD (X-ray diffraction, ULTIMALV) were employed to evaluate the phase composition of the samples on an X-ray diffractometer (Cu K<sub>a</sub> radiation, k = 0.15406 nm). Fourier transform-infrared (FT-IR) spectra were conducted on a Thermo Fisher Nicolet iS50 (KBr pellet technique ranging from 4000 to 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a 2.0 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution). The concentration of the released Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions was detected using inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, Atomscan Advantage). UV-Vis absorbance measurements were carried out on a

PEERSEE TU-1810 UV-Vis spectrophotometer with a Peltier temperature control accessory and CARY5000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer with an integrating sphere. The fluorescence was tested by F-4700. The ζ-potential and size of the nanoparticles were measured in a Zetasizer 3000HS analyzer. All electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements were carried out on a JEOL JES FA200 spectrometer at ambient temperature.

### 3 GSH-oxidase-like catalytic activity of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB and kinetic assay

The GSH-oxidase (GSH-OXD)-like activity assays of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB was detected by Ellman's assay. All experiments were carried out in the dark. Ellman reagent DTNB reacted with thiol groups (-SH) in GSH to obtain a yellow product (TNB). Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB was treated with GSH (100  $\mu$ L, 10 mM) in PBS (1.9 mL, pH 8.0) under 20 °C and 50 °C water bath environment. DTNB (10  $\mu$ L, 5 mM) was added at different time respectively for mixing. Next, the mixture solution was centrifuged and the supernatant was required to measure GSH consumption through UV-vis absorption (at 412 nm for TNB) spectrum to study the concentration dependent and time-dependent consumption of GSH. The loss of GSH was calculated as follows:

Loss of 
$$GSH(\%) = (A_n - A_s)/A_n \times 100$$
 (S1)

where  $A_s$  is the absorbance of the sample and  $A_n$  is the absorbance of the negative control. All assays were performed as triplicates. To test whether O<sub>2</sub> is required for the reaction, the mixture reacted in air, O<sub>2</sub>, and a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, respectively.

For kinetic assay, the Michaelis constant ( $K_M$ ) is defined as the substrate concentration at half the maximum reaction rate.  $K_M$  reflects the affinity of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB for its substrate. Maximal reaction velocity ( $V_{max}$ ) is the maximal reaction rate that is observed at saturating substrate concentrations. The kinetics constants  $K_M$  and  $V_{max}$  were calculated through fitting the initial reaction velocity values (V) and the substrate concentrations to equations S2-S4.

$$V = (V_{max} \times [S]) / (K_M + [S])$$
(S2)

where |S| is the concentration of substrate, V is the initial velocity and is calculated using the following equation:

$$V = \Delta A / (\Delta t \times \varepsilon \times l) \tag{S3}$$

where  $\Delta A$  is the change of absorbance value,  $\Delta t$  is the initial reaction time (s),  $\varepsilon$  is the molar absorption coefficient of the colorimetric substrate, and *l* is the path length of light traveling in the cuvette (cm).

The catalytic constant ( $k_{cat}$ ) is defined as the maximum number of substrate molecules converted to product per unit of time and is calculated by the following equation:

$$k_{\rm cat} = V_{max} / [E] \tag{S4}$$

where [E] is the concentration of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (M).

 $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$  characterizes both the affinity and catalytic ability of the enzyme to the substrate, reflecting the catalytic efficiency of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB. The kinetic assay was performed in the reaction of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) with different concentrations of GSH (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 mM).

# 4 Detection of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

Amplex red (AR) was used as a probe. In brief,  $Cu_2O$ -DMB (0, 20, 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) with GSH (0.5 mM) were mixed with AR (0.1 mg/mL) in 0.2 M PBS (pH 4.0) for 60 min. Fluorescence spectra of the mixture solution excited at 521 nm were detected on a microplate reader.

#### 5 Peroxidase-like catalytic activity of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB and kinetic assay

The peroxidase-like activity assays of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB were carried out using TMB and OPD as the reagents in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  in 0.12 M acetate buffer solution (pH 4.0). The UV-Vis absorbance of the color reaction (at 652 nm for TMB and at 425 nm for OPD) was recorded at a certain reaction time to express the peroxidase-like activity. The steady-state kinetic assay of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB with  $H_2O_2$  as the substrate was performed by adding 10 µg/mL Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB into 0.2 M HAc-NaAc buffer solution (pH 4.0) containing TMB (1 mM) and different concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  (0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 mM).

## 6 Photodynamic effect of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB nanospheres

The photodynamic activity of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB was assessed by the degradation of DPBF under 808 nm NIR (1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>). Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (2.5 mg) and DPBF (13.5 mg) were added into DMF (50 mL) and stirred in the dark for 10 min. After NIR irradiation, the photoreactive solution (3 mL) was separated by centrifuging for  $3000 \times g$  for 5 min to remove the particles. Then the absorbance at 425 nm was measured at a UV-Vis spectrophotometer.

## 7 ROS detection by ESR

5,5-Dimethyl-1-pyrroline-*N*-oxide (DMPO) was used to detect •OH and  $O_2$ <sup>-</sup>, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TEMP) was used to detect  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ . 10 µL of DMPO was mixed with 50 µL of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). 10 µL of TEMP was mixed with 100 µL of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). The mixture was placed into a quartz capillary for detection. For the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> containing group, 8 mM of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added in mixture. For the NIR group, 808 nm laser (1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>, 3 min) was used to irradiate the mixture before detection.

## 8 Calculation of the photothermal conversion efficiency ( $\eta$ ) of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB nanospheres

Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB aqueous solutions with different concentrations (0–40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) were exposed to an 808 nm laser irradiation (0.5 W cm<sup>-2</sup>) for 600 s, and the temperature was recorded every 30 s by a thermocouple probe. Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB aqueous dispersion (0.2 mL) with different concentrations (0, 10, 20, 30, and 40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) were exposed to an 808 nm laser irradiation (0.5 W cm<sup>-2</sup>) for 600 s, then shutted off the laser for cooling the solution to room temperature. Heating and cooling temperature patterns of 40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB were recorded. The  $\eta$  of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB was calculated according to the following equations:

$$\eta = [hS(T_{max} - T_{surr}) - Q_{diss}] / I(1 - 10^{-A808})$$
(S5)  
$$\tau_s = mC_p / hS$$
(S6)

Where *h* is the heat-transfer coefficient, *S* is the surface area of the container,  $T_{max}$  is the equilibrium temperature,  $T_{surr}$  is the ambient temperature,  $Q_{diss}$  is the heat obtained by container under 808 nm laser irradiation, *I* is the density of laser power,  $A_{808}$  is the absorbance of the Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB suspension at 808 nm, and  $\tau_s$  is the time constant obtained from Figure S6b.

# 9 Protein leakage

*MRSA* and *AREC* cells (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL) were treated with increasing concentrations of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB for 1 h at 37 °C and then irradiated for 5 min under 808 nm NIR (1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>). Subsequently, the cells were pelleted down at 5000

rpm for 5 min, and the cell-free supernatant was collected. The concentration of leaked proteins in the supernatant was measured using the standard Bradford assay.

## 10 Cytoplasmic membrance depolarization

The membrane potential-sensitive fluorescent dye, diSC3(5), was employed as an indicator of membrane depolarization. Overnight cultured bacteria were diluted in a fresh LB broth and cultured to the mid log phase. *AREC* and *MRSA* cells were collected by centrifugation and washed with 5 mM PBS and 5 mM glucose. Following that, 1950  $\mu$ L of the bacterial suspension and 50  $\mu$ L of 20  $\mu$ M diSC3(5) were added. The fluorescence of the suspension was monitored at room temperature for 20 min at an excitation wavelength of 622 nm and an emission wavelength of 670 nm. Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (0, 1, 2, 4 and 8  $\mu$ g/mL) was added into the cuvette and the increased potential was monitored after irradiated for 2 min under 808 nm NIR (1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>).

## 11 Antibiofilm

Overnight cultured bacteria were diluted in a fresh LB broth and cultured to the mid log phase, then resuspended in fresh medium ( $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.1). Aliquots of 100 µL of bacterial suspension and final concentrations of 0, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 µg/mL of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB were co-stored in a 96-well plate at 37°C for 24 h, and irradiated every 8 h for 5 min under 808 nm NIR (1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>). The medium was removed from the wells and the biofilm was carefully washed twice with PBS to remove planktonic bacteria. The biofilms were fixed with 10% ethanol for 10 min, and then stained with 0.1% CV for 20 min in each well. After discarding CV, the biofilm samples were washed with PBS, and 33% AcOH was added to dissolve the fuel on the biofilm, then the absorbance at 570 nm was measured using a microplate reader. The calculation formula of relative biofilm biomass is calculated as follows:

Relative biofilm biomass (%) = 
$$(A_1 - A_2)/A_1 \times 100$$
 (S7)

Where  $A_1$  represents positive control and  $A_2$  represents experiment group.

#### 12 Bacterial resistance

The strains of *MRSA* and *AREC* were exposed to Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB+NIR group for sustained passages, and then the MICs of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB were determined against each passage of the strain. The freshly diluted *MRSA* ( $1.0 \times 10^5$  CFU) and *AREC* ( $1.0 \times 10^5$  CFU) in the broth medium were respectively cultured in 1 µg/mL Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB+NIR (808 nm,  $1.0 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ , 5 min) at 37 °C for 12 h on a shaker bed at 90 rpm, and the sensitivity of each strain passage to Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB was tested. For comparative analysis, ceftizoxime was used as a control.

### 13 Antibacterial experiments in vitro

The antibacterial ability of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB was determined by plate counting method. Briefly, bacteria suspensions (1  $\times 10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>, 100 µL) were incubated with berberine (64 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>), Cu<sub>2</sub>O (1 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>), and Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (1 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) in a 96-well plate respectively. PBS was used as the control. An 808nm laser light (1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>) irradiated the NIR group for 5 min. After incubated at 37 °C for 40 min, the bacteria suspension (diluted by 1-10<sup>4</sup> fold, 100 µL) was spread on the LB agar plates. The number of bacteria colonies was counted and recorded after incubation for 24 h at 37 °C.

### 14 Cytotoxicity measurement

Cytotoxicity evaluation was performed on Human umbilical vein endothelial cells lines (HUVEC). These cells were implanted into 96-well microplates and permitted to adhere overnight. Subsequently, the culture medium was substituted by fresh culture medium including Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (0-64×MIC)+NIR (808 nm, 1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>, 5 min). After the co-incubation for 24 h, the culture medium was substituted by MTT (20  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) culture solution and incubation for 4 h. Ultimately, DMSO (150  $\mu$ L) was added to each well. Cell viability was calculated by measuring the absorbance at  $\lambda = 490$  nm to the control via a microplate reader.

# **15 Hemolysis**

Fresh blood from mice was taken and erythrocytes were isolated by centrifugation (1,000 rpm, 10 min). The obtained erythrocytes were washed three times with saline and then diluted to a final concentration of 5% (v/v). Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (500  $\mu$ L) with erythrocyte solution (500  $\mu$ L) was added into a 24-well microtiter plate and then shaken at 150 rpm for 1 h in an incubator at 37°C. Afterwards, the microplate contents were centrifuged (1,000 rpm,10 min) and the supernatant (100  $\mu$ L) was introduced into a 96-well microplate. The absorbance of the solution at 540 nm was determined using a microplate reader. Triton X-100 (0.1%) was used as a positive control. The hemolysis rate is calculated as follows:

*Hemolysis rate (%)* = 
$$(A_p - A_b)/(A_t - A_b) \times 100$$
 (S8)

Where  $A_p$  represents the experimental group,  $A_t$  represents the positive control and  $A_b$  represents blank control.

## 16 Cu<sup>2+</sup> release

Cu<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL, 1.0 mg/mL<sup>-1</sup>) and Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (2 mL, 1.0 mg/mL<sup>-1</sup>) solutions were respectively mixed with 0.2 M PBS (pH 3.0, 4.5, 6.0 and 7.5). The mixture was placed on a shaker and taken out at the specified time point, then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 4 min to obtain the supernatant for ICP-AES test.

### 17 Mice wound model

All animal experiments in this study were approved and compliant with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Qingdao University of Science and Technology. The wound model was built on the back of male Kunming mice (22–26 g, 4–5 weeks old), which were purchased from the Model Animal Research Center (MARC) of JiNan PengYue Co. Ltd. (JiNan, China). The mice were slashed with 6 mm diameter round wound and *MRSA* cells ( $1 \times 10^8$  CFU, 50 µL) were injected into the wound for constructing the infected wound model. After 24 h, the infected mice were respectively treated with PBS, berberine, Cu<sub>2</sub>O and Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB. Meanwhile, photographs of the wounds were taken every day. For histological analysis, the mice were sacrificed with an overdose of pentobarbital (100 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) on day 7. The entire wound with adjacent normal skin was collected and fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 24 h at 4 °C. The sample of subcutaneous tissue was analyzed using H&E staining method. All sections were observed and photographed with a microscope (BX51, Olympus, Japan), meanwhile, the wound was excised and incubated in sterile saline for 24 h at 37 °C. Then, the culture solution was diluted 10<sup>3</sup>-fold and cultured on LB agar plates at 37 °C for 24 h for counting the number of bacterial colonies. The wound healing rate was calculated as follows:

where  $A_{initial}$  is the initial wound area (day 0), and  $A_{time}$  is the wound area at different time points.



(S9)

**Figure S1.** The size distribution histogram of (a) cube  $Cu_2O$  and (b)  $Cu_2O$ -DMB nanospheres; (c) EDS mapping of  $Cu_2O$ -DMB nanospheres.



Figure S2. FT-IR spectra of DMB and Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB nanospheres.



Figure S3. (a) Time-dependent GSH depletion by (a)  $Cu_2O$ -DMB nanospheres (50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and (b) cube  $Cu_2O$  (50

 $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>); (c) the absorption intensity of TNB at 412 nm in presence of 50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB nanospheres at different time points; (d) Kinetic assay for the GSH-OXD-like activity of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB with GSH as substrate.



**Figure S4.** Photographs for the color change after GSH oxidation with  $Cu_2O$ -DMB nanospheres (50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) at different time intervals determined by Ellman's assay at (a) 20 °C and (b) 50 °C.



**Figure S5.** (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of TMB+Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution under different temperatures; Effect of (b) temperature and (c) pH on the both peroxidase-like and oxidase-like catalytic performance; (d) Kinetic assay for the peroxidase-like activity of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as substrate; (e) Corresponding double-reciprocal plots of peroxidase-like activity of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB at a fixed concentration of TMB (1 mM) versus varying concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 mM), data presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3)



**Figure S6.** (a) The photothermal effect of Cu<sub>2</sub>O and Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB aqueous solution under 808 nm NIR laser irradiation for 600 s and then turned off the NIR laser for 1200 s; (b) The cooling time plot after 1200 s vs the negative natural logarithm of driving force temperature (-ln $\theta$ ) with slope of (b) 275.70 for 40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB and (c) 263.32 for 40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of Cu<sub>2</sub>O.



**Figure S7.** Protein leakages of (a) *MRSA* and (b) *AREC* treated with Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB nanospheres (NIR, 808 nm, 1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>, 5 min); Membrane depolarization of (c) *MRSA* and (d) *AREC* in the presence of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB+NIR.



**Figure S8.** Photographs for indicating the stability of Cu<sub>2</sub>O and Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB solution (pH 4.5) after 1, 3, 7, and 14 days' storage.



Figure S9. The absorbance at 652 nm of TMB (1 mM)+Cu<sub>2</sub>O-DMB (100  $\mu$ g/mL)+GSH (10 mM) solution with different pH values (3.0, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5, 7.5) for 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h, 16 h, 20 h and 24 h, respectively, the operating temperature is 40 °C.



**Figure S10.** Body weight changes of mice after various treatments (a) without NIR and (b) with NIR (808 nm, 1.0 W cm<sup>-2</sup>, 5 min). Data presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).