Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry C. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022





Element	Line Type	Apparent Concentration	k Ratio	Wt%	Atomic %
Р	K series	10.41	0.05822	16.54	23.49
S	K series	18.36	0.15819	45.52	62.45
Sn	L series	9.93	0.09927	37.94	14.06
Total:				100.00	100.00
Element	Line Type	Apparent Concentration	k Ratio	Wt%	Atomic %
Element P	Line Type K series	Apparent Concentration 8.64	k Ratio 0.04831	Wt% 23.98	Atomic % 32.10
Element P S	Line Type K series K series	Apparent Concentration 8.64 9.86	k Ratio 0.04831 0.08492	Wt% 23.98 43.82	Atomic % 32.10 56.65
Element P S Sn	Line Type K series K series L series	Apparent Concentration 8.64 9.86 4.75	k Ratio 0.04831 0.08492 0.04750	Wt% 23.98 43.82 32.21	Atomic % 32.10 56.65 11.25

fig S1. Detailed EDS results of SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub> samples.



fig S2. Photoelectric properties of  $SnP_2S_6$  with different thickness.

(A) Schematic of photocurrent of the different-thickness  $SnP_2S_6$  photodetector on Si substrate. The samples with the thickness of 3 layers, 15 layers (12.7 nm), 22 layers and 41 layers were identified<sup>3</sup>, respectively. (B) Time dependent laser ON/OFF measurement of the  $SnP_2S_6$  devices above. As the material is very thin (same colour as the substrate in the OM image), due to the lack of carriers, the photoresponse of device is weak and the current passing through its channel is almost zero.



**fig S3.** (A)  $I_{ds}$ - $V_{ds}$  curves of different-thickness SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub> under 50 mW 405 nm laser. (B) Photoresponsivity (R) and photogain (G) of various SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub> samples as a function of laser power. SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub> with 15 layers shows best R and G of  $R_{max} = 8.3 \times 10^{-5} mA/W_{and} G_{max} = 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ . (C)  $I_{ds}$ - $V_{gs}$  curves of different-thickness SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>. SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub> of 15L has the best electric gating effect. (D) Schematic of SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub> phototransistor on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate. According to the results in fig.S2 and fig.S3, SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub> with 15 layers has better light response, so we only list results

	0	0	0,	2 0		0	•		•	
of	15L		in		the		maiı	n		text.



fig. S4. Time dependent ON/OFF test of 15L device under 405 nm light, whose power varies from 0.1 mW to 50 mW.



**fig. S5.** (A) Schematic of SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> FETs using Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> as substrate. (B) Optical image of a SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> FET on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>. (C) Double-sweep I<sub>ds</sub>-V<sub>tg</sub> curves show a big hysteresis of SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> FET in fig(B), and the inset is the current in linear scale (hysteresis gap  $\Delta V_T \approx 0.392$  V).

We fabricate tens of top-gate FETs on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>, but only to find that the hysteresis is too big. The worse device performance results from the coupling, scattering from charged surface states, impurities, defects as well as the surface roughness and optical phonons. Compared with traditional substrates Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2D h-BN is considered promising together with 2D materials, because of the minimal lattice mismatch ( $\sim$ 1.7%) involved and almost atomically flat surface that can be free of dangling bonds or surface charge traps. According to the clarification in our paper, we insert a layer of h-BN as our substrate to improve the hysteresis instability.





As shown in fig. S6 (B) and (D), the  $SnP_2S_6$  sheet with estimated thickness of **48nm** was chosen to fabricate our FETs, and it shows a good gate tunability (details in **fig. S8**).



**fig. S7.** 2D materials obtained through a mechanical exfoliation process, and the FETs fabricated using the materials above. (A)(B) The optical image of  $MoS_2$  sheets and h-BN substrate with different thicknesses, respectively. (C) Optical image of  $SnP_2S_6$  sheets used as dielectric material in top-gate FETs. (D) Optical image of  $4 SnP_2S_6/MoS_2$  FETs on h-BN substrate using  $SnP_2S_6$  sheets in (C), named **Device 6, 7, 8 and 9** respectively.



**fig. S8**. The  $SnP_2S_6/MoS_2$  FETs on h-BN substrate with performance of a low ON/OFF ratio and a high leakage current. The FET using  $SnP_2S_6$  in (A) and  $MoS_2$  in (B) has a ON/OFF ratio of 10<sup>4</sup> and leakage current of 10<sup>9</sup> A shown in (C), and the FET using  $SnP_2S_6$  in (D) and  $MoS_2$  in (E) has a ON/OFF ratio of 10<sup>3</sup> and leakage current of 10<sup>10</sup> A in (F).

As shown in **fig. S8** (C) and (F), these two FETs exhibit poor performances. We infer that the dielectric layer of  $MoS_2$  FET is too small to regulate the carrier concentration in the channel, resulting in low ON/OFF ratio. Thus, it suggests the channel parts should be covered by  $SnP_2S_6$  as much as possible. Meanwhile, the band gap of monolayer  $SnP_2S_6$  is small, namely 2.23 eV<sup>4</sup>, and the  $SnP_2S_6$  used in these two FETs are very thin, leading to dielectric breakdown easily happen. Therefore, in our case, a  $SnP_2S_6$  film with thickness about 49.7 nm was chosen to fabricate the device.



fig. S9. (A) Optical image of h-BN sheets used as dielectric material in top-gate FETs. (B) AFM profiles of h-BN sheetin (A). (C)Schematic of h-BN/MoS2 FET on Si/SiO2 substrate (D)  $I_{ds}$ - $V_{tg}$  curves of h-BN/MoS2 FET on Si/SiO2 substrateat300K,andtheinsetshowsopticalimageofthedevice.



**fig. S10.** (A)  $I_{ds}$ -V<sub>tg</sub> characteristics of device 6 in **fig.S9D** at various drain bias, where the high ON/OFF ratio of 10<sup>7</sup> is achieved at drain bias of 0.5 V and 1 V. The green dotted line shows low leakage current of 10<sup>-13</sup> A at drain bias of 1 V. (B) Threshold voltage calculation of device 6. The value of Vt is as low as -1.1 V.

Device 6 shows high ON/OFF ratio of  $10^7$ . What's more, we have calculated the carrier mobility of SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> FET (device 6) and h-BN/MoS<sub>2</sub> FET by the formula

$$\mu = \frac{L}{WV_{ds}} \times C \times g_m$$

where L and W are length and width of channel, C is the capacitance, and  $g_m$  is the transconductance. The SnP<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>/ MoS<sub>2</sub> device exhibits a high carrier mobility of 28.498 cm<sup>2</sup>V<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, while for h-BN/ MoS<sub>2</sub> device, a value of 4.344 cm<sup>2</sup>V<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> is obtained.

The power consumption of MOS transistor device can be calculated by formula

 $P = CV_{on}^{2}\alpha f + I_{leak}V_{on}$ 

Where C is capacitance, Von is the working voltage of the device,  $\alpha$  is a dynamic factor, f is the working frequency,  $I_{leak}$  is leakage current. According to this formula, the power consumption of transistor devices is mainly determined by the working voltage Von. The devices we fabricated show low threshold voltage of -1.1 V and low on voltage (**Figure R7**), which can reduce the switching power consumption without affecting high performance speed.



**fig. S11.** (A)(B)(C) Double-sweep  $I_{ds}$ - $V_{tg}$  curves and leakage current of device 6, 7 and 8 mentioned in **fig. S9D**, respectively. The insets are the current in linear scale. While (D)(E)(F) show the corresponding  $I_{ds}$ - $V_{tg}$  curves measured after two days in the glove box.

As we can see from fig. S11 (A-C), the  $SnP_2S_6/MoS_2$  devices on h-BN substrate show a varied hysteresis behaviors. fig. S11(A) demonstrates electrically tunable hysteresis crossover at room temperature (from clockwise (CW) at lower gate voltages to anti-clockwise (ACW) at higher gate voltages). This is likely induced by the gate-channel underlap areas, which engineers a differential response from traps near the channel-gate dielectric interface in the overlap and underlap gate regions, as reported in previous studies<sup>5</sup>. For the results in fig. S11(B, C), both of device exhibit ACW at room temperature (RT), and  $I_{ds}$ -V<sub>tg</sub> curves of the devices mentioned in fig. S11(F) show CW at RT, and so on. Based on the discussions above, it indicates that the devices show instable hysteresis behavior, which is possibly due to the underlap of the gate-channel region. Furthermore, during the fabrication process, impurities such as bubbles and absorbates may be introduced and form traps. Thus, during the fabrication, the coverage of the gate-channel should be big enough and the interface should be as clear as possible.

Subsequently, we test our devices in vacuum after placing the devices to the glove box two days. It is obvious that the ON/OFF ratio becomes very small, and the hysteresis gap becomes bigger, suggesting an increase of active defects. This behavior may be attributed to the trapping of carriers at interface and oxide defects which is produced by dissociative adsorption of oxygen and moisture on the surface of MoS<sub>2</sub><sup>6</sup>. Actually, these devices have been measured repeatedly and the electrodes have been partially damaged, so we indicate that the mechanical degradation of the electrode from multiple measurements are one of the likely causes for the decrease in performance.



**Fig.S12** Current leakage and breakdown measurement of the  $SnP_2S_6$  film. For the material with thickness of 26.5 nm shown in the right, the breakdown voltage is around 5 V. Insets: schematic of the measurement device (top) and optical images of the measured devices (bottom).  $V_{bias}$  is the biased voltage applied on the top of device.



Fig.S13. Ids-Vtg curves and Ig-Vtg curves without light, under illumination of 405 nm laser and after irradiation.

The leakage current of the top-gated  $SnP_2S_6/MoS_2$  FET was monitored under the conditions of darkness, illumination and after illumination, respectively. As shown in **Fig.s13**, the maximum leakage current under illumination is 10<sup>9</sup>, far less than the photocurrent of which indicates  $SnP_2S_6$  has little effect on the optical response of the device.



**fig. S14.** Transfer characteristics and transient properties of **Device 9** (on h-BN substrate) under laser irradiation with different wavelengths. (A)  $I_{ds}$ - $V_{tg}$  characteristics at dark (Blue) and under 405 nm (Purple)/532 nm (Green)/633 nm (Red) irradiation, where the difference of photo response to different wavelengths is negligible. (B)(C)(D) The data is fitted with biexponential equation, and the time constants are attracted. Longer time constants of 8.66 s(B), 13.79 s(C) and 9.48 s(D) are obtained.

Since that **fig. S14** shows negligible distinction under the irradiation of 405 nm, 532 nm and 632 nm, we can conclude that the longer time constant caused by PG effect varies independently of the wavelength of laser. **Table 1.** Detailed parameter of biexponential equation in **fig. S14**.

	t <sub>0</sub> (s)	а	$ au_{1}(s)$	b	$ au_{2}(s)$	<sup>I</sup> <sub>0(A)</sub>
В	47.88	$2.22 \times 10^{-8}$	8.05	$7.01 \times 10^{-8}$	0.34	$1.23 \times 10^{-6}$
С	43.53	$9.11 \times 10^{-9}$	13.79	$3.45 \times 10^{-8}$	1.14	$1.21 \times 10^{-6}$
D	55.95	$1.54 \times 10^{-8}$	9.48	$2.69 \times 10^{-7}$	0.44	$6.16 \times 10^{-7}$



**fig. S15.** Transient property of the  $MoS_2$  transistors under 405 nm laser irradiation, where data is fitted with biexponential equation. (A)(B) represent the channel current of two  $SnP_2S_6/MoS_2$  FETs built **on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate**. (C)(D) show the channel current of two  $SnP_2S_6/MoS_2$  FETs built **on h-BN substrate**. The longer relaxation time constants are separately 3.871 s(A), 13.39 s(B), 11.84 s(C) and 13.26 s(D).

**fig. S15** shows that the longer time constants of FETs on h-BN substrate caused by PG effect, which is similar to that on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate. Thus, as discussed in main text, it is inferred that the long persistent photocurrent measured in our devices is not likely due to the traps at the  $MoS_2/SiO_2$  or  $MoS_2/h-BN$  interface. The detained parameter of biexponential equation in **fig. S15** is Table 1.

	t <sub>0</sub> (s)	а	$\tau_{1}(s)$	b	$ au_2(s)$	<sup>I</sup> <sub>0(A)</sub>
Α	18.14	$1.81 \times 10^{-7}$	6.814	$6.37 \times 10^{-7}$	0.279	$3.58 \times 10^{-6}$
В	226.2	$3.28 \times 10^{-8}$	13.39	$9.12 \times 10^{-8}$	0.817	$8.97 \times 10^{-8}$
С	52.96	$1.64 \times 10^{-7}$	11.84	$2.71 \times 10^{-7}$	0.623	$8.97 \times 10^{-7}$
D	39.6	$3 \times 10^{-7}$	13.26	$2.04 \times 10^{-6}$	0.294	$5 \times 10^{-6}$

Table 2. Detailed parameter of biexponential equation in fig. S15.



**fig. S16.** The good reliability and reproducibility of the devices. (A) The distribution of SS value for the devices. Inset: Schematic of the Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate devices and h-BN substrate devices. Error bar of SS value for devices from three batches. (B) The distribution of threshold voltage for the devices. Inset: Error bar of threshold voltage for devices from three batches.

Device reliability and reproducibility are the key factors for future commercialization, so discuss these factors with seven as-prepared successful devices in total. In order to characterize the repeatability and reliability of the device, Fig.S16 gives the distribution, average and error bar of SS value (A) and threshold voltage (B) of devices from three batches on two kinds of substrates (Si/SiO2 and h-BN). There are two successful devices in batch 1 (Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate), three successful devices in batch 2 (h-BN substrate) and two devices in batch 3 (h-BN substrate). The devices in the same batch show general reproducibility and uniformity with a less than 20% deviation from the average SS value and less than 0.5 standard deviation of threshold voltage. From batch to batch, there are errors associated with device performance. Initially, devices were built on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate (batch 1), which show higher SS value, higher threshold voltage and bigger hysteresis instability (as shown in Fig.S5) than devices on insulated h-BN substrate (batch 2 and batch 3).



**Fig. S17.** The stability of the devices. Ids-Vtg characteristics of the device while applying a double-sweep voltage ranging from -1.5 V to 0.2 V and from 0.2 V to -1.5 V for five times. The arrows denote the sweeping directions.

As shown in **Fig.S17**, the stability and reliability of the device have been studied by applying a double-sweep voltage ranging from -1.5 V to 0.2 V and from 0.2 V to -1.5 V for five times. In the process of five sweeps, the transfer characteristic curves of the device almost coincide completely and have only a small fluctuation amplitude, which is caused by the instability from the equipment and environment during the sweep. The results show very low variability indicating that the FET device have good stability and reliability.



**Fig. S18.** Stability measurement of devices is studied by recording the optical response irradiated under different wavelengths laser (B) 405 nm, (C) 532 nm and (D) 633 nm, repeatedly.

As shown in **Fig.S18**, under the laser irradiation of different wavelength under periodic switch, the states of opening and closing for many times in i-t curves are recorded. The photocurrent and photogating effect of every switch are repeatable, which shows good stability.





Furthermore, we have measured the device again after 75 days. As shown in Fig.S19, the current of the device without light has two orders of decrease compared to its initial state, which confirms the device instability in a long time. The device has not been encapsulated, and was exposed to laboratory environment. Charge trapping at the interface, adsorption/desorption of ambient molecules or oxygen and atmospheric moisture in the exposed active layers may cause the degradation of device performance. However, under the conditions of 405 nm laser illumination and after irradiation, the device shows similar optical response and photocurrent attenuation after 75 days. lt's illumination that removes the defect traps and restores the device.

- 1. C. Y. Zhu, J. K. Qin, P. Y. Huang, H. L. Sun, N. F. Sun, Y. L. Shi, L. Zhen and C. Y. Xu, *Small*, 2022, **18**, e2104401.
- 2. A. V. Gomonnai, Y. M. Azhniuk, Vysochanskii, Y. M., Kikineshi, A. A., Kis-Varga, M., Daroczy, L., Voynarovych and I. M., *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 2003, **15(37)**, 6381–6393.
- 3. Y. Zhang, F. Wang, X. Feng, Z. Sun, J. Su, M. Zhao, S. Wang, X. Hu and T. Zhai, *Nano Research*, 2021, **15**, 2391-2398.
- 4. Y. Jing, Z. Zhou, J. Zhang, C. Huang, Y. Li and F. Wang, *Phys Chem Chem Phys*, 2019, **21**, 21064-21069.
- 5. N. Kaushik, D. M. A. Mackenzie, K. Thakar, N. Goyal, B. Mukherjee, P. Boggild, D. H. Petersen and S. Lodha, *npj 2D Materials and Applications*, 2017, **1**.
- 6. C. Marquez, N. Salazar, F. Gity, C. Navarro, G. Mirabelli, J. C Galdon, R. Duffy, S. Navarro, P. K Hurley and F. Gamiz, *2D Materials*, 2020, **7**.