Supporting Information

2D ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets in-situ growth on sulfur-doped porous Ti₃C₂T_x MXene 3D multi-functional architectures for photocatalytic H₂ evolution

Ming Du,^a Lutao Li,^a Shilei Ji,^a Taomiao Wang,^a Yile Wang,^a Jian Zhang,^{*a, b} Xing'ao Li,^{*a, b}

Dr. Ming Du, Lutao Li, Dr. Shilei Ji, Taomiao Wang Dr. Yile Wang, Prof. Jian Zhang, Prof. Xing'ao Li.

^a Key Laboratory for Organic Electronics and Information Displays & Institute of Advanced Materials (IAM), Jiangsu National Synergetic Innovation Center for Advanced Materials (SICAM), Nanjing University of Posts & Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, PR China Email: lxahbmy@126.com; iamjzhang@njupt.edu.cn.

^b New Energy Technology Engineering Lab of Jiangsu Province, School of Science, Nanjing University of Posts & Telecommunications (NUPT), Nanjing 210023, PR China

Keywords: porous Ti₃C₂T_x, sulfur-doped, Ti-S bonds, 3D architecture, photocatalytic H₂ evolution



Fig. S1. The pore size distribution curves of the $ZnIn_2S_4$ and S-doped $Ti_3C_2T_x@ZnIn_2S_4$ composites





Fig. S2. X-ray diffraction patterns of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ and S-doped $Ti_3C_2T_x$.

Fig. S3. Raman spectroscopy of S-doped $Ti_3C_2T_x$ and S-Ti_3C_2T_x@ZnIn_2S_4 composites.



Fig. S4. FT-IR spectra of ZnIn₂S₄, S-Ti₃C₂T_x@ZnIn₂S₄ composites, and S-doped Ti₃C₂T_x



Fig. S5. Zn 2p of ZnIn₂S₄ and S-Ti₃C₂T_x@ZnIn₂S₄ composites.



Fig. S6. UPS spectra for A) S doped $Ti_3C_2T_x$ and B) $ZnIn_2S_4$



Fig. S7. The QE values of S-Ti₃C₂T_x@ZnIn₂S₄-3 at different wavelength



Fig. S8. Side views of the pure $Ti_3C_2T_x$.



Fig. S9. Top views of the pure $Ti_3C_2T_x$.



Fig. S10. Density of states of the S doped $Ti_3C_2T_x$.



Fig. S11. The electronic band structure of the pure $Ti_3C_2T_x$.



Fig. S12. The density of states of the pure $Ti_3C_2T_x$.

		photocatalysts			
Photocatalyst	Light source	sacrificial agent	H_2 evolution rate (mmol $h^{-1}g^{-1}$)	H ₂ production OE $(\%)$	Ref.
CdS/Ti ₃ C ₂	300 W Xe arc	TEOA	3.1765	2.28	1
	lamp ($\lambda \ge 400 \text{ nm}$)			(λ=420nm)	
CuInS ₂ /TiO ₂	300 W Xe lamp	MEOH	0.655	None	2
	(<i>λ</i> ≥420 nm)				
CNFs/MoS ₂ /ZnIn ₂ S ₄	300 W Xe lamp	aqueous	0.151	20.88	3
	(<i>λ</i> ≥400 nm)	solution		(λ=365nm)	
NiCo ₂ S ₄ /ZnIn ₂ S ₄	300 W Xe lamp	Na ₂ S/Na ₂ SO ₃	0.77	1.2	4
	(<i>λ</i> ≥400 nm)			(λ=420nm)	
MXene/ZnIn ₂ S ₄	300 W Xe lamp	TEOA	3.475	11.14	5
	(<i>λ</i> ≥400 nm)			(λ=420nm)	
BiVO ₄ /Ti ₃ C ₂	300 W Xe arc	methanol	0.196	1.47	6
	lamp ($\lambda \ge 420 \text{ nm}$)	solution		(λ=420nm)	
ZnIn2S4/S, N co-doped	300 W Xe lamp	TEOA	2.937	19.47	7
carbon				(λ=435nm)	
ZnIn ₂ S ₄ -S/CNTs/RP	350 W Xe lamp	Na ₂ S/Na ₂ SO ₃	1.640	None	8
CuInS ₂ @C ₃ N ₄	350 W Xe lamp	Na ₂ S/Na ₂ SO ₃	0.373	4.32	9
	(<i>λ</i> ≥420 nm).			(λ=400nm)	
$TiO_2/Ti_3C_2/g$ - C_3N_4	300 W Xe lamp	TEOA	1.409	None	10
	(<i>λ</i> ≥420 nm).				
Ti ₃ C ₂ @TiO ₂ /ZnIn ₂ S ₄	300 W Xe lamp	Na ₂ S/Na ₂ SO ₃	1.186	None	11
	(<i>λ</i> ≥400 nm)				
$CdS/ZnIn_2S_4$	300 W Xe lamp	Na ₂ S/Na ₂ SO ₃	3.072	15.9	12
				(λ=420nm)	
NH ₂ -MIL-125(Ti)	300 W Xe lamp	lactic acid	2.367	None	13
@ZnIn ₂ S ₄ /CdS	(<i>λ</i> ≥400 nm)				
$WO_3/ZnIn_2S_4$	300 W Xenon	Na ₂ S/Na ₂ SO ₃	1.945	18.68	14
	arc lamp ($\lambda \ge 420$			(λ=420nm)	
	nm)				

Table S1. Comparis	son of the photoc	catalytic H ₂ ev	olution a	ctivity for so	me previous 1	reports
		photocatals	vsts			

UiO-66/ZnIn ₂ S ₄	300 W Xe lamp	TEOA	3.062	19.39	15
	(<i>λ</i> ≥400 nm)			(λ=400nm)	
S-Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x /ZnIn ₂ S ₄	300 W Xe lamp	Na ₂ S/Na ₂ SO ₃	3.058	17.68	This
	(<i>λ</i> ≥400 nm)			(λ=420nm)	work

- 1 B. Sun, P. Qiu, Z. Liang, Y. Xue, X. Zhang, L. Yang, H. Cui and J. Tian, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **406**, 127177.
- 2 H. Hou, Y. Yuan, S. Cao, Y. Yang, X. Ye and W. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2020, **8**, 11001-11007.
- Y. Sun, C. Xue, L. Chen, Y. Li, S. Guo, Y. Shen, F. Dong, G. Shao and P. Zhang, *Sol. RRL*, 2021, 5, 2000722.
- 4 K. Wu, R. Jiang, Y. Zhao, L. Mao, X. Gu, X. Cai and M. Zhu, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2022, **619**, 339-347.
- 5 G. Zuo, Y. Wang, W. L. Teo, A. Xie, Y. Guo, Y. Dai, W. Zhou, D. Jana, Q. Xian and W. Dong, *Angewandte Chemie*, 2020, **132**, 11383-11388.
- 6 Y. Li, Y. Liu, D. Xing, J. Wang, L. Zheng, Z. Wang, P. Wang, Z. Zheng, H. Cheng and Y. Dai, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2021, **285**, 119855.
- 7 X. Fu, C. Huang, J. Wen, Y. Du and X. Zheng, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2021, **46**, 17697-17707.
- L. Liu, J. Liu, W. Yang, J. Wan, F. Fu and D. Wang, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2022, 608, 482-492.
- 9 J. Luo, Z. Lin, Y. Zhao, S. Jiang and S. Song, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2020, **41**, 122-130.
- 10 V. Q. Hieu, T. C. Lam, A. Khan, T.-T. T. Vo, T.-Q. Nguyen, V. D. Doan and V. A. Tran, *Chemosphere*, 2021, **285**, 131429.
- 11 K. Huang, C. Li and X. Meng, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2020, 580, 669-680.
- 12 Y. Zhu, J. Chen, L. Shao, X. Xia, Y. Liu and L. Wang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **268**, 118744.
- 13 S. Zhang, M. Du, Z. Xing, Z. Li, K. Pan and W. Zhou, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **262**, 118202.
- 14 Y. Wang, D. Chen, Y. Hu, L. Qin, J. Liang, X. Sun and Y. Huang, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2020, **4**, 1681-1692.
- 15 X. Peng, L. Ye, Y. Ding, L. Yi, C. Zhang and Z. Wen, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **260**, 118152.