

Supporting Information

Deep learning for chemotherapy response of metastatic colorectal cancer: comparing and combining H&E staining histopathology and infrared spectral histopathology.

Benjamin Brunel^{ab‡}, Pierre Prada^{a‡}, Florian Slimano^a, Camille Boulagnon-Rombi^c, Olivier Bouché^{ad} and Olivier Piot^{*a}

a. Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, EA7506-BioSpectroscopie Translationnelle (BioSpect), Reims, France.

b. Université de Franche-Comté, CNRS, institut FEMTO-ST, F-25000 Besançon, France.

c. Laboratoire d'anatomie et cytologie pathologique, CHU Reims, 51100 Reims, France.

d. Service d'Oncologie Digestive, CHU Reims, 51100 Reims, France.

Table S1: PFS predicted for each sub-image by the CNN on HE images Vs real PFS. All values are expressed in months.

Real PFS	PFS predicted					
	Average	Standard variation	Sub-image #1	Sub-image #2	Sub-image #3	Sub-image #4
14.9	23	1,5	22	25		
15.3	19	2,1	17	22	17	20
15.4	19	4,4	26	14	20	17
20.7	22	6,8	32	16	23	15
35.1	31	3,0	27	29	31	35
41.3	29	1,1	31	29	29	28

Table S2: PFS predicted for each sub-image by the CNN on IR images Vs real PFS. All values are expressed in months.

Real PFS	PFS predicted			
	Average	Standard variation	Sub-image #1	Sub-image #2
14.9	19	1,5	18	21
15.3	17	4	21	13
15.4	14	1,5	13	16
20.7	24	1,5	26	23
35.1	35	2	33	38
41.3	27	2	29	25

Table S3: PFS predicted for each sub-image by the fusion CNN Vs real PFS. All values are expressed in months.

Real PFS	PFS predicted			
	Average	Standard deviation	Sub-image #1	Sub-image #2
14.9	21	1,5	19	22
15.3	20	0	20	20
15.4	18	5	23	13
20.7	26	4,5	30	21
35.1	37	1	38	36
41.3	34	0,5	33	34