# Supplementary Materials for

# A sensitive ratiometric fluorescence probe with large spectral shift for sensing and imaging of palladium

Hongyu Li,<sup>abc</sup>\* Yue Jian,<sup>abc</sup> Xue Luo,<sup>abc</sup> Yan An,<sup>abc</sup> Mingyan Yang,<sup>abc</sup> Jie Gao,<sup>abc</sup> Junjun Luo,<sup>abc</sup> Xinmin Li, <sup>abc</sup> Jiajia Lv, <sup>abc</sup> Zeli Yuan<sup>abc</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup> College of Pharmacy, Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi, Guizhou 563003, China;

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Basic Pharmacology of Ministry of Education and Joint International Research Laboratory of Ethnomedicine of Ministry of Education, Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi, Guizhou 563000, China;

<sup>c</sup> Guizhou International Scientific and Technological Cooperation Base for Medical Photo-Theranostics Technology and Innovative Drug Development, Zunyi, Guizhou 563003, China.

### **Table of contents**

Synthesis and characterization of the compounds	S2
Comparison of PF-Pd with some recently reported ratiometric probes for Pd	S5
Spectroscopic measurement of Pd <sup>0</sup>	S7
Colorimetric analysis of Pd <sup>0</sup> by PF-Pd	.S8
Biocompatibility of PF-Pd	S8
Fluorescence imaging	S9
NMR spectra of the compounds	S10
References	S20

#### Synthesis and characterization of the compounds



Scheme S1. Synthetic route of the probes.

3-F (orange solid, yield 67 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 10.43 (s, 1H), 7.61 – 7.54 (m, 1H), 7.33 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 2.57 (s, 2H), 2.48 (s, 2H), 0.98 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 170.8, 156.7, 152.8, 150.4, 147.1, 137.4, 128.2, 126.1, 122.5, 118.3, 115.1, 114.5, 113.6, 75.9, 42.7, 38.5, 32.1, 27.9. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* calcd. for C19H16FN2O [M-H]<sup>-</sup>, 307.1252; found, 307.1252.

3-Cl (orange solid, yield 71 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.74 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 32.0 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 2.60 (s, 2H), 2.51 (s, 2H), 1.01 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  170.7, 156.7, 154.9, 137.0, 129.5, 128.9, 128.9, 128.2, 122.5, 120.9, 117.2, 114.5, 113.6, 76.0, 42.7, 38.5, 32.1, 27.9. HR-ESI-MS: m/z calcd. for C19H16CIN2O [M-H]<sup>-</sup>, 323.0957; found, 323.0956.

3-Br (orange solid, yield 80 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 10.82 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34 – 7.14 (m, 2H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 2.59 (s, 2H), 2.50 (s, 2H), 1.00 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 170.72, 156.66, 155.92, 136.91, 132.58, 129.48, 129.35, 128.11, 122.50, 116.92, 114.46, 113.63, 110.57, 75.93, 42.67, 40.53, 40.32, 40.11, 39.90, 39.69, 39.48, 39.27, 38.50, 32.10, 27.88. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* calcd. for C19H16BrN2O [M-H]<sup>-</sup>, 367.0451; found, 367.0450.

3-H (orange solid, yield 76 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 10.01 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.30 – 7.12 (m, 2H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 2.59 (s, 2H), 2.52 (s, 2H), 1.01 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 170.7, 159.8, 157.2, 138.7, 130.3, 127.5, 126.7, 121.8, 116.3, 114.6, 113.8, 75.2, 42.7, 38.6, 32.1, 27.9. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* calcd. for

C19H17N2O [M-H]<sup>-</sup>, 289.1346; found, 289.1346.

3-OMe (orange solid, yield 69 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 9.63 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31 – 7.17 (m, 2H), 7.10 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.84 – 6.76 (m, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.60 (s, 2H), 2.53 (s, 2H), 1.01 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 170.7, 157.3, 149.3, 148.4, 139.2, 128.1, 126.9, 123.5, 121.7, 116.0, 114.6, 113.9, 110.8, 75.0, 56.0, 42.7, 38.6, 32.1, 27.9. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* calcd. for C20H19N2O2 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>, 319.1452; found, 319.1452.

PF-Pd (yellow solid, yield 55 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.36 – 7.31 (m, 1H), 7.29 – 7.25 (m, 2H), 6.95 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 6.08 – 5.92 (m, 1H), 5.45 (dd, J = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.39 – 5.34 (m, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (s, 2H), 2.46 (s, 2H), 1.09 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  169.01, 155.46, 152.80, 152.32, 139.09, 135.41, 134.38, 130.63, 124.42, 123.83, 123.72, 119.89, 115.28, 115.09, 113.25, 112.47, 79.69, 69.86, 42.95, 39.17, 32.04, 28.01. HR-ESI-MS: m/z calcd. for C23H22FN2O3 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 393.1609; found, 393.1610.

PCl-Pd (yellow solid, yield 60 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.59 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 2H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 6.06 – 5.96 (m, 1H), 5.46 (dd, J = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (dd, J = 10.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (dt, J = 5.8, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (s, 2H), 2.45 (s, 2H), 1.09 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  169.0, 152.8, 152.3, 147.6, 135.2, 134.1, 130.7, 129.1, 127.6, 126.7, 124.4, 123.7, 119.8, 113.2, 112.4, 79.7, 69.8, 42.9, 39.1, 32.0, 28.0. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* calcd. for C23H22CIN2O3 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 409.1313; found, 409.1317.

PBr-Pd (yellow solid, yield 59 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.76 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 2H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 6.06 – 5.96 (m, 1H), 5.50 – 5.41 (m, 1H), 5.38 – 5.31 (m, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (s, 2H), 2.45 (s, 2H), 1.09 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  169.0, 152.8, 152.3, 148.8, 135.5, 133.9, 132.2, 130.7, 127.4, 124.5, 123.7, 119.9, 116.7, 113.2, 112.5, 79.7, 69.8, 42.9, 39.1, 32.0, 28.0. HR-ESI-MS: m/z calcd. for C23H22BrN2O3 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 453.0808; found, 453.0813.

PH-Pd (yellow solid, yield 67 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.53 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.07 – 6.91 (m, 2H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 6.04 – 5.97 (m, 1H), 5.49 –

5.31 (m, 2H), 4.76 (dt, *J* = 5.9, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (s, 2H), 2.47 (s, 2H), 1.09 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 169.2, 153.5, 153.1, 151.8, 135.6, 133.6, 130.9, 129.5, 128.6, 123.8, 121.7, 119.8, 113.4, 112.6, 79.0, 69.4, 43.0, 39.2, 32.0, 28.0. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* calcd. for C23H23N2O3 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 375.1703; found, 375.1714.

POMe-Pd (yellow solid, yield 48 %), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.17 – 7.11 (m, 2H), 7.07 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 6.05 – 5.95 (m, 1H), 5.44 (dq, J = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dd, J = 10.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 2.61 (s, 2H), 2.47 (s, 2H), 1.09 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  169.3, 153.6, 152.8, 151.5, 141.1, 136.0, 134.9, 131.0, 129.5, 123.8, 122.8, 120.8, 119.3, 113.4, 112.7, 110.4, 78.8, 69.4, 56.0, 42.9, 39.1, 32.0, 28.0. HR-ESI-MS: m/z calcd. for C24H25N2O4 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 405.1809; found, 405.1817.

# Comparison of PF-Pd with some recently reported ratiometric probes for Pd

Structure	Analyte	Detection wavelength (Spectral shift)	LOD	Application	Ref.
	$Pd^0$ $Pd^{2+}$	495 nm to	57 nM	Not montioned	1
s i i i	Pd <sup>4+</sup>	(140 nm)	nm 57 nM nm)	Not mentioned	1
	Pd <sup>2+</sup>	495 nm to 595 nm (100 nm)	230 nM	Cell imaging Tissue imaging	2
O O O O O O O O O O Br	Pd <sup>2+</sup>	445 nm to 550 nm (105 nm)	280 nM	Cell imaging Tissue imaging	3
	$Pd^0$ $Pd^{2+}$ $Pd^{4+}$	470 nm to 552 nm (82 nm)	9.0 nM	Cell imaging Tissue imaging	4
	Pd <sup>2+</sup>	515 nm to 575 nm (60 nm)	Not mention -ed	Cell imaging Tissue imaging Zebrafish imaging	5
NH <sub>2</sub> O N O O O O	Pd <sup>0</sup>	490 nm to 547 nm (57 nm)	31 nM	Cell imaging	6

Table S1. Comparison of PF-Pd with some recently reported ratiometric probes for Pd

$ \begin{array}{c} O \\ O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ O \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ O \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \end{array} \\$	Pd <sup>0</sup>	591 nm to 513 nm (78 nm)	7.4 nM	Cell imaging	7
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\$	Pd <sup>2+</sup>	472 nm to 594 nm (122 nm)	Not mention -ed	Cell imaging	8
	Pd <sup>2+</sup>	410 nm to 590 nm (180 nm)	170 nM	Cell imaging	9
	Pd <sup>0</sup>	520 nm to 410 nm (110 nm)	8.46 nM	Practical water assay	10
	Pd <sup>0</sup>	590 nm to 640 nm (50 nm)	5.8 nM	Cell imaging	11
NC CN	Pd <sup>0</sup>	517 nm to 665 nm (148 nm)	2.11 nM	Colorimetric assay Test strip Practical water assay Practical soil assay Cell imaging	This work

#### Spectroscopic measurement of Pd<sup>0</sup>



**Fig. S1.** Absorption spectra of 10  $\mu$ M probe (a) before and (b) after treated with 5  $\mu$ M Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in pH 7.4 phosphate buffer (10 mM, 20% DMSO).



**Fig. S2.** (A) Effects of reaction time on the fluorescence ratio ( $I_{665}/I_{517}$ ) of 10 µM PF-Pd in the absence (black) and presence (red) of 3 µM Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> in pH 7.4 phosphate buffer (10 mM, 20% DMSO). (B) The Ln[( $R_{max}$ - $R_t$ )/ $R_{max}$ ]~t plot within 5 min, where  $R_{max}$  is the maximum of  $I_{665}/I_{517}$ ,  $R_t$  is  $I_{665}/I_{517}$  of indicated time.



**Fig. S3.** Ratio ( $I_{665}/I_{517}$ ) of PF-Pd (10  $\mu$ M) in phosphate buffer (10 mM, 20% DMSO) with different pH in the absence cc(black) and presence (red) of 3  $\mu$ M Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.  $\lambda_{ex} = 440$  nm.



**Fig. S4.** (A) Chromatograms of different systems: (a) PF-Pd; (b-d) PF-Pd reacting with  $Pd(PPh_3)_4$  in (b) pH 6.0, (c) pH 7.4 or (d) pH 9.0 phosphate buffer; (e) Prepared 3-F. The chromatography peaks were recorded by the absorbance at 440 nm (isoabsorptive point) with mobile phase of 80% MeOH and 20 % H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 % TFA). (B) Absorption spectra of 3-F under different pH.



Fig. S5. Ratio ( $I_{665}/I_{517}$ ) of PF-Pd (10  $\mu$ M) in phosphate buffer (10 mM, 20% DMSO) with low concentrations of Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.  $\lambda_{ex} = 440$  nm.

# Colorimetric analysis of Pd<sup>0</sup> by PF-Pd



**Fig. S6**. Color changes of the PF-Pd loaded test strips in response to1 mM of different metal ions.



Fig. S7. Color of 3-F at different pH conditions.

#### **Biocompatibility of PF-Pd**



Fig. S8. The viability of 4T1 cells after treated with various amount of PF-Pd for 24 h. The viability of cells without PF-Pd is defined as 100%. The results are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 6).

#### Fluorescence imaging



Fig. S9. Fluorescence and DIC images of blank 4T1 cells. Green channel:  $\lambda_{ex} = 405$  nm,  $\lambda_{em} = 480$  nm-550 nm; red channel:  $\lambda_{ex} = 405$  nm,  $\lambda_{em} = 620$  nm-680 nm. Scale bar: 200  $\mu$ M.



**Fig. S10.** (A) Fluorescence and DIC images of 4T1 cells under different conditions. (a) Cells treated with 10  $\mu$ M PF-Pd for 30 min. (b-f) Cells pretreated with 10  $\mu$ M PF-Pd for 30 min, then incubated with (b) 20  $\mu$ M PPh<sub>3</sub>, (c) 5  $\mu$ M PdCl<sub>2</sub>, (d) 5  $\mu$ M PdCl<sub>2</sub> + 20  $\mu$ M PPh<sub>3</sub>, (e) 5  $\mu$ M Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> or (f) 5  $\mu$ M Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> + 20  $\mu$ M PPh<sub>3</sub> for 30 min. Green channel:  $\lambda_{ex} = 405$  nm,  $\lambda_{em} = 480$  nm-550 nm; red channel:  $\lambda_{ex} = 405$  nm,  $\lambda_{em} = 620$  nm-680 nm. Scale bar: 200  $\mu$ M. (B) Relative ratio of the fluorescence images from panel A (ratio of image a is defined as 1.0). The results are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3). Significant differences compared to image a (N.S.: no significant difference; \*p < 0.05) are performed by Student's *t*-test.

NMR spectra of the compounds



Fig. S11. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3-F in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



**Fig. S12.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-F in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).



**Fig. S13.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3-Cl in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S14. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-Cl in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).



**Fig. S15.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3-Br in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S16. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-Br in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S17. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3-H in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



**Fig. S18.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-H in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).



**Fig. S19.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3-OMe in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S20. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-OMe in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S21. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of PF-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S22. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PF-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).





Fig. S23. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of PCl-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S24. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PCl-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).





Fig. S25 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of PBr-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S26. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PBr-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).





Fig. S27. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of PH-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S28. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PH-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).





Fig. S29. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of POMe-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S30. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of POMe-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (100 MHz, 298 K).

#### References

- T. Gao, P. Xu, M. Liu, A. Bi, P. Hu, B. Ye, W. Wang and W. Zeng, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2015, 10, 1142-1145.
- 2. L. Zhou, Q. Wang, X.-B. Zhang and W. Tan, Anal. Chem., 2015, 87, 4503-4507.
- 3. L. Zhou, S. Hu, H. Wang, H. Sun and X. Zhang, *Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.*, 2016, **166**, 25-30.
- 4. W. Luo, J. Li and W. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 5846-5850.
- L. Li, R. Guan, M. Guo, P. Ning, R. Shao and X. Meng, Sens. Actuators, B Chem., 2018, 254, 949-955.
- 6. W. Feng, L. Bai, S. Jia and G. Feng, *Sens. Actuators, B Chem.*, 2018, **260**, 554-562.
- 7. J. Zhou, S. Xu, Z. Yu, X. Ye, X. Dong and W. Zhao, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **170**, 107656.
- 8. L. Wang, M. Ren, Z. Li, L. Dai and W. Lin, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 552-555.
- W. Luo, M. Lei, Y. Wang, H. Gao, Y. Wang, Q. Zhou, Z. Xu and F. Yang, *Anal. Methods*, 2019, **11**, 1080-1086.
- Z. Ou, L. He, Y. Gao, P. Li, T. Li, J. Zhang, Y. Dong, W. Zhou and Y. Zhang, New J. Chem., 2021, 45, 5206-5212.
- 11. X. Li, Y. Liu, X. Li, W. Shi and H. Ma, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2022, 200, 113929.