# Supporting Information

# Generation and Reactivity of the Fragment Ion $[B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$ in the Gas Phase and on Surfaces

Sebastian Kawa, Jaskiran Kaur, Harald Knorke, Ziyan Warneke, Myriam Wadsack, Markus Rohdenburg, Marc Nierstenhöfer, Carsten Jenne, Hilkka Kenttämaa, Jonas Warneke

# Table of Contents

1 Synthetic details and characterization of $[Y]_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$ (Y = Cs <sup>+</sup> , PPh <sub>4</sub> )	1
2 Computational section	7
3 Ion-molecule reactions with $O_2,N_2$ and $H_2O_{\dots}$	12
4 Ion-molecule reactions with cyclohexane, dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl amine	14
4.2 Cyclohexane	14
4.2 Dimethyl sulfide	16
4.3 Dimethyl amine	
4.4 Without introduction of neutral reagent	21
4.5 Comparison of cyclohexane, dimethyl sulfide, and dimethyl amine	21
5 LESA mass spectrometry	22
5.1 Background	23
5.2 [B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>11</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	23
5.3 [B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	24
5.4 [B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> S(CN)] <sup>-</sup>	26
6 Ion soft-landing settings	29
7 Measurement of kinetic energy	
8 References	31

1 Synthetic details and characterization of  $[Y]_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$  (Y = Cs<sup>+</sup>, PPh<sub>4</sub>)

$$Cs_{2}[B_{12}H_{11}(SCN)] \xrightarrow{1. I_{2} / C_{2}H_{4}CI_{2}, r.t.} Cs_{2}[B_{12}H_{11}(SCN)] \xrightarrow{3. ICI / C_{2}H_{4}CI_{2}, 180^{\circ}C} Cs_{2}[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$$

Dried Cs<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>(SCN)] (0.31 g, 0.67 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and iodine (0.85 g, 3.35 mmol, 5.0 eq.) were dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (5 mL) in a 15 mL pressure tube. The tube was closed and the mixture was stirred for one hour at room temperature. Subsequently, the temperature was raised to 80 °C and the mixture was stirred for 90 min. After cooling to room temperature, the tube was carefully opened and iodine monochloride (0.52 mL, 9.90 mmol, 14.8 eq.) was added. The tube was closed again and heated to 180 °C for three days. The mixture was slowly cooled to room temperature. The residue was transferred onto a fine fit, washed with hexane (3 × 170 mL), and dried in vacuo, which gave 1.13 g of a pink crude product (as the Cs<sup>+</sup> salt). Purification could be achieved by a salt metathesis reaction in hot water with tetraphenylphosphonium chloride in 85% yielding of the corresponding [PPh<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> salt. The product mixture contains the desired anion [B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>11</sub>(SCN)]<sup>2-</sup> as well as [B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>11</sub>(SI)]<sup>2-</sup> as a minor impurity, which could not be removed.

<sup>11</sup>B{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (192.59 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  = -10.8 (s, 1B, [ $B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)$ ]<sup>2-</sup>]), -13.3 (s, [ $B_{12}I_{11}(SI)$ ]<sup>2-</sup>], -15.0 (s, 5B, [ $B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)$ ]<sup>2-</sup>), 16.6 (s, [ $B_{12}I_{11}(SI)$ ]<sup>2-</sup>), -17.3 (s, 6B, [ $B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)$ ]<sup>2-</sup>]).

$$\begin{split} & \text{ESI-MS} \ [\text{m/z}]: \text{found} = 380.7095 \ (\text{calculated} = 380.7134; \ \textbf{I}_3^-), \ 792.0023 \ (\text{calculated} = 792.0224; \\ & [B_{12}\textbf{I}_{11}(\text{SCN})]^{2-}), \quad 842.4527 \quad (\text{calculated} = 842.4731; \quad [B_{12}\textbf{I}_{11}(\text{SI})]^{2-}), \quad 1606.9896 \\ & (\text{calculated} = 1607.0333; \quad \text{Na}[B_{12}\textbf{I}_{11}(\text{SCN})]^-), \quad 1716.9010 \quad (\text{calculated} = 1716.9490; \\ & \text{Cs}[B_{12}\textbf{I}_{11}(\text{SCN})]^-). \end{split}$$

IR (diamond ATR) of  $Cs_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$ : v = 2142 (m,  $v(SC\equiv N)$ ), 1652 (vw), 1603 (w), 1404 (m), 1205 (w), 1153 (w), 981 (sh, w), 929 (vs, v (B-I)), 855 (sh, w), 799 (sh, w), 462 (w). Raman of  $[PPh_4]_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$ : v = 3059 (m), 2147 (w,  $v(SC\equiv N)$ ), 1586 (m), 1191 (w), 1167 (w), 1097 (w), 1028 (m), 1001 (s), 725 (w), 680 (w), 616 (w), 338 (w) 283 (w), 257 (m), 243 (m), 205 (w), 144 (vs), 94 (vs), 72 (vs).



Figure S1. <sup>11</sup>B {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum (192.59 MHz, 298 K) of  $Cs_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$  in  $CD_3CN$ .



Figure S2. <sup>11</sup>B {<sup>1</sup>H}-<sup>11</sup>B {<sup>1</sup>H} COSY NMR spectrum (192.59 MHz, 298 K) of  $Cs_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$  in  $CD_3CN$ .



Figure S3. ESI mass spectrum (negative mode) of  $Cs_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$ . The simulated mass spectrum is shown above.



**Figure S4**. IR- (top) and Raman-spectra (bottom) of  $Cs_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$  (top) and  $[PPh_4]_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$  (bottom).

CCDC number	2308756
Empirical formula	$C_5H_6B_{12}Cs_2I_{11}N_3S$
Formula weight	1931.63
Temperature/K	150 (1)
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	<i>l</i> 2/m
a/Å	10.6637(2)
b/Å	12.5200(3)
c/Å	13.6723(3)
α/°	90
β/°	99.352(2)
γ/°	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	1801.12(7)
Ζ	2
ρ <sub>calc</sub> g/cm <sup>3</sup>	3.562
µ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	11.517
F(000)	1652.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	0.12 × 0.08 × 0.06
Radiation	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)
2O range for data collection/°	6.508 to 52.998
Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 10, -11 \le k \le 15, -17 \le l \le 17$
Reflections collected	4454
Independent reflections	1934 [ <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.0192, <i>R</i> <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0267]
Data/restraints/parameters	1934/14/107
Goodness-of-fit on <i>F</i> <sup>2</sup>	1.058
Final R indexes [/>=2σ (/)]	$R_1 = 0.0299, wR_2 = 0.0742$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0342, wR_2 = 0.0762$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	1.50/-1.60

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for  $[Cs_2(NCCH_3)_2][B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$ .



**Figure S5.** Part of the crystal structure of  $Cs_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)] \cdot 2 CH_3CN$ . Thermal ellipsoids are drawn with 50 % probability. Cations, solvent molecules and disorder are omitted for clarity. The sulfur atom could not be refined anisotropically.

**Table S2.** Fractional Atomic Coordinates (×10<sup>4</sup>) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>×10<sup>3</sup>).  $U_{eq}$  is defined as <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> of the trace of the orthogonalized  $U_{IJ}$  tensor.

Atom	X	У	Z	$U_{ m eq}$
Cs1	5000	2937.9(6)	5000	57.3(3)
12	3767.4(6)	5000	7174.9(4)	23.50(16)
13	3463.0(4)	2375.7(3)	8904.5(3)	24.33(13)
14	8639.7(5)	5000	10808.0(5)	29.39(17)
N2	3235(9)	5000	4527(7)	38(2)
C2	2213(11)	5000	4086(8)	32(2)
C3	930(11)	5000	3506(11)	49(3)
B1	5849(6)	4293(6)	9287(4)	14.0(12)
B2	4467(9)	5000	8758(6)	12.9(17)
B3	4335(6)	3841(5)	9515(4)	13.2(12)
B4	6603(8)	5000	10364(7)	15.4(18)
11	6929.2(8)	3380.1(7)	8344.6(6)	25.30(19)
S1	7067(9)	3589(8)	8580(7)	15(2)
N1	5690(30)	2450(30)	7060(20)	68(10)
C1	6100(40)	3010(40)	7700(30)	73(12)

Atom	Atom	Length/Å	Atom	Atom	Length/Å	
Cs1	I4 <sup>1</sup>	4.1684(8)	C2	C3	1.466(16)	
Cs1	<b>I</b> 4 <sup>2</sup>	4.1684(8)	B1	B1 <sup>6</sup>	1.770(14)	
Cs1	N2	3.199(5)	B1	B2	1.770(10)	
Cs1	N2 <sup>3</sup>	3.199(6)	B1	B3	1.785(9)	
Cs1	l1 <sup>2</sup>	4.0200(9)	B1	B3 <sup>7</sup>	1.773(9)	
Cs1	I1 <sup>4</sup>	4.0200(9)	B1	B4	1.793(10)	
Cs1	S1 <sup>4</sup>	3.903(10)	B1	11	2.186(6)	
Cs1	S1 <sup>2</sup>	3.903(10)	B1	S1	1.951(11)	
Cs1	N1 <sup>5</sup>	2.86(3)	B2	B3	1.801(8)	
Cs1	N1	2.86(3)	B2	B3 <sup>6</sup>	1.801(8)	
Cs1	C1⁵	3.69(3)	B2	B4 <sup>8</sup>	1.785(13)	
Cs1	C1	3.69(3)	B3	B3 <sup>7</sup>	1.776(12)	
12	B2	2.172(8)	B3	B4 <sup>8</sup>	1.786(8)	
13	B3	2.162(6)	S1	C1	1.622(18)	
14	B4	2.158(9)	N1	C1	1.153(19)	
N2	C2	1.156(14)				
1 _	$\frac{1}{2} + X - \frac{1}{2} + Y - \frac{1}{2}$	-7·				

Table S3. Bond lengths.

-<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>+X, -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>+Y, -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>+Z; <sup>3</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-X, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-Y, <sup>3</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-Z 1-X, 1-Y, 1-Z -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>+X, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-Y, -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>+Z 1-X, +Y, 1-Z +X, 1-Y, +Z 1-X, +Y, 2-Z 1-X, 1-Y, 2-Z 

# 2 Computational section

Table S4. Calculated 0 K attachment enthalpies in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2TZVPP) for the reaction with  $N_2$ .

lon	$\Delta H^{0 \text{ K}}$
[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>10</sub> SCN] <sup>-</sup> + N <sub>2</sub>	140.5
$[B_{12}I_{11}]^- + N_2$	137.3

**Table S5.** Computed (B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2TZVPP) Coulomb barrier for the iodide loss of  $[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]^2$ and iodide attachment enthalpies (BSSE and ZPE corrected) of different  $[B_{12}I_{10}SCN]^-$  isomers.

Picture	Description	Relative energy / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
	B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>11</sub> (SCN)	0
	Coulomb barrier	+314
	SCN	+175
	bridged	+105
	NCS	+103



Picture	Description	Relative enthalpie / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
	B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> S(CN)	0
	Different (CN)-position	+2*
	(NC)-group	+35
	Vacant boron	+119
	Vacant boron, (NC)-group	+150
	Bridging (CN)	+231
	Three vacant borons	+276

**Table S6.** Different computed isomers of  $[B_{12}I_8SCN]^-$  (B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2TZVPP) and relative 0 K enthalpies.

\*Although this isomer is  $2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  higher in energy than the upper displayed isomer, it is shown in Figure 1, Scheme 1, and Table S7, because the calculated dissociation pathway discussed in the manuscript resulted in this isomer. The energy difference of  $2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  is within the uncertainty of the DFT calculations.



**Figure S6.** Visualized lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (iso value: 0.05 a.u.) and computed energy levels (B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2TZVPP) of  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^-$ ,  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$ , and  $[B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$ .



**Figure S7.** Reaction pathway (B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2TZVPP) for the addition of  $S(CH_3)_2$  to  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^-$  and the proposed generation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}H]^{-*}$ . The reaction pathway from the stable reaction product at -99 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> to the hydrid abstraction / protonation product at +130 (+95) kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> requires overcoming a barrier (transition state), which is not shown here.



**Figure S8.** Reaction pathway (B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2TZVPP) for the addition of  $HN(CH_3)_2$  to  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^-$  and the proposed hydride abstraction / protonation sequence.

Vacant / sulfur-bridged boron atoms in $[B_{12}I_n]^- / [B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$	NPA charge / e
B <sub>1</sub> ( <i>n</i> = 11)	0.802
B <sub>1</sub> ( <i>n</i> = 9)	0.039
$B_2 (n = 9)$	0.039
$B_3 (n = 9)$	0.039
B <sub>1</sub>	-0.107
B <sub>2</sub>	-0.107
B <sub>3</sub>	-0.144
S	0.336

Table S7. Atomic charge derived from natural population analysis (NPA) (B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2TZVPP).

Note that coordinates can be found under the following link: <u>https://iochem-bd.bsc.es/browse/handle/100/314968</u>



3 Energy resolved relative abundances of fragment ions and precursor ions

**Figure S9.** Diagrams showing the relative abundances of fragment ions and precursor ions generated by isolation and subsequent fragmentation of **a**)  $[B_{12}I_{12}]^{2-}$  ions and **b**)  $[B_{12}I_{11}SCN]^{2-}$  ions as a function of the normalized collision energy. Note that for reasons of clarity, only ions with a relative abundance larger than 12% of the total ion abundance are displayed.





**Figure S10.** Plot of the relative abundance of the isolated ion and its ionic reaction products with background gas molecules as a function of the reaction time (instrumental parameter: activation time, time before ions are ejected out of the ion trap). **a)**  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^{2-*}$  in red color and  $[B_{12}I_{11}(O_2)]^{2-*}$  in blue color. **b)**  $[B_{12}I_{10}SCN]^{2-*}$  in red color and  $[B_{12}I_{11}(O_2)]^{2-*}$  in blue color. **b)**  $[B_{12}I_{10}SCN]^{2-*}$  in red color  $[B_{12}I_{11}(H_2O)]^{-}$  in blue color, and  $[B_{12}I_{11}(N_2)]^{-}$  in yellow color. **d)**  $[B_{12}I_{10}SCN]^{-}$  in red color,  $[B_{12}I_{10}SCN(H_2O)]^{-}$  in blue color, and  $[B_{12}I_{11}(N_2)]^{-}$  in yellow color.



**Figure S11.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum measured (LTQ XL orbitrap) after isolation and fragmentation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}SCN]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 1203, CID 50) and subsequent isolation of  $[B_{12}I_7SCN]^{-+}$  ions (*m*/*z* 1076) for a reaction time of 30 ms. The green arrow highlights the O<sub>2</sub> addition.



**Figure S12.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum measured (LTQ XL orbitrap, analyzer: ion trap) after in-source fragmentation of ESI-generated ions from the  $K_2[B_{12}I_{12}]$  solution (CID 50) and subsequent isolation of  $[B_{12}I_8]^{-1}$  ions (*m*/*z* 1145) for a reaction time of **a**) 30 ms and **b**) 200 ms.

5 Ion-molecule reactions with cyclohexane, dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl amine 5.2 Cyclohexane



**Figure S13.** Section of a CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the  $K_2[B_{12}I_{12}]$  solution (CID 35), subsequent isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1272) for a reaction time of 300 ms, and the following isolation of  $[B_{12}I_8(OH)]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1162) for a reaction time of 30 ms with cyclohexane and residual gas. Green arrows highlight addition reactions.



**Figure S14.** Section of a CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the K<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>12</sub>] solution (CID 40), subsequent isolation of [B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(OH)]<sup>-</sup> ions (m/z 1162) for a reaction time of 300 ms, and the following isolation of [B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>8</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>H]<sup>-</sup> ions (m/z 1180) for a reaction time of 100 ms with cyclohexane and residual gas. Green arrows highlight addition reactions.



**Figure S15.** Section of a CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the  $K_2[B_{12}I_{12}]$  solution (CID 35), subsequent isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1272) for a reaction time of 300 ms, and the following isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9(OH)H]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1290) for a reaction time of 30 ms with cyclohexane and residual gas. Green arrows highlight addition reactions.



**Figure S16.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the  $K_2[B_{12}I_{12}]$  solution (CID 40) and subsequent isolation and fragmentation of  $[B_{12}I_9(OH)H]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1290) upon CID at a collision energy of 15 arbitrary units. The reagent was cyclohexane. The red arrow highlights the elimination of HI.

#### 5.2 Dimethyl sulfide



**Figure S17.** Section of a CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the K<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>12</sub>] solution (CID 40), subsequent isolation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1526) for a reaction time of 100 ms and the following isolation and fragmentation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}(S(CH_3)_2)]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1588) upon CID at a collision energy of 20 arbitrary units. The reagent was dimethyl sulfide. The red arrow highlights the elimination of S(CH<sub>3</sub>)H.



**Figure S18.** Section of a CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the K<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>12</sub>] solution (CID 40), subsequent isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1272) for a reaction time of 30 ms, and the following isolation and fragmentation of  $[B_{12}I_9(S(CH_3)_2]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1335) upon CID at a collision energy of 20 arbitrary units. The reagent was dimethyl sulfide. The red arrows highlight the eliminations of CH<sub>4</sub> and HI.



**Figure S19.** Section of a CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the K<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>12</sub>] solution (CID 40), subsequent isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1272) for a reaction time of 30 ms, and the following isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9S(CH_3)_2]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1335) for a reaction time of 30 ms with dimethyl sulfide and residual gas. The green arrow highlights the addition reaction with a second dimethyl sulfide molecule.



**Figure S20.** Section of a CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the K<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>12</sub>] solution (CID 40), subsequent isolation of [B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>9</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ions (*m*/*z* 1272) for a reaction time of 30 ms, and the following isolation and fragmentation of  $[B_{12}I_9(S(CH_3)_2)_2]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1397) upon CID at a collision energy of 15 arbitrary units. The CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum without further fragmentation of the  $[B_{12}I_9(S(CH_3)_2)_2]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1397) is shown on the left and marked with an asterisk. The reagent was dimethyl sulfide. The red arrows highlight the eliminations of CH<sub>3</sub><sup>•</sup>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and S(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. The elimination of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> was not observed in **Figure 3**, where no collision energy was applied to the precursor ion  $[B_{12}I_9(S(CH_3)_2)_2]^-$ .



**Figure S21.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the Cs<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>11</sub>(SCN)] solution (CID 35) and the subsequent isolation of [B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>8</sub>S(CN)]<sup>-</sup> ions (*m*/*z* 1203) for a reaction time of 3000 ms with dimethyl sulfide and residual gas. The green arrow highlights the addition reaction with dimethyl sulfide.

#### 5.3 Dimethyl amine



**Figure S22.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum after in-source fragmentation of ESI-generated ions from the  $K_2[B_{12}I_{12}]$  solution (CID 40) and subsequent isolation of **a**)  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^-$  ions and **b**)  $[B_{12}I_{9}]^-$  ions for a reaction time of 30 ms with dimethyl amine and residual gas. **c**) Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum after in-source fragmentation of ESI-generated ions from the  $Cs_2[B_{12}I_{11}(SCN)]$  solution (CID 40) and subsequent isolation of  $B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$  ions for a reaction time of 30 ms with dimethyl amine and residual gas. Fragmentation and addition reactions are indicated with red and green arrows, respectively. The elimination of  $CH_3^-$  observed in **a**) was verified in a CID MS<sup>3</sup> experiment (**Figure S23**). Reaction pathways observed in **b**) are visualized in **Scheme S1**. Note that  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  had completely reacted in **b**) and the doubly charged isotopic pattern of  $[B_{24}I_{18}]^{2-}$  ions became visible.  $[B_{24}I_{18}]^{2-}$  likely originates from a synthesis side product or a fragment thereof.



**Figure S23.** Section of a CID MS<sup>3</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the K<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>12</sub>] solution (CID 40), subsequent isolation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1526) for a reaction time of 100 ms, and the isolation and fragmentation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}H]^2-[H_2N(CH_3)_2]^+$  ions (*m*/*z* 1571) upon CID at a collision energy of 25 arbitrary units. The reagent was dimethyl amine. The red arrow highlights the elimination of CH<sub>3</sub><sup>•</sup>.



**Scheme S1.** Proposed reactions of  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions when isolated for a reaction time of 30 ms with dimethyl amine and residual gas.

#### 5.4 Without introduction of neutral reagent



**Figure S24.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum measured after in-source fragmentation of ESIgenerated ions from the  $K_2[B_{12}I_{12}]$  solution (CID 40) and subsequent isolation  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions (*m*/*z* 1272) for a reaction time of 30 ms with residual gas. Fragmentation and addition reactions are indicated with red and green arrows, respectively.

5.5 Comparison of cyclohexane, dimethyl sulfide, and dimethyl amine



**Figure S25.** Section of the mass spectrum measured after isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions for a reaction time of 30 ms with **a**) cyclohexane, **b**) dimethyl sulfide, and **c**) dimethyl amine. For the amine, the formation of  $[B_{12}I_9H_3]^{2-}[H]^+$  was observed, which was not the case for cyclohexane and dimethyl sulfide. Note that in cases **b**) and **c**),  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  had completely reacted and the double-charged isotopic pattern of  $[B_{24}I_{18}]^{2-}$  became visible. The signal assigned to  $[B_{24}I_{18}]^{2-}$  ions overlaps with that assigned to  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions in **a**) and likely originates from a synthesis side product or fragments thereof.

# 6 LESA mass spectrometry

All mass spectra obtained by LESA were recorded with an LTQ XL Orbitrap mass spectrometer. Note that MS<sup>3</sup> experiments for further fragmentation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}(alkyl chain)]^{2-}$  are shown in Ref [1] and were not repeated here.

	Experimental <i>m/z</i> value	Assigned molecular formula
	638.6795	[(B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)H) <sub>2</sub> (C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> (COO) <sub>2</sub> )] <sup>4-</sup>
	670.7094	[(B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)H)(B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)(OH))(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> (COO)(COO <b>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub></b> ))] <sup>4-</sup>
	684.1706	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (COO) <sub>2</sub> )] <sup>2-</sup>
-[(N	731.2564	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)H(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> (COOC <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> )(COO)] <sup>2-</sup>
s(C	739.2540	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)(OH)(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> (COOC <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> )(COO)] <sup>2-</sup>
B <sub>12</sub> [	748.2485	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)H(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (COOC <sub>9</sub> H <sub>19</sub> )(COO)] <sup>2-</sup>
-	756.2458	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)(OH)(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (COOC <sub>9</sub> H <sub>19</sub> )(COO)] <sup>2-</sup>
	795.3165	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)(OH)(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> (COOC <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> )(COO <b>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>)</b> ] <sup>2-</sup>
	819.3158	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (SH)(CN)(OH)(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (COOC <sub>9</sub> H <sub>19</sub> )(COO <b>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>)</b> ] <sup>2-</sup>
	570.6739	[B <sub>10</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (OH)H] <sup>2-</sup>
	578.6713	[B <sub>10</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup>
	584.6799	[B <sub>11</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> H] <sup>2-</sup>
	592.6774	[B <sub>11</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (OH) <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup>
	599.6852	[B <sub>11</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> (OCH <sub>3</sub> )] <sup>2-</sup>
	606.6834	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup>
-[ <sup>0</sup> ]-	613.6913	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> (OH) <sub>3</sub> (OCH <sub>3</sub> )] <sup>2-</sup>
[B <sub>12</sub>	637.6419	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> H <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup>
	645.6393	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> (OH)H <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup>
	653.6368	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> H] <sup>2-</sup>
	708.6552	$[B_{12}I_9H(C_4H_8(COO)_2]^{2-}$
	781.7099	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> H(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )(COO)(COO <b>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>))</b> ] <sup>2-</sup>
	829.7857	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> (OH)H(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> (COOC <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> )(COO <b>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>)]<sup>2-</sup></b>
	853.7857	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> (OH)H(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (COOC <sub>9</sub> H <sub>19</sub> )(COO <b>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>)]<sup>2-</sup></b>
	763.5337	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>11</sub> H] <sup>2-</sup>
2 11]	771.5312	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>11</sub> (OH)] <sup>2-</sup>
[B <sub>12</sub>	947.6796	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>11</sub> (C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> (COOC <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub> )(COO <b>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>)</b> ] <sup>2-</sup>
	971.6798	$[B_{12}I_{11}(C_6H_4(COOC_9H_{19})(COOC_9H_{18})]^{2-}$

Table S8. S	Signal as	sianment f	or mass	spectra	shown ir	Figure 4
	Jignai ao	Signinonti	01 111000	Specia	0110 0011 11	i i igui e 4.

#### 6.1 Background



**Figure S26.** Mass spectrum in positive ion mode obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_{12}]^{2-1}$  ions on p-doped Si (44 pmol). Molecular structures are assigned to nonyl phthalate and dioctyl adipate.



**Figure S27.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (52 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_{11}(C_4H_8(COOC_8H_{17})(COOC_8H_{16}))]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 947.7) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 15 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4a**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow. A section of a mass spectrum obtained after isolation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}(C_4H_8(COOC_8H_{17})(COOC_8H_{16}))]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 947.7) is shown in the top right corner.



**Figure S28.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_{11}]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (52 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_{11}(C_6H_4(COOC_9H_{19})(COOC_9H_{18}))]^{2^-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 971.7) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 15 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4a**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow. A section of a mass spectrum obtained after isolation of  $[B_{12}I_{11}(C_6H_4(COOC_9H_{19})(COOC_9H_{18}))]^{2^-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 971.7) is shown in the top right corner.

6.3 [B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>9</sub>]<sup>-</sup>



**Figure S29.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_9]^$ ions on p-doped Si (52 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_9(OH)H(C_4H_8(COOC_8H_{17})(COOC_8H_{16}))]^{2^-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 829.8) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 10 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4b**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow. A section of a mass spectrum obtained after isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9(OH)H(C_4H_8(COOC_8H_{17})(COOC_8H_{16}))]^{2^-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 829.8) is shown in the top right corner.



**Figure S30.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (52 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_9H(OH)(C_6H_4(COOC_9H_{19})(COOC_9H_{18}))]^{2^-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 853.7) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 15 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4b**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow.



**Figure S31.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_9]^$ ions on p-doped Si (52 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_9H(C_4H_8COOCOO)]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 708.7) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 15 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4b**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow. A section of a mass spectrum obtained after isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9H(C_4H_8COOCOO)]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 708.7) is shown in the top right corner.



**Figure S32.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_9]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (52 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_9H(C_6H_4)(COO)(COOC_9H_{18}))]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 781.7) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 15 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4b**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow. A section of a mass spectrum obtained after isolation of  $[B_{12}I_9H(C_6H_4)(COO)(COOC_9H_{18}))]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 781.7) is shown on the right.

6.4 [B<sub>12</sub>I<sub>8</sub>S(CN)]-



**Figure S33.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (207 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_8(SH)(CN)(C_6H_4(COO)_2)]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 684.2) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 20 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4c**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow.



**Figure S34.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (207 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_8(CN)(SH)H(C_4H_8(COO)(COOC_8H_{17}))]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 731.3) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 10 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4c**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow.



**Figure S35.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (207 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_8(CN)(SH)H(C_6H_4(COO)(COOC_9H_{19}))]^{2^-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 748.2) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 10 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4c**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow.



**Figure S36.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (207 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_8(CN)(SH)(OH)(C_6H_4(COO)(COOC_9H_{19}))]^{2^-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 756.2) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 10 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4c**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow.



**Figure S37.** Section of a CID MS<sup>2</sup> mass spectrum obtained with LESA after the deposition of  $[B_{12}I_8S(CN)]^-$  ions on p-doped Si (207 pmol).  $[B_{12}I_8(CN)(SH)(OH)(C_6H_4(COOC_9H_{19})(COOC_9H_{18}))]^{2-}$  ions (*m*/*z* 819.3) were isolated and fragmented upon CID at a collision energy of 10 arbitrary units. The assigned molecular structure is adopted from **Figure 4c**. Eliminations are indicated with a red arrow.

# 7 Ion soft-landing settings

Voltages / V	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> S(CN)]⁻	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> ]⁻	[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>11</sub> ]⁻
ESI	-3500.00	-3100.00	-3200.00
Inlet 1	-400.00	-380.00	-380.00
Inlet 2	-400.00	-400.00	-400.00
lonfunnel 1a	-400.00	-400.00	-400.00
lonfunnel 1b	-310.00	-270.00	-270.00
lonfunnel 1c	-290.00	-300.00	-300.00
lonfunnel 1d	-177.00	-200.00	-200.00
lonfunnel2a	-184.80	-221.00	-221.00
lonfunnel 2 lens	-119.00	-127.00	-127.00
lonfunnel 2b	-119.00	-117.00	-95.00
Collision cell bias	-6.10	-6.50	-6.50
Collision cell lens	-6.00	-6.20	-6.20
lon guide bias	-5.80	-1.90	-1.90
lon guide lens	10.50	80.00	80.00
Mass filter in	36.40	60.00	60.00
Mass filter pre	30.00	40.00	40.00
mass filter bias	19.90	20.00	16.00
Mass filter post	19.00	43.00	43.00
Mass filter out	1.00	-6.00	-6.00
Drive / %			
lon funnel 1	35.00	43.00	43.00
lon funnel 2	30.00	30.00	30.00
Collision cell	44.00	42.00	42.00
lon guide	28.00	17.00	17.00
Pressure / mbar			
lon funnel 1	9.7	9.8	9.8
lon funnel 2	3.1	3.2	3.2

 Table S9. Optimized voltage and pressure settings.

#### 8 Measurement of kinetic energy

The kinetic energy distribution of deposited ions was determined using the retarding potential method.<sup>[2]</sup> A double copper mesh combined with a detection metal plate was positioned behind the quadrupole. The first mesh was grounded, whereas a variable DC voltage (retarding potential) was applied to the second mesh. The ion current was recorded as a function of the retarding potential (step size 0.1 V, data point recorded every 150 ms). The graph was fitted with a sigmoidal Boltzmann distribution. The inflection point is assigned to the maximum of the kinetic energy distribution per charge (KE / charge number). An example graph is shown in **Figure S37**. The measurement was repeated four times for each ion with the ion soft-landing settings shown in **Table S9**. The averaged values are shown in **Table S10**.



Figure S37. Recorded ion current as a function of the retarding potential.

Table S10. Maximum of the kinetic energy distribution of deposited ions in eV / z.

Deposited lons	Maximum of kinetic energy distribution
[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>8</sub> S(CN)]⁻	8.7
[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>9</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	10.1
[B <sub>12</sub> I <sub>11</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	8.2

### 9 References

- [1] J. Warneke, M. Mayer, M. Rohdenburg, X. Ma, J. K. Y. Liu, M. Grellmann, S. Debnath, V. A. Azov, E. Apra, R. P. Young et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2020, 117, 23374.
- [2] H. Y. Samayoa-Oviedo, K.-A. Behrend, S. Kawa, H. Knorke, P. Su, M. E. Belov, G. Anderson, J. Warneke, J. Laskin, *Anal. Chem.* **2021**, *93*, 14489.